## APPENDIX H

ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS SUPPORTING INFORMATION

### 1.0 SUMMARY OF PROFILE OF AFFECTED INDUSTRIES

### 1.1 INDUSTRY PROFILE - ECONOMIC AND FINANCIAL DATA

Economic data used in estimating the potential economic impacts of implementing control measures associated with the PM and ozone NAAQS and the RH rule follow the categorization established by the Standard Industrial Classification Manual 1987 (Office of Management and Budget [OMB], 1987). The data are reported by 3-digit SIC code, and include: the number of firms and establishments, employment, and sales revenue. The six major sectors are:

- Manufacturing;
- Agriculture, Mining, and Construction;
- Transportation, Communications, and Utilities;
- Wholesale and Retail Trade and Real Estate;
- Services; and
- Public Administration.

The data referred to in this section are presented primarily on a 3-digit SIC code level. For eight industries this data is not available at the 3-digit SIC code level, and the data for these industries is presented at the 2-digit SIC code level.

The sales data referred to in this chapter were projected to 2010 production levels for consistency with the cost data that will be used in the EIA. Industry-specific growth factors were obtained from the Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA). ${ }^{4}$ Revenue data were also
converted to 1990 price levels using the 1987-1990 gross domestic product (GDP) implicit price deflator (DOC, 1992). ${ }^{5}$

### 1.2 MANUFACTURING

The Industry Profile for the Review of the $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ NAAQS presents the number of establishments, firms, and employees in a given SIC code for each manufacturing industry that may incur costs associated with one or more of the selected control measures. It also presents average revenue per establishment by SIC code.

### 1.3 AGRICULTURE/MINING/CONSTRUCTION

Establishment and revenue data are not available by employment size category for SIC codes in the agricultural production sector (2-digit SIC codes 01 and 02). The Census of Agriculture also reports the average revenue per farm for all farms, and the average revenue per farm for farms with less than $\$ 500,000$ revenue from agricultural products sold. This data is available
in the Industry Profile for the Review of the $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ NAAQS.

### 1.4 AGRICULTURAL SERVICES, FORESTRY, MINING, AND CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRIES

The Industry Profile for the Review of the $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ NAAQS contains establishment, firm, employment, and revenue data for the industries in the agricultural services, forestry, mining, and construction sectors that are potentially affected by the PM, ozone, and regional haze control measures examined. The sources that were used to obtain this data include County Business Patterns, Census of Mining Industries, and Census of Construction Industries.

Revenue data are not available for the agricultural service and forestry SIC codes (i.e., 07 and 08). Because of this limitation, payroll data were used as a surrogate for revenue data.

However, it should be noted that the use of payroll data as a surrogate for revenue data will likely underestimate revenues.

### 1.5 TRANSPORTATION, COMMUNICATIONS, AND UTILITIES

The Industry Profile for the $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ NAAQS present the available Census data for the industries in the transportation, communications, and utility sectors potentially affected by the PM control measures examined. The 1992 data were converted to 2010 production levels and 1990 prices using the 1992 to 2010 BEA growth factor for the appropriate SIC code and the GDP implicit price deflator between 1990 and 1992.

### 1.6 WHOLESALE AND RETAIL TRADE AND REAL ESTATE, SERVICES

The Industry Profile for the $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ NAAQS contains data for the wholesale trade, retail trade, and real estate sectors that were summarized from data published in Enterprise Statistics, the 1987 Census of Retail Industries, and the 1992 Census of Financial, Insurance, and Real Estate Industries. The 1992 data were converted to 2010 production levels and 1990 prices using the appropriate 1992-2010 BEA growth factor and the GDP implicit price deflator between 1990 and 1992. The Industry Profile also presents the establishment, firm, employment, and revenue data that were available from the Bureau of the Census for potentially affected SIC codes in the services sector. Individual publications used in developing the data were: Enterprise Statistics 1987 Census of Service Industries, and 1990 County Business Patterns.

### 1.7 PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION

The Bureau of the Census publishes annual budget data for States and counties by government function (e.g., highways, public safety).

The Industry Profile for the Review of the $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ NAAQS displays estimated expenditures in 2010 for affected government agencies. Except for SIC code 962, the list of agencies affected is
based on the SIC codes listed with emissions sources in the NPI that are potentially affected by the PM, ozone, and RH control measures examined. Control of paved and unpaved road emissions directly impacts SIC code 962- Regulation and Administration of Transportation Programs. For control measures affecting point sources identified with SIC code 971-National Security, revenue data are presented on a national level only because the Federal government is the entity directly impacted.
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Table H. 1 Summary of the Number of SIC Codes with Potential Economic Impacts for the Sequenced Ozone and PM Alternatives in the Year 2010
(Expressed as Average Annual Costs to Sales Ratios;
Control Costs and Sales are in 1990\$)

| Alternative | Total No. of SIC Codes <br> Potentially Affected | SIC <br> Codes Affected $\text { - } 0.01$ <br> Percent <br> or <br> Greater | SIC <br> Codes Affected 0.1 <br> Percent or Greater | SIC <br> Codes <br> Affected - <br> 1 Percent <br> or <br> Greater | SIC <br> Codes <br> Affected - <br> 3 Percent or Greater | SIC <br> Codes <br> Affected - <br> 5 Percent <br> or <br> Greater |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ozone 0.08, 3rd max. following $\mathrm{PM}_{2.5} 15 / 50$ | 379 | 273 | 224 | 134 | 84 | 61 |
| $\mathrm{PM}_{2.5} 15 / 50$ <br> following <br> Ozone 0.08, <br> 3rd max. | 364 | 215 | 191 | 130 | 93 | 75 |

Table H. 2 Percentage of Potentially Affected Establishments in 3 digit SIC Codes Potentially Affected by the Ozone and PM NAAQS, and in All Establishments Nationwide

| Standard | Percentage of <br> Establishments Potentially <br> Affected out of All <br> Establishments in <br> Potentially Affected SIC <br> Codes | Establishments Potentially <br> Affected out of All <br> Establishments <br> Nationwide |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Ozone | Percentage of |  |  |
| $0.08,5$ th max. | 0.10 | 0.04 |  |
| $0.08,4$ th max** | 0.13 | 0.05 |  |
| $0.08,3$ rd max. | 0.16 | 0.06 |  |
| PM |  | 0.49 |  |
| $16 / 65$ | 1.51 | 0.82 |  |
| $15 / 65^{* * *}$ | 2.53 | 0.86 |  |
| $15 / 50$ | 2.57 |  |  |

[^0]Comparison of the Integrated Planning Model's Forecast of the Operating Characteristics, Costs and Emissions of the Electric Power Industry from 2000 to 2010 under the Base Case and Further Controls under the New NAAQS

Table H. 3 of this appendix provides a comparison of the IPM forecasts for operation, costs, and air emissions from the electric power industry from 2000 to 2010 for the Base Case and for additional pollution controls under the new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS). The Base Case has a cap-and-trade program providing summer season reductions in NOx emissions in the 37 states that are in the Ozone Transport Assessment Group (OTAG). The scenario with added pollution controls increases the emissions reductions of SOx beyond the current CAAA Title IV requirements. See Section 11.6 for details.

The table shows the differences in the two cases of the operation of existing generation capacity, new capacity additions, and pollution retrofits that occur over time. These results appear under sections 10,11 , and 12 of the table. To assist the review of the table in these sections a key to the abbreviations is provided below:

| Abbreviation | Term |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| MW | Megawatt |
| IGCC | Integrated Gasification Combined Cycle <br> (Coal Gasification Technology) |
| CC | Combined-Cycle Natural Gas |
| Ret. | Retrofit |
| O/G | Oil/Gas Steam Unit |
| SCR | Selective Catalytic Reduction Technology |
| (Post-Combustion NOx Control) |  |
| SNCR | Selective Non-Catalytic Reduction Technology <br> (Post-Combustion NOx Control) |
| Carbon Inj/CI | Carbon Injection Technology for Mercury Control <br> GWh |
|  | Gigawatt Hours (Million kilowatt hours) |

Table H. 3 Comparison of the Integrated Planning Model's Forecast of the Operating Characteristics, Costs, and Emissions of the Electric Power Industry from 2000 to 2010 under the Base Case and Further Controls under the new NAAQS

|  | Year 2000 |  | Year 2005 |  | Year 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Base Case | New NAAQS | Base Case | New NAAQS | Base Case | New NAAQS |
| 1. Reserve Margin Capacity (MW) | 705,321 | 702,636 | 745,244 | 745,168 | 801,549 | 801,549 |
| Plus Firm <br> Purchases (MW) | 22,262 | 22,262 | 22,262 | 22,262 | 22,262 | 22,262 |
| Plus <br> Transmission (MW) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total <br> Reserve <br> Margin <br> Capacity <br> (MW) | 727,583 | 724,898 | 767,506 | 767,430 | 823,811 | 823,811 |
| 2. Peak <br> Load (MW) | 593,184 | 593,184 | 640,202 | 640,202 | 688,958 | 688,958 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Less } \\ & \text { DSM(MW) } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Plus Firm <br> Sales (MW) | 19,962 | 19,962 | 19,962 | 19,962 | 19,962 | 19,962 |
| Plus <br> Transmission Out (MW) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Net Demand (MW) | 613,146 | 613,146 | 660,164 | 660,164 | 708,920 | 708,920 |
| 3. Reserve Margin (\%) | 19 | 18 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| 4. Generation (GWh) | 3,306,624 | 3,304,206 | 3,597,954 | 3,595,938 | 3,914,411 | 3,911,231 |


|  | Year 2000 |  | Year 2005 |  | Year 2010 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Base Case | New <br> NAAQS | Base Case | New <br> NAAQS | Base Case | New <br> NAAQS |
| Inter-Region <br> Transmission <br> (Gwh) | $(11,232)$ | $(10,309)$ | $(11,549)$ | $(9,923)$ | $(10,428)$ | $(8,719)$ |
|  <br> Storage <br> Losses <br> (Gwh) | 9,189 | 7,694 | 11,768 | 11,377 | 13,800 | 12,328 |
| Plus <br> Purchases <br> (Gwh) | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| Less Sales <br> (Gwh) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 5. Total <br> Supply for <br> Demand <br> (Gwh) | $3,286,202$ | $3,286,202$ | $3,574,637$ | $3,574,638$ | $3,890,183$ | $3,890,183$ |
| 6. Projected <br> Demand <br> (Gwh) | $3,286,203$ | $3,286,203$ | $3,574,638$ | $3,574,638$ | $3,890,183$ | $3,890,183$ |
| Energy Not <br> Served <br> (Gwh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Demand <br> (GWh) | $3,286,203$ | $3,286,203$ | $3,574,638$ | $3,574,638$ | $3,890,183$ | $3,890,183$ |
| 7. Dumped <br> Energy <br> (Gwh) | $(1)$ | $(1)$ | $(1)$ | - | - | - |
| 8. Total <br> Supply for <br> Demand <br> (Gwh) | $3,286,203$ | $3,286,203$ | $3,574,638$ | $3,574,638$ | $3,890,183$ | $3,890,183$ |
| Less T\&D <br> Losses <br> (Gwh) | 252,933 | 252,933 | 275,133 | 275,133 | 299,420 | 299,420 |
| 9. Total <br> Sales (Gwh) | $3,033,269$ | $3,033,269$ | $3,299,504$ | $3,299,504$ | $3,590,763$ | $3,590,763$ |
|  |  | - | - | - | - | - |


|  | Year 2000 |  | Year 2005 |  | Year 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Base Case | New NAAQS | Base Case | New NAAQS | Base Case | New NAAQS |
| Capacity <br> Avoided <br> Costs <br> (US\$/kW/a) | 14 | 16 | 34 | 35 | 23 | 21 |
| 10. Capacity by Plant Type (MW) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scrubbed <br> Coal | 58,454 | 51,896 | 33,875 | 27,067 | 27,233 | 26,781 |
| Unscrubbed Coal | 111,732 | 133,017 | 41,394 | 105,169 | 22,990 | 69,709 |
| Oil/Gas <br> Steam | 107,080 | 103,359 | 94,324 | 96,073 | 52,873 | 46,358 |
| Nuclear | 97,086 | 97,086 | 94,452 | 94,452 | 88,065 | 88,065 |
| Hydroelectric | 76,255 | 76,255 | 76,292 | 76,292 | 76,292 | 76,292 |
| Combined <br> Cycle (CC) <br> Gas | 22,946 | 22,946 | 51,976 | 61,808 | 106,608 | 136,682 |
| IGCC | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Turbine | 54,159 | 54,338 | 71,677 | 64,726 | 79,320 | 60,219 |
| Renewables | 10,274 | 10,274 | 10,274 | 10,274 | 10,275 | 10,277 |
| Pump <br> Storage | 21,069 | 21,069 | 21,069 | 21,069 | 21,069 | 21,069 |
| Imports | 11,200 | 11,200 | 11,200 | 11,200 | 11,200 | 11,200 |
| Ret. Coal-CC | - | - | - | - | 1,060 | 2,250 |
| Ret. O/G-CC | - | - | - | - | 34,117 | 33,620 |
| Ret. Coal- IGCC | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ret. Scrubber | - | 1,312 | - | 1,312 | - | 1,312 |
| Ret. SCR | 14,009 | 9,130 | 86,903 | 34,004 | 103,856 | 34,080 |
| Ret. SNCR | 114,338 | 81,068 | 134,909 | 95,387 | 141,607 | 98,629 |
| Ret. SCR+Scrub | 636 | 11,390 | 636 | 18,435 | 636 | 37,735 |


|  | Year 2000 |  | Year 2005 |  | Year 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Base Case | New NAAQS | Base Case | New NAAQS | Base Case | New NAAQS |
| Ret. <br> SNCR+Scru <br> b | - | 10,854 | - | 15,688 | - | 22,625 |
| Ret. Gas <br> Reburn | 2,362 | - | 2,362 | - | 2,362 | - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ret. O/G } \\ & \text { SCR } \end{aligned}$ | 13,361 | 17,083 | 23,698 | 21,889 | 31,662 | 34,323 |
| Total | 714,962 | 712,277 | 754,922 | 754,846 | 811,227 | 811,227 |
| 11. Capacity Additions and Changes by Plant Type (MW) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scrubbed <br> Coal | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Unscrubbed Coal | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Oil/Gas <br> Steam | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Nuclear | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hydroelectric | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Combined <br> Cycle (CC) Gas | - | - | 28,005 | 37,837 | 54,632 | 74,873 |
| IGCC | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Turbine | 10,791 | 10,970 | 39,114 | 31,983 | 12,150 | - |
| Renewables | - | - | - | - | 2 | 3 |
| Pump Storage | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Imports | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ret. Coal-CC | - | - | - | - | 1,060 | 2,250 |
| Ret. O/G-CC | - | - | - | - | 34,117 | 33,620 |
| Ret. Coal- IGCC | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ret. Scrubber | - | 1,312 | - | - | - | - |
| Ret. SCR | 14,009 | 9,130 | 72,894 | 24,874 | 16,953 | 76 |


|  | Year 2000 |  | Year 2005 |  | Year 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Base Case | $\begin{gathered} \text { New } \\ \text { NAAQS } \end{gathered}$ | Base Case | $\begin{gathered} \text { New } \\ \text { NAAQS } \end{gathered}$ | Base Case | $\begin{gathered} \text { New } \\ \text { NAAQS } \end{gathered}$ |
| Ret. SNCR | 114,338 | 81,068 | 20,570 | 14,319 | 6,783 | 3,243 |
| Ret. SCR+Scrub | 636 | 11,390 | - | 7,045 | - | 19,300 |
| Ret. <br> SNCR+Scru b | - | 10,854 | - | 4,834 | - | 6,936 |
| Ret. Gas <br> Reburn | 2,362 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ret. O/G } \\ & \text { SCR } \end{aligned}$ | 13,361 | 17,083 | 10,337 | 4,810 | 8,006 | 12,468 |
| Total | 155,500 | 141,809 | 170,920 | 125,703 | 133,703 | 152,769 |
| 12. Generation by Plant Type (Gwh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scrubbed <br> Coal | 401,864 | 368,328 | 238,055 | 198,502 | 199,427 | 196,850 |
| Unscrubbed Coal | 587,710 | 692,677 | 234,154 | 599,179 | 128,385 | 326,240 |
| Oil/Gas Steam | 189,828 | 193,732 | 148,258 | 152,020 | 33,307 | 28,355 |
| Nuclear | 640,836 | 640,836 | 613,324 | 613,324 | 565,867 | 565,867 |
| Hydroelectric | 276,632 | 276,632 | 276,735 | 276,735 | 276,735 | 276,735 |
| Combined <br> Cycle (CC) <br> Gas | 95,244 | 110,819 | 291,838 | 380,051 | 556,858 | 759,409 |
| IGCC | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Turbine | 18,499 | 21,691 | 39,318 | 31,244 | 37,398 | 23,070 |
| Renewables | 80,984 | 80,984 | 80,984 | 80,984 | 80,984 | 80,984 |
| Pump Storage | 7,116 | 5,958 | 9,113 | 8,810 | 10,687 | 9,547 |
| Imports | 37,900 | 37,900 | 37,900 | 37,900 | 37,900 | 37,900 |
| Ret. Coal-CC | - | - | - | - | 7,663 | 15,332 |
| Ret. O/G-CC | - | - | - | - | 244,747 | 229,758 |


|  | Year 2000 |  | Year 2005 |  | Year 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Base Case | $\begin{gathered} \text { New } \\ \text { NAAQS } \end{gathered}$ | Base Case | $\begin{gathered} \text { New } \\ \text { NAAQS } \end{gathered}$ | Base Case | $\begin{gathered} \text { New } \\ \text { NAAQS } \end{gathered}$ |
| Ret. CoalIGCC | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ret. Scrubber | - | 9,595 | - | 9,771 | - | 9,771 |
| Ret. SCR | 99,943 | 66,780 | 634,014 | 252,480 | 760,326 | 251,637 |
| Ret. SNCR | 789,262 | 561,764 | 915,088 | 643,064 | 913,213 | 602,239 |
| Ret. SCR+Scrub | 4,654 | 83,312 | 4,740 | 137,310 | 4,740 | 281,063 |
| Ret. <br> SNCR+Scru <br> b | - | 79,392 | - | 116,852 | - | 167,380 |
| Ret. Gas <br> Reburn | 14,006 | - | 12,636 | - | 8,628 | - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ret. O/G } \\ & \text { SCR } \end{aligned}$ | 62,105 | 73,764 | 61,797 | 57,710 | 47,545 | 49,092 |
| Total | 3,306,624 | 3,304,206 | 3,597,954 | 3,595,938 | 3,914,411 | 3,911,230 |

13. Capacity Factor by Plant Type (\%)

| Scrubbed Coal | 79 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unscrubbed Coal | 60 | 59 | 65 | 65 | 64 | 53 |
| Oil/Gas Steam | 20 | 21 | 18 | 18 | 7 | 7 |
| Nuclear | 75 | 75 | 74 | 74 | 73 | 73 |
| Hydroelectric | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 |
| Combined <br> Cycle (CC) Gas | 47 | 55 | 64 | 70 | 60 | 63 |
| IGCC | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Turbine | 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 4 |
| Renewables | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Pump Storage | 4 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 5 |


|  | Year 2000 |  | Year 2005 |  | Year 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Base Case | $\begin{gathered} \text { New } \\ \text { NAAQS } \end{gathered}$ | Base Case | $\begin{gathered} \text { New } \\ \text { NAAQS } \end{gathered}$ | Base Case | $\begin{gathered} \text { New } \\ \text { NAAQS } \end{gathered}$ |
| Imports | 39 | 39 | 39 | 39 | 39 | 39 |
| Ret. Coal-CC | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 83 | 78 |
| Ret. O/G-CC | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 82 | 78 |
| Ret. CoalIGCC | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Ret. Scrubber | N/A | 84 | N/A | 85 | N/A | 85 |
| Ret. SCR | 81 | 84 | 83 | 85 | 84 | 84 |
| Ret. SNCR | 79 | 79 | 77 | 77 | 74 | 70 |
| Ret. SCR+Scrub | 84 | 84 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 |
| Ret. <br> SNCR+Scru <br> b | N/A | 84 | N/A | 85 | N/A | 85 |
| Ret. Gas Reburn | 68 | N/A | 61 | N/A | 42 | N/A |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ret. O/G } \\ & \text { SCR } \end{aligned}$ | 53 | 49 | 30 | 30 | 17 | 16 |
| Average | 53 | 53 | 54 | 54 | 55 | 55 |
| 14. Total Annual Electric Generation Production Costs (1995\$, MMUS\$)* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Variable O\&M | 2,687 | 2,997 | 2,955 | 3,403 | 3,139 | 3,965 |
| Fixed O\&M | 19,095 | 19,175 | 19,547 | 19,638 | 19,588 | 19,888 |
| Fuel | 34,316 | 34,534 | 36,538 | 36,448 | 38,239 | 38,474 |
| Capital <br> (Levelized <br> Estimate) | 641 | 1,069 | 3,859 | 4,523 | 8,237 | 9,923 |
| Total | 56,739 | 57,776 | 62,899 | 64,011 | 69,204 | 72,249 |
| 15. Emissions |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ | 10,491 | 7,529 | 471 | 268 | 9,861 | 5,250 |
| NOx (1,000 <br> tons)- <br> Annual | 4,077 | 4,051 | 957 | 16 | 3,768 | 3,572 |


|  | Year 2000 |  | Year 2005 |  | Year 2010 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Base Case | New <br> NAAQS | Base Case | New <br> NAAQS | Base Case | New <br> NAAQS |
| NOx (1,000 <br> tons)- <br> Summer | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ <br> $(1,000,000$ <br> Tons) | 2,104 | 2,002 | 211 | 161 | 2,276 | 2,159 |
| Carbon <br> $(1,000,000$ <br> Tons) | 549 | 546 | 603 | 589 | 621 | 589 |
| Mercury <br> (Tons) | 62 | 58 | 66 | 61 | 65 | 55 |

* Costs accounted for included those that relate to dispatch and determination of incremental costs above the base case. Some production costs that are not necessary for that calculation are not estimated in the model.

Table H. 4 - Employment Changes in 2010 Associated with the 50 Percent Regional $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ Cap

| Job Sector/Activity | Employment Changes (in 1,000 jobs) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Electric Generation Units | $(1.42)^{*}$ |  |  |  |
| Pollution Controls for Electric Generation Units | 5.23 |  |  |  |
| Coal Mining | $(1.20)$ |  |  |  |
| Coal Transportation | $(3.25)$ |  |  |  |
| Natural Gas Production | 6.78 |  |  |  |
| Net Total |  |  |  | $\mathbf{6 . 1 4}$ |

*     - Parentheses denote a negative change, or job losses.

Table H. 5 Employment Changes in 2010 in Eastern and Western United States Coal Production Associated with the 50 Percent Regional SO ${ }_{2}$ Cap

| Area | Employment Changes (in 1,000 jobs) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Eastern United States | 0.37 |
| Western United States | $(1.57)$ |
| Entire United States | $\mathbf{( 1 . 2 0 )}$ |

*     - parentheses denote a negative change, or job losses.


### 2.0 OVERVIEW OF THE EP INDUSTRY I-O MODEL

The environmental protection (EP) industry input-output (I-O) model identifies the production and service activities that constitute environmental protection (EP) activities in the U.S. economy. The identification of these activities is accomplished by decomposing the 1982 benchmark I-O table (U.S. Department of Commerce, BEA, 1984 and 1991) for the United States into EP and non-EP. ${ }^{1}$ At the time the model was developed, this was the most recent economic census years for which benchmark I-O tables had been compiled. The 1982 EP I-O table was updated to 1985, 1988, and 1991 by assuming that the expenditure patterns for the various pollution abatement processes remained constant over time.

The EP I-O tables characterize the sectors whose output is used to comply with environmental regulations as well as the sectors that demand EP goods and services. Summing down the column of the EP I-O table for each industry identifies the sectors that demand EP goods and services, while summing across the row of the EP I-O table for each industry identifies which goods and services are purchased to perform EP activities (i.e. the goods and services that serve as inputs to EP activities). In addition, the EP I-O tables classify EP activities according to the following five categories: external EP activities, internal EP activities, fixed capital formation for EP, household EP activities, and government EP activities.

External EP activities refers to establishments in which EP constitutes the main or secondary production activity. The key identifying characteristic of external EP activities is that they are delivered to other establishments, or a third party. External EP activities are represented as separate rows and columns in an I-O matrix. In Diagram 1, the entries depicted by the shaded column ( $n+1$ ) represent the dollar value of the products purchased as intermediate inputs from other sectors in the economy by the external EP activities sector. The corresponding shaded row

[^1]in Diagram 1 represents the dollar value of the external EP activities that other industries purchase for use as an intermediate input.

Internal EP activities are for the establishment in which they are produced. Internal EP activities are ancillary activities analogous to administration or research and development activities. Internal EP activities are measured by inputs purchased for and combined as pollution abatement activity by a polluting industry and includes intermediate inputs and value added. Internal EP activities are not separated from the main activities of an establishment, and in this IO framework, are accounted for by separating out that portion of total inputs used by polluting industries for pollution abatement. This adjustment is reflected by $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{ij}}{ }^{\mathrm{EP}}$, which represents intermediate inputs used for EP activities, in Diagram 1. The residual, $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{ij}}{ }^{\mathrm{NE}}$, represents intermediate inputs used for non-EP activities. Total value-added consists of value-added associated with EP activities, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ij}}{ }^{\mathrm{EP}}$, and value-added associated with non-EP activities, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ij}}{ }^{\mathrm{NE}}$.

The category fixed capital formation for EP represents the accumulation of fixed assets for EP and corresponds to gross private domestic investment in the I-O format. As an example, the purchase of a scrubber represents the accumulation of capital for air pollution abatement.

In addition, two other types of EP activities are performed in the United States. These are EP activities performed by households and government. Household and government EP activities are like EP investment activities in that they are represented by an adjustment to final demand in the I-O framework. Household, investment, and government EP activities are embodied in final demand, depicted by the adjustment $Y_{j}{ }^{\mathrm{EP}}$ in Diagram 1. Final demand expenditures for non-EP activities are reprsented by $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{j}}{ }^{\mathrm{NE}}$.

## Application of the EP Industry I-O Model

To adjust for the assumption that all capital expenditures occur in one year (2010), annualized capital costs were used as a proxy for capital expenditures in a single year. For
sectors where annualized capital costs were not reported separately, total annualized costs were disaggregated into annualized capital and operation and maintenance (O\&M) costs. When capital expenditures were reported separately for one 3-digit industry within a 2-digit SIC category, then the fraction of capital expenditures in total annualized costs was applied to all other 3-digit industries within the 2-digit category. When capital costs were reported separately for more than one 3-digit industry, then average fraction of capital costs in total costs was applied to all remaining 3-digit industries. When capital expenditures were reported separately for no 3-digit industries within a 2-digit category, an industry-wide average was applied.

To determine which goods and services are purchased, a generic air pollution control capital expenditures spending pattern (from the EP industry report) was applied to the capital expenditures estimates. For O\&M expenditures, the O\&M expenditure pattern for each sector for the 1991 input-output table in the EP Industry report was used.

In addition, the following additional assumptions were made:

- In the EP industry study, no expenditures were assigned to I-O 25 (Transportation and Warehousing) in 1991 so an average of the expenditure pattern for all of the other sectors was used.
- For the electric utility sector (I-O 27), fuel-switching costs were excluded.
- The unassigned costs for SIC 49 and the joint sector emissions were assigned to the Electric Utilities (I-O 27).
- The unassigned cost of SIC 37 were assigned to Motor Vehicles (I-O 21).
- SIC 348 was assigned to the Other Transportation sector (I-O 22).
- Government expenditures (SIC 90s) were assumed to follow the pattern for Nondefense Federal Government expenditures. The 1982 input-output table was used to generate an expenditure pattern for Non-defense Federal Government expenditures.

To estimate EP employment in 2010, data on employment and payroll for manufacturing in 1990 from the 1991 Annual Survey of Manufactures were used to estimate the cost per worker in 1990. An estimate for the cost per worker in 2010 was generated by assuming that real wages increase by 2.56 percent each year between 1990 and 2010. Dividing the estimates of the expenditures on employees generated by the EP I-O table by the estimate of the cost per worker in 2010 yielded an estimate of EP employment. ${ }^{1}$ The employment associated with internal EP expenditures is 16,279 and the employment associated with government EP expenditures is 10,249 . These estimates are fairly consistent with the estimates generated by the EP industry report. For example, direct EP employment in 1991 was 741,186 while total annualized EP expenditures in 1991 (in 1991 dollars) were roughly \$134 billion. This gives an EP employment to EP expenditure ratio of about .0000055 . For these calculations an expenditure figure of about \$6.6 billion was used and the estimate of employment of 26,528 gives an EP employment to EP expenditure ratio of .000004 .

EP employment is likely to be underestimated since the calculations did not include expenditures for Nonclassifiable Establishments, Transportation Control Package, and Enhanced I/M. These expenditures, totalling roughly $\$ 156$ million, did not correspond to any of the EP I-O sectors. Multiplying the $\$ 156$ million of omitted expenditures by the 1991 EP employment to expenditure ratio (.0000055) indicates that EP employment may be underestimated by as much as 861 employees. ${ }^{2}$

[^2]Table H. 6 lists the types of good and services purchased, as a percent of total expenditures.

## Limitations of the Approach

The estimates presented above are driven by the expenditure patterns used to allocate capital and operating and maintenance expenditures to specific I-O categories. These expenditure patterns were derived from dated and, oftentimes, incomplete engineering studies. This posed difficulties for estimating EP activities for years beyond 1982 in the original EP industry study, since this required assuming that the expenditure patterns for the various pollution abatement processes remained constant over time. Since the estimates presented above are for 2010, they are implicitly base on the assumption that expenditure patterns will remain unchanged for about 30 years.
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Figure H-1: The I-O Framework Modified to Display the EP Industry

| то | 1 | 2 | ... | n | ( $\mathrm{n}+1$ ) | Y | X |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FROM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | $\mathrm{X}_{11}{ }^{\mathrm{NE}}+\mathrm{X}_{11}{ }^{\text {EP }}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{12}{ }^{\mathrm{NE}}+\mathrm{X}_{12}{ }^{\text {EP }}$ | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{X}_{1 \mathrm{n}}{ }^{\mathrm{NE}}+\mathrm{X}_{1 n}{ }^{\text {EP }}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{1(n+1)}$ | $\mathrm{Y}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{NE}}+\mathrm{Y}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{EP}}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{NE}}+\mathrm{X}_{1}{ }^{\text {EP }}$ |
| 2 | $\mathrm{X}_{21}{ }^{\mathrm{NE}}+\mathrm{X}_{21}{ }^{\text {EP }}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{22}{ }^{\mathrm{NE}}+\mathrm{X}_{22}{ }^{\text {EP }}$ |  | $\mathrm{X}_{2 \mathrm{n}}{ }^{\mathrm{NE}}+\mathrm{X}_{2 \mathrm{n}}{ }^{\text {PP}}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2(n+1)}$ | $\mathrm{Y}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{NE}}+\mathrm{Y}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{EP}}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{NE}}+\mathrm{X}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{EP}}$ |
| - | . |  |  |  |  | . |  |
| . | . |  |  | - | - | . |  |
| n | $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n} 1}{ }^{\mathrm{NE}}+\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n} 1}{ }^{\mathrm{EP}}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n} 2}{ }^{\text {NE }}+\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n} 2}{ }^{\text {EP }}$ | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{nn}}{ }^{\text {NE }}+\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{nn}}{ }^{\text {EP }}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{n}+1)}$ | $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\mathrm{NE}}+\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\mathrm{EP}}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\text {EE }}+\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\text {EP }}$ |
| ( $\mathrm{n}+1$ ) | $\mathrm{X}_{(n+1) 1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{(n+1) 2}$ | ... | $\mathrm{X}_{(n+1) n}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{(n+1)(n+1)}$ | $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$ |
| V | $\mathrm{V}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{NE}}+\mathrm{V}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{EP}}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{NE}}+\mathrm{V}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{EP}}$ | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\mathrm{NE}}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\text {EP }}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$ |  |  |
| X | $\mathrm{X}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{NE}{ }^{*}+\mathrm{X}_{1}{ }^{\text {EEP }}}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2} \mathrm{NE}^{*}+\mathrm{X}_{2}{ }^{\text {EEP }}$ | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\mathrm{EE}^{*}+\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{* E P} \text { ( }}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$ |  |  |

Table H.6: Goods and Services Purchased by Type of EP Activity (as a fraction of total expenditures)

| SIC codes | EP Industry I-O Sector | Internal EP <br> Activities | Fixed Capital <br> Formation <br> (Investment) | Government <br> EP Activities |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $011-085$ | 1.Agriculture, forestry, <br> and fisheries | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0977 |
| $101-149$ | 2.Mining | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0075 |
| $152-179$ | 3.Construction | 0.0727 | 0.0000 | 0.5870 |


| SIC codes | EP Industry I-O Sector Inte | Internal EP <br> Activities | Fixed Capital Formation (Investment) | Government EP Activities |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 391-399 | 24.Miscellaneous manufacturing | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0008 |
| 401-478 | 25.Transportation and warehousing | 0.0000 | 0.0370 | 0.0096 |
| 481-489 | 26.Communication | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0127 |
| 491,493 | 27.Electric utilities | 0.2282 | 0.0000 | 0.0071 |
| 492 | 28.Gas utilities | 0.0115 | 0.0000 | 0.0015 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 501-573, } \\ & \text { 591-599 } \end{aligned}$ | 29.Trade | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0148 |
| 602-653 | 30.Finance, insurance and real estate | 0.0416 | 0.0000 | 0.0264 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 494-497, } \\ & 581, \\ & 701-874 \end{aligned}$ | 31.Other Services | 0.2994 | 0.0000 | 0.1566 |
| 919-972 | 32.Government enterprises | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | -0.0010 |
| part of 16-17 | 33.New sewer system facilities | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| part of 16-17 | 34.Maintenance and repair of sewer system facilities | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 494,4952 | 35.Water supply ("environmental") | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 494,4952 | 36.Sewerage Systems | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 495 \text { (except } \\ & 4952), 496- \\ & 497, \text { part of } \\ & 493 \end{aligned}$ | 37.Solid Waste Management | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 35646 | 38.Selected industrial air pollution control equipment | 0.0000 | 0.1780 | 0.0000 |
|  | 39.Noncomparable imports and scrap | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0130 |
|  | 40.Government industry | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.4181 |
|  | 41.Other industry | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | -0.0060 |
|  | Payments to Employees | 0.2346 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |


| SIC codes | EP Industry I-O Sector | Internal EP <br> Activities | Fixed Capital <br> Formation <br> (Investment) | Government <br> EP Activities |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | Total | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |

## NOTES

For reference, the total dollar values for these three EP activity categories are, respectively:
internal EP activities : $\$ 3.25$ billion, capital expenditures: $\$ 2.22$ billion, and government expenditures: $\$ 1.15$ billion.
There are no external or household EP activities associated with these expenditures.
In generating these patterns, the expenses associated with Nonroad Engine Heavy Duty Retrofit $(\$ 8,193,930)$ seems to be most closely related to automotive repair shops and services, so these expenditures are assigned to Other Services (I-O 31). The expenses associated with Nonclassifiable Establishments (SIC 999--\$1,291,000), Transportation Control Package $(\$ 12,570,000)$ and High Enhanced I/M $(\$ 141,773,000)$ are excluded due to the difficulty associated with assigning these expenditures to SIC codes.

I-O sectors 39-41 are special industries in the I-O table and do not correspond to any SIC codes. Government Industry (IO 40) represents payments to government employees.

## Explanatory Preface to Tables H. 7 and H. 8

The purpose of the cost-to-sales percentage analysis, the results of which are used in the selection criteria of industries for the qualitative market impact analysis, is to identify the most significant potential impacts for potentially affected establishments within each SIC code. In reviewing the analysis, it is useful to keep in mind that a high cost-to-sales percentage does not necessarily indicate the potential for significant impacts to an entire affected industry, since only a small percentage of establishments in the industry may be potentially affected. In fact, the number of establishments potentially affected by control measures generally represent a small component of the total industry.

It is also important to interpret the cost-to-sales results that are used in the selection criteria of industries for the qualitative market impact analysis with the understanding that the results are reported for potentially affected establishments and do not represent the average cost-to-average sales percentage across all establishments in an SIC code (i.e., both those identified as potentially affected and not potentially affected). A separate report presents the total costs and total revenue by control alternative across all establishments in each potentially affected SIC code. (See Summary of Costs by SIC Code for Integrated Implementation of the Ozone and PM NAAQS.) Because cost and revenue data are shown across all establishments in each SIC code, rather than for potentially affected establishments as in the cost-to-sales analysis, the summary of total costs by SIC code documented in the Summary of Costs by SIC Code often indicates very different results.

Finally, it is important to understand that the cost to sales analysis results, and therefore the qualitative market impact analysis results, can not accurately predict the actual year 2010 economic impacts resulting from implementation of the new NAAQS by the States. Instead, the purpose of the cost to sales and qualitative market impact analyses is to identify potentially significant economic impacts so that states can design implementation strategies to avoid any such impacts. In that regard, these analyses may be useful to States in their efforts to develop control strategies that minimize potentially adverse economic impacts.

Table H. 7 Industries Meeting Selection Criteria for Qualitative Market Impact Analysis for the Ozone 0.08, 4th Max. Standard

| $\begin{gathered} \text { SIC } \\ \text { Code } \end{gathered}$ | SIC Description | Number of Establishments in Industry | Estimated <br> Number of Establishments Potentially Affected | Percentage of Total Establishments Potentially Affected | Average Annual Cost-to-Sales Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 102 | Copper Ores | 47 | 2 | 4 | 29.3 |
| 109 | Miscellaneous Metal Ores | 319 | 4 | 1 | 2.3 |
| 141 | Dimension Stone | 190 | 1 | 1 | 1.6 |
| 144 | Sand and Gravel | 4,650 | 27 | 1 | 1.1 |
| 227 | Carpets and Rugs | 428 | 6 | 1 | 4.2 |
| 251 | Household Furniture | 10,102 | 60 | 1 | 3.5 |
| 282 | Plastics Materials and Synthetics | 1,365 | 25 | 2 | 1.2 |
| 284 | Soap, Cleaners, and Toilet Goods | 4,575 | 331 | 7 | 1.4 |
| 285 | Paints and Allied Products | 1,418 | 453 | 32 | 1.8 |
| 287 | Agricultural Chemicals | 1,736 | 70 | 4 | 4.2 |
| 324 | Cement, Hydraulic | 225 | 15 | 7 | 24.1 |
| 341 | Metal Cans and Shipping Containers | 1,009 | 146 | 14 | 4.7 |
| 343 | Plumbing and Heating, Except Electric | 1,499 | 18 | 1 | 33.1 |
| 359 | Industrial Machinery, NEC | 43,325 | 717 | 2 | 2.0 |
| 458 | Airports, Flying Fields, \& Services | 2,777 | 29 | 1 | 12.8 |
| 494 | Water supply | 3,237 | 143 | 4 | 1.1 |

Table H. 8 Industries Meeting Selection Criteria for Qualitative Market Impact Analysis for the $\mathbf{P M}_{2.5} \mathbf{1 5 / 6 5}$ Standard

| SIC Code | SIC Description | Number of Establishments in Industry | Estimated Number of Establishments Potentially Affected | Percentage of Total Establishments Potentially Affected | Average Annual Cost-to-Sales Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 011 | Cash Grains | 405,008 | 6,394 | 2 | 1.4 |
| 013 | Field Crops (except cash grains) | 250,338 | 2,519 | 1 | 2.2 |
| 019 | General Farms, Primarily Crop | 48,847 | 660 | 1 | 3.5 |
| 08 | Forestry | 1,798 | 562 | 31 | 50.0 |
| 103 | Lead and Zinc Ores | 36 | 2 | 6 | 24.1 |
| 109 | Miscellaneous Metal Ores | 319 | 4 | 1 | 1.6 |
| 141 | Dimension Stone | 190 | 22 | 12 | 17.4 |
| 142 | Crushed and Broken Stone | 3,495 | 207 | 6 | 16.2 |
| 144 | Sand and Gravel | 4,650 | 62 | 1 | 4.9 |
| 147 | Chemical and Fertilizer Minerals | 231 | 6 | 3 | 57.1 |
| 149 | Miscellaneous Nonmetallic Minerals | 304 | 3 | 1 | 18.1 |
| 152 | Residential Building Construction | 113,986 | 14,696 | 13 | 17.4 |
| 153 | Operative Builders | 10,396 | 543 | 5 | 6.2 |
| 154 | Nonresidential Building Construction | 37,432 | 7,320 | 20 | 6.1 |
| 161 | Highway and Street Construction | 8,476 | 77 | 1 | 6.1 |
| 162 | Heavy Construction (except highway) | 20,299 | 989 | 5 | 5.1 |
| 204 | Grain Mill Products | 4,971 | 46 | 1 | 13.5 |
| 206 | Sugar and Confectionery Products | 2,142 | 14 | 1 | 2.8 |
| 207 | Fats and Oils | 1,128 | 7 | 1 | 1.8 |
| 242 | Sawmills and Planing Mills | 12,598 | 146 | 1 | 6.1 |
| 249 | Miscellaneous Wood Products | 6,980 | 43 | 1 | 4.4 |
| 262 | Paper Mills | 328 | 68 | 21 | 1.5 |
| 263 | Paperboard Mills | 225 | 24 | 11 | 1.4 |
| 281 | Industrial Inorganic Chemicals | 2,835 | 46 | 2 | 7.2 |
| 283 | Drugs | 2,630 | 14 | 1 | 2.6 |
| 286 | Industrial Organic Chemicals | 1,818 | 55 | 3 | 1.5 |
| 287 | Agricultural Chemicals | 1,736 | 12 | 1 | 9.0 |
| 295 | Asphalt Paving and Roofing Materials | 2,627 | 79 | 3 | 3.1 |
| 299 | Misc. Petroleum and Coal Products | 979 | 7 | 1 | 68.2 |
| 301 | Tires and Inner Tubes | 145 | 8 | 6 | 1.9 |
| 321 | Flat Glass | 124 | 1 | 1 | 1.1 |
| 322 | Glass and Glassware, Pressed or Blown | 1,008 | 12 | 1 | 8.2 |
| 324 | Cement, Hydraulic | 225 | 27 | 12 | 19.0 |
| 325 | Structural Clay Products | 1,183 | 15 | 1 | 3.8 |
| 328 | Cut Stone and Stone Products | 773 | 5 | 1 | 39.6 |
| 329 | Misc. Nonmetallic Mineral Products | 3,196 | 41 | 1 | 10.4 |
| 331 | Blast Furnace and Basic Steel Products | 2,588 | 51 | 2 | 16.6 |
| 332 | Iron and Steel Foundries | 2,392 | 20 | 1 | 1.9 |
| 333 | Primary Nonferrous Metals | 348 | 22 | 6 | 5.5 |
| 341 | Metal Cans and Shipping Containers | 1,009 | 12 | 1 | 4.8 |
| 343 | Plumbing and Heating, Except Electric | 1,499 | 16 | 1 | 40.6 |
| 359 | Industrial Machinery, NEC | 43,325 | 2,868 | 7 | 2.0 |
| 423 | Trucking Terminal Facilities | 147 | 1 | 1 | 6.2 |
| 491 | Electric Services | 4,934 | 121 | 2 | 5.8 |
| 496 | Steam and air-conditioning supply | 74 | 12 | 16 | 35.0 |
| 806 | Hospitals | 6,327 | 56 | 1 | 1.1 |
| 822 | Colleges and Universities | 2,973 | 43 | 1 | 10.0 |

Table H. 9 Relative Market Impacts of SIC Codes for which Demand and Supply Elasticities Were Identified: Ozone 0.08, 4th Max. Alternative

| SIC CODE | COST-TO-SALES PERCENTAGE ACROSS ALL INDUSTRY ESTABLISHMENTS | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEMAND } \\ \text { ELASTICITY } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SUPPLY } \\ \text { ELASTICITY } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | NOTES ON ESTIMATED MARKET IMPACTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 324 <br> (Cement, Hydraulic) | 1.61 | -0.9 | 7.0 | This industry has greatest impact potential of industries in this table due to the substantially higher costs for this industry; however, impacts will be attenuated due to the cost pass-through potential associated with the combination of slightly inelastic demand and very elastic supply |
| $\begin{aligned} & 102 \\ & \text { (Copper Ores) } \end{aligned}$ | 1.25 | -0.5 | 0.7 | Along with SIC code 285, this industry has the 2nd greatest impact potential of industries in this table; although costs are higher than SIC code 285, there is significantly more ability for costs to be passedthrough to consumers given inelastic demand |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 285 \\ \text { (Paints and Allied } \\ \text { Products) } \end{array}$ | 0.56 | -1.4 | 1.0 | Along with SIC code 102, this industry has 2nd greatest impact potential of industries in this table; although costs are lower than SIC code 102, impacts are likely to be similar because of the relative lack of cost pass-though potential resulting from elastic demand |
| $287$ <br> (Agricultural Chemicals) | 0.17 | -1.5 | 1.0 | Industry impacts are expected to fall into the middle of the range of impacts for industries in this table; although this industry's elasticity figures seem to indicate the smallest cost pass-through potential, costs fall into the middle range of costs in this table |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 109 \\ \text { (Misc. Metal Ores) } \end{array}$ | 0.03 | -0.7 | 0.5 | Along with SIC codes 251 and 282, this industry has the least impact potential of industries in this table; although inelastic demand points toward greater cost pass-through than those SIC codes, the significantly lower supply elasticity for this industry may completely counteract this effect |
| 251 <br> (Household Furniture) | 0.02 | -3.4 | 8.8 | Along with SIC code 109 and 282, this industry has the least impact potential of industries in this table; quantity change is expected to be large relative to the cost increase due to the combination of very elastic demand and supply; this combination makes cost pass-through difficult to determine |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 282 \\ \text { (Plastic Materials) } \end{array}$ | 0.02 | -1.7 | 3.3 | Along with SIC code 109 and 251, this industry has the least impact potential of industries in this table; quantity change is expected to be large due to combination of very elastic demand and supply; this combination makes cost pass-through difficult to determine |

Table H. 10 Relative Market Impacts of SIC Codes for which Demand and Supply Elasticities Were Identified: $\mathbf{P M}_{2.5}$ 15/65 Standard

| SIC CODE | COST-TO-SALES PERCENTAGE ACROSS ALL INDUSTRY ESTABLISHMENTS | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEMAND } \\ \text { ELASTICITY } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SUPPLY } \\ \text { ELASTICITY } \end{gathered}$ | NOTES ON ESTIMATED MARKET IMPACTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 152 <br> (Residntl. Bldg. Const.) | 2.24 | -1.1 | 3.0 | This is one of three industries (see SIC codes 103 and 324) with greatest impact potential - 2nd highest costs, attenuated to a lesser degree than SIC code 324 by cost pass-through |
| $324$ <br> (Cement, Hydraulic) | 2.28 | -0.9 | 7.0 | This is one of three industries (see SIC codes 103 and 152) with greatest impact potential; highest cost industry impacts attenuated by cost pass-through potential associated with slightly inelastic demand/ and very elastic supply (producers' response greater than consumers' response) |
| $\overline{103}$ <br> (Lead and Zinc Ores) | 1.34 | -0.5 | 0.1 | This is one of three industries (see SIC codes 152 and 324) with greatest impact potential because of combination of relatively high costs and lack of producer response to cost increase due to very inelastic supply |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 262 \\ \text { (Paper Mills) } \end{array}$ | 0.31 | -1.1 | 1.2 | Impacts likely to fall at the high-end of the middle of range for industries in this table (although costs are lower than SIC code 331, potential for cost passthrough to customers is greater) |
| $333$ <br> (Primary Nonferrous) | 0.35 | -0.8 | 1.2 | Impacts likely to fall at the high-end of the middle range for industries in this table due to the combination of relatively high costs and passthrough potential (inelastic demand, elastic supply) |
| 331 <br> (Blast Furn./Basic Steel) | 0.33 | -1.9 | 1.2 | After SIC codes 324, 152, and 103, this industry has the greatest impact potential (very elastic demand denotes low cost pass-through potential) |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 263 \\ \text { (Paperboard Mills) } \end{array}$ | 0.15 | -1.6 | 1.2 | Impacts likely to fall in the middle of range for industries in this table; mid-level costs, and cost pass-through potential is smaller than for most other industries |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 287 \\ & \text { (Agricultural } \\ & \text { Chemicals) } \end{aligned}$ | 0.06 | -1.5 | 1.0 | Impacts likely to fall at the low-end of the range for industries in this table; relatively low costs but cost pass-through potential is smaller than for most other industries in table |
| 019 <br> (General Farms) | 0.05 | -0.5 | 0.8 | Impacts likely to fall at the low-end of the range for industries in this table; relatively low costs and significant cost pass-through potential given inelastic demand |
| 109 <br> (Misc. Metal Ores) | 0.02 | -0.7 | 0.5 | Impacts likely to fall at the low-end of the range for industries in this table; lowest costs and cost passthrough potential given inelastic demand |
| 011 (Cash Grains) | 0.02 | -0.3 | 0.4 | Along with SIC code 013, this industry has the lowest impact potential because of combination of lowest cost and relatively large cost pass-through potential due to inelastic demand |
| $\begin{aligned} & 013 \\ & \text { (Field Crops) } \end{aligned}$ | 0.02 | -0.7 | 1.0 | Along with SIC code 011, this industry has the lowest impact potential because of combination of lowest cost and relatively large cost pass-through potential due to inelastic demand and unitary supply elasticity |
| 332 <br> (Iron \& Steel Foundries) | 0.02 | -0.7 | 0.5 | Impacts likely to fall at the low-end of the range for industries in this table; lowest costs and cost passthrough potential given inelastic demand |

Table H. 11 Relative Market Impacts for SIC Codes for which Only Demand Elasticities Were Identified: Ozone 0.08, 4th Max. Alternative

| SIC CODE | COST-TO-SALES PERCENTAGE ACROSS ALL INDUSTRY <br> ESTABLISHMENTS | DEMAND ELASTICITY | $\begin{gathered} \text { SUPPLY } \\ \text { ELASTICITY } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | NOTES ON ESTIMATED MARKET IMPACTS ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 341 <br> (Metal <br> Cans/Containers) | 0.68 | -0.2 | n/a | This is one of three industries (see SIC codes 284 and 458) with the greatest impact potential; substantially higher costs are estimated for this industry, however, based on very inelastic demand, impacts may be significantly attenuated by cost pass-through potential |
| 343 <br> (Plumbing and Heating) | 0.40 | -0.2 | n/a | Impacts of 2nd highest cost industry will be significantly attenuated by very inelastic demand, which facilitates cost pass-through to consumers; impacts may fall in the middle range of industry impacts in table (depending on supply elasticity) |
| 458 <br> (Airports \& Services) | 0.13 | -1.2 | n/a | This is one of three industries (see SIC codes 284 and 341) with the greatest impact potential; costs are higher than most in this table, and elastic demand constrains cost pass-through potential |
| 284 (Soap \& Toilet Goods) | 0.10 | -3.0 | n/a | This is one of three industries (along with SIC codes 341 and 458) with greatest impact potential, although costs fall in the middle range, cost passthrough is substantially restrained due to highly elastic demand |
| $348$ <br> (Ordnance) | 0.09 | -0.2 | n/a | Impacts for this industry are likely to fall in the middle range of industries in this table, costs are somewhat lower than most, and cost pass-through potential is large due to very inelastic demand |
| 227 <br> (Carpets and Rugs) | 0.06 | -1.5 | n/a | Impacts for this industry are likely to fall in the middle range of industries in this table; costs are relatively low, but elastic demand constrains cost pass-through potential |
| 494 <br> (Water Supply) | 0.05 | -0.1 | n/a | Along with SIC codes 349 and 359, this industry has least impact potential; while costs are relatively low, cost pass-through potential is high |
| 349 <br> (Misc. Fabricated Metal) | 0.05 | -0.2 | n/a | Along with SIC codes 494 and 359, this industry has least impact potential; while costs are relatively low, cost pass-through potential is high |
| $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} 359 \\ \text { (Ind. Machinery, } \\ \text { nec) } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0.03 | -0.5 | n/a | Along with SIC codes 349 and 494, this industry has least impact potential; while costs are relatively low, cost pass-through potential is high |
| ${ }^{1}$ Impact assessments in this table are more speculative than those based on both demand and supply elasticity information. n/a - not available |  |  |  |  |

Table H. 12 Relative Market Impacts of SIC Codes for which Only Demand Elasticities Were Identified: PM $_{2.5}$ 15/65 Standard

| SIC CODE | COST-TO-SALES PERCENTAGE ACROSS ALL INDUSTRY ESTABLISHMENTS | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEMAND } \\ \text { ELASTICITY } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SUPPLY } \\ \text { ELASTICITY } \end{gathered}$ | NOTES ON ESTIMATED MARKET IMPACTS ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High-Impact Potential (Relative to Other Industries in Table) |  |  |  |  |
| 080 <br> (Forestry) | 15.63 | -0.9 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | This industry has the greatest impact potential because substantially higher costs are estimated for this industry; slightly inelastic demand indicates that cost pass-through potential is neither great nor small |
| 496 <br> (Steam \& A/C Supply) | 5.68 | -1.2 | n/a | This industry has the 2nd greatest impact potential, given its much higher costs than other industries, and the presence of elastic demand constraining the ability of producers to pass their costs onto consumers |
| 154 <br> (Nonresid. Bldg. Const.) | 1.19 | -1.0 | n/a | Impact potential is relatively high due to 3rd highest cost and unitary demand elasticity |
| 299 <br> (Misc. Petrol. \& Coal) | 0.49 | -0.4 | n/a | Impact potential is relatively high based on relatively high cost, although cost-through potential is large given inelastic demand |
| 343 <br> (Plumbing and Heating) | 0.43 | -0.2 | n/a | Impact potential is relatively high based on relatively high cost, however, impacts are lessened due to large cost pass-through potential indicated by inelastic demand |
| 153 (Operative Builders) | 0.32 | -1.0 | n/a | Potential impact is relatively high due to unitary demand elasticity and relatively high cost incidence |
| $328$ <br> (Cut Stone Products) | 0.26 | -1.0 | n/a | Given its higher than average costs and the passthrough potential associated with unitary demand elasticity, this industry has a relatively high impact potential |
| 162 <br> (Heavy ConstNonhigh.) | 0.25 | -1.0 | n/a | Potential impact is relatively high due to unitary demand elasticity and relatively high cost incidence |
| Middle-Impact Potential (Relative to Other Industries in Table) |  |  |  |  |
| 161 <br> (High. \& Street Const.) | 0.06 | -0.9 | n/a | Impacts for this industry are expected to fall in the middle-range of industries in this table; basis for this assessment is the slightly lower than middle-range cost and a demand elasticity near unity |
| $204$ <br> (Grain Mill Products) | 0.13 | -0.1 | n/a | Impacts for this industry are estimated to fall in the middle range of industries in this table based on the combination of higher than middle-range cost and the large potential for cost pass-through associated with the most inelastic demand in this table |
| 359 <br> (Industrial Machinery) | 0.13 | -0.5 | n/a | Impacts for this industry are predicted to fall on the high-end of the middle range of industries in this table due to the higher than middle-range cost and the potential for cost pass through to consumers |
| $281$ <br> (Indus. Organic Chem.) | 0.12 | -0.2 | n/a | Impacts for this industry are estimated to fall in the middle range of industries in this table; basis for this ranking is the middle-range costs and inelastic demand, which facilitate cost pass-through to consumers |
| 301 <br> (Tires and Inner Tubes) | 0.11 | -1.2 | n/a | Impacts for this industry are estimated to fall on the high-end of the middle range of industries in this table due to the middle-range costs and relatively small potential for cost pass-through due to elastic demand |
| 822 <br> (Colleges \& Universities) | 0.14 | -0.6 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | Impacts for this industry are predicted to fall on the high-end of the middle range of industries in this table; basis for this estimate is same costs as SIC code 491, but with much less elastic demand |


| SIC CODE | COST-TO-SALES PERCENTAGE ACROSS ALL INDUSTRY ESTABLISHMENTS | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEMAND } \\ \text { ELASTICITY } \end{gathered}$ | SUPPLY ELASTICITY | NOTES ON ESTIMATED MARKET IMPACTS ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 295 \\ \text { (Asphalt } \\ \text { Paving/Roofing) } \end{array}$ | 0.09 | -0.4 | n/a | Impacts for this industry are predicted to fall in the middle range of industries in this table; basis for this estimate is the middle range cost estimate and the cost pass through potential associated with inelastic demand |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 329 \\ \text { (Misc. } \\ \text { Nonmetallics) } \end{array}$ | 0.13 | -0.8 | n/a | Impacts for this industry are expected to fall on the high-end of the middle range of industries in this table due to the higher than middle-range cost and the potential for cost pass-through indicated by demand elasticity near unity |
| 491 <br> (Electric Services) | 0.14 | -1.9 | n/a | Impact potential is relatively high; although cost incidence falls into the middle range, very elastic demand indicates low cost-pass through potential |
| $322$ <br> (Glass and Glassware) | 0.10 | -2.6 | n/a | Impacts for this industry are estimated to fall on the high-end of the middle range of industries in this table due to the middle-range costs and small potential for cost pass-through due to very elastic demand |
| 242 <br> (Saw \& Planing Mills) | 0.07 | -0.2 | n/a | Impacts for this industry are predicted to fall on the low-end of the middle-range of industries in this table because of slightly lower than middle-range cost and inelastic demand, which facilitates cost pass-through to consumers |
| Low-Impact Potential (Relative to Other Industries in Table) |  |  |  |  |
| 399 (Misc. <br> Manufacturers) | 0.06 | -0.6 | n/a | This industry has impact potential relative to other industries in this table because of low cost and significant pass through potential associated with inelastic demand |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 341 \\ \text { (Metal } \\ \text { Cans/Containers) } \end{array}$ | 0.06 | -0.2 | n/a | Impacts for this industry are predicted to fall on the low-end of the middle-range of industries in this table because of the slightly lower than middlerange cost and inelastic demand, which facilitates cost pass-through to consumers |
| $\begin{aligned} & 325 \\ & \text { (Structural Clay } \\ & \text { Prods.) } \end{aligned}$ | 0.05 | -1.0 | n/a | Impacts for this industry are expected to fall in the middle-range of industries in this table; basis for this assessment is the lower than middle-range cost and a unitary demand elasticity |
| 423 <br> (Truck Terminal Facils.) | 0.04 | -1.0 | n/a | This industry has a relatively low impact potential; basis for this assessment is relatively low cost incidence and unitary demand elasticity |
| $286$ <br> (Ind. Organic Chem.) | 0.04 | -0.8 | n/a | Impacts for this industry are predicted to fall in the middle-range of industries in this table because of lower than middle-range cost and only slightly inelastic demand |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 207 \\ \text { (Fats and Oils) } \end{array}$ | 0.01 | -0.2 | n/a | Along with SIC code 206, this industry has the least impact potential of industries in this table; basis for this ranking is the low cost and the large potential for cost pass-through associated with very inelastic demand |
| $\begin{aligned} & 806 \\ & \text { (Hospitals) } \end{aligned}$ | 0.01 | -1.7 | n/a | This industry has very low impact potential relative to industries in this table; basis for this assessment is the low cost and relative lack of cost passthrough potential due to relatively high demand elasticity |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 321 \\ \text { (Flat Glass) } \end{array}$ | 0.01 | -1.0 | n/a | This industry has very low impact potential relative to industries in this table; basis for this assessment is the second lowest demand elasticity associated with the lowest cost in this table |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 206 \\ & \text { (Sugar \& } \\ & \text { Confectionery) } \end{aligned}$ | 0.02 | -0.1 | n/a | Along with SIC code 207, this industry has the least impact potential of industries in this table; ranking is based on the low cost and high cost pass-through potential associated with very inelastic demand |


|  | COST-TO-SALES <br> PERCENTAGE ACROSS <br> ALL INDUSTRY <br> ESTABLISHMENTS | DEMAND <br> ELASTICITY | SUPPLY <br> ELASTICITY | NOTES ON ESTIMATED MARKET IMPACTS ${ }^{1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| SIC CODE | 0.01 | -1.8 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | Impact potential is relative low compared with other <br> industries in this table; basis for this assessment is <br> the low cost and relative lack of cost pass-through <br> potential due to relatively high demand elasticity |
| (Drugs) |  |  |  |  |
| 183 <br> Impact assessments in this table are more speculative than those based on both demand and supply elasticity information. <br> n/a - not available |  |  |  |  |

Table H. 13 Small Business Administration's Small Business Size Standards and Assumptions Employed in Developing Small Business Revenue Data

| SIC <br> Code | SIC Description | Level of Detail/Assumptions for Developing Small Business Revenue ${ }^{1}$ | SBA's Small Business Size Threshold ${ }^{2}$ | Alternative(s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 019 | General Farms, Primarily Crop |  | \$0.5 million | PM |
| 080 | Forestry | See discussion in text | \$5 million | PM |
| 102 | Copper Ores | Data are for SIC code 10 | 500 employees | Ozone |
| 103 | Lead and Zinc Ores | Data are for SIC code 10 | 500 employees | PM |
| 141 | Dimension Stone | Data are for SIC code 14 | 500 employees | PM |
| 142 | Crushed and Broken Stone | Data are for SIC code 14 | 500 employees | PM |
| 144 | Sand and Gravel | Data are for SIC code 14 | 500 employees | PM |
| 147 | Chemical and Fertilizer Minerals | Data are for SIC code 14 | 500 employees | PM |
| 149 | Miscellaneous Nonmetallic Minerals | Data are for SIC code 14 | 500 employees | PM |
| 152 | Residential Building Construction | Data are for SIC code 15 and are for $<\$ 25$ million in revenues | \$17 million | PM |
| 153 | Operative Builders | Data are for SIC code 15 and are for $<\$ 25$ million in revenues | \$17 million | PM |
| 154 | Nonresidential Building Construction | Data are for SIC code 15 and are for $<\$ 25$ million in revenues | \$17 million | PM |
| 161 | Highway and Street Construction | Data are for SIC code 16 and are for $<\$ 25$ million in revenues | \$17 million | PM |
| 162 | Heavy Construction (except Highway) | Data are for SIC code 16 and are for $<\$ 25$ million in revenues | \$17 million | PM |
| 204 | Grain Mill Products |  | 500 employees | PM |
| 227 | Carpets and Rugs | Data are for SIC codes 224, 227, and 229 | 500 employees | Ozone |
| 242 | Sawmills and Planing Mills | Data are for SIC codes 241 and 242 | 500 employees | PM |
| 249 | Miscellaneous Wood Products | Data are for SIC codes 243, 245, and 249 | 500 employees | PM |
| 251 | Household Furniture | Data are for SIC code 25 | 500 employees | Ozone |
| 281 | Industrial Inorganic Chemicals | Data are for SIC codes 281, 282, and 286 | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { employees } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | PM |
| 287 | Agricultural Chemicals |  | $1,000$ <br> employees | Ozone \& PM |


| SIC <br> Code | SIC Description | Level of Detail/Assumptions for <br> Developing | SBA's Small <br> Business Size <br> Threshold | Alternative(s) |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 295 | Asphalt Paving and <br> Roofing Materials | Data are for SIC codes 295 and <br> 299; revenue data were estimated <br> for the 250-499 employee category <br> based on average revenue per <br> establishment for the 500-999 <br> employee category | 500 employees | PM |
| 299 | Miscellaneous <br> Petroleum and Coal <br> Products | Data are for SIC codes 295 and <br> 299; revenue data were estimated <br> for the 250-499 employee category <br> based on average revenue per <br> establishment for the 500-999 <br> employee category | 500 employees | OM |


| SIC <br> Code | SIC Description | Level of Detail/Assumptions for <br> Developing <br> Small Business Revenue ${ }^{1}$ | SBA’s Small <br> Business Size <br> Threshold | Alternative(s) |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 423 | Trucking Terminal <br> Facilities | Data are estimated based on the <br> revenue per establishment ratio for <br> each employment size category for <br> SIC code 42, and applied to the <br> establishment counts by category <br> for SIC code 423 | $\$ 5$ million | PM |
| 458 | Airports, Flying <br> Fields, and Services |  | Ozone |  |
| 491 | Electric Services | SBA threshold was converted to <br> revenue value (\$276 million); data <br> are for SIC codes 491-3, and value <br> is for < \$250 million | megawatt-hours | PM |
| 496 | Steam and Air- <br> Condition Supply | Data are for SIC codes 496 and <br> 497, and represent revenues of <br> $<\$ 10$ million | $\$ 9$ million | PM |
| 822 | Colleges and <br> Universities | See discussion in text | PM |  |

[^3]Table H. 14 Summary of SIC Codes with Cost to Sales (Revenues) Percentages of 1 Percent or Greater: $\mathrm{PM}_{2.5} 15 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ annual average $/ 65 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ 24-hour average Alternative ${ }^{1}$

| SIC Code | SIC Description | Percentage of Total Establishments Potentially Affected | Percentage of Small Firm to All Firm Revenue ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 011 | Cash Grains | 1.0 | 89 |
| 013 | Field Crops (except cash grains) | 0.6 | 70 |
| 019 | General Farms, Primarily Crop | 0.9 | 80 |
| 08 | Forestry | 9.2 | 60 |
| 103 | Lead and Zinc Ores | 5.6 | 22 |
| 109 | Miscellaneous Metal Ores | 1.3 | 22 |
| 14 | Nonmetallic Minerals, Except Fuels | 0.0 | 72 |
| 141 | Dimension Stone | 10.5 | 72 |
| 142 | Crushed and Broken Stone | 3.3 | 72 |
| 144 | Sand and Gravel | 1.1 | 72 |
| 147 | Chemical and Fertilizer Minerals | 1.7 | 72 |
| 149 | Miscellaneous Nonmetallic Minerals | 1.0 | 72 |
| 152 | Residential Building Construction | 12.7 | 66 |
| 153 | Operative Builders | 5.1 | 66 |
| 154 | Nonresidential Building Construction | 19.4 | 66 |
| 161 | Highway and Street Construction | 4.8 | 54 |
| 162 | Heavy Construction (except highway) | 4.7 | 54 |
| 177 | Concrete Work | 0.0 | 87 |
| 179 | Misc. Special Trade Contractors | 0.0 | 80 |
| 179 | Misc. Special Trade Contractors | 0.0 | 80 |
| 201 | Meat Products | 0.0 | 16 |
| 202 | Dairy Products | 0.1 | 33 |
| 203 | Preserved Fruits and Vegetables | 0.2 | 20 |
| 204 | Grain Mill Products | 0.9 | 31 |
| 206 | Sugar and Confectionery Products | 0.5 | 24 |
| 207 | Fats and Oils | 0.6 | 53 |
| 208 | Beverages | 0.1 | 71 |
| 209 | Misc. Food and Kindred Products | 0.1 | 53 |
| 221 | Broadwoven Fabric Mills, Cotton | 0.3 | 21 |
| 224 | Narrow Fabric Mills | 0.4 | 42 |
| 227 | Carpets and Rugs | 0.5 | 42 |
| 229 | Miscellaneous Textile Goods | 0.1 | 42 |
| 242 | Sawmills and Planing Mills | 0.3 | 78 |
| 243 | Millwork, Plywood \& Structural Members | 0.1 | 78 |
| 244 | Wood Containers | 0.1 | 78 |
| 249 | Miscellaneous Wood Products | 0.4 | 78 |
| 251 | Household Furniture | 0.0 | 41 |
| 262 | Paper Mills | 18.6 | 6 |
| 263 | Paperboard Mills | 10.2 | 6 |
| 267 | Misc. Converted Paper Products | 0.2 | 38 |
| 281 | Industrial Inorganic Chemicals | 1.5 | 14 |
| 283 | Drugs | 0.5 | 11 |
| 284 | Soap, Cleaners, and Toiler Goods | 0.1 | 18 |
| 285 | Paints and Allied Products | 0.4 | 48 |
| 286 | Industrial Organic Chemicals | 2.8 | 11 |
| 287 | Agricultural Chemicals | 0.6 | 43 |
| 289 | Miscellaneous Chemical Products | 0.2 | 48 |
| 295 | Asphalt Paving and Roofing Materials | 2.6 | 70 |
| 299 | Misc. Petroleum and Coal Products | 0.6 | 70 |
| 301 | Tires and Inner Tubes | 5.5 | 23 |


| SIC Code | SIC Description | Percentage of Total Establishments Potentially Affected | Percentage of Small Firm to All Firm Revenue ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 305 | Hose \& Belting \& Gaskets \& Packing | 0.1 | 18 |
| 306 | Fabricated Rubber Products, NEC | 0.2 | 18 |
| 308 | Miscellaneous Plastics Products, NEC | 0.0 | 60 |
| 321 | Flat Glass | 0.8 | 19 |
| 322 | Glass and Glassware, Pressed or Blown | 0.9 | 19 |
| 324 | Cement, Hydraulic | 8.9 | 54 |
| 325 | Structural Clay Products | 1.2 | 47 |
| 326 | Pottery and Related Products | 0.1 | 47 |
| 327 | Concrete, Gypsum, and Plaster Products | 0.3 | 47 |
| 328 | Cut Stone and Stone Products | 0.5 | 47 |
| 329 | Misc. Nonmetallic Mineral Products | 1.1 | 47 |
| 331 | Blast Furnace and Basic Steel Products | 1.5 | 19 |
| 332 | Iron and Steel Foundries | 0.5 | 28 |
| 333 | Primary Nonferrous Metals | 4.9 | 26 |
| 334 | Secondary Nonferrous Metals | 0.3 | 19 |
| 339 | Miscellaneous Primary Metal Products | 0.1 | 19 |
| 34 | Fabricated Metal Products | 0.0 | 54 |
| 341 | Metal Cans and Shipping Containers | 1.0 | 47 |
| 343 | Plumbing and Heating, Except Electric | 0.9 | 62 |
| 344 | Fabricated Structural Metal Products | 0.0 | 62 |
| 346 | Metal Forgings and Stampings | 0.1 | 47 |
| 347 | Metal Services, NEC | 0.0 | 62 |
| 348 | Ordnance and Accessories, NEC | 0.3 | 8 |
| 349 | Misc. Fabricated Metal Products | 0.1 | 62 |
| 35 | Industrial Machinery and Equip. | 0.0 | 35 |
| 351 | Engines and Turbines | 0.5 | 11 |
| 352 | Farm and Garden Machinery | 0.2 | 27 |
| 353 | Construction and Related Machinery | 0.1 | 37 |
| 359 | Industrial Machinery, NEC | 6.1 | 60 |
| 36 | Electronic and Other Electric Equip. | 0.0 | 22 |
| 361 | Electric Distribution Equipment | 0.1 | 28 |
| 362 | Electrical Industrial Apparatus | 0.0 | 24 |
| 363 | Household Appliances | 0.4 | 9 |
| 366 | Communications Equipment | 0.1 | 15 |
| 37 | Transportation Equipment | 0.0 | 5 |
| 371 | Motor Vehicles and Equipment | 0.4 | 5 |
| 372 | Aircraft and Parts | 0.1 | 3 |
| 39 | Misc. Manufacturing Industries | 0.0 | 65 |
| 393 | Musical Instruments | 0.2 | 65 |
| 399 | Miscellaneous Manufactures | 1.5 | 65 |
| 411 | Local and Suburban Transportation | 0.0 | 55 |
| 422 | Public Warehousing and Storage | 0.0 | 70 |
| 423 | Trucking Terminal Facilities | 0.7 | 50 |
| 449 | Water Transportation Services | 0.1 | 26 |
| 458 | Airports, Flying Fields, \& Services | 0.3 | 21 |
| 478 | Miscellaneous Transportation Services | 0.1 | 46 |
| 49 | Electric, Gas, and Sanitary Services | 0.0 | 1 |
| 491 | Electric Services | 1.8 | 12 |
| 496 | Steam and air-conditioning supply | 14.9 | 34 |
| 502 | Furniture and Homefurnishings | 0.0 | 81 |
| 503 | Lumber and Construction Materials | 0.0 | 73 |
| 506 | Electrical Goods | 0.0 | 63 |


| SIC Code | SIC Description | Percentage of Total <br> Establishments <br> Potentially Affected | Percentage of <br> Small Firm to <br> All Firm Revenue ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 508 | Machinery, Equipment, and Supplies | 0.0 | 79 |
| 509 | Miscellaneous Durable Goods | 0.0 | 44 |
| 515 | Farm-Product Raw Materials | 0.2 | 77 |
| 521 | Lumber and Other Building Materials | 0.0 | 26 |
| 526 | Retail Nurseries and Garden Stores | 0.0 | 69 |
| 541 | Grocery Stores | 0.0 | 27 |
| 651 | Real Estate Operators and Lessors | 0.0 | 59 |
| 653 | Real Estate Agents and Managers | 0.0 | 53 |
| 723 | Beauty Shops | 0.0 | 88 |
| 753 | Automotive Repair Shops | 0.0 | 91 |
| 769 | Miscellaneous Repair Shops | 0.0 | 71 |
| 806 | Hospitals | 0.8 | 1 |
| 809 | Health and Allied Services, NEC | 0.0 | 54 |
| 821 | Elementary and Secondary Schools | 0.0 | 0 |
| 822 | Colleges and Universities | 1.2 | 2 |
| 836 | Residential Care | 0.0 | 55 |
| 863 | Labor Organizations | 0.0 | 0 |
| 873 | Research and Testing Services | 0.0 | 24 |

[^4]Table H. 15 Control Measures Affecting County Governments for: Ozone 0.08 , 3rd max. , followed by $\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}$ 15/50 (98th percentile)

| Source Category | Control Measure |
| :--- | :--- |
| Point Source Control Measures | Selective Catalytic Reduction |
| Internal Combustion (IC) Engines - Gas, Diesel, Liquid <br> Petroleum Gas | Low Emission Combustion |
| IC Engines- Gas | Nonselective Catalytic Reduction |
| IC Engines- Gas | Selective Catalytic Reduction |
| IC Engines- Oil | Scrubber |
| Industrial, Commerical, and Institutional (ICI) Boilers | Fabric Filter |
| ICI Boilers- Coal | Selective Noncatalytic Reduction - Urea Based |
| ICI Boilers- Coal/Fluidized-Bed Combustion | Selective Noncatalytic Reduction - Urea Based |
| ICI Boilers- Coal/Stoker | Low-NOx Burners |
| ICI Boilers- Distillate Oil | Selective Catalytic Reduction |
| ICI Boilers- Distillate Oil | Fabric Filter |
| ICI Boilers- Gas | Low-NOx Burners |
| ICI Boilers- Natural Gas Fuel Moisture |  |
| ICI Boilers- Natural Gas Program |  |
| Prescribed Burning | Oncisory Program |
| Residential Wood Construction | Oxighway Heavy-Duty Diesel Vehicles |


| Source Category | Control Measure |
| :--- | :--- |
| Unpaved Roads - Rural | Chemical Stabilization |
| Unpaved Roads - Urban | Hot Asphalt Paving |


[^0]:    * Establishment counts reflect annual cost to sales percentages of 0.01 percent or higher ** Represents selected ozone standard *** Represents selected PM standard

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ For details regarding construction of the EP I-O tables and limitations of the model see U.S. EPA, 1995a and 1995b.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Essentially, it was assumed that wages increase at the same rate as labor productivity. According to the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, labor productivity index numbers (output per unit of labor for all of manufacturing) were 37.3 in 1949 and 113.4 in 1993. This corresponds to an annual compound growth rate of labor productivity of approximately 2.56 percent between 1949 and 1993.
    ${ }^{2}$ This assumes that the 1991 ratio and not the one generated in this study (.000004) is the correct one. Using the ratio generated in this study indicates that EP employment is underestimated by 623 individuals.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ A blank in this column means that the data were available for the 3-digit SIC code.
    ${ }^{2}$ SBA, 1997.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Examination of the source category/control measure detail indicates some anomalies concerning SIC codes. For example, Surface Mining -
    Loading/Storage is associated with SIC code 204 - Grain Mill Products. The likely explanation for these occurrences is miscoding of the SIC codes for point sources, most of which came from the 1985 National Acid Precipitation Assessment Program inventory. For California and Oregon industrial point sources, SIC codes originate from State-supplied plant-level information.
    ${ }^{2}$ Denotes percentage of all revenues in an SIC codes that is owned by small firms.

