

### Reregistration Eligibility Decision for

### **Triadimefon**

### And

# Tolerance Reassessment for Triadimenol

List B

**Case No. 2700** 

## Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED) Document for Triadimefon and Tolerance Reassessment for Triadimenol

Approved by:	Debra Edwards, Ph. D. Director Special Review and Reregistration Division
	Date:

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Triadi	mefon Reregistration Eligibility Decision Team	i
	ry of Terms and Abbreviations	
	RACT	
I.	Introduction	1
II.	Chemical Overview	
<b>A.</b>	Regulatory History	
В.	Chemical Identification	
1.	Technical Triadimefon	
2.	Triadimenol	
3.	Triazole Metabolites	
	a. 1,2,4-Triazole	6
	b. Triazole Alanine	
	c. Triazole Acetic Acid	
C.	Use Profile	7
1.	Triadimefon	
2.	Triadimenol	8
D.	Estimated Usage of Pesticide	9
1.	Triadimefon	9
2.	Triadimenol	9
III.	Summary of Risk Assessments	9
<b>A.</b>	Human Health Risk Assessment	10
1.	Toxicity of Triadimefon and Triadimenol	11
	a. Acute Toxicity Profile	11
	b. FQPA Special Safety Factor Considerations	13
	c. Toxicological Endpoints	13
2.	Carcinogenicity	15
3.	Endocrine Effects	16
4.	Dietary Exposure and Risk	16
	a. Dietary (Food) Risk Assessment	17
	1) Acute Dietary Food Risk	17
	2) Chronic Dietary Food Risk	18
	b. Dietary Exposure from Drinking Water	
	c. Dietary Risk from Drinking Water	20
	1) Acute Dietary Water Risk	20
	2) Chronic Dietary Water Risk	21
5.	V 1	
	a. Residential Handler Risk	
	b. Residential Post-application Exposure and Risks	
6.	88 8 1	25
7.	The state of the s	
	Metabolites	
8.		
9.	Occupational Risk	29

	a. Occupational Toxicity	30
	b. Occupational Handler Exposure	31
	c. Occupational Handler Risk Summary	32
	d. Occupational Post-application Risk Summary	37
10.	Human Incident Data	37
В.	Environmental Fate and Effects Risk Assessment	38
1.	Environmental Fate and Transport	39
	Ecological Exposure and Risk	
	a. Aquatic Organism Exposure and Risk	40
	1) Fish, Invertebrate, and Aquatic Plant Toxicity	40
	2) Fish and Invertebrate Exposure	42
	3) Fish and Invertebrate Risk	43
	4) Non-target Aquatic Plants	
	b. Terrestrial Organism Exposure and Risk	44
	1) Bird and Mammal Toxicity	44
	2) Bird and Mammal Exposure	46
	3) Bird and Mammal Risk	46
	4) Non-target Terrestrial Plants	48
	5) Non-target Insects	49
3.	Ecological Incidents	49
4. En	dangered Species	49
IV.	Risk Management, Reregistration, and Tolerance Reassessment Decision	49
<b>A.</b>	Determination of Reregistration Eligibility and Tolerance Reassessment	49
В.	Public Comments and Responses	50
<b>C.</b>	Regulatory Position under the FFDCA	50
1.	Food Quality Protection Act Findings	50
	a. "Risk Cup" Determination	50
	b. Determination of Safety to U.S. Population	51
	c. Determination of Safety to Infants and Children	51
	d. Endocrine Disruptor Effects	51
	e. Cumulative Risks	
2.	Tolerance Summary for Triadimefon	52
	a. Tolerances Currently Listed Under 40 CFR §180.410	52
	b. Codex Harmonization	55
	c. Residue Analytical Methods – Plants/Livestock	55
3.	Tolerance Summary for Triadimenol	
	a. Tolerances Currently Listed Under 40 CFR §180.450	
D.	Regulatory Rationale	58
1.	Human Health Risk Management	59
	a. Dietary (Food and Water) Risk Mitigation	59
	b. Residential Risk Mitigation	
	c. Occupational Risk Mitigation	
	d. Aggregate Risk Mitigation for Triazole Metabolites	
_	00 0	
2.	Environmental Risk Mitigation	61

	4.	Endangered Species Program	61
V.		What Registrants Need to Do	62
A.		Manufacturing Use Products	64
	1.	Generic Data Requirements for Triadimefon	64
	2.	Labeling for Manufacturing Use Products	65
В.		End-Use Products	65
	1.	Additional Product-Specific Data Requirements	65
		Labeling for End-Use Products	
C.		Data Requirements for Triadimenol	65
D.		Labeling Changes Summary Table	66
VI.		Appendices	79
A.		Use Patterns Subject to Reregistration for Triadimefon	83
В.		Table of Generic Data Requirements and Studies Used to Make the	
		Reregistration Decision	83
C.		Technical Support Documents	94
D.		Citations Considered to be Part of the Data Base Supporting the Reregistrat	tion
		Eligibility Decision (Bibliography) for Triadimefon and Triadimenol	97
E.		Generic Data Call-In	114
F.		Product Specific Data Call-In	116
G.		Batching of Triadimenol/Triadimefon Products	118
Н.		List of Registrants Sent this Data Call-In	122
I.		List of Available Related Documents and Electronically Available Forms	

### Triadimefon Reregistration Eligibility Decision Team

### Office of Pesticide Programs:

### Biological and Economic Analysis Assessment

Andrew Lee Jenna Carter Jin Kim Tara Chandgoyal

### Environmental Fate and Effects Risk Assessment

Michelle Embry Jonathan Angier Fred Jenkins Dana Spatz

### Health Effects Risk Assessment

Samuel Ary Judy Facey Shanna Recore Richard Griffin Michael Doherty Alan Nielsen Sheila Piper Yvonne Barnes

### **Registration Support**

Tony Kish

### Risk Management

John W. Pates, Jr. Kelly Sherman Veronique LaCapra

### Office of General Counsel

Erin Koch

### **Glossary of Terms and Abbreviations**

AGDCI Agricultural Data Call-In

ai Active Ingredient

aPAD Acute Population Adjusted Dose

AR Anticipated Residue
BCF Bioconcentration Factor
CFR Code of Federal Regulations
cPAD Chronic Population Adjusted Dose
CSF Confidential Statement of Formula

CSFII USDA Continuing Surveys for Food Intake by Individuals

DCI Data Call-In

DEEM Dietary Exposure Evaluation Model

DFR Dislodgeable Foliar Residue

DWLOC Drinking Water Level of Comparison.
EC Emulsifiable Concentrate Formulation
EEC Estimated Environmental Concentration

EPA Environmental Protection Agency

EUP End-Use Product

FDA Food and Drug Administration

FIFRA Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act

FFDCA Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act

FQPA Food Quality Protection Act FOB Functional Observation Battery

G Granular Formulation

GENEEC Tier I Surface Water Computer Model

GLN Guideline Number

HAFT Highest Average Field Trial

IR Index Reservoir

LC<sub>50</sub> Median Lethal Concentration. A statistically derived concentration of

a substance that can be expected to cause death in 50% of test animals. It is usually expressed as the weight of substance per weight or volume

of water, air or feed, e.g., mg/l, mg/kg or ppm.

LD<sub>50</sub> Median Lethal Dose. A statistically derived single dose that can be

expected to cause death in 50% of the test animals when administered by the route indicated (oral, dermal, inhalation). It is expressed as a

weight of substance per unit weight of animal, e.g., mg/kg.

LOC Level of Concern LOD Limit of Detection

LOAEL Lowest Observed Adverse Effect Level

MATC Maximum Acceptable Toxicant Concentration

 $\mu$ g/g Micrograms Per Gram  $\mu$ g/L Micrograms Per Liter

mg/kg/day Milligram Per Kilogram Per Day

mg/L Milligrams Per Liter MOE Margin of Exposure

MRID Master Record Identification (number). EPA's system of recording

and tracking studies submitted.

MUP Manufacturing-Use Product

NA Not Applicable

NAWQA USGS National Water Quality Assessment NPDES National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System

NR Not Required

NOAEL No Observed Adverse Effect Level

OP Organophosphate

OPP EPA Office of Pesticide Programs

OPPTS EPA Office of Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances

PAD Population Adjusted Dose

PCA Percent Crop Area

PDP USDA Pesticide Data Program
PHED Pesticide Handler's Exposure Data

PHI Preharvest Interval ppb Parts Per Billion

PPE Personal Protective Equipment

ppm Parts Per Million

PRZM/EXAMS Tier II Surface Water Computer Model

Q<sub>1</sub>\* The Carcinogenic Potential of a Compound, Quantified by the EPA's

Cancer Risk Model

RAC Raw Agriculture Commodity
RED Reregistration Eligibility Decision

REI Restricted Entry Interval

RfD Reference Dose RQ Risk Quotient

SCI-GROW Tier I Ground Water Computer Model

SAP Science Advisory Panel

SF Safety Factor

SLC Single Layer Clothing

SLN Special Local Need (Registrations Under Section 24(c) of FIFRA)

TGAI Technical Grade Active Ingredient

TRR Total Radioactive Residue

USDA United States Department of Agriculture

USGS United States Geological Survey

UF Uncertainty Factor

UV Ultraviolet

WPS Worker Protection Standard

### **ABSTRACT**

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA or the Agency) has completed the human health and environmental risk assessments for triadimefon and the dietary and aggregate assessments for triadimenol, and is issuing its risk management reregistration decision and tolerance reassessment. The risk assessments, which are summarized below, are based on the review of the required target database supporting the use patterns of currently supported products and additional information received through the public docket.

The Agency discussed the dietary risks of concern (food + water) with the registrant, and the registrant has proposed a number of changes to its triadimefon registration including the voluntary deletion of all food (except pineapples) and residential turf use, and a reduction of application rates and frequencies. In doing so, this document has estimated exposure and risk based on these new use patterns.

After considering the risks identified in the revised risk assessments, comments received, and mitigation suggestions from interested parties, the Agency developed its risk management reregistration decision for uses of triadimefon and tolerance reassessment for triadimenol. As a result of this review, EPA has determined that triadimefon-containing products are eligible for reregistration, provided that risk mitigation measures are adopted and labels are amended accordingly. Furthermore, the tolerances for triadimefon and triadimenol are considered reassessed and regulatory action under the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act ("FFDCA") will occur after the uses that do not meet the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act ("FIFRA") standard are canceled. These decisions are discussed fully in this document.

### I. Introduction

FIFRA was amended in 1988 to accelerate the reregistration of products with active ingredients registered prior to November 1, 1984. The amended Act calls for the development and submission of data to support the reregistration of an active ingredient, as well as a review of all submitted data by the EPA. Reregistration involves a thorough review of the scientific database underlying a pesticide's registration. The purpose of the Agency's review is to reassess the potential risks arising from the currently registered uses of the pesticide, to determine the need for additional data on health and environmental effects, and to determine whether or not the pesticide meets the "no unreasonable adverse effects" criteria of FIFRA.

On August 3, 1996, the Food Quality Protection Act of 1996 (FQPA) was signed into law. This Act amends FIFRA and the FFDCA to require reassessment of all existing tolerances for pesticides in food. FQPA also requires EPA to review all tolerances in effect on August 2, 1996, by August 3, 2006. In reassessing these tolerances, the Agency must consider, among other things, aggregate risks from non-occupational sources of pesticide exposure, whether there is increased susceptibility to infants and children, and the cumulative effects of pesticides with a common mechanism of toxicity. When a safety finding has been made that aggregate risks are not of concern and the Agency concludes that there is a reasonable certainty of no harm from aggregate exposure, the tolerances are considered

reassessed. EPA decided that, for those chemicals that have tolerances and are undergoing reregistration, tolerance reassessment will be accomplished through the reregistration process.

As mentioned above, FFDCA requires that the Agency consider "available information" concerning the cumulative effects of a particular pesticide's residues and "other substances that have a common mechanism of toxicity" when considering whether to establish, modify, or revoke a tolerance. Potential cumulative effects of chemicals with a common mechanism of toxicity are considered because low-level exposures to multiple chemical substances that cause a common toxic effect by a common mechanism of toxicity could lead to the same adverse health effect that would occur at a higher level of exposure to any one of these individual chemicals. Triadimefon belongs to a group of pesticides called triazoles (or conazoles), which also includes the triazole fungicide subject to reregistration, propiconazole. Triadimenol, a metabolite of triadimefon, is also a registered fungicide and is subject to tolerance reassessment. For the purpose of this reregistration eligibility decision (RED) for triadimefon and tolerance reassessment for triadimenol, EPA has concluded that triadimefon and triadimenol do not share a common mechanism of toxicity with other substances. However, the triazole fungicides share common metabolites, the triazole compounds 1,2,4-triazole (free triazole), triazole alanine, and triazole acetic acid, which are considered in this document. For information regarding EPA's efforts to determine which chemicals have a common mechanism of toxicity and to evaluate the cumulative effects of such chemicals, see the policy statements released by EPA's Office of Pesticide Programs concerning common mechanism determinations and procedures for cumulating effects from substances found to have a common mechanism on EPA's website at http://www.epa.gov/pesticides/cumulative.

The Agency has identified triazole metabolites of toxicological concern; these include 1,2,4-triazole and the conjugates triazole alanine and triazole acetic acid. Because these metabolites are formed from all triazole pesticides, EPA has conducted a separate toxicology assessment for these compounds and concluded that the existing data are sufficient to support the reregistration of triadimefon and triadimenol. For more details on the toxicity of the free triazoles, see the August 5, 2003, documents, TRIAZOLES – Report of the Ad Hoc HED Peer Review Committee, TRIAZOLES – 2<sup>nd</sup> Report of the Ad Hoc HED Peer Review Committee, and the February 7, 2006, document, 1,2,4-Triazole, Triazole Alanine, Triazole Acetic Acid: Human Health Aggregate Risk Assessment in Support of Reregistration and Registration Actions for Triazole-derivative Fungicide Compounds, which are available under docket number EPA-HO-OPP-2005-0258. Because the risks associated with the free triazoles are all below the Agency's level of concern, they are not discussed further in this document. For more information regarding the aggregate assessment of free triazoles, see the July 18, 2006, document, Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED) for Propiconazole, in the Agency's electronic docket on the internet at http://www.regulations.gov under docket number EPA-HQ-OPP-2005-0497.

Triadimefon and triadimenol also share a common metabolite, 1,2,4-triazole, with several triazole-derivative pharmaceutical compounds. Thus, EPA must consider the incremental impact of exposure to 1,2,4-triazole pesticide residues to individuals using triazole-derivative pharmaceutical products. To this end, EPA worked with the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA), which has regulatory authority for drug products, to assess

the risks posed by 1,2,4-triazole residues that could result from concurrent exposure to triazole-derivative pharmaceutical and pesticide products. This assessment will provide the basis of safety findings reflecting the joint perspectives of FDA and EPA, and will inform a decision by both Agencies about whether appropriate measures are needed to reduce exposure from one or both sources of 1,2,4-triazole residues.

This document presents a summary of EPA's revised human health and ecological risk assessments, its reregistration eligibility decision for triadimefon and tolerance reassessment decision for triadimenol. Occupational and ecological risks from exposure to the pesticidal uses of triadimenol are not addressed in this document. The document consists of six sections. Section I contains the regulatory framework for reregistration/tolerance reassessment. Section II provides a profile of the use and usage of the chemicals. Section III gives an overview of the revised human health and environmental effects risk assessments based on data, public comments, and other information received in response to the preliminary risk assessments. Section IV presents the Agency's reregistration eligibility, risk management and tolerance reassessment decisions. Section V summarizes label changes necessary to implement the risk mitigation measures outlined in Section IV. Finally, the Appendices list related and supporting documents. The revised risk assessments for triadimefon and triadimenol are available in the Agency's electronic docket on the internet at <a href="http://www.regulations.gov">http://www.regulations.gov</a> under docket number EPA-HQ-OPP-2005-0258 and EPA-HQ-OPP-2006-0038, respectively.

### II. Chemical Overview

### A. Regulatory History

### Triadimefon

Triadimefon is a broad spectrum, systemic fungicide used to control rust and mildew on apples, grapes, pears, pineapples, and raspberries. In addition, it is used to control various fungal diseases on non-food use sites such as pine seedlings, Christmas trees, residential and commercial turf, ornamentals, and landscapes. There are tolerances for triadimefon on apples, grapes, pears, pineapples, and raspberries. Triadimefon end-use products are marketed in the United States under the trade names Bayleton and Summit. There are nineteen active products containing triadimefon (one technical product) registered under Section 3 of FIFRA.

The registrant has agreed to delete all food (except pineapple) and residential turf uses. Therefore, this Reregistration Eligibility Decision document evaluates risks from all currently supported uses of triadimefon. The Agency will follow the FIFRA cancellation with the appropriate tolerance revocations.

### **Triadimenol**

The primary metabolite of triadimefon is triadimenol. Triadimenol, which degrades to include the metabolites KWG 1323 and KWG 1342, is also registered separately as a broad spectrum, systemic fungicide under its own active ingredient number (PC code:

127201). Triadimenol is used exclusively in the U.S. as a seed treatment for cotton and grains. As a result, it is also being assessed by the Agency for the purposes of a tolerance reassessment eligibility decision (TRED). Triadimenol was first registered after 1984 and is not subject to reregistration under the 1988 amendments to FIFRA.

### **B.** Chemical Identification

### 1. Technical Triadimefon

**Common Name:** Triadimefon

Chemical Name: 1-(4-chlorophenoxy)-3,3-dimethyl-1-(1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)-2-

butanone

**Chemical Family:** Azole (Triazoles)

**Empirical Formula:**  $C_{14}H_{16}CLN_3O_2$ 

CAS Registry Number: 43121-43-3

Case Number: 2700

**OPP Chemical Code:** 109901

**Molecular weight:** 293.75 g/mol

**Trade Names:** Bayleton and Summit

**Basic Manufacturers:** Bayer CropScience, LC

Triadimefon has a melting point of 82.3 degrees Celsius, a solubility of 64 mg/L ppm in water 20 degrees Celsius and a vapor pressure of 0.2-0.6 mPa at 20 and 25 degrees Celsius, respectively (7.6 x  $10^{-7}$  mm Hg).

### 2. Triadimenol

Common Name: Triadimenol

Chemical Name: [beta-(4-chlorophenoxy)-alpha-(1,1-dimethylethyl)-1H-1,2,4-

triazole-1-ethanol]

**Chemical Family:** Azole (Triazoles)

**Empirical Formula:**  $C_{14}H_{18}ClN_3O_2$ 

CAS Registry Number: 55219-65-3

Case Number: 2700

**OPP Chemical Code:** 127201

**Molecular weight:** 295.77 g/mol

**Trade Names:** Baytan

**Basic Manufacturers:** Bayer CropScience, LC

### 3. Triazole Metabolites

Triadimefon, triadimenol, and other triazole fungicides are metabolized in animals and plants to form compounds containing the triazole moiety, including 1,2,4-triazole (free triazole), triazole alanine, and triazole acetic acid, which are also considered in this decision. Since triazole alanine and triazole acetic acid are formed by conjunction with an amino acid, they are referred to as triazole conjugates throughout this document. Chemical information for these triazole metabolites is provided below.

### a. 1,2,4-Triazole

Chemical Name: 1,2,4-Triazole

**Common Name(s):** 1,2,4-T; free triazole

**CAS Number:** 288-88-0 **PC Code:** 600074 **Molecular Weight:** 69.07

### b. Triazole Alanine

$$O$$
 $O$ 
 $OH$ 
 $NH_2$ 

**Chemical Name:** Triazole Alanine (TA)

**CAS No.:** 86362-20-1 **PC Code:** 600011 **Molecular Weight:** 156.15

### c. Triazole Acetic Acid

Chemical Name: Triazole Acetic Acid (TAA)

**CAS No.:** 28711-29-7 **PC Code:** 600082 **Molecular Weight:** 127.10

### C. Use Profile

### 1. Triadimefon

The following is information on the currently registered uses of triadimefon, including an overview of use sites and application methods. A detailed table of the uses of triadimefon eligible for reregistration is contained in Appendix A.

**Type of Pesticide:** Broad spectrum, systemic fungicide; demethylation

inhibitor (DMI).

Summary of Use: Used for the systemic control of various fungal diseases

in fruits, turf, pine seedlings, Christmas trees, and

ornamentals.

Food uses: Apples, grapes, pears, pineapples, and raspberries.

(Registrant is voluntarily deleting use on apples, grapes,

pears, and raspberries).

Non-food uses: Pine seedlings, Christmas trees, residential and

commercial turf, ornamentals, and landscapes.

(Registrant is voluntarily deleting residential turf use).

**Formulation Type:** Registered formulations include granular (G)(0.5-1%

active ingredient), wettable powder (WP)(25-50% active ingredient), water soluble packets (50% active ingredient), liquid concentrate (LC)(0.88% active ingredient), and ready-to-use (RTU)(0.5% active

ingredient).

**Registrant(s):** Bayer CropScience, LP

**Method and Rates of Application:** 

<u>Application Methods</u>: Applied via ground spray, airblast, aerial spray,

chemigation, and broadcast (granular only) to apples, pears, raspberries, grapes, Christmas trees, ornamentals,

pine seedlings, and turf (golf course, residential,

commercial, and recreational). It is also used as a postharvest dip for pineapples and as a seed-piece treatment prior to planting. It is also used to treat pine seeds via

dip, soak, or traditional seed treatment.

Application Rates: The registrant has agreed to voluntarily delete all food

(except pineapple) and residential turf use.

Current maximum application rates are a 5.4 lb. ai/A treatment on turf (California only) and a 2.7 lb. ai/A treatment on turf outside of California. A maximum rate of 0.75 lbs ai/A may be used as a pre-harvest foliar treatment on apples and pears (up to 12X per season), 0.56 lbs ai/A for grapes (up to 6X per season), and 0.88 lbs ai/A for raspberries (up to 7X per season). A maximum rate of 2.0 lbs ai/A may be used for preharvest foliar treatment on both Christmas trees (up to 8X per season) and pine seedlings (up to 16X per season). For pineapple, a maximum rate of 0.28 lbs ai/100 gallons solutions may be applied via dip and at a maximum rate of 0.28 lbs ai/100 gallons solution via dip or spray to the whole fruit following harvest. It is also used as a pine seed soak (0.63 lbs ai/100 gal solution), and as a pine seed treatment (0.063 lbs ai/100 gal solution, one time prior to planting.

Application Timing: Applied pre-plant, foliar and post-harvest.

**Use Classification:** General

### 2. Triadimenol

The following is information on the currently registered uses of triadimenol, including an overview of use sites and application methods. A detailed table of the uses of triadimenol eligible for tolerance reassessment is contained in Appendix A.

**Type of Pesticide:** Systemic fungicide for seed treatment.

**Summary of Use:** Used as a seed treatment on: barley, corn, cotton, oats,

rye, sorghum, and wheat. There is also an import

tolerance on bananas.

**Formulation Type:** Registered formulations include emulsifiable

concentrate (EC) (5% active ingredient), wettable powder (WP)(25% active ingredient), soluble concentrate (SC) (13.33% active ingredient), and flowable concentrate (FIC) (28.3 - 30% active

ingredient).

**Registrant(s):** Bayer CropScience, LP

**Method and Rates of Application:** 

<u>Application Rates</u>: The maximum use rates, adjusted for planting, range

from 0.006 to 0.0375 lbs. ai/A (0.007-0.042 kg ai/ha)

for one season.

<u>Application Timing</u>: Applied pre-plant.

**Use Classification:** General

### **D.** Estimated Usage of Pesticide

### 1. Triadimefon

Screening level estimates of triadimefon use in the U.S., based on data from the years 1990 through 2000, have been provided by the Agency's Biological and Economic Analysis Division. Total use averaged 135,000 lbs ai/year with an upper-end estimate of 266,000 lbs ai/year. The largest market, in terms of total pounds ai, was seen in the turf and ornamental sector.

### 2. Triadimenol

Based on pesticide usage data for the years 1992 through 2001, total annual domestic usage of triadimenol averaged approximately 24,000 pounds of active ingredient (a.i.) for over 12,000,000 acres treated. Use on cotton accounted for approximately 75 % of the total pounds of a.i. applied annually. Corn and wheat accounted for approximately 20% and 5%, respectively. About 80% of U.S. acreage planted to cotton is treated with triadimenol, and less than 1 % of corn and wheat acres are treated

### III. Summary of Risk Assessments

The following is a summary of EPA's human health and ecological risk findings and conclusions for triadimefon and triadimenol, as presented fully in the documents:

### **Triadimefon**

- Triadimefon. Preliminary Human Health Risk Assessment (Revised). February 9, 2006
- Triadimefon/Triadimenol: Summary of Refinements and Revisions to the Human Health Risk Assessment. July 10, 2006
- Triadimefon: Revised Acute, Probabilistic and Chronic Dietary (Food + Drinking Water) Exposure and Risk Assessments for the Triadimefon Reregistration. July 6, 2006
- Environmental Fate and Effects Chapter (Revised), Risk Assessment for Triadimefon. January 19, 2006
- Triadimefon: HED Response to Comments Received During the Public Comment Phase. June 20, 2006
- Memo: EFED Revisions to the Ecological Risk Assessment. August 3, 2006

- Triadimefon. Summary of Ana--lytical Chemistry and Residue Data for the Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED) Document. November 23, 2005
- Triadimefon: Occupational and Residential Exposure Assessment for the Reregistration Eligibility Decision Document. June 30, 2006
- Triadimefon and Triadimenol: Aggregate Acute, Chronic, and Short-Term Risk Assessments Reflecting July, 2006 Risk Mitigation in Response to the Phase 4 Triadimefon RED. August 1, 2006
- Response to Bayer Public Comments Regarding the Environmental Fate and Effects Division Triadimefon Risk Assessment. June 1, 2006
- Tier 2 Drinking Water Assessment for Triadimefon and its Major Degradate Triadimenol. August 31, 2005

### Triadimenol

- Triadimenol: HED Chapter of the Tolerance Reassessment Eligibility Decision (TRED) Document (Revised). February 9, 2006
- Triadimenol. Acute and Chronic Dietary Exposure Assessments for the Tolerance Reassessment Eligibility Decision (TRED) Document. November 18, 2005

The purpose of this section is to summarize the key features and findings of the risk assessments in order to help the reader better understand the risk management decisions reached by the Agency. The human health and ecological risk assessment documents and supporting information listed in Appendix C were used to reach the safety finding and regulatory decisions for triadimefon and triadimenol. Although the risk assessments and related addenda are not included in this document, they are available from the OPP Public Docket OPP-2005-0258 and OPP-2006-0038, and may also be accessed on the web at <a href="http://www.regulations.gov">http://www.regulations.gov</a>. Hard copies of these documents may be found in the OPP public docket under these same docket numbers.

### A. Human Health Risk Assessment

The human health risk assessment incorporates potential exposure from all sources, which for triadimefon includes food, drinking water, residential, and occupational scenarios and for triadimenol include food and drinking water scenarios. Aggregate assessments combine food, drinking water, and any residential or other non-occupational (if applicable) exposures to determine potential exposures to the U.S. population.

This document summarizes risk estimates for triadimefon (including its metabolite triadimenol and degradates KWG 1342 and KWG 1732), and its metabolites 1,2,4-triazole, triazole alanine, and triazole acetic acid, which are formed from triazole compounds in animals and plants and may be found in food commodities, including animal byproducts. 1,2,4-Triazole appears to be relatively stable in the environment, and may be found in rotational crops and drinking water. A surface water monitoring study showed detections of 1,2,4-triazole in a small number of samples. Therefore, EPA has considered the risks from food, drinking water and non-occupational exposure resulting from triadimefon alone and

from the triazole metabolites from all pesticide sources. For triadimenol, since there are no residential uses, EPA considered exposure and risk from food and drinking water. In addition, EPA has also considered potential co-exposure to free triazoles resulting from pharmaceutical uses of triazole compounds. Because the risks associated with the free triazoles from all sources are all below the Agency's level of concern, they are not discussed further in this document. Additional details regarding the risks associated with the free triazoles, which includes contribution from triadimefon and triadimenol,- may be found in the February 7, 2006, document, 1,2,4-Triazole, Triazole Alanine, Triazole Acetic Acid: Human Health Aggregate Risk Assessment in Support of Reregistration and Registration Actions for Triazole Derivative Fungicide Compounds, which is available in the public docket (EPA-HQ-OPP-2005-0258).

### 1. Toxicity of Triadimefon and Triadimenol

Toxicity assessments are designed to predict whether a pesticide could cause adverse health effects in humans (including short-term or acute effects such as skin or eye damage, and lifetime or chronic effects such as cancer, developmental and/or reproductive effects), and the level or dose at which such effects might occur. The Agency has reviewed all toxicity studies submitted and has determined that the toxicity database for triadimefon is adequate although there are data gaps (lack of a developmental neurotoxicity study which the Agency will remedy through the DCI). In addition, the Agency has reviewed all toxicity studies submitted for triadimenol and has determined that the database is adequate. The triadimenol data base is less definite than triadimefon; however, because it is a metabolite of triadimefon the Agency believes that the use of data on triadimefon can be used for triadimenol and be protective regarding any potential risk concerns. But the Agency is requiring data to confirm this decision. However, pending the results of both the acute and subchronic neurotoxicity studies, the Agency is not requiring a developmental neurotoxicity (DNT) study for triadimenol at this time. All other studies have been submitted to support guideline requirements and a reregistration eligibility determination for all currently registered uses. For more details on the toxicity of triadimefon, see the February 9, 2006, document, Triadimefon. Preliminary Human Health Risk Assessment (Revised), and the July 10, 2006, document, Triadimefon/Triadimenol: Summary of Refinements and Revisions to the Human Health Risk Assessment, which is available under docket number EPA-HQ-OPP-2005-0258.

### a. Acute Toxicity Profile

### **Triadimefon**

Triadimefon is classified as category III for acute oral and dermal toxicity and as category IV for acute inhalation. It is also classified as category IV for eye irritation potential and category IV for skin irritation potential. In addition, triadimefon caused dermal sensitization in guinea pigs. The acute toxicity profile for technical grade triadimefon is summarized in Table 1 below. The technical acute toxicity values included in this document are only to provide background information. Additional acute toxicity data may be required to determine appropriate cautionary label language for products containing triadimefon.

**Table 1. Acute Toxicity Profile for Triadimefon** 

Old Guideline No.	New Guideline No.	Study Type	MRID #(s)	Results	Toxicity Category
81-1	870.1100	Acute Oral - rat	204270	LD <sub>50</sub> = 1,470 mg/kg (Males) LD <sub>50</sub> = 1,090 mg/kg (Females)	III
81-2	870.1200	Acute Dermal - Rabbit	264276	$LD_{50} > 2,000 \text{ mg/kg}$	III
81-3	870.1300	Acute Inhalation - rat	41616002	$LC_{50} > 3.570 \text{ mg/L}$	IV
81-4	870.2400	Acute (Primary) Eye Irritation - rabbit	41782501	Slightly irritating	IV
81-5	870.2500	Acute (Primary) Dermal (Skin) Irritation - rabbit	41616004	Not an irritant	IV
81-6	870.2600	Skin (Dermal) Sensitization – guinea pig	41554001	Sensitizer	Not Applicable

### **Triadimenol**

Triadimenol shows low toxicity for acute oral, dermal, and inhalation exposure (toxicity Category III or IV) and is not a skin sensitizer. Triadimenol is an eye irritant with irritation clearing in 21 days or longer (toxicity Category II), and is a mild dermal irritant (toxicity Category IV). The acute toxicity profile for technical triadimenol is summarized in Table 2 below.

**Table 2: Acute Toxicity Profile for Triadimenol** 

Old Guideline No.	New Guideline No.	Study Type	MRID #(s)	Results	Toxicity Category
81-1	870.1100	Acute oral –rat	00125411	LD <sub>50</sub> = 689 mg/kg (males) LD <sub>50</sub> = 752 mg/kg (females)	III
81-2	870.1200	Acute dermal – rabbit	00145086	LD <sub>50</sub> > 2000 mg/kg	III
81-3	870.1300	Acute inhalation – rat	00145087	LC <sub>50</sub> > 2.58 mg/L (Limit Dose)	IV
81-4	870.2400	Acute eye irritation – rabbit	00145088	eye irritant. Irritation cleared in 21 days or longer.	II
81-5	870.2500	Acute dermal irritation – rabbit	00145088	mild skin dermal irritation	IV
81-6	870.2600	Skin sensitization- guinea pig	00125413	Not a skin sensitizer	Not Applicable

### b. FQPA Safety Factor Considerations

The FFDCA as amended by the FQPA directs the Agency, in setting pesticide tolerances, to use an additional tenfold (10X) margin of safety to protect infants and children, taking into account the potential for pre- and post-natal toxicity and the completeness of the toxicology and exposure databases. The statute authorizes the Agency to reduce this tenfold FQPA safety factor (SF) only if reliable data demonstrate that the resulting level of exposure would be safe for infants and children.

### **Triadimefon**

The current developmental and reproductive toxicity studies do not suggest that the young are more sensitive than adult animals. However, since the triadimefon database does not include a DNT study, an FQPA safety factor for database uncertainty (10X) has been retained for exposure scenarios through which exposure to children or pregnant women is expected. A DNT study is required for triadimefon and the Agency will issue a DCI for this data.

### Triadimenol

The endpoint of concern for triadimenol, like triadimefon, is neurotoxicity, which was observed in rat, mice, and rabbit studies. Evidence of increased susceptibility to offspring was not seen in available developmental toxicity studies in two species (rabbits and rats) and in a two-generation reproductive toxicity study in rats. Therefore, the Agency is not requiring a developmental neurotoxicity (DNT) study for triadimenol at this time, pending the results of the acute and subchronic neurotoxicity studies listed in section V of this document. However, the Agency is retaining the FQPA Safety Factor (10X) for database uncertainty for lack of both acute and subchronic neurotoxicity studies.

### c. Toxicological Endpoints

### Triadimefon

Table 3 summarizes the studies, toxicological endpoints, dose levels, and uncertainty/safety factors selected for the assessment of dietary, oral, dermal, and inhalation exposure to triadimefon. Since no appropriate acute endpoint could be determined from the triadimenol database, the triadimefon subchronic neurotoxicity study in rats was chosen for the acute reference dose (aRfD) for triadimenol, as well. In addition, the subchronic neurotoxicity study for triadimefon was also chosen for the chronic reference dose (cRfD) for triadimenol. Therefore, the endpoint of concern is neurotoxicity and is the same for both triadimefon and triadimenol.

Table 3. Summary of Doses and Toxicological Endpoints for Triadimefon

Exposure	Dose, Uncertainty	icological Endpoints for FQPA Safety Factor	Study and Toxicological		
Scenario	Factors (UF)	(SF) and Level of	Effects		
		Concern			
Acute Dietary	NOAEL = 3.4	FQPA SF = 10X	Subchronic neurotoxicity study		
(general	mg/kg/day (subchronic)	$aPAD = \underline{acute \ RfD}$	in rats (MRID 44153501).		
population)	UF = 100	FQPA SF	LOAEL = 54.6 mg/kg/day (males) and 68.7 mg/kg/day		
		DAD 0.0024	(females) based largely on		
	Acute RfD = $0.034$	aPAD = 0.0034 $mg/kg/day$	hyperactivity.		
Changia Distant	mg/kg/day NOAEL = 3.4	FQPA SF = $10X$	Cult alemania manuscripita etc. de-		
Chronic Dietary (all populations)	mg/kg/day	1 (1715) = 1071	Subchronic neurotoxicity study in rats (MRID 44153501).		
(un populations)	ing ng unj	$cPAD = \underline{chronic RfD}$	LOAEL = 54.6  mg/kg/day (M)		
	UF = 100	FQPA SF	and 68.7 mg/kg/day (F) based		
	Chronic RfD = 0.034	cPAD = 0.0034	largely on hyperactivity.		
	mg/kg/day	mg/kg/day			
Incidental Oral	NOAEL = 3.4	FQPA SF = 10X	Subchronic neurotoxicity study		
Short-Term (1 - 30 days)	mg/kg/day	Residential MOE = 1000	in rats (MRID 44153501). LOAEL = 54.6 mg/kg/day (M)		
(1 30 days)	UF = 100	Residential WOL = 1000	and 68.7 mg/kg/day (F) based		
			largely on hyperactivity.		
Dermal	Dermal NOAEL = 300	Residential MOE = 1000 FQPA SF = 10X	21 day dermal toxicity in rats		
Short-Term (1 - 30 days) and	mg/kg/day	TQLASI = TOA	(MRID 42341501). The LOAEL= 1000 mg/kg/day		
Intermediate-	UF = 100	Occupational MOE = 100	based on increased reactivity		
Term			and activity in the females.		
(1 - 6 months)					
Inhalation	NOAEL = 3.4	Residential MOE = 1000	Subchronic neurotoxicity study		
Short-Term (1 - 30 days)	mg/kg/day (Inhalation absorption	FQPA SF = 10X	in rats (MRID 44153501). LOAEL = 54.6 mg/kg/day (M)		
(1 20 days)	rate = 100%)	Occupational MOE = 100	and 68.7 mg/kg/day (F) based		
			largely on hyperactivity.		
	UF = 100				
Inhalation	NOAEL = 3.4  mg/	Residential MOE = 1000 FQPA SF = 10X	Subchronic neurotoxicity study		
Intermediate- Term	kg/day (Inhalation absorption	1.64 9L – 10V	in rats (MRID 44153501). LOAEL = 54.6 mg/kg/day (M)		
(1 - 6 months)	rate = 100%)	Occupational MOE = 100	and 68.7 mg/kg/day (F) based		
			largely on hyperactivity.		
	UF = 100				
Cancer (oral,					
dermal, inhalation)					
minaration)	nepatocentilar adenomas in both sexes of the NVIKI mouse.				

UF, uncertainty factor; SF, safety factor; NOAEL, no observable adverse effect level; LOAEL, lowest observable adverse effect level; RfD, reference dose, exposure which is not expected to exceed EPA's level of concern; PAD, population adjusted dose, which is the RfD adjusted for the FQPA safety factor (SF); MOE, margin of exposure; LOC, Level of Concern, MOE at and above which the Agency does not have a risk concern. NA, Not Applicable.

### Triadimenol

Table 4 summarizes the studies, toxicological endpoints, dose levels, and uncertainty/safety factors selected for the assessment of dietary exposure to triadimenol. The endpoint of concern is neurotoxicity (same as triadimefon).

Table 4. Summary of Doses and Toxicological Endpoints for Triadimenol

tuble 4. Summary of Doses and Toxicological Emplores for Triadmichor					
Exposure Scenario	Dose, Uncertainty Factors (UF)	FQPA Safety Factor (SF) and Level of Concern	Study and Toxicological Effects		
Acute Dietary (general population)	NOAEL = 3.4 mg/kg/day (subchronic) UF = 100 Acute RfD = 0.034 mg/kg/day	FQPA SF = 10X $aPAD = \frac{acute\ RfD}{FQPA\ SF}$ $aPAD = 0.0034$ $mg/kg/day$	Subchronic neurotoxicity study in rats (MRID 44153501) for triadimefon.  LOAEL = 54.6 mg/kg/day (M) and 68.7 mg/kg/day (F) based largely on hyperactivity.		
Chronic Dietary (all populations)	NOAEL = 3.4 mg/kg/day UF = 100 Chronic RfD = 0.034 mg/kg/day	FQPA SF = 10X  cPAD = chronic RfD FQPA SF  cPAD = 0.0034 mg/kg/day	Subchronic neurotoxicity study in rats (MRID 44153501) for triadimefon.  LOAEL = 54.6 mg/kg/day (M) and 68.7 mg/kg/day (F) based largely on hyperactivity.		
Cancer (oral, dermal, inhalation)	Classification: Category of hepatocellular adenom		n" based on increased incidence		

### 2. Carcinogenicity

### **Triadimefon**

The Cancer Assessment Review Committee (CARC) assigned triadimefon a classification of "possible human carcinogen", using the criteria in the *Draft Guidelines for Carcinogen Risk Assessment (July, 1999)*. This classification is based on a statistically significant increase in thyroid adenomas in male Wistar rats and statistically significant increases in hepatocellular adenomas in both sexes of the NMRI mouse. However, the Agency concluded that a quantified carcinogenic risk assessment for triadimefon is not appropriate and risk assessment will be based on the chronic population adjusted dose (cPAD) and margin of exposure (MOE) approaches only.

### **Triadimenol**

The CARC has assigned triadimenol a classification of "possible human carcinogen", using the criteria in the *Draft Guidelines for Carcinogen Risk Assessment (July, 1999)*. This classification is based on increased incidence of hepatocellular adenomas in females. However, the Agency concluded that a quantified carcinogenic risk assessment for triadimenol is not appropriate and risk assessment will be based on the chronic population adjusted dose (cPAD) and margin of exposure (MOE) approaches only.

### 3. Endocrine Effects

EPA is required under the FFDCA, as amended by FQPA, to develop a screening program to determine whether certain substances (including all pesticide active and other ingredients) "may have an effect in humans that is similar to an effect produced by a naturally occurring estrogen, or other such endocrine effects as the Administrator may designate." Following recommendations of its Endocrine Disruptor and Testing Advisory Committee (EDSTAC), EPA determined that there was a scientific basis for including, as part of the program, the androgen and thyroid hormone systems, in addition to the estrogen hormone system. EPA also adopted EDSTAC's recommendation that the Program include evaluations of potential effects in wildlife. For pesticide chemicals, EPA will use FIFRA and, to the extent that effects in wildlife may help determine whether a substance may have an effect in humans, FFDCA authority to require the wildlife evaluations. As the science develops and resources allow, screening of additional hormone systems may be added to the Endocrine Disruptor Screening Program (EDSP).

### Triadimefon and Triadimenol

The toxicity databases for triadimenon and triadimenol did not show any estrogen, androgen, or thyroid mediated toxicity.

### 4. Dietary Exposure and Risk

The registrant has agreed to voluntarily cancel all food (apples, pears, grapes, and raspberries), except pineapple, and residential turf use for triadimefon<sup>1</sup>. Thus, these uses were not included in this dietary risk assessment. In addition, the registrant is only supporting a maximum turf rate of 2 applications of 2.7 lbs. ai/A for golf courses and sod farms. Therefore, all drinking water risk estimates were calculated using this rate.

Dietary risk assessments consider exposure to pesticide residues from both food and drinking water. In examining dietary risk, exposures from the use of triadimefon, which includes the parent and its metabolites, including triadimenol, as well as exposures from the use of triadimenol, which includes the parent and its metabolites, must be added together. This is due to the fact that both the acute and chronic PADs are based on the same study (a subchronic neurotoxicity study in rats exposed to triadimefon) and therefore must be aggregated. To estimate dietary risks from food and drinking water, EPA compares the estimated exposure to pesticide residues in food and drinking water to the acute or chronic population adjusted dose, or PAD. The PAD is the reference dose (RfD) adjusted for the FQPA safety factor. A risk estimate that is less than 100% of the acute PAD (aPAD), the dose at which an individual could be exposed over the course of a single day and no adverse health effects would be expected, does not exceed EPA's level of concern. Likewise, a risk estimate that is less than 100% of the chronic PAD (cPAD), the dose at which an individual could be exposed over the course of a lifetime and no adverse health effects would be expected, does not exceed EPA's level of concern. Additional details about the dietary risk

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Bayer no longer wishes to support these uses. The Agency will take regulatory action under FFDCA to revoke the associated tolerances.

assessment for triadimefon and triadimenol are described in the July 6, 2006, document, Triadimefon. Revised Acute and Chronic Dietary (Food + Drinking Water) Exposure and Risk Assessments for the Triadimefon Reregistration and in the February 9, 2006, document, Triadimenol: HED Chapter of the Tolerance Reassessment Eligibility Decision (TRED) Document (Revised).

Acute PAD. As discussed in Section III of this document, the Agency used the subchronic neurotoxicity study (SCN) for triadimefon to establish the acute RfD that is used for both chemicals. The endpoint of concern is neurotoxicity seen after both an acute gavage and repeated dietary exposure. The NOAEL was 3.4 mg/kg/day. The aPAD is 0.0034 mg/kg/day. Dietary risk results >100% of the aPAD are above the Agency's level of concern. Refer to Table 3 above.

Chronic PAD. Combined dietary risk for triadimefon and triadimenol was assessed by comparing chronic dietary exposure estimates (in mg/kg/day) to the triadimefon cPAD. Dietary risk is expressed as a percent of the cPAD, which is the chronic RfD (3.4 mg/kg/day-NOAEL) modified by an uncertainty factor of 1000 (10X for inter-species extrapolation, 10X for intra-species variability, and 10X FQPA safety factor for database uncertainty- lack of a developmental neurotoxicity study). Therefore, the cPAD for triadimefon and triadimenol is 0.0034 mg/kg/day. Dietary risk results >100% of the cPAD are above the Agency's level of concern. The cPAD was derived from a subchronic neurotoxicity study in rats, which accounted for the most sensitive species and endpoints (rat versus chronic dog study), with a LOAEL of 54.6 (male) and 68.7 (female) mg/kg/day as noted in Table 3 above.

### a. Dietary (Food) Risk Assessment

The Agency conducted acute and chronic dietary exposure assessments using the Dietary Exposure Evaluation Model software with the Food Commodity Intake Database (DEEM-FCID, Version 2.03), which incorporates consumption data from USDA's Continuing Surveys of Food Intakes by Individuals (CSFII), 1994-1996 and 1998.

### 1) Acute Dietary Food Risk

A probabilistic (Monte-Carlo) acute dietary exposure assessment was conducted based on field trial data and 100% crop treated (%CT) at the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile. The risk assessment combined exposure for both triadimefon and triadimenol. Acute dietary (food only) risk estimates were based on field trial data for pineapples (triadimefon and triadimenol) and bananas (triadimenol), plus seed treatment uses on cotton and grain (barley, corn, oats, rye, sorghum, and wheat) from triadimenol based on a tolerance level approach and assuming 100% crop treated. The ratio of total toxic residues (TTR) to triadimefon, from available metabolism studies, was used to estimate the total residues of concern.

For food alone, acute dietary risk estimates are below the Agency's level of concern (<100% aPAD) at the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile exposure for children 1-2 years old at 32% of the aPAD, the most highly exposed population subgroup. The 95<sup>th</sup> percentile of exposure is the appropriate percentile to use given the level of refinement (i.e., unrefined) of the residue values used and that 100% of the crops are assumed to be treated. Refer to Table 5 below.

Table 5. Results of Acute Dietary Exposure Analysis for Food Alone Using DEEM-FCID

		95 <sup>th</sup> Percentile	
Population Subgroup	aPAD (mg/kg/day)	Exposure (mg/kg/day)	% aPAD
General U.S. Population	0.0034	0.000520	15
All Infants (< 1 year old)	0.0034	0.000828	24
Children 1-2 years old	0.0034	0.001083	32
Children 3-5 years old	0.0034	0.000923	27
Children 6-12 years old	0.0034	0.000668	20

### 2) Chronic Dietary Food Risk

Chronic dietary exposure assessments were also conducted using the Dietary Exposure Evaluation Model software with the Food Commodity Intake Database (DEEM-FCID, Version 2.03), which incorporates consumption data from USDA's Continuing Surveys of Food Intakes by Individuals (CSFII), 1994-1996 and 1998.

The risk assessment combined exposure for both triadimefon and triadimenol. Chronic dietary (food only) risk estimates were based on field trial data for pineapples (triadimefon and triadimenol) and bananas (triadimenol), plus seed treatment uses on cotton and grain (barley, corn, oats, rye, sorghum, and wheat) from triadimenol using a tolerance level approach and assuming 100% crop treated.

For all supported commodities, the chronic dietary exposure to triadimefon from food (only) is below the Agency's level of concern (<100% cPAD) for the U.S. population (6% cPAD) and all population subgroups, including children 1-2 years old (15% of the cPAD), the most highly exposed subgroup. Refer to Table 6 below.

Table 6. Results of Chronic Dietary Exposure Analysis for Food Alone Using DEEM-FCID

		Food Alone	
Population Subgroup	cPAD (mg/kg/day)	Exposure (mg/kg/day)	% cPAD
General U.S. Population	0.0034	0.000194	6
All Infants (< 1 year old)	0.0034	0.000227	7
Children 1-2 years old	0.0034	0.000493	15
Children 3-5 years old	0.0034	0.000471	14
Children 6-12 years old	0.0034	0.000323	10

### b. Dietary Exposure from Drinking Water

### Surface Water Exposure

Triadimefon and triadimenol can be transported to surface water during or after application via run-off and/or erosion as well as soil leaching from ground applications. The triadimefon surface water assessment is based on Florida turf use. This scenario was chosen because it is expected to present the greatest risk to drinking water supplies. Because water monitoring data for triadimefon are limited, the Agency used screening-level models to estimate drinking water concentrations of triadimefon from surface water.

Since current labels do not limit the number of applications, EECs were calculated for a range of numbers of applications for residential and golf/sod turf. However, Bayer, the technical registrant, is only supporting a maximum turf rate of 2 applications of 2.7 lbs. ai/A for golf courses and sod farms. As a result, only those results are presented here. Since there is no limitation for application to tees, greens, and/or fairways, "entire course" values (also applies to sod farm use) were used in determining potential surface drinking water concentration(s).

A summary of the surface water concentration scenarios is presented below in Table 7. For additional information, refer to the *Tier 2 Drinking Water Assessment for Triadimefon and its Major Degradate Triadimenol*, dated August 31, 2005.

Table 7. Estimated Concentrations of Triadimefon and its Degradate Triadimenol in Surface Drinking Water Using PRZM/EXAMS Scenarios (Turf)

Use Scenario	Portion of Golf Course- (Treated)	Acute Concentration (ppb)	Chronic Concen	tration (ppb)
	Course- (Treateu)		1 in 10 year annual mean (non-cancer) (ppb)	30-year overall mean (cancer) (ppb)
2 applications 14 day interval 2.75 lbs ai/A (1 oz/1000 ft <sup>2</sup> )	Entire Course	100.8	24.94	4.071

### Groundwater Exposure

As an initial screen, the concentrations expected in ground water for the use of triadimefon were estimated using the SCI-GROW model (Tier 1 Ground Water Computer Model). In addition, groundwater monitoring studies were supplied by the registrant, Bayer CropScience. Although SciGrow should typically over-estimate expected ground water concentrations, in this case the model actually underestimated the SciGrow predicted value in at least one instance. A ground water monitoring study in New Jersey, which reflected suggested turf uses, showed a peak groundwater concentration of 25 ppb, while the SciGrow estimate for similar usage yielded an estimate of only 5 ppb. Therefore, the potential for ground water triadimefon contamination may be greater than supposed. Although the ground water monitoring values were lower than surface water modeled values, there may be an

unforeseen risk to private shallow ground water wells used for drinking water in areas where triadimefon is applied. This may be further exacerbated by the fact that, unlike surface water, which is generally treated prior to use, private well water may be used in the home with no pre-treatment. However, although the Agency recognizes the potential for groundwater contamination, surface water estimates in this case are considered protective for ground and/or drinking water contributions. For more information on drinking water risks and representative calculations, see the Water Exposure/Risk Pathway section of the revised human health risk assessment, dated February 9, 2006.

### c. Dietary Risk from Drinking Water

Drinking water exposure to pesticides can occur through ground and surface water contamination. In assessing drinking water risks, EPA considers acute (one-day) and chronic (long-term) exposure, and uses modeling and monitoring data, if available, to estimate those exposures. Given the limited use patterns of triadimenol (seed treatment) and the amount of pesticide available on a per acre basis, drinking water exposures resulting from the use of triadimefon in both surface and ground water are much greater. Consequently, the Agency is using the exposure values from the use of triadimefon in its assessments which is protective of any drinking water exposure from the use of triadimenol. Estimated environmental drinking water concentrations (EDWCs) for triadimefon were calculated using the PRZM-EXAMS model. The assessment also accounted for triadimenol as the primary degradate in water. The PRZM-EXAMS assessment considers 100% of the golf course was treated and that the watershed was 100% golf course. Based on these conservative assumptions, the Agency is regulating at the 95 percentile. The distribution of estimated residues of triadimefon and triadimenol were incorporated directly into the acute dietary assessment.

Triadimefon transforms into triadimenol in the natural environment, particularly in shallow subsurface soil and is moderately mobile and persistent. Triadimefon on or near the soil surface or on vegetation may be subject to runoff and/or erosion into surface water bodies used as drinking water supplies. Triadimefon and triadimenol may also leach into groundwater when triadimefon is applied in areas with well-drained soil, high rainfall (and/or irrigation) rates, and shallow water tables. Once it reaches groundwater, triadimenol is likely to degrade more slowly than the parent compound. Both parent and degradate are stable to hydrolysis and have fairly long half-lives in soil and water. The Agency modeled surface drinking water values which are higher than groundwater estimates, and are therefore protective for dietary risk analyses.

### 1) Acute Dietary Water Risk

The Agency believes it is appropriate to use the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile as the level of concern (LOC) for drinking water because the drinking water assessment is conservative. The following factors provide the rationale for this approach: 1) the Agency assumes that 100 percent of the watershed is in golf courses (100 percent cropped area (PCA)), and therefore 100% of the watershed is turf treated with triadimefon; 2) residue estimates were modeled using PRZM-EXAMS, which usually results in higher water concentration values than would monitoring data; 3) the dose spacing between the NOAEL and LOAEL in the

guideline acute and subchronic neurotoxicity studies is fairly large; and 4) the entire golf course is assumed to be treated.

Acute dietary (water only) risk estimates were based on a FL golf course scenario for triadimefon. The acute dietary exposure to triadimefon from water (only) is below the Agency's level of concern (<100% cPAD) for the U.S. population (24% aPAD) and all population subgroups, including all infants (< 1 year old) (85% of the aPAD), the most highly exposed subgroup (See Table 8).

Table 8. Results of Acute Dietary Exposure Analysis for Water (only) Using DEEM-FCID

		95 <sup>th</sup> Percentile	
Population Subgroup	aPAD (mg/kg/day)	Exposure (mg/kg/day)	% aPAD
General U.S. Population	0.0034	0.000812	24
All Infants (< 1 year old)	0.0034	0.002904	85
Children 1-2 years old	0.0034	0.001235	36
Children 3-5 years old	0.0034	0.001148	34
Children 6-12 years old	0.0034	0.000789	23

### 2) Chronic Dietary Water Risk

Chronic dietary (water only) risk estimates were based on this scenario incorporating both triadimefon and its degradate triadimenol to determine risk values. The chronic dietary exposure to triadimefon from water (only) is below the Agency's level of concern (<100% cPAD) for the U.S. population (12% cPAD) and all population subgroups, including non-nursing infants (49% of the cPAD), the most highly exposed subgroup (See Table 9).

Table 9. Results of Chronic Dietary Exposure Analysis for Water (only) Using DEEM-FCID

		95 <sup>th</sup> Percentile		
Population Subgroup	cPAD (mg/kg/day)	Exposure (mg/kg/day)	% cPAD	
General U.S. Population	0.0034	0.000414	12	
Infants (non-nursing)	0.0034	0.001681	49	
Children 1-2 years old	0.0034	0.000615	18	
Children 3-5 years old	0.0034	0.000575	17	
Children 6-12 years old	0.0034	0.000397	12	

### 5. Residential (Non-dietary) Exposure and Risk

### **Triadimefon**

The registrant has agreed to voluntarily delete residential turf use based on exposure to toddlers.

### **Triadimenol**

There are no residential uses of triadimenol products.

Currently, triadimefon products are marketed for homeowner use on residential lawns, landscape ornamentals, and trees. Triadimefon-containing products are also marketed for use by professional applicators (Pest Control Operators, or PCOs) on residential turf, on golf courses, other turf such as recreational/commercial areas, and on ornamental plantings. Based on these uses, triadimefon is assessed for the residential handler, and for post-application dermal contact for adults, youths, and for toddler's post-application incidental oral exposure that may occur from turf contact. Residential exposure may occur during and after application at homes, or after applications at golf courses, parks, schools, or other turf sites. Each route of exposure (oral, dermal, inhalation) is assessed, where appropriate, and risk is expressed as a Margin of Exposure (MOE > 1000 does not exceed the Agency's Level of Concern). For more information on residential exposure and risk, refer to the document, *Triadimefon: Occupational and Residential Exposure Assessment for the Reregistration Eligibility Decision Document*, dated June 30, 2006.

### a. Residential Handler Risk

The anticipated use patterns and current labeling indicate several residential handler exposure scenarios based on the types of equipment and techniques that can be used to make triadimefon applications. The quantitative short-term exposure/risk assessment developed for residential handlers is based on these scenarios. Intermediate-or long-term exposures are not likely because of the intermittent nature of applications by homeowners.

### (Mixer/Loader/Applicators):

- (1) Liquid Formulations: Low Pressure Handward (ORETF data for ornamentals)
- (2) Wettable Powder Formulations: Low Pressure Handward (PHED data)
- (3) Liquid Concentrates with Hose-End Sprayer (Residential ORETF data -- ornamental shrubs)
- (4) Wettable Powders with Hose-End Sprayer (Residential ORETF data -- liquid concentrate application to ornamentals)
- (5) Liquid Concentrates with a Tree Injector (no data)
- (6) Loading/Applying Granulars via Push Type Spreader (ORETF data)
- (7) Loading/Applying Granulars via Belly Grinder (PHED data)

Inhalation risks for residential handlers are not a concern for all residential uses of triadimefon. Combined dermal and inhalation risks for residential handlers are not a concern (MOEs >1000) for the following scenarios:

- (1) Mixing/loading/applying liquid and wettable powder formulations to outdoor and greenhouse flowers, trees, and shrubs with a low pressure handwand;
- (2) Loading/applying granulars via push type spreader and belly grinder.

However, combined risks remain a concern (MOEs < 1000) for mixing/loading/applying liquid concentrates/ wettable powders with a hose-end sprayer to greenhouse ornamentals. Short-term risks for residential handlers are presented below in Table 10, which only represents those scenarios that exceed the Agency's level of concern (MOE < 1000).

Table 10. Triadimefon Residential Handler Risks

Table 10.	THAUIIIC	IOII IXCSI	iciitiai 11	unaici it	ISINS			
				Baseline Unit Exposure		MOEs		
Exposure Scenario	Crop or Target	App Rate <sup>a</sup> (lb ai /gallon)	Area Treated Daily <sup>b</sup> (gallons)	Dermal <sup>c</sup> (mg/lb ai)	Inhalation <sup>d</sup> (mg/lb ai)	Baseline Dermal	Baseline Inhalation	Baseline Dermal + Baseline Inhalation MOE
			Mixe	r/Loader/	Applicator			
Mixing/ Loading/ Applying Liquid Concentra tes with Hose-End Sprayer	Greenhouse ornamentals (shrubs, trees)	0.00938	100	39	1.6	490	160000	490
Mixing/ Loading/ Applying Liquid Concentra tes with Hose-End Sprayer	Greenhouse ornamentals (flowering)	0.00938	100	34	0.82	560	310000	560
Mixing/ Loading/ Applying Wettable Powders with Hose-End Sprayer	Greenhouse ornamentals (flowering)	0.00625	100	34	0.82	850	460000	850

				Baseline Unit Exposure			MOEs	
Exposure Scenario	Crop or Target	App Rate <sup>a</sup> (lb ai /gallon)	Area Treated Daily <sup>b</sup> (gallons)	Dermal <sup>c</sup> (mg/lb ai)	Inhalation <sup>d</sup> (mg/lb ai)	Baseline Dermal	Baseline Inhalation	Baseline Dermal + Baseline Inhalation MOE

a Application rates are the maximum application rates determined from EPA registered labels for triadimefon.

### b. Residential Post-application Exposure and Risks

The Agency uses the term "post-application" to describe exposures to individuals that occur as a result of being in an environment that has been previously treated with a pesticide. Triadimefon can be used in many areas that can be frequented by the general population including residential areas (e.g., home lawns and gardens). As a result, individuals can be exposed by entering these areas if they have been previously treated.

Exposures were calculated by considering the potential sources of exposure (e.g., dislodgeable foliar residues (DFRs) on ornamental plants) for both triadimefon and triadimenol, then calculating dermal and non-dietary ingestion exposures and risks. Individuals of varying ages can potentially be exposed to triadimefon when they are in areas that have been previously treated. Post-application exposure scenarios were developed for each residential setting where triadimefon can be used. The scenarios that were assessed are dermal exposure to adults, dermal exposure to youths, and dermal and incidental oral exposure to toddlers from those uses which remain where there is a potential for post-application exposure.

### Risk Summary:

Risks were calculated using the Margin of Exposure (MOE) approach, which is a ratio of the body burden to the toxicological endpoint of concern. Risks to adults and youths are below the Agency's level of concern (See Table 11 & 12 below).

b Amount handled per day values are EPA estimates of acres, square feet, or cubic feet treated or gallons applied based on Exposure SAC, SOP #9 Standard Values for Daily Acres Treated in Agriculture, and industry sources, and EPA estimates.

c Baseline Dermal: Long-sleeve shirt, long pants, no gloves.

d Baseline Inhalation: no respirator.

Table 11. Adult Residential Risk Estimates for Post-application Exposure to Triadimefon (including Triadimenol)

Exposure Scenario	Route of Exposure	Formulation	Application Rate (lb ai/acre)	MOE at Day
Outdoor	s – with defau	lt transferable res	idues	
Home Garden (Ornamentals)	Dermal	Spray	0.25	4,800
Golfer	Dermal	Spray/Granular	1.3 (Bayer max residential)	12,000

Table 12. Youth Residential Risk Estimates for Post-application Exposure to Triadimefon (including Triadimenol)

Exposure Scenario	Route of Exposure	Formulation	Application Rate (lb ai/acre)	MOE at Day
Outdoor	rs – with defau	lt transferable re	esidues	
Home Garden (Ornamentals)	Dermal	Spray/Granular	0.25	13,000
Golfer	Dermal	Spray/Granular	1.3 (Bayer max residential)	7,800

The registrant has agreed to voluntarily cancel all residential turf use and include a pre-transplant interval of 17 days to sod farms in order to address any potential concerns for post-application exposure to toddlers.

Additional details regarding the residential exposure and risk assessments for triadimefon may be found in the following documents: *Triadimefon. Occupational and Residential Exposure Assessment for the Reregistration Eligibility Decision Document*, dated June 30, 2006.

### 6. Aggregate Exposure/Risk from Triadimefon and Triadimenol

The FQPA amendments to the FFDCA, section 408(b)(2)(A)(ii)) require the Agency to determine "that there is a reasonable certainty that no harm will result from aggregate exposure to the pesticide chemical residue, including all anticipated dietary exposures and other exposures for which there is reliable information." Aggregate exposure will typically include exposures from food, drinking water, residential uses of a pesticide, and other non-

occupational sources of exposure. When aggregating exposure and risk from various sources, the Agency considers the route and duration of exposure.

### Acute (Food and Water)

The Agency believes it is appropriate to use the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile as the level of concern (LOC) for the entire golf course because it is a highly conservative approach. The following factors provide the rationale for this approach: 1) the Agency assumes that 100 percent of the watershed is in golf courses (100 percent cropped area (PCA)), and therefore 100% of the watershed is turf treated with triadimefon; 2) residue estimates were modeled using PRZM-EXAMS, which usually results in higher water concentration values than would monitoring data; 3) the dose spacing between the NOAEL and LOAEL in the guideline acute and subchronic neurotoxicity studies for triadimefon are fairly large; and 4) the Agency assumes that the entire golf course is treated.

Combined acute dietary (food and water) risk estimates from pineapples (triadimefon) and drinking water (triadimefon from golf course application to entire golf course) plus seed treatment uses and banana import tolerance (triadimenol) are below the Agency's level of concern (<100% aPAD) at the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile of exposure (assuming 2 applications per year for turf at 2.7 lbs ai/A). The dietary exposure for acute food and drinking water is 33% of the aPAD for the U.S. population and 94% of the aPAD for all infants less than one year old, the most highly exposed population subgroup. A summary of acute dietary risk estimates (food + drinking water) is presented below in Table 13.

Table 13. Triadimefon and Triadimenol Results of Acute Dietary Exposure Analysis for Food + Drinking Water From the Golf Course (entire) Use Scenario Using DEEM-FCID

1 010				
		95 <sup>th</sup> Percentile		
Population Subgroup	aPAD (mg/kg/day)	Exposure (mg/kg/day)	% aPAD	
General U.S. Population	0.0034	0.001106	33	
All Infants (< 1 year old)	0.0034	0.003190	94	
Children 1-2 years old	0.0034	0.001959	58	
Children 3-5 years old	0.0034	0.001765	52	
Children 6-12 years old	0.0034	0.001210	36	

### Chronic (Food and Water)

Combined chronic dietary (food and water) risk estimates were derived from pineapples and drinking water (entire golf course-triadimefon) plus seed treatment uses and bananas import tolerance from triadimenol. Drinking water exposures are based on using the 1 in 10 year annual mean concentration assuming 2 applications per year for turf at 2.7 lbs ai/A. The dietary exposure for chronic food and drinking water is below the Agency's level of concern and is 18% of the cPAD for the U.S. population and 57% of the cPAD for non-

nursing infants, the most highly exposed population subgroup. A summary of chronic dietary risk estimates (food + drinking water) is presented below in Table 14.

Table 14. Triadimefon Results of Chronic Dietary Exposure Analysis for Food +

**Drinking Water From the Golf Course (entire) Using DEEM-FCID** 

		95 <sup>th</sup> Percentile		
Population Subgroup	cPAD (mg/kg/day)	Exposure (mg/kg/day)	% cPAD	
General U.S. Population	0.0034	0.000607	18	
Infants (non-nursing)	0.0034	0.001952	57	
Children 1-2 years old	0.0034	0.001108	33	
Children 3-5 years old	0.0034	0.001047	31	
Children 6-12 years old	0.0034	0.000720	21	

### <u>Short-term (Food + Water + Residential)</u>

The exposure attributable to residential uses of triadimefon were not initially aggregated with dietary sources of exposure (food plus drinking water) because at that time the dietary and residential exposure pathways separately exceeded the Agency's level of concern. The registrant agreed to voluntarily delete residential turf uses and all food uses (except pineapple) so that the aggregate risks are within acceptable levels. Taking into consideration the agreement to request deletion of these uses and mitigation requiring a 17-day post-application, pre-transplant interval for sod farms, risks will be below the Agency's level of concern.

Aggregate exposures to toddlers, youths, and adults could occur from triadime fon uses on golf courses and in residential settings from turf transplanted from sod farms. The Agency assessed short-term aggregate risks to adults and toddlers by calculating an aggregate risk MOE assuming background (chronic) exposure to triadime fon and triadimenol residues from dietary (food and water) sources. The chronic exposure from drinking water considers treatment of an entire golf course. Short-term aggregate risk, calculated as an MOE, is 1100 for toddlers (from the turf use), and 1300 for adults and for youths (from the golf course use). None of these estimates exceed the Agency's level of concern.

Aggregate exposures could also occur for adults making applications to residential ornamentals. Using this scenario and assuming an application rate of 0.005 to 0.0025 lb. ai/gallon with the highest exposure scenario that is still below the Agency's level of concern (mixing/loading/applying wettable powders with a low pressure handwand to greenhouse ornamentals), aggregate (food + water + residential) risk is below the Agency's level of concern (MOE = 1300).

The combined risk assessment for exposures to toddlers following the application of sod farm turf transplanted to a residential lawn are not a concern (MOEs > 1000) at day 17 following application to sod farms at the 2.7 lbs ai/A rate. Therefore, the labels for these

products must be restricted to not allow transplantation earlier than the 17<sup>th</sup> day after treatment.

### <u>Intermediate-term and Chronic</u> (Food + Water + Residential)

Intermediate-term and chronic residential exposures to triadime fon are not expected because of the intermittent nature of applications in residential settings. Therefore, intermediate-term chronic aggregate risk assessments were not conducted.

### 7. Pesticide and Pharmaceutical Co-Exposure Assessment for The Triazole Metabolites

FFDCA Section 408 requires EPA to consider potential sources of exposure to a pesticide and related substances in addition to the dietary sources expected to result from a pesticide use subject to a tolerance (legal limit for pesticide residue levels) in food or feed commodities. In determining whether to maintain a pesticide tolerance, EPA must "determine that there is a reasonable certainty of no harm..." in accordance with FFDCA, Section 408(b)(2)(A)(ii). The FDA regulates human drugs for safety and effectiveness under FFDCA section 505 and may approve use of a drug in humans notwithstanding the possibility that some individual patients may experience adverse side effects. EPA does not believe that, for purposes of the section 408 dietary risk assessment, it is compelled to treat a pharmaceutical patient the same as a non-patient, or to assume that combined exposures to pesticide and pharmaceutical residues that lead to a physiological effect in the patient constitutes "harm" under the meaning of section 408 of the FFDCA.

Rather, EPA believes that an appropriate way to consider the metabolite 1,2,4-triazole resulting from pharmaceutical use of triazole-derivative drugs would be to consider the additional contribution that non-occupational pesticide exposure would have to a pharmaceutical patient exposed to the same compound. Where the additional pesticide exposure has no more than a minimal impact on the pharmaceutical patient, EPA can make a "reasonable certainty of no harm" finding for the pesticide tolerances of that compound under FFDCA Section 408. If the potential impact on the pharmaceutical user as a result of co-exposure from pesticide use is more than minimal, then EPA would not be able to conclude that dietary residues were safe, and would need to discuss with FDA appropriate measures to reduce exposure from one or both sources.

As previously mentioned, triadimefon may share a common metabolite, 1,2,4-triazole, with triazole-derivative pharmaceutical compounds. Thus, EPA consulted with FDA on triazole drugs that could metabolize to 1,2,4-triazole and the Agencies concluded that only one compound, anastrozole, a chemotherapy drug used to treat breast cancer, had this metabolic pathway in humans. Because anastrozole is used at very small doses in a limited population of patients, EPA conducted a conservative screening-level assessment to determine whether the combined metabolites from triazole pesticide uses and anastrozole would adversely impact pharmaceutical users. EPA concluded that, using upper-bound estimates for metabolites of anastrozole, the combined metabolite exposure is below the Agency's level of concern. Because EPA is able to reach this conclusion with a screening-level assessment, the Agency has not conducted a more refined co-exposure assessment for

pharmaceutical uses as described above. Therefore, EPA concludes that the potential dietary pesticide exposure to triazole pesticide residues in food and water will result in no harm to a patient being treated with anastrozole. Please see the May 19, 2006, memo from FDA and the July 18, 2006, EPA document summarizing EPA and FDA discussions on potential free triazole metabolites of triazole derivative drugs, (both available in the public docket for triadimefon, EPA-HQ-OPP-2005-0258) for additional information.

#### 8. Cumulative Risk Assessment

Section 408(b)(2)(D)(v) of the FFDCA requires that, when considering whether to establish, modify, or revoke a tolerance, the Agency consider "available information" concerning the cumulative effects of a particular pesticide's residues and "other substances that have a common mechanism of toxicity." Other substances are considered to account for the possibility that low-level exposures to multiple chemical substances that cause a common effect by a common mechanism could lead to the same adverse health effect as would a higher level of exposure to each individual substance. For the purposes of this reregistration eligibility decision, EPA has concluded that triadimefon and triadimenol do not share a common mechanism of toxicity with other substances. The Agency reached this conclusion after a thorough internal review and external review of the data supporting a common mechanism of toxicity for a number of chemical classes. Triadimefon, triadimenol, and the other triazole fungicides share the common metabolites 1,2,4-triazole, triazole alanine, and triazole acetic acid, which are considered in this RED.

## 9. Occupational Risk

The registrant has agreed to voluntarily delete all uses on apples, pears, grapes, raspberries, and residential turf. Occupational post-application exposure and risk assessment for agricultural uses of triadimefon indicates that risks are not a concern at day 0 (i.e., 12 hours after application) for all use sites and all post-application activities.

Workers can be exposed to a pesticide through various routes/pathways. There is potential for exposure to triadimefon in occupational scenarios from handling triadimefon products during the application process (i.e., mixer/loaders, applicators, flaggers, and mixer/loader/applicators), and a potential for post-application worker exposure from entering into areas previously treated with triadimefon. In addition, there is potential exposure to workers who treat seed with triadimefon in commercial and nursery settings and to persons who plant treated seed. Occupational risk for all of these potentially exposed populations is measured by a MOE, which determines how close the occupational exposure comes to a dose level or NOAEL.

Occupational risk is assessed for exposure at the time of application (termed "handler" exposure) and following application (termed post-application exposure). Application parameters are generally defined by the physical nature of the formulation (e.g., formula and packaging), by the equipment required to deliver the chemical to the use site, and by the application rate required to achieve an efficacious dose. Post-application risk is assessed for activities such as scouting, irrigating, pruning, and harvesting, and is based primarily on dermal exposure estimates. Note that occupational risk estimates are intended

to represent pesticide workers, and on this basis assumptions are made concerning acres treated per day and the seasonal duration of exposure.

Triadimenol is only being reviewed for tolerance reassessment purposes. Currently registered uses do not involve occupational exposures. Therefore, no worker risk assessment was completed.

For more information on the assumptions and calculations of potential risk of triadimefon to workers, refer to the documents entitled: *Triadimefon. Occupational and Residential Exposure Assessment for the Reregistration Eligibility Decision Document,* dated June 30, 2006; and the *memorandum: Triadimefon/Triadimenol: Summary of Refinements and Revisions to the Human Health Risk Assessment,* dated July 10, 2006.

## a. Occupational Toxicity

Table 15 below provides a listing of the toxicological endpoints used in the triadimefon occupational risk assessment.

Table 15. Toxicological Endpoints for the Triadimefon Occupational Risk Assessment

Exposure Scenario	Dose Used in Risk Assessment, UF	Level of Concern for Risk Assessment	Study and Toxicological Effects				
Dermal Short-Term (1 - 30 days)	Dermal NOAEL = 300 mg/kg/day  UF = 100	Occupational MOE = 100	21 day dermal toxicity in rabbits. The LOAEL= 1000 mg/kg/day based on increased reactivity and activity in the females.				
Dermal Intermediate- Term (1 - 6 months)	Dermal NOAEL = 300 mg/kg/day UF = 100	Occupational MOE = 100	21 day dermal toxicity in rabbits. The LOAEL= 1000 mg/kg/day based on increased reactivity and activity in the females.				
Inhalation Short-Term (1 - 30 days)	NOAEL = 3.4 mg/kg/day (Inhalation absorption rate = 100%)	Occupational MOE = 100	Subchronic neurotoxicity study in rats. LOAEL = 54.6/68.7 mg/kg/day based largely on hyperactivity.				
Inhalation Intermediate- Term (1 - 6 months)	NOAEL = 3.4 mg/ kg/day (Inhalation absorption rate = 100%)	Occupational MOE = 100	Subchronic neurotoxicity study in rats. LOAEL = 54.6/68.7 mg/kg/day based largely on hyperactivity.				
Cancer (oral, dermal, inhalation)	Classification: Category C (possible human carcinogen) based on statistically significant increase in thyroid adenomas in male Wistar rats and statistically significant increases in hepatocellular adenomas in both sexes of the NMRI mouse.						

## b. Occupational Handler Exposure

Occupational handler risk estimates have been assessed for both short- and intermediate-term exposure durations. Due to the use patterns for triadimefon, long-term exposures are not expected. Since the endpoints and points of departure (PODs) are identical to assess short and intermediate exposure, these risk estimates represent both durations of exposure.

Occupational handler assessments are conducted using increasing levels of protection. The Agency typically evaluates all exposures with minimal protection and then considers additional protective measures using a tiered approach in an attempt to obtain an adequate MOE. The lowest tier is represented by the baseline clothing scenario (i.e., single layer clothing, socks, and shoes), followed by increasing levels of risk mitigation such as personal protective equipment (PPE) and engineering controls (EC). In the case of triadimefon, exposure to pesticide handlers is likely during the occupational use of the pesticide in a variety of occupational environments. The anticipated use patterns and current labeling indicate several occupational exposure scenarios based on the types of equipment and techniques that can potentially be used for triadimefon applications. Based on the use patterns, twenty-seven major occupational handler exposure scenarios (non-seed treatment) were identified. In addition, six major exposure scenarios were identified for pine seed treatment. The following exposure scenarios were used to develop the risk assessment for occupational handlers.

(*Non-seed treatment*)

#### Mixer/Loaders:

- (1a) Liquid Formulations to support Aerial Applications (PHED)
- (1b) Liquid Formulations to support Groundboom Applications (PHED)
- (1c) Liquid Formulations to support LCO Handgun Applications (PHED)
- (1d) Liquid Formulations to support Airblast Applications (PHED)
- (2a) Wettable Powders to support Aerial Applications (PHED)
- (2b) Wettable Powders to support Chemigation Applications (PHED)
- (2c) Wettable Powders to support Groundboom Applications (PHED)
- (2d) Wettable Powders to support LCO Handgun Applications (PHED)
- (2e) Wettable Powders to support Airblast Applications (PHED)
- (2f) Wettable Powders to support Rights-of-Way Applications (PHED)
- (3a) Water Dispersible Granules to support Aerial Applications (PHED)
- (3b) Water Dispersible Granules to support Chemigation Applications (PHED)
- (3c) Water Dispersible Granules to support Groundboom Applications (PHED)
- (3d) Water Dispersible Granules to support LCO Handgun Applications (PHED)
- (4a) Loading Granulars to support Aerial Applications (PHED)
- (4b) Loading Granulars to support Tractor Drawn Spreader Applications (PHED)

#### Applicators:

- (5) Aerial Spray Applications (PHED)
- (6) Aerial Granular Applications (PHED)
- (7) Groundboom Spray Applications (PHED)

- (8) Airblast Spray Applications (PHED)
- (9) Handgun Spray Applications (PHED)
- (10) Rights of Way Spray Applications (PHED)
- (11) Tractor-Drawn Spreader Granule Applications (PHED)

## Flaggers:

- (12) Flagging for Aerial Spray Applications (PHED)
- (13) Flagging for Aerial Granular Applications (PHED)

## Mixer/Loader/Applicators:

- (14) Liquid Formulations: Low Pressure Handward Sprayer (ORETF)
- (15) Wettable Powder Formulations: Low Pressure Handward Sprayer (PHED)
- (16) Water Dispersible Granules with Low Pressure Handward (using ORETF data for liquid formulations as a surrogate)
- (17) Liquid Formulations: Handgun Sprayer (ORETF)
- (18) Wettable Powders with a Handgun Sprayer (ORETF)
- (19) Water Soluble Bags with Handgun Sprayer (ORETF)
- (20) Dry Flowables Concentrates with a Handgun Sprayer (ORETF)
- (21) Liquid Formulations: High Pressure Sprayer (PHED)
- (22) Water Dispersible Granules: High Pressure Handward (PHED: liquid concentrates)
- (23) Wettable Powders: High Pressure Handward (PHED: liquid concentrates)
- (24) Liquids with an Tree Injector (no data)
- (25) RTU: Briquette (no data)
- (26) Liquid Formulations: Dip (no data)
- (27) Loading/Applying Granulars via Push Type Spreader (ORETF)

#### (Pine seed treatment)

- (S-1) on-nursery loading/applying with hopper or planter box seed treatment,
- (S-2) on-nursery loading/planting previously treated seeds,
- (S-3) on-nursery loading/applying wettable powders using cement mixer equipment (using PHED mixing/loading WP data),
- (S-4) on-nursery loading/applying dry flowables using cement mixer equipment (using PHED mixing/loading DF data),
- (S-5) on-nursery drying/raking/bagging treated seed,
- (S-6) loading and applying wettable powder formulations with commercial seed-treatment equipment,
- (S-7) commercial sewer stitching bags of seed,
- (S-8) bagging and otherwise handling treated seeds with commercial equipment, and
- (S-9) multiple commercial seed treatment activities.

#### c. Occupational Handler Risk Summary

The Agency has determined that there are potential exposures to individuals who mix, load, apply, and otherwise handle triadimefon during the usual use patterns associated with the pesticide's use.

In all non-seed treatment scenarios, combined dermal and inhalation MOEs meet or exceed the level of concern of 100 at some level of risk mitigation (personal protective equipment or engineering controls). In the majority of scenarios where data are available, combined dermal and inhalation risks are not a concern at baseline (long-sleeve shirt, long pants, shoes, socks, no respirator) or baseline plus chemical-resistant gloves. However, there are risk concerns requiring additional dermal or inhalation protection (e.g. a respirator or engineering controls) for several of the scenarios involving mixing/loading/applying wettable powder (See Table 16).

In all the in-nursery seed treatment scenarios where data are available, combined dermal and inhalation MOEs meet or exceed the required level of concern of 100 at baseline or baseline plus chemical-resistant gloves. For commercial seed treatment, combined dermal and inhalation risks meet or exceed the required MOE of 100 with baseline PPE.

Table 16. Combined Dermal plus Inhalation Handler Risks for Agricultural and Commercial Uses

Table 10. Col	able 16. Combined Dermai plus Innalation Handler Risks for Agricultural and Commercial Uses										
							Combined	l MOEs <sup>i</sup>			
Exposure Scenario	Crop or Target	App Rate (lb ai/acre) <sup>a</sup>	Area Treated Daily (acre) <sup>b</sup>	Baseline <sup>c</sup> Dermal + Baseline Inh	Single layer w/ gloves <sup>d</sup> Dermal + Baseline Inh	Double layer w/ gloves <sup>e</sup> Dermal + Baseline Inh	Single layer w/gloves Dermal + 80% R <sup>f</sup> Inh	Single layer w/ gloves Dermal + 90% R <sup>g</sup> Inh	Double layer w/ gloves Dermal + 80% R Inh	Double layer w/ gloves Dermal + 90% R Inh	Eng Control <sup>h</sup> Dermal + Inh
Mixer/Loader											
Mixing/Loading Liquid Concentrates for Injection	ornamentals (shade trees, woody shrubs)	0.000026 lb ai/inch of trunk	inch of trunk	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data
Mixing/Loading Wettable	Christmas trees	0.25	350	30	60	61	250				
Powders for Aerial	turf (sod farm)	2.7 (except CA)	350	2.7	5.6	5.6	23	38	24	42	680
Applications	pine seedlings	0.5	350	15	30	30	130				
Mixing/Loading Wettable Powders for Chemigation Applications	turf (sod farm)	2.7 (except CA)	350	2.7	5.6	5.6	23	38	24	42	680
Mixing/Loading	azaleas	0.005 lb ai/gallon	16000 gallons	32	66	67	270				
Wettable Powders for Groundboom	turf (sod farm)	2.7	80	12	24	25	100				
Applications	turf (golf course)	2.7	40	24	49	49	200				

							Combined	MOEsi			
Exposure Scenario	Crop or Target	App Rate (lb ai/acre) <sup>a</sup>	Area Treated Daily (acre) <sup>b</sup>	Baseline <sup>c</sup> Dermal + Baseline Inh	Single layer w/ gloves <sup>d</sup> Dermal + Baseline Inh	Double layer w/ gloves <sup>e</sup> Dermal + Baseline Inh	Single layer w/gloves Dermal + 80% R <sup>f</sup> Inh	Single layer w/ gloves Dermal + 90% R <sup>g</sup> Inh	Double layer w/ gloves Dermal + 80% R Inh	Double layer w/ gloves Dermal + 90% R Inh	Eng Control <sup>h</sup> Dermal + Inh
Mixing/Loading Wettable Powders to Support Rights- of-way sprayer	turf (golf course and sod farms)	2.7	80	12	24	25	100				
Applicator											
	Christmas trees	0.25	350	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	20000
Applying Sprays via Aerial Equipment	turf (sod farm)	2.7	350	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	1900
	pine seedlings	0.5	350	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	10000
Applying Granulars via Aerial Equipment	turf (sod farm)	2.6	350	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	200
				M	ixer/Loader/A	pplicator					
Mixing/Loading /Applying Wettable Powders with	turf (golf course, sod farms)	2.7	5	No Data	15	15	53	79	58	92	Not Feasible
Low Pressure Handwand (PHED)	pine seedlings	0.5	5	No Data	78	81	290				Not Feasible

							Combined	MOEsi			
Exposure Scenario	Crop or Target	App Rate (lb ai/acre) <sup>a</sup>	Area Treated Daily (acre) <sup>b</sup>	Baseline <sup>c</sup> Dermal + Baseline Inh	Single layer w/ gloves <sup>d</sup> Dermal + Baseline Inh	Double layer w/ gloves <sup>e</sup> Dermal + Baseline Inh	Single layer w/gloves Dermal + 80% R <sup>f</sup> Inh	Single layer w/ gloves Dermal + 90% R <sup>g</sup> Inh	Double layer w/ gloves Dermal + 80% R Inh	Double layer w/ gloves Dermal + 90% R Inh	Eng Control <sup>h</sup> Dermal + Inh
Mixing/Loading /Applying Liquids with an Injector	ornamentals (shade trees, woody shrubs)	0.000075 lb ai/1 inch of trunk circumfere nce	inch of trunk circumfe rence	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	Not Feasible
Mixing/Loading /Applying RTU Briquette	pine seedlings	0.00019 lb ai/seedling	seedlings	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	Not Feasible
Mixing/Loading	pine seed (nurseries)	0.0000625 lb ai/gallon	100 gallons	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data
/Applying Dip	pineapple (post-harvest)	0.0028 lb ai/gallon	100 gallons	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data

- Application rates are the maximum application rates determined from EPA registered labels for triadimefon. a
- b Amount handled per day values are HED estimates of acres treated per day based on Exposure SAC SOP #9 "Standard Values for Daily Acres Treated in Agriculture," industry sources, and HED estimates.
- Baseline = No gloves and no respirator (i.e., long sleeve shirt, long pants, shoes and socks. Single layer w/gloves is baseline attire plus chemical-resistant gloves. С
- d
- Double layer w/gloves is coveralls worn over long-sleeve shirt and long pants, plus chemical-resistant gloves (with headgear).
- 80% Respirator is quarter-face dust/mist respirator (that provides an 80% protection factor).
- 90% Respirator is half-face dust/mist respirator (that provides a 90% protection factor). g
- Engineering control is closed mixing/loading system, enclosed cab, or enclosed cockpit. h
- Combined MOEs =1/[(1/Dermal MOE) + (/Inhalation MOE)]

As noted above, there are no available data to assess the following: 1) mixing/loading/ applying liquids with a tree injector to ornamental trees and woody shrubs; 2) mixing/loading/applying dips to pineapples (pre-plant and post-harvest) and pine seed (nursery applications); 3) drying/raking/bagging treated pine seed; and 4) ready-to-use (RTU) briquette applications to pine seedlings. Therefore, the Agency is requiring the use of gloves to mitigate potential dermal exposure for these uses described above.

# d. Occupational Post-application Risk Summary

Triadimefon is currently labeled for use on grapes, turf (including residential, golf course and sod farm turf), ornamentals, apples, pears, Christmas trees, pine seedlings, and raspberries. Because of the use pattern, a wide array of individuals can potentially be exposed by working in areas that have been previously treated. However, the registrant has agreed to voluntarily delete all uses on apples, pears, grapes, raspberries, and residential turf.

The Agency has used the most up-to-date information available to complete the post-application risk assessment for triadimefon. Several data gaps exist, such as a lack of triadimefon-specific post-application studies and lack of transfer coefficients for certain crop activities. However, the existing database is adequate to assess post-application risk.

Occupational risks were calculated using a MOE, which is a ratio of the daily dose to the toxicological endpoint of concern. Post-application risks diminish over time because triadimefon residues eventually dissipate in the environment. Therefore, risks were calculated over time based on changing residue levels. Occupational post-application exposure and risk assessment for agricultural uses of triadimefon indicates that risks are not a concern at day 0 (i.e., 12 hours after application) for all use sites and all post-application activities. MOEs for occupational post-application risk range from 220 for transplanting, weeding (hand), harvesting (hand), and harvesting (mechanical) at the 2.7 lbs. ai/A rate on sod farms and golf course turf.

#### 10. Human Incident Data

In evaluating incidents to humans, the Agency reviewed reports from the National Poison Control Centers (PCC), California Department of Pesticide Regulation (CDPR), the Agency's Office of Pesticide Program's Incident Data System (IDS), the National Pesticide Information Center (NPIC), the National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health's Sentinel Event Notification System for Occupational Risks (NIOSH SENSOR) for poisoning incident data on triadimefon/triadimenol.

Data provided by the PCC reported nine incidents of occupational exposure to triadimefon and 46 non-occupational exposures from 1993 through 2003. Of the 46 non-occupational exposures, 13 occurred in children under six years of age. Of the total 20 cases with medically determined outcome, 11 reported minor medical outcome. From a total of 55 exposures to triadimefon, just four were seen in a health care facility and none required hospitalization. A review of symptoms revealed almost exclusively irritation effects (including rash and erythema) to skin, mouth, throat, and eyes. In addition, there were four

cases reporting headache and two reported cough. No other significant symptoms were reported.

The majority of triadimefon incidents (92%) reported by CDPR occurred prior to 1990. Most of the triadimefon cases (73%) involved use on grapes which is a labor intensive crop involving high exposure to foliar residues. Foliar residues accounted for half of the illnesses and nearly half of the systemic illnesses. Skin, eye irritation, and rash were among the most common topical symptoms. The most common systemic effects included nausea, headache, sneezing, congestion, difficulty breathing and other allergic-type reactions. In addition, there were three reports of vomiting. Furthermore, the registrant has voluntarily agreed to delete all food (apples, grapes, pears, and raspberries) uses.

Reports submitted to the IDS indicate incidents from various sources. The NPIC is a toll-free information service supported by OPP. A ranking of the top 200 active ingredients for which telephone calls were received during calendar years 1984-1991, inclusive has been prepared. The total number of calls was tabulated for the categories human incidents, animal incidents, calls for information, and others. Triadimefon was not reported on the list of the top 200 chemicals with incidents reported to NPIC. Triadimefon was associated with one case out of a total of 5,899 cases reported to NIOSH SENSOR between 1998 and 2003. However, this case was a duplicate of a CDPR case, which had already been reported.

<u>Conclusion:</u> Both California and Poison Control Center data show a pattern of irritative, but usually minor, symptoms from exposure to triadimefon. Irritation to skin, eyes, and respiratory passage occur readily among unprotected handlers (applicators and mixer/loaders) and among those who have substantial contact with foliage such as grape harvesters and tenders. Additionally, it should be noted that triadimefon is a skin sensitizer, and as such, may contribute to allergic-type reactions. Furthermore, the registrant has voluntarily agreed to delete all uses on apples, grapes, pears, and raspberries.

#### B. Environmental Fate and Effects Risk Assessment

Triadimefon has the following registered uses, which result in environmental exposures: applications to fruits (apples, grapes, pears, and raspberries) turf, pine seedlings, Christmas trees, and ornamentals. However, the registrant has agreed to voluntarily delete all use on apples, grapes, pears, raspberries, and residential turf. A summary of the Agency's environmental risk assessment for triadimefon is presented below. More detailed information associated with the environmental risk from the use of triadimefon can be found in the *Environmental Fate and Ecological Risk Assessment (Revised) for Triadimefon*, dated January 19, 2006 and *Response to Bayer Public Comments Regarding the Environmental Fate and Effects Division Triadimefon Risk Assessment*, dated June 1, 2006. These documents may be accessed in the OPP Public Docket (docket number EPA-HQ-OPP-2005-0258) at <a href="http://www.regulations.gov">http://www.regulations.gov</a>.

# 1. Environmental Fate and Transport

Triadimefon is stable to hydrolysis but degrades by photolysis in water with a half-life of 7.6 hours. In aerobic sandy loam soil, parent triadimefon degraded with a half life of 5.6 days, and in anaerobic sandy loam soil the half life was 23.1 days. In anaerobic aquatic environments, triadimefon had a half-life of 217 days. The primary degradate identified in environmental fate studies (aerobic/anaerobic soil and anaerobic aquatic) was triadimenol. The other degradates identified in these studies were: p-chlorophenol (photolysis water/soil), 4-chlorophenoxy-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl-methane (aerobic soil), and 1H-1,2,4-triazole (aerobic soil).

The environmental fate assessment was based on an evaluation of total triadimefon toxic residues, which includes both parent and the degradate triadimenol. Since approximately 75% of parent triadimefon degraded to triadimenol within 60 days post-application, for the purpose of modeling, physical characteristics that reflected combined properties of both compounds in proper relative proportions were used. Thus, for example, the aerobic soil half-life for total residues used in modeling was 240 days.

Both triadimefon and triadimenol were shown to be moderately soluble, and are therefore capable of being transported dissolved in water as surface runoff or as leachate to groundwater. The adsorption coefficients ( $K_{oc}$ ) for triadimefon and triadimenol were 387 L/kgoc and 365 L/kgoc (average of four soils), respectively. Triadimenol appears to be even more prone to leaching than triadimefon. This may be due partly to its formation in the aerobic subsurface, where subsequent rain or irrigation events can leach it deeper into the soil. However, due to its moderate mobility and adsorption characteristics, triadimefon is capable of persisting and accumulating in a variety of environmental conditions. Therefore, triadimefon is likely to be of greater concern for surface water runoff issues, but triadimenol is of greater concern for groundwater and apt to be more persistent than parent triadimefon in most settings.

## 2. Ecological Exposure and Risk

To estimate potential ecological risk, EPA integrates the results of exposure and ecotoxicity studies using the risk quotient method. Risk quotients (RQs) are calculated by dividing estimated environmental concentrations (EECs), based on environmental fate characteristics and pesticide use data, by ecotoxicity values for various wildlife and plant species. RQs are then compared to levels of concern (LOCs), and when the RQ exceeds the level of concern for a particular category, the Agency presumes a risk of concern to that category. See Table 17 for the Agency's LOCs. Risk characterization provides further information on potential adverse effects and the possible impact of those effects by considering the fate of the chemical and its degradates in the environment, organisms potentially at risk, and the nature of the effects observed. To the extent feasible, the Agency seeks to reduce environmental concentrations in an effort to reduce the potential for adverse effects to non-target organisms.

Table 17. EPA's Levels of Concern and Associated Risk Presumptions

Risk Presumption	LOC Terrestrial Animals	LOC Aquatic Animals	LOC Plants (Terrestrial/ Aquatic/Semi-Aquatic)
Acute Risk - there is potential for acute risk.	0.5	0.5	1
Acute Endangered Species - endangered species may be adversely affected.	0.1	0.05	1
Chronic Risk - there is potential for chronic risk.	1	1	N/A

For a more detailed explanation of the ecological risks posed by the use of triadimefon, refer to the "Environmental Fate and Effects Division Revised Risk Assessment for Triadimefon", dated January 19, 2006.

# a. Aquatic Organism Exposure and Risk

# 1) Fish, Invertebrate, and Aquatic Plant Toxicity

#### Freshwater and Estuarine/Marine Fish

Toxicity studies conducted using technical triadimefon demonstrate that it is slightly to moderately toxic to freshwater fish under acute exposure with  $LC_{50}$  values ranging from 4.1 ppm to 28 ppm (rainbow trout) and 10 ppm to 24.7 ppm (bluegill sunfish). Likewise, two freshwater toxicity studies were also conducted for the major triadimefon degradate, triadimenol. The freshwater fish acute toxicity values ( $LC_{50}$ ) for triadimenol indicate that triadimenol is slightly toxic on an acute basis.

Tables 18 and 19 summarize the data that support the acute toxicity endpoints used in assessing the risks to freshwater fish. No triadimefon or triadimenol estuarine/marine fish acute toxicity tests were submitted to the Agency.

Table 18. Acute Toxicity Endpoints for Freshwater Fish (Triadimefon)

Test Species/	% a.i.	96-hr LC <sub>50</sub> (ppm)	Toxicity Classification	MRID No. Author/Year
Cold water fish (Rainbow trout, Oncorhynchus mykiss	96.8	4.1	Moderately toxic	43256201 Bowers/1994

**Table 19. Acute Toxicity Endpoints for Freshwater Fish (Triadimenol)** 

Table 17. Medic Toxic	ity Enap	omes for the	311 Water 1 1311 ( 1 1 16	
Test Species/	% a.i.	96-hr LC <sub>50</sub> (ppm)	Toxicity Classification	MRID No. Author/Year
Warm water fish (Bluegill sunfish, <i>Lepomis macrochirus</i> )	92	14	Slightly toxic	071469 Lamb/1981

For chronic toxicity, a freshwater fish early life-stage test using technical triadime fon showed that the most sensitive species is the rainbow trout, with a NOAEL of 41 ppb (0.041 ppm). However, no chronic freshwater fish toxicity data were available for the degradate triadimenol. In addition, no triadime fon or triadimenol estuarine/marine fish chronic toxicity tests were submitted. Table 20 summarizes the data for the chronic toxicity endpoints used in assessing the risks to freshwater fish.

**Table 20.** Early Life-Stage Chronic Toxicity Endpoints for Freshwater Fish (Triadimefon)

Group (Test Species)	% a.i.	NOAEL (ppm)	LOAEL (ppm)	Endpoints Affected	MRID No. Author/Year
Cold water fish (Rainbow trout, Oncorhynchus mykiss)	93	0.041	0.116	Growth	251243 Carlisle/1983

## Freshwater and Estuarine/Marine Invertebrates

Toxicity studies conducted using technical triadimefon demonstrate that it is slightly to moderately acutely toxic to freshwater invertebrates, with  $LC_{50}$  values ranging from 1.6 to 11.3 ppm. Freshwater invertebrate acute toxicity tests were also performed for the degradate triadimenol, resulting in an  $LC_{50}$  value of 2.5 ppm (moderately toxic). Table 21 summarizes the data that support the acute toxicity endpoints used in assessing the risks to aquatic invertebrates. No triadimefon or triadimenol estuarine/marine invertebrate acute toxicity tests were submitted to the Agency.

**Table 21. Acute Toxicity Endpoints for Freshwater Invertebrates** 

Tuble 211 Heate 10ment	ity Enapoints for Freshwater invertebrates							
Test Species/ Flow-through	% a.i.	% a.i. 48-hr LC <sub>50</sub> Toxicity Classification		MRID No. Author/Year				
Triadimefon								
Invertebrate (Waterflea,	Tech.	1.6	Moderately	231311				
Daphnia magna)	Teen.	1.0	toxic	Lamb/1997				
Triadimenol								
Invertebrate (Waterflea, Daphnia magna)	92	2.5	Moderately toxic	071469 Lamb/1981				

A freshwater early life stage aquatic toxicity test using technical triadimefon is available for daphnia magna with a NOAEL of 0.052 ppm (decreased adult length). Table 22 summarizes the data for the chronic toxicity endpoint used in assessing the risks to freshwater invertebrates. No triadimenol freshwater invertebrate chronic toxicity data was submitted, and no triadimefon or triadimenol estuarine/marine invertebrate chronic toxicity tests were submitted.

**Table 22.** Early Life-Stage Chronic Toxicity Endpoints for Freshwater Invertebrates

Group (Test Species)	% a.i.	NOAEL (ppm)	LOAEL (ppm)	Endpoints Affected	MRID No. Author/Year
Triadimefon					
Invertebrate (Waterflea, Daphnia magna)	94.2	0.052	0.119	Adult length	41922102 Gagliano/1991

# Non-target Aquatic Plants

An aquatic plant toxicity study was performed for triadimefon on the technical formulated product on green algae, resulting in an  $EC_{50}$  value of 1.71 ppm. The  $EC_{50}$  value for triadimenol was 3.7 ppm. No studies were performed on vascular aquatic plant species. Table 23 summarizes the data for the plant toxicity endpoints used in assessing the risks to aquatic plants.

**Table 23. Toxicity Endpoints for Aquatic Plants (Nonvascular)** 

Species	% a.i.	EC50 (ppm)	EC <sub>05</sub> or NOAEC (ppm)	MRID No. Author/Year
Triadimefon				
Green algae	91.5	1.71	0.1	00159558
Scenedesmus subspicatus				Heimbach/1985
Triadimenol				
Green algae	94.9	3.7	0.32	266051
Scenedesmus subspicatus				Mobay/1986

## 2) Fish and Invertebrate Exposure

This assessment assumes exposure to both the parent triadimefon as well as triadimenol for aquatic organisms. OPP generally uses computer simulation models to estimate exposure of aquatic organisms, such as plants, fish, aquatic-phase amphibians, and invertebrates, to a pesticide. These models calculate estimated environmental concentrations (EECs) in surface water using laboratory data that describe the rate at which the pesticide breaks down and how it moves into the environment. The Pesticide Root Zone Model and Exposure Analysis Modeling System (PRZM-EXAMS) model is initially used to calculate high-end estimates of surface water concentrations of pesticide in a generic pond. This model was used to generate EECs of total triadimefon (parent + triadimenol) in surface water. The User's Manual and PRZM-EXAMS model description can be consulted for additional information at: <a href="www.epa.gov/offefed1/models/water/index.htm">www.epa.gov/offefed1/models/water/index.htm</a>. No EECs are generated in instances where no toxicity was observed at concentrations above the active ingredient's water solubility or at or above the recommended limit concentration for a particular type of study.

The Agency used PRZM-EXAMS (Exposure Analysis Modeling System) modeling to derive tier II estimated environmental concentrations (EECs) for triadimefon in surface water. Unlike the drinking water assessment described in the human health risk assessment section of this document, the ecological water resource assessment does not include the Index

Reservoir (IR) and Percent-Crop Area (PCA) factor refinements. The IR and PCA factors represent a drinking water reservoir, not the variety of aquatic habitats, such as ponds adjacent to treated fields, relevant to a risk assessment for aquatic animals. The assumptions used represent pesticide transport in surface water in a standard pond (10,000-m² pond, 2-m deep), with the assumption that the small field (10-ha agricultural field) is cropped at 100%. Therefore, the EEC values used to assess exposure to aquatic organisms are not the same as the values used to assess human dietary exposure from drinking water sources.

Several scenarios chosen to represent different U.S. regions and uses were modeled for each use and can be found in the environmental fate and effects assessment for triadimefon. The turf scenarios gave the maximum EECs, and so were chosen for regulatory purposes. The EEC values used to assess exposure to aquatic organisms can be found in the *Environmental Fate and Ecological Risk Assessment (Revised) for Triadimefon*, dated January 19, 2006.

#### 3) Fish and Invertebrate Risk

# Fish, Aquatic Invertebrates, and Aquatic Plants

There would be no LOC exceedances for fish, aquatic invertebrates, or aquatic non-vascular plants for Bayer's newly proposed turf maximum application rate of 2 applications of 2.7 lbs. ai/A at 14 day intervals. There were no studies available to assess risk to aquatic vascular plants (See Table 24).

Table 24. Aquatic Organism RQ calculations

Crop	Peak	Acute Risk	_		Chronic Risk Quotients			
App. rate (# of apps., and interval between apps.)  EEC (ppb)	Freshwate r Fish LC <sub>50</sub> =4100 ppb	Freshwater Invert. $LC_{50} = 1600$ ppb	Aquatic non-vascular plants  EC50 = 17000 ppb	60 Day EEC	21 Day EEC	Freshwat er Fish NOEAC = 41 ppb	Freshwater Invertebrate NOEAC = 52 ppb	
FL turf 2.7 lbs. a.i./acre (2 app., 14 day intervals)	40.65	<loc< td=""><td><loc< td=""><td><loc< td=""><td>27.2</td><td>33.36</td><td>&lt; LOC</td><td><loc< td=""></loc<></td></loc<></td></loc<></td></loc<>	<loc< td=""><td><loc< td=""><td>27.2</td><td>33.36</td><td>&lt; LOC</td><td><loc< td=""></loc<></td></loc<></td></loc<>	<loc< td=""><td>27.2</td><td>33.36</td><td>&lt; LOC</td><td><loc< td=""></loc<></td></loc<>	27.2	33.36	< LOC	<loc< td=""></loc<>

## 4) Non-target Aquatic Plants

Risks were below the Agency's level of concern for non-target aquatic non-vascular plants. No toxicity data were available to assess risks to non-target aquatic vascular plants.

# b. Terrestrial Organism Exposure and Risk

# 1) Bird and Mammal Toxicity

#### **Birds**

Triadimefon is classified as practically non-toxic to birds with acute oral  $LD_{50}$  values exceeding the highest dose tested (>4000 mg ai/kg bw) and (>2000 mg ai/kg bw) via an 8-day and 14-day test, respectively. With dietary  $LC_{50}$  values between >4640 ppm to >10,000 ppm triadimefon is classified as practically non-toxic on a subacute dietary basis.

Avian single dose oral toxicity studies were also performed on the major degradate triadimenol. The acute oral ( $LD_{50}$ ) toxicity of triadimenol to bobwhite quail exceeded the highest dose tested (>2000 mg ai/kg) (MRID 126276). Additionally, a triadimenol subacute dietary study was performed with mallard ducks, and no mortality or signs of toxicity were seen at any doses ( $LC_{50}$  >5000 ppm; MRID 00126277). The results of both studies demonstrate that triadimenol is practically nontoxic to birds on an acute oral and subacute dietary basis. Table 25 summarizes the data that support the acute and subacute toxicity endpoints used in assessing the risks to birds for both triadimefon and its degradate triadimenol.

**Table 25. Acute Toxicity to Birds** 

Endpoint	Chemical	Environment/ Species	Toxicity Value Used in Risk Assessment	Reference	Toxicity Classification
Acute Toxicity to Birds	Triadimefon	Bobwhite quail	LD <sub>50</sub> = >2000 mg ai/kg bw	MRID 41895901	Practically non-toxic
	Triadimenol	Bobwhite quail	$LD_{50} = >2000$ mg ai/kg bw	MRID 071469	Practically non-toxic
Subacute Toxicity to Birds	Triadimefon	Bobwhite quail	$LC_{50} = 8392$ ppm	MRID 00050066	Practically non- toxic
	Triadimenol	Mallard duck	LC <sub>50</sub> >5000 ppm	MRID 00126277	Practically non-toxic

For chronic toxicity, avian reproduction toxicity tests were performed using technical triadimefon resulting in a NOAEL of 20 ppm ai (bobwhite quail). In addition, avian reproduction toxicity tests were also performed for the degradate triadimenol with a NOAEL of 100 ppm ai (mallard duck). Table 26 summarizes the data for the chronic toxicity endpoints used in assessing the risks to birds.

Table 26. Chronic Toxicity to Birds

Species	% a.i.	NOAEL (ppm ai)	LOAEL (ppm ai)	LOAEL Endpoints	MRID No. Author/Year
Triadimefon					
Northern	93	20	100	Eggs cracked, decrease in	110430 Lamb/1982

bobwhite			fertile eggs, decrease in viable embryos, hatchling, 14-day old survivors		
Triadimenol					
Mallard duck	97	100	500	Eggs laid, decrease in viability, hatchability, 14-day survivors	40283102 Carlisle/1984

## **Mammals**

Triadimefon is classified as slightly toxic to mammals on an acute basis with  $LD_{50}$  values of 1470 mg/kg (males) and 1090 mg/kg (females). Acute toxicity tests showed  $LD_{50}$  values of 689 mg/kg (males) and 752 mg/kg (females) for the degradate triadimenol, classifying it as slightly toxic to mammals (see Table 27).

**Table 27. Summary of Acute Toxicity Endpoints for Mammals** 

Endpoint	Chemical	Species	Toxicity Used in Risk Assessment (Most sensitive Endpoints)	Reference:	Classification
Acute Toxicity	Triadimef on	Rat	$LD_{50} = 1090 \text{ mg/kg}$ (females)	MRID 00264276	Acceptable
to Mammals	Triadimen ol	Rat	$LD_{50} = 689 \text{ mg/kg}$ (males)	MRID 00125411	Acceptable

Chronic toxicity data for mammals from the 2-generation rat reproduction study testing triadimefon indicate decreased pup weights and viability in the  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  generations and decreased litter size in the  $F_2$  generation with an offspring NOAEL of 50 ppm ai. In addition, chronic toxicity tests for the degradate triadimenol showed decreased pup weights with a NOAEL of 100 ppm ai and a LOAEL of 500 ppm ai (see Table 28).

**Table 28. Summary of Chronic Toxicity Endpoints for Mammals** 

Species	Test Type/ Classification	Toxicity Values Used in Risk Assessment	Affected Endpoints	MRID No.
Triadimefon				
Laboratory Rat (Rattus norvegicus)	2-generation reproduction	Offspring NOAEL = 50 ppm  Offspring LOAEL = 1800 ppm	Decreased pup weight and viability.	00155075 92188019 92188320
Triadimenol				
Laboratory Rat (Rattus norvegicus)	2-generation reproduction	Parental NOAEL = 100 ppm Parental LOAEL = 500 ppm	Decreased body weights and weight gain	00151248
		Offspring NOAEL =	Decreased pup	

Species	Test Type/ Classification	Toxicity Values Used in Risk Assessment	Affected Endpoints	MRID No.
		100 ppm Offspring LOAEL =	weight	
		500 ppm		

## 2) Bird and Mammal Exposure

Pesticide residues on food items are estimated based on the assumption that terrestrial organisms are exposed to a single pesticide residue in a given exposure scenario. Application methods for liquid triadimefon formulations include ground spray, aerial spray, and chemigation. Additionally, granular triadimefon is broadcast on residential lawns and turf (no soil incorporation).

The Agency assessed exposure to terrestrial organisms first predicting the amount of triadimefon residues found on animal food items and then using information on typical food consumption by various species of birds and mammals to determine the amount of pesticide consumed. Estimated exposure concentrations for terrestrial animals (via spray applications) were determined by using the standard screening-level exposure model, TREX (v.1.2) (US EPA, 2005), which calculates pesticide residues on each type of food item on a daily interval for one year. Also, registrant supported golf course use rates and application intervals were used (2 applications @ 2.7 lbs. ai/A).

For a single application there is a linear relationship between the amount of pesticide applied and the amount of pesticide residue present on a given food item. These relationships for the various food items are determined from the Kenaga nomogram, which is a model developed by Hoerger and Kenaga (1972) and modified by Fletcher (1994). In addition to incorporating the nomogram relationship, TREX also includes pesticide degradation in the estimation of EECs.

#### 3) Bird and Mammal Risk

#### Birds

There are acute and chronic LOC exceedances for birds. The turf maximum application rate of 2 applications of 2.7 lbs. ai/A at 14 day intervals results in acute RQ LOC exceedances that are 0.14 for short grass only and chronic RQ LOC exceedances that range from 4 to 57. Table 29 shows the avian acute and chronic risk quotients for turf use of triadimefon. An avian acute assessment was not conducted for granular applications because definitive avian LD<sub>50</sub> values were not available for triadimefon (no mortalities or signs of toxicity were seen in the study at the highest exposure level).

Table 29. Avian Dietary-Based Acute and Chronic RQs for turf uses of Triadimefon

(based on NOAEC of 20 mg/kg diet) based on upper-bound Kenaga values.

Use	Food Items	Upper Bound	Acute Dietary-	Chronic Dietary-
(Application Rate)		EEC (mg/kg)	Based RQ	Based RQ
			(EEC/LC50)	(EEC/NOAEC)
	Short grass	1139	0.14	57
Turf (2.7 lbs.	Tall grass	522	0.06	26
a.i./A, 2 applications, 14 day	Broadleaf plants/small insects	641	0.08	32
interval)	Fruits, pods, seeds, large insects	71	0.01	4

#### Mammals

For spray application, there are mammalian acute LOC exceedances (LOC > 0.5) for small and medium (15 and 35g) mammals which consume short grass treated with 2 applications @ 2.7 lbs. ai/A with a 14-day interval. Endangered species LOCs are exceeded (LOC> 0.1) for all weight classes of mammals assessed. Acute RQs are summarized below in Table 33.

The predicted triadimefon granular EEC values resulting from residential application at a rate of 2.7 lbs. ai/A (2 applications, 14-day interval) is 28.12 mg/ft<sup>2</sup> (See Table 30).

Table 30. Mammalian Dose-based Acute RQs for turf uses of Triadimefon (based on Triadimenol  $LD_{50}$  of 689 mg/kg in rats) based on upper-bound Kenaga values.

1 Hadimenor LD <sub>50</sub> or 009 mg/kg in rats) based on upper-bound Kenaga values.								
	Spray Application							
Use	Body Weight	Adjusted	Mar	nmalian D	Oose-based Acut	e Risk Quotie	nts	
	(g)	$\mathrm{LD}_{50}$	Short grass	Tall grass	Broadleaf plants/ small insects	Fruits/pod s/ large insects	Seeds	
Turf (2.7 lbs.	15	1514	0.72	0.33	0.40	0.04	0.01	
ai/A, 2 applications, 14	35	1225	0.61	0.28	0.34	0.04	0.01	
day interval)	1000	530	0.33	0.15	0.18	0.02	0.00	
		Gra	nular Applic	ation				
Use	Body Weight (g)	Mg a.i./sq ft	Adjusted LD <sub>50</sub>		Ri	sk Quotient		
Turf (2.7 lbs.	15	28.12	1514			1.24		
ai/A, 2 applications, 14	35	28.12	122	.5	0.66			
day interval)	1000	28.12	530	)	0.05			

In addition, there are LOC exceedences of mammalian chronic risks ranging from 1.42 to 23 for the turf maximum application rate of 2 applications of 2.7 lbs. a.i./A at 14 day intervals (See Table 31).

Table 31. Mammalian Dietary-based Chronic RQs for selected uses of non-granular Triadimefon (based on triadimefon rat NOAEL of 50mg/kg diet) and upper-bound Kenaga values.

3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1					
Use	Dietary-based Chronic Risk Quotients				
	Short Grass	Tall Grass	Broadleaf plants	Fruits/pods/ large insects/seeds	
Turf (2.7 lbs. ai/A, 2 applications, 14 day interval)	22.78	10.44	12.81	1.42	

## Additional/Potential Dietary Risks to Birds and Mammals

Based on the use of a fugacity-based (equilibrium partitioning) approach, an estimation of the concentration of triadimefon and its degradates in earthworms were calculated in order to determine the possible contribution of earthworm (and other terrestrial invertebrate) consumption to mammal and avian risk. The calculated earthworm tissue concentrations of triadimefon/triadimenol suggest that ingestion of earthworms by birds and mammals based on dietary dose (triadimefon NOAEC = 20 mg/kg diet and NOAEL = 2.5 mg/kg bw, respectively) could be another exposure pathway contributing to chronic risk, although the low earthworm concentrations would necessitate consumption of a large number of worms.

# 4) Non-target Terrestrial Plants

# Non-target Terrestrial Plants

No guideline studies evaluating the toxicity of triadimefon to terrestrial plants have been submitted to the Agency. However, although several other studies within the Agency's database were not suitable for use in determining toxicity endpoints, they can be interpreted qualitatively to demonstrate *potential* terrestrial plant risk from triadimefon. One such study is summarized below.

The regrowth of Kentucky bluegrass was inhibited following treatment of triadimefon. The same study showed a height decrease in kidney bean plants. Although the study did not provide adequate information to quantitatively calculate RQ values for non-target terrestrial plants, the results suggest that triadimefon may have negative effects on both monocot and dicot non-target terrestrial plants. Please refer to the environmental fate and effects risk assessment for more detailed information.

Based on the supported maximum 2 applications for the golf course use pattern for a maximum single application of 2.7 lbs. a.i./acre, there would be no LOC exceedances for non-vascular aquatic plants.

## 5) Non-target Insects

EPA currently does not quantify risks to terrestrial non-target insects; therefore, risk quotients are not calculated for these organisms. Based on the acute contact toxicity study to honeybees, the  $LD_{50}$  for triadimefon is >25 ug ai/bee (MRID 42307804), which classifies it as practically non-toxic to honeybees. No data were available for the degradate triadimenol.

#### 3. Ecological Incidents

A review of the Ecological Incident Information System (EIIS) was completed on April 21, 2005. No incidents involving triadimefon or its metabolite triadimenol were reported.

#### 4. Endangered Species

The Agency's screening level ecological risk assessment for endangered species results in the determination that triadimefon will have no direct acute effects on threatened and endangered freshwater fish, and freshwater aquatic invertebrates. However, the assessment indicates that triadimefon has the potential for causing risk to endangered birds, mammals, and non-target plants. Further, potential indirect effects to any species dependent upon a species that experiences effect cannot be precluded from use of triadimefon. These findings are based solely on EPA's screening level assessment and do not constitute "may effect" findings under the Endangered Species Act.

## IV. Risk Management, Reregistration, and Tolerance Reassessment Decision

## A. Determination of Reregistration Eligibility and Tolerance Reassessment

Section 4(g)(2)(A) of FIFRA calls for the Agency to determine, after submission of relevant data concerning an active ingredient, whether or not products containing the active ingredient are eligible for reregistration. The Agency has previously identified and required the submission of the generic (i.e., active ingredient-specific) data required to support reregistration of products containing triadimefon as an active ingredient. The Agency has completed its review of these generic data, and has determined that the data are sufficient to support reregistration of all products containing triadimefon.

The Agency has completed its assessment of the dietary, occupational, residential, and ecological risk associated with the use of pesticide products containing the active ingredient triadimefon. Based on a review of these data and on public comments on the Agency's assessments for triadimefon, the Agency has sufficient information on the human health and ecological effects to make decisions as part of the tolerance reassessment process under FFDCA and reregistration process under FIFRA, as amended by FQPA. The Agency has determined that products containing triadimefon are eligible for reregistration provided that: (i) required product-specific data are submitted; (ii) risk mitigation measures outlined in this document are adopted (including requests for deletion of certain uses); and (iii) label

amendments are made to reflect these measures. Label changes are described in Section V. Appendix A summarizes the uses of triadimefon that are eligible for reregistration. Appendix B identifies the generic data requirements that the Agency reviewed as part of its determination of reregistration eligibility of triadimefon, and lists the submitted studies that the Agency found acceptable.

Based on its evaluation of triadimefon, the Agency has determined that triadimefon products, unless labeled and used as specified in this document, would present risks inconsistent with FIFRA. Accordingly, should a registrant fail to implement any of the risk mitigation measures identified in this document, the Agency may take regulatory action to address the risk concerns from the use of triadimefon. If all changes outlined in this document are implemented, then all current risks for triadimefon will be adequately mitigated for the purposes of this determination under FIFRA. Additionally, once an endangered species assessment is completed, further changes to these registrations may be necessary, as explained in Section IV..D..4 of this document. Once the uses that result in risks of concern are deleted and a cancellation order is issued, the Agency will take the appropriate regulatory action under FFDCA to revoke the associated tolerances.

Triadimenol, a metabolite of triadimefon, is also a registered fungicide. Triadimenol was first registered after 1984 and is not subject to reregistration under the 1988 amendments to FIFRA. Triadimenol is, however, subject to tolerance reassessment under the FFDCA, as amended by FQPA. Based on its evaluation of combined exposures from the uses of triadimefon, triadimenol, and their metabolites, the Agency has determined that the tolerances for triadimefon and triadimenol are considered to be reassessed under FFDCA.

## **B.** Public Comments and Responses

Through the Agency's public participation process, EPA worked extensively with stakeholders and the public to reach the regulatory decisions for triadimefon. EPA released its preliminary risk assessments for triadimefon and triadimenol for public comment on February 16, 2006, for a 60-day public comment period (Phase 3 of the public participation process). During the public comment period on the risk assessments, which closed on April 17, 2006, the Agency received comments from a public citizen, nursery and forestry cooperatives, the U.S. Triazole Task Force, and the technical registrant Bayer CropScience. These comments in their entirety, responses to the comments, as well as the preliminary and revised risk assessments, are available in the public docket for triadimefon (EPA-HQ-OPP-2005-0258) and for triadimenol (EPA-HQ-OPP-2006-0038) in the EPA's electronic docket at <a href="http://www.regulations.gov">http://www.regulations.gov</a>.

# C. Regulatory Position under the FFDCA

- 1. Food Quality Protection Act Findings
  - a. "Risk Cup" Determination

As part of the FQPA tolerance reassessment process, EPA assessed the risks associated with this pesticide. The Agency has determined that, if the mitigation described in this document is adopted and labels are amended, human health risks as a result of exposures to triadimefon and triadimenol are within acceptable levels. In other words, EPA has concluded that the tolerances for triadimefon and triadimenol will meet FQPA safety standards. In reaching this determination, EPA has considered the available information on the special sensitivity of infants and children, as well as exposures to triadimefon and triadimenol from all possible sources. Furthermore, if the registrant does not cancel the uses agreed to or make the mitigation changes necessary to meet the safety determination, the Agency will take the appropriate regulatory action.

# b. Determination of Safety to U.S. Population

The Agency has determined that the established tolerances for triadimefon and triadimenol, with amendments and changes as specified in this document, will meet the safety standards under the FQPA amendments to section 408(b)(2)(D) of the FFDCA, and that there is a reasonable certainty no harm will result to the general population or any subgroup from the use of triadimefon and triadimenol. In reaching this conclusion, the Agency has considered all available information on the toxicity, use practices and exposure scenarios, and the environmental behavior of triadimefon and triadimenol and their free triazole degradates.

As discussed in Section III, the aggregate risks from triadimefon and triadimenol from food, drinking water, and residential exposure are not of concern, provided the mitigation measures described in this document are implemented through amendments to existing registrations. Furthermore, aggregate risks from the free triazoles (1,2,4-triazole, triazole acetic acid, and triazole alanine) are not of concern. The aggregate risk assessment for the free triazoles considers all currently registered uses of all triazole fungicides.

## c. Determination of Safety to Infants and Children

EPA has determined that the established tolerances for triadime on and triadimenol, with amendments and changes as specified in this document, will meet the safety standards under the FQPA amendments to section 408(b)(2)(C) of the FFDCA, that there is a reasonable certainty of no harm for infants and children. The safety determination for infants and children considers factors on the toxicity, use practices and environmental behavior noted above for the general population, but also takes into account the possibility of increased dietary exposure due to the specific consumption patterns of infants and children, as well as the possibility of increased susceptibility to the toxic effects of triadimefon and triadimenol residues in this population subgroup.

## d. Endocrine Disruptor Effects

EPA is required under the FFDCA, as amended by FQPA, to develop a screening program to determine whether certain substances (including all pesticide active and other ingredients) "may have an effect in humans that is similar to an effect produced by a

naturally occurring estrogen, or other endocrine effects as the Administrator may designate." Following recommendations of its Endocrine Disruptor Screening and Testing Advisory Committee (EDSTAC), EPA determined that there was a scientific basis for including, as part of the program, the androgen and thyroid hormone systems, in addition to the estrogen hormone system. EPA also adopted EDSTAC's recommendation to include evaluations of potential effects in wildlife. For pesticides, EPA will use FIFRA and, to the extent that effects in wildlife may help determine whether a substance may have an effect in humans, FFDCA authority to require the wildlife evaluations. As the science develops and resources allow, screening of additional hormone systems may be added to the Endocrine Disruptor Screening Program (EDSP).

#### e. Cumulative Risks

Section 408(b)(2)(D)(v) of FFDCA requires that, when considering whether to establish, modify, or revoke a tolerance, the Agency consider "available information" concerning the cumulative effects of a particular pesticide's residues and "other substances that have a common mechanism of toxicity." Other substances are considered to account for the possibility that low-level exposures to multiple chemical substances that cause a common effect by a common mechanism could lead to the same adverse health effect as would a higher level of exposure to each individual substance. For the purposes of this regulatory decision, EPA has concluded that triadimefon and triadimenol do not share a common mechanism of toxicity with other substances. The Agency reached this conclusion after a thorough internal review and external review of the data supporting a common mechanism of toxicity for a number of chemical classes. For information regarding EPA's efforts to determine which chemicals have a common mechanism of toxicity and to evaluate the cumulative effects of such chemicals, see the policy statements released by EPA's Office of Pesticide Programs concerning common mechanism determinations and procedures for cumulating effects from substances found to have a common mechanism on EPA's website http://www.epa.gov/pesicides/cumulative/.

## 2. Tolerance Summary for Triadimefon

Tolerances of triadimefon in/on plant and livestock commodities (40 CFR § 180.410) are presently expressed in terms of residues of triadimefon and its metabolites containing chlorophenoxy and triazole moieties (expressed as the parent compound).

## a. Tolerances Currently Listed Under 40 CFR §180.410

Tolerances are established for residues of triadimefon [1-(4-chlorophenoxy)-3,3-dimethyl-1-(1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)-2-butanone] and its metabolites containing chlorophenoxy and triazole moieties (expressed as the parent compound) in/on various plant and animal commodities [40 CFR §180.410]. The established tolerances for residues in plant and animal commodities [40 CFR §180.410(a)] range from 0.04 ppm (milk, hog, and poultry commodities) to 145 ppm (grass seed cleanings). A tolerance with regional registration is established for triadimefon and its metabolites in/on raspberries at 2.0 ppm [40 CFR

§180.410(c)]. However, all triadimefon tolerances (except pineapple) will be proposed for revocation.

Residues of triadimenol (and its butanediol metabolite KWG 1342), from use of triadimenol *per se*, are regulated separately under 40 CFR §180.450. In addition, 40 CFR §180.3(d)(13) specifies that where tolerances are established for residues of both 1-(4-chlorophenoxy)-3,3-dimethyl-1-(1H-1,2,4-triazole-1-yl)-2-butanone (triadimefon) and  $\beta$ -(4-chlorophenoxy)- $\alpha$ -(1,1-dimethylethyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-1-ethanol (triadimenol) including its butanediol metabolite, 1-(4-chlorophenoxy)-3,3-dimethyl-3-hydroxymethyl-1-(1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)-2-butanol (KWG1342), in or on the same raw agricultural commodity and its products thereof, the total amount of such residues shall not yield more residue than that permitted by the higher of the two tolerances. Currently, triadimefon and triadimenol do not share any uses, so 40 CFR §180.3(d)(13) should be deleted. A tolerance summary for triadimefon is presented in Table 32.

Table 32. Tolerance Summary for Triadimefon

Commodity	Current Tolerance (ppm)	Reassessed Tolerance (ppm)	Comments		
	Tolerances E	stablished Under 40 (	CFR §180.410(a)		
Apple	Apple 1 Revoke Bayer is voluntarily deleting this use.				
Apple, wet pomace and Apple, dry pomace	4	Revoke	Bayer is voluntarily deleting this use.		
Barley, milled fractions (except flour)	4	Revoke	Currently, Bayer does not have any registered uses of triadimefon on barley.		
Beet, sugar	0.5	Danielia	Currently, Bayer does not have any registered		
Beet, sugar, tops	3	Revoke	uses of triadimefon on sugar beets.		
Cattle, fat	1	Revoke	Currently, Bayer does not have any registered uses of triadimefon on any cattle feed items.		
Cattle, meat	1	Revoke	40 CFR §180.6(a)(3) It is not possible to		
Cattle, meat byproducts	1	Revoke	establish with certainty whether finite residues will be incurred but there is no reasonable expectation of finite residues.		
Chickpea, seed	0.1	Revoke	Currently, Bayer does not have any registered uses of triadimefon on chickpea plants.		
Cucurbits	0.3	Revoke	Currently, Bayer does not have any registered uses of triadimefon on chickpea plants.		
Eggs	0.04	Revoke	Currently, Bayer does not have any registered uses of triadimefon on any poultry feed items.  40 CFR §180.6(a)(3) It is not possible to establish with certainty whether finite residues will be incurred but there is no reasonable expectation of finite residues.		
Goat, fat	1	Revoke	Currently, Bayer does not have any registered uses of triadimefon on any goat feed items.  40 CFR §180.6(a)(3) It is not possible to		

Commodity	Current Tolerance (ppm)	Reassessed Tolerance (ppm)	Comments
Goat, meat	1	Revoke	establish with certainty whether finite residues will be incurred but there is no reasonable
Goat, meat byproducts	1	Revoke	expectation of finite residues.
Grape	1	Revoke	Bayer is voluntarily deleting this use.
Grape, wet pomace and Grape, dry pomace	3	Revoke	No longer considered significant livestock feed items.
Grape, raisin, waste	7		
Grass, forage	0.2		Currently, Bayer does not have any registered
Grass, seed screenings	145	Revoke	uses of triadimefon on pasture or rangeland.
Grass, straw, grown for seed	105		
Hog, fat	0.04		Currently, Bayer does not have any registered uses of triadimefon on any hog feed items.
Hog, meat	0.04	Revoke	40 CFR §180.6(a)(3) It is not possible to establish with certainty whether finite residues
Hog, meat byproducts	0.04		will be incurred but there is no reasonable expectation of finite residues.
Horse, fat	1		Currently, Bayer does not have any registered uses of triadimefon on any horse feed items.
Horse, meat	1	Revoke	40 CFR §180.6(a)(3) It is not possible to
Horse, meat byproducts	1		establish with certainty whether finite residues will be incurred but there is no reasonable expectation of finite residues.
		Revoke	Currently, Bayer does not have any registered uses of triadimefon on any cattle feed items.
Milk	0.04		40 CFR §180.6(a)(3) It is not possible to establish with certainty whether finite residues will be incurred but there is no reasonable expectation of finite residues.
Nectarine	4	Revoke	Currently, Bayer does not have any registered uses of triadimefon on nectarine.
Pear	1	Revoke	Bayer is voluntarily deleting this use.
Pineapple, fresh	3	2	Re-evaluation of data from field trials shows lower residues are expected.
Poultry, fat	0.04		[Pineapple] Currently, Bayer does not have any registered uses of triadimefon on any poultry feed items.
Poultry, meat	0.04		40 CFR \$180.6(a)(3) It is not possible to
Poultry, meat byproducts	0.04	Revoke	establish with certainty whether finite residues will be incurred but there is no reasonable expectation of finite residues.

Commodity	Current Tolerance (ppm)	Reassessed Tolerance (ppm)	Comments
Raspberry	2	Revoke	Bayer is voluntarily deleting this use.  40 CFR §180.410(c) A tolerance with regional registration is established for triadimefon and its metabolites in/on raspberries.
Sheep, fat	1		Currently, Bayer does not have any registered uses of triadimefon on any sheep feed items.  40 CFR §180.6(a)(3) It is not possible to
Sheep, meat	1	Revoke	establish with certainty whether finite residues will be incurred but there is no reasonable
Sheep, meat byproducts	1		expectation of finite residues.
Wheat, forage	15		Currently, Bayer does not have any registered uses of triadimefon on wheat.
Wheat, grain	1		uses of triadifficion on wheat.
Wheat, milled fractions (except flour)	4	Revoke	
Wheat, straw	5		

#### b. Codex Harmonization

No Codex maximum residue levels (MRLs) have been established for triadimefon.

The Codex Alimentarius Commission (Codex) has established several MRLs for triadimenol in/on various raw agricultural commodities. The Codex MRLs are expressed in terms of triadimenol per se. The MRLs have been established to accommodate triadimenol residues resulting from the use of triadimefon and/or triadimenol. Compatibility cannot be achieved with the Codex MRLs because these levels are expressed in terms of triadimenol only; the U.S. tolerances for plant commodities are expressed in terms of triadimenol, KWG 1342, and KWG 1732 in/on cereal grains and cotton and triadimenol and KWG 1342 in/on bananas..

## c. Residue Analytical Methods – Plants/Livestock

The reregistration requirements for residue analytical methods are fulfilled. Adequate methods are available for data collection and for the enforcement of tolerances for residues of triadimefon *per se* in/on plant commodities. Since there is no reasonable expectation of residues in poultry, enforcement methods for the determination of triadimefon residues in livestock commodities are not needed.

<u>Plant Commodities</u>. Two methods of gas chromatography with mass spectrometry detection (GC/MS) are listed in the Pesticide Analytical Manual (PAM Vol. II) for enforcement of tolerances in plant and livestock commodities. The methods use a single moiety detection in which residues are converted to p-dichlorophenol, derivatized with dinitrofluorobenzene, and reported as triadimefon equivalents. The GC/MS method is no

longer adequate due to the recommended tolerance expression revisions. However, a GC method using a nitrogen phosphorus detector (NPD; Report No. 80488) is available for determination of residues of triadimefon, triadimenol, KWG 1323, and KWG 1342, and it is adequate for the enforcement of a pineapple tolerance. The reported method limit of quantitation (LOQ) is 0.01 ppm for each analyte. The limit of detection (LOD) was not reported.

<u>Livestock Commodities</u>. The Pesticide Analytical Manual (PAM) Vol. II lists the two GC/MS methods described above (Methods I and II) for the determination of triadimefon and its free and conjugated metabolites in livestock commodities. Method I (Report No. 69531) is appropriate for livestock tissues and milk and Method II (Report No. 80265) is appropriate for livestock tissues and eggs. The reported LOQ is 0.05 and the LOD is 0.01 ppm for both methods.

<u>Multiresidue Methods</u>. The 10/99 FDA PESTDATA database (PAM Volume I, Appendix I) indicates that triadimefon is completely recovered (>80%) using Multiresidue Methods Section 302 (Luke Method; Protocol D); recovery of triadimefon is small (<50%) using Multiresidue Methods Sections 303 (Mills, Onley, and Gaither; Protocol E, nonfatty) and 304 (Mills, fatty food). Triadimefon metabolites triadimenol and KWG 1323 are completely recovered using Section 302. Triadimenol, KWG 1323, and metabolite KWG 1732 are not recovered using Sections 303 and 304.

# 3. Tolerance Summary for Triadimenol

The current tolerance expression for residues of triadimenol resulting from direct application to primary crops is adequate. The Agency has determined that the tolerance expression for residues in/on cereal grains (barley, corn, oats, rye, and wheat) and cotton commodities should include only triadimenol and its metabolites KWG 1342, and KWG 1732. However, the tolerance expression for residues in/on bananas should include only triadimenol and its metabolite KWG 1342. A summary of triadimenol tolerance reassessments is presented in Table 33.

#### a. Tolerances Currently Listed Under 40 CFR §180.450

Tolerances are established for residues of triadimenol and its butanediol metabolite, 4-(4-chlorophenoxy)-2,2-dimethyl-4-(1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)-1,3-butanediol (calculated as triadimenol) in/on various plant commodities. The established tolerances in plant commodities range from 0.01 (sorghum grain and fodder) to 2.5 ppm (green forage of oats, rye, and wheat). Tolerances are currently established for residues of triadimenol and its metabolites containing the chlorophenoxy moiety (calculated as triadimenol) in livestock commodities at 0.01 ppm (milk and poultry commodities) and 0.1 ppm (fat, meat, and meat byproducts of cattle, goats, hogs, horses, and sheep).

## Tolerances Needed Under 40 CFR §180.450(a):

Triadimenol is registered for use on barley, corn, cotton, oats, rye, sorghum, wheat,

and bananas as an import tolerance only; however, there are no existing tolerances associated with barley (hay), oat (hay), wheat (hay), and cotton (gin byproducts). Therefore, tolerances will need to be established for these crops.

#### Tolerances Under 40 CFR §180.450(b):

The reregistration requirements for data depicting the magnitude of triadimenol residues of concern in meat, milk, poultry, and eggs have been fulfilled. Acceptable ruminant and poultry feeding studies have been submitted and evaluated. Triadimenol is not registered for use as a direct livestock treatment. The nature of the residue in livestock is adequately defined for the current uses. The Agency has concluded that the supported uses on barley, corn, cotton, oats, rye, and wheat result in a 40 CFR §180.6(a)(3) situation for ruminant commodities (i.e., there is no reasonable expectation of finite residues in ruminant commodities). Therefore, additional data on the transfer of residues to meat, milk, poultry, and eggs are not required and all tolerances for triadimenol residues in livestock commodities should be revoked pending results from the requested corn and wheat metabolism studies. If, however, foliar uses or registration on additional major livestock feed items are requested, then triazole and phenyl-labeled livestock metabolism studies would be required. Such data may, in turn, trigger the need for magnitude of the residue (feeding) studies in livestock.

**Table 33. Tolerance Summary for Triadimenol** 

Commodity	Current Tolerance	Tolerance	Comment/			
	(ppm)	Reassessment (ppm)	[Correct Commodity Definition]			
Tolerances Established Under 40 CFR §180.450(a)						
Banana (Whole) <sup>1</sup>	0.2	0.2				
Barley, grain	0.05	$TBD^2$				
Barley, straw	0.2	$TBD^2$				
Corn, forage	0.05	$TBD^2$	[Corn, field, forage] [Corn, sweet, forage]			
Corn, fresh (including sweet), (K+CWHR)	0.05	$TBD^2$	[Corn, sweet, K+CWHR]			
Corn, grain	0.05	$TBD^2$	[Corn, field, grain] [Corn, pop, grain]			
Corn, stover	0.05	$TBD^2$	[Corn, field, stover] [Corn, pop, stover] [Corn, sweet, stover]			
Cotton, forage	0.02	Revoke	No longer considered a significant livestock feed item.			
Cotton, undelinted seed	0.02	$TBD^2$				
Oat, grain	0.05	$TBD^2$				
Oat, forage	2.5	$TBD^2$				
Oat, straw	0.2	$TBD^2$				
Rye, forage	2.5	$TBD^2$				
Rye, grain	0.05	$TBD^2$				
Rye, straw	0.1	$TBD^2$				

Commodity	Current Tolerance (ppm)	Tolerance Reassessment (ppm)	Comment/ [Correct Commodity Definition]			
Tolerances Established Under 40 CFR §180.450(a)						
Sorghum, forage, hay	0.05	Revoke	Use is not being supported.			
Sorghum, grain	0.01	Revoke	Use is not being supported.			
Sorghum, grain, stover	0.01	Revoke	Use is not being supported.			
Wheat, forage	2.5	$TBD^2$				
Wheat, grain	0.05	$TBD^2$				
Wheat, straw	0.2	$TBD^2$				
7	Tolerances Established	l Under 40 CFR §180.	.450(b)			
Cattle, fat	0.1		Available data indicate that tolerances for cattle commodities			
Cattle, meat	0.1	Revoke				
Cattle, meat byproducts	0.1		are not required.			
Egg	0.01	Revoke	Available data indicate that a tolerance for egg is not required.			
Goat, fat	0.1		Available data indicate that tolerances for goat commodities			
Goat, meat	0.1	Revoke				
Goat, meat byproducts	0.1		are not required.			
Hog, fat	0.1		Available data indicate that tolerances for hog commodities			
Hog, meat	0.1	Revoke				
Hog, meat byproducts	0.1		are not required.			
Horse, fat	0.1		Available data indicate that tolerances for horse commodities			
Horse, meat	0.1	Revoke				
Horse, meat byproducts	0.1		are not required.			
Milk	0.01	Revoke	Available data indicate that a tolerance for milk is not required.			
Poultry, fat	0.01		Available data indicate that tolerances for poultry			
Poultry, meat	0.01	Revoke				
Poultry, meat byproducts	0.01		commodities are not required.			
Sheep, fat	0.1		Available data indicate that tolerances for sheep commodities			
Sheep, meat	0.1	Revoke				
Sheep, byproducts	0.1		are not required.			
Tolerances T Be Proposed Under 40 CFR §180.450(a)						
Barley, hay	None established	$TBD^2$				
Cotton, gin byproducts	None established	$TBD^2$				
Oat, hay	None established	TBD <sup>2</sup>				
Wheat, hay	None established	$TBD^2$				

#### Regulatory Rationale under FIFRA D.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>40 CFR §180.450(a) states that there are no U.S. registrations for bananas (whole). <sup>2</sup>TBD = To be determined. Additional data are required. Note that while additional data are needed, there are no dietary risks associated with these tolerances and EPA considers them reassessed.

The Agency has determined that triadimefon is eligible for reregistration, in compliance with FIFRA, and the associated tolerances are considered reassessed, provided that: 1) required product-specific and generic data are submitted; 2) risk mitigation measures (including requests to delete certain uses) outlined in this document are adopted; and 3) label amendments are made to reflect these measures. As stated above, the Agency will take steps to revoke the tolerances associated with the uses that are being deleted.

Risks for currently registered uses of triadimenol are below the Agency's level of concern, and no further mitigation is required. The tolerances for triadimenol are considered reassessed. Product-specific and generic data are required to be submitted, as specified in section V.

The following is a summary of the rationale for managing risks associated with the use of triadimefon. Where labeling revisions are required, specific language is set forth in the summary tables of Chapter V of this document.

## 1. Human Health Risk Management

## a. Dietary (Food and Water) Risk Mitigation

Bayer, the sole technical registrant, has agreed to voluntarily delete all triadime for food uses, except for pre-plant and post-harvest use on pineapples. Food uses to be deleted include apples, pears, grapes, and raspberries (in both commercial and residential settings). In order to reduce potential drinking water exposure to triadime fon, use on turf will be restricted to golf courses and sod farms, only, with a maximum single application rate of 2.7 lbs ai/A, and a maximum yearly rate of 5.4 lbs ai/A. With the above use deletions and restrictions, aggregate (food and water) risks are below the Agency's level of concern.

## b. Residential Risk Mitigation

The following residential uses will remain as labeled use sites for triadimefon:

- Outdoor ornamental flowers, shrubs, and trees;
- Greenhouse ornamental flowers, shrubs, and trees.

Bayer, the sole technical registrant, has agreed to request voluntary deletions of the residential turf use to address post-application and aggregate risks to toddlers. In addition, a 17-day post-application, pre-harvest interval is required for sod farm turf, to address potential risks to toddlers exposed to sod farm turf transplanted to a residential setting.

In order to address risks to residential handlers making applications to ornamentals, the following mitigation measures are required:

- Package all wettable powder products in water-soluble bags;
- Prohibit application with hose-end sprayers in residential greenhouses;

 Reduce the application rate for all outdoor ornamental applications to a maximum of 0.0025 lbs ai/gallon (for certain use sites, rates will remain lower than this maximum).

Currently, the number of applications that can be made to golf course turf is not specified on product labels. Golf course use will be restricted to a maximum single application rate of 2.7 lbs ai/A, and a maximum yearly rate of 5.4 lbs ai/A. Although aggregate risks to golfers (youths and adults) do not currently exceed the Agency's level of concern, this mitigation measure will further reduce exposure to golfers.

## c. Occupational Risk Mitigation

The following commercial and agricultural uses will remain as labeled use sites for triadimeton:

- Golf course turf
- Sod farm turf
- Outdoor ornamental flowers, shrubs, and trees
- Greenhouse ornamental flowers, shrubs, and trees
- Roses
- Azaleas, for control of pine-twisting rust only
- Pines, including Christmas trees
- Pine seedlings
- Pine seed (in-nursery and commercial)
- Pineapples (pre-plant and post-harvest dip)

Bayer, the sole registrant has requested that use on apples, pears, grapes, raspberries, and all turf other than golf course and sod farm turf be voluntarily deleted, reducing handler exposure. For golf courses and sod farms, a maximum single application rate of 2.7 lbs ai/A, and a maximum yearly rate of 5.4 lbs ai/A are required, further reducing handler exposure. Golf course applications will be restricted to turf less than 2.5 inches in height, which will limit application to golf course roughs.

In order to address risks to occupational handlers mixing, loading, and applying triadimefon, the mitigation listed below is required:

- (1) Require all wettable powder products to be packaged in water-soluble bags (an engineering control).
- (2) Require closed cockpits for aerial applications.
- (3) Require chemical-resistant gloves for occupational handlers performing the following activities:
  - Mixing and loading all formulations (liquids, wettable powders, dry flowables)
  - Loading granulars;

- Making applications with handheld equipment;
- Any activities which involve contact with treated seed (including drying, raking, bagging, and sewing bags);
- Mixing, loading, and applying when using a tree-injection unit;
- Applying a ready-to-use briquette to pine seedlings.
- (4) Require both chemical resistant gloves and a chemical resistant apron for occupational handlers performing the following activities:
  - Dipping pineapples (pre-plant, and post-harvest);
  - Dipping pine seed (for on-nursery applications).

With the above engineering controls and personal protective equipment, risks to residential handlers are below the Agency's level of concern.

# d. Aggregate Risk Mitigation for Triazole Metabolites

Acute, short- and intermediate-term, and chronic aggregate risks for degradates 1,2,4-triazole, triazole alanine, and triazole acetic acid are below the Agency's level of concern. The Agency considered the contribution of acute and chronic dietary risks from food and drinking water as well as residential handler and post-application exposures in the aggregate risk assessment for the free triazoles. Therefore, no further mitigation is necessary at this time.

## 2. Environmental Risk Mitigation

A number of the mitigation measures described above will reduce exposure and risks to mammals and birds. These include:

- Deleting all outdoor food uses of triadimefon (pears, apples, grapes, and raspberries);
- Limiting turf application rates to a maximum single application rate of 2.7 lbs ai/A, and a maximum yearly rate of 5.4 lbs ai/A (reduced from no limit on the number of applications);
- Prohibiting use on residential turf (only application to golf course and sod farm turf will continue);
- Limiting golf course applications to turf that is less than 2.5 inches in height (this will reduce application to golf course roughs, which are usually 3 or more inches in height).

# 3. Other Labeling

In order to be eligible for reregistration, various use and safety information will be required in the labeling of all end-use products containing triadimefon. For the specific labeling statements and a list of outstanding data, refer to Section V of this RED document.

## 4. Endangered Species Program

The Agency's screening level ecological risk assessment for endangered species results in the determination that triadimefon will have no direct acute effects on threatened and endangered freshwater fish, and freshwater aquatic invertebrates. However, the assessment indicates that triadimefon has the potential for causing acute risk to endangered birds, mammals, and non-vascular aquatic plants. Chronic RQs for endangered mammals exceed the level of concern at all application rates modeled. Chronic RQs for endangered birds also exceed the level of concern. No data are available to assess the risks to estuarine/marine invertebrates, estuarine/marine fish, vascular aquatic plants, and terrestrial plants. Therefore, risks cannot be precluded on these species at this time.

Further, potential indirect effects to any species dependent upon a species that experiences effect cannot be precluded from use of triadimefon. These findings are based solely on EPA's screening level assessment and do not constitute "may effect" findings under the Endangered Species Act.

The Agency has developed the Endangered Species Protection Program to identify pesticides whose use may cause adverse impacts on endangered and threatened species, and to implement mitigation measures that address these impacts. The Endangered Species Act requires federal agencies to ensure that their actions are not likely to jeopardize listed species or adversely modify designated critical habitat. To analyze the potential of registered pesticide uses that may affect any particular species, EPA uses basic toxicity and exposure data developed for the REDs and considers ecological parameters, pesticide use information, geographic relationship between specific pesticide uses and species locations, and biological requirements and behavioral aspects of the particular species. This analysis will consider the risk mitigation measures that are being implemented as a result of this regulatory decision.

Following this future species-specific analysis, a determination that there is a likelihood of potential impact to a listed species or its critical habitat may result in: limitations on the use of triadimefon; other measures to mitigate any potential impact; or consultations with the Fish and Wildlife Service or the National Marine Fisheries Service as necessary. If the Agency determines that use of triadimefon "may affect" listed species or their designated critical habitat, EPA will employ the provisions in the Services regulations (50 CFR Part 402). Until that species-specific analysis is completed, the risk mitigation measures being implemented through this RED will reduce the likelihood that endangered and threatened species may be exposed to triadimefon at levels of concern. EPA is not requiring specific triadimefon label language at the present time relative to threatened and endangered species. If, in the future, specific measures are necessary for the protection of listed species, the Agency will implement them through the Endangered Species Protection Program.

# V. What Registrants Need to Do

The use of currently registered products containing triadimefon in accordance with approved labeling will not pose unreasonable risks or adverse effects to humans or the environment provided that the risk mitigation measures and label changes (including use

deletions) outlined in this document are implemented. Therefore, all remaining uses of these products are eligible for reregistration. These products will be reregistered once the required confirmatory generic data, product specific data, CSFs, and revised labeling are received and accepted by EPA. Products that contain other ingredients in addition to triadimefon will be reregistered when all of their other active ingredients are also reregistered.

A. <u>For triadimefon technical grade active ingredient products</u>, the registrant needs to submit the following items:

## Within 90 days from receipt of the generic data call in (DCI):

- 1. Completed response forms to the generic DCI (i.e., DCI response form and requirements status and registrant's response form); and
- 2. Any time extension and/or waiver requests with a full written justification.

## Within the time limit specified in the generic DCI:

1. Citations of any existing generic data that address data requirements or submit new generic data responding to the DCI.

Please contact John W. Pates, Jr. at (703) 308-8195 with questions regarding generic reregistration.

By U.S. Mail: By express or courier service:

Document Processing Desk (DCI/SRRD) Document Processing Desk (DCI/SRRD)

John W. Pates, Jr. John W. Pates, Jr.

U.S. EPA (7508P) Office of Pesticide Programs (7504P)

1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Room S-4900 Washington, DC 20460 One Potomac Yard Arlington, VA 22202

B. For end-use products containing the active ingredient triadimefon, the registrant needs to submit the following items for each product:

## Within 90 days from the receipt of the product-specific data call-in (PDCI):

- (1) completed response forms to the PDCI (i.e., PDCI response form and requirements status and registrant's response form); and
- (2) any time extension or waiver requests with a full written justification.

#### Within eight months from the receipt of the PDCI:

(1) two copies of the confidential statement of formula (EPA Form 8570-4);

- (2) a completed original application for reregistration (EPA Form 8570-1). Indicate on the form that it is an "application for reregistration";
- (3) five copies of the draft label, incorporating all label amendments outlined in Table 33 of this document:
- (4) a completed form certifying compliance with data compensation requirements (EPA Form 8570-34);
- if applicable, a completed form certifying compliance with cost share offer requirements (EPA Form 8570-32); and
- (6) the product-specific data responding to the PDCI.

Please contact Veronica Dutch at (703) 308-8047 with questions regarding product reregistration and/or the PDCI. All materials submitted in response to the PDCI should be addressed:

By US mail: By express or courier service only:

Document Processing Desk (PDCI/PRB) Document Processing Desk (PDCI/PRB)

Veronica Dutch Veronica Dutch

US EPA (7508P) Office of Pesticide Programs (7504P)

1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Room S-4900 Washington, DC 20460 One Potomac Yard Arlington, VA 22202

## **A.** Manufacturing Use Products

## 1. Generic Data Requirements for Triadimefon

The generic data base supporting the reregistration of triadimefon for the above eligible uses has been reviewed and determined to be substantially complete. However, the following data requirements are necessary to confirm the reregistration eligibility decision documented in this RED:

## **Human Health Effects**

Guideline Study Name	New OPPTS	Old Guideline No.
	Guideline No.	
Developmental Neurotoxicity Study (DNT)	870.6300	83-6

# **Ecological Effects**

Guideline Study Name	New OPPTS	Old Guideline No.
	Guideline No.	
Estuarine/Marine Mollusk EC50	850.1025	72-3 B
Estuarine/Marine Shrimp EC50	850.1035	72-3 C
Estuarine/Marine Fish LC50	850.1075	72-3 A

Seedling Emergence	850.4225	123-1 A
Vegetative Vigor	850.4250	123-1 B
Aquatic Vascular Plant Growth	850.4400	123-2

### 2. Labeling for Manufacturing-Use Products

To ensure compliance with FIFRA, manufacturing-use product (MUP) labeling should be revised to comply with all current EPA regulations, PR Notices, and applicable policies. The MUP labeling should bear the labeling contained in the labeling table, which will be issued separately.

#### **B.** End-Use Products

### 1. Additional Product-Specific Data Requirements

Section 4(g)(2)(B) of FIFRA calls for the Agency to obtain any needed product-specific data regarding the pesticide after a determination of eligibility has been made. The Registrant must review previous data submissions to ensure that they meet current EPA acceptance criteria and if not, commit to conduct new studies. If a registrant believes that previously submitted data meet current testing standards, then the study MRID numbers should be cited according to the instructions in the Requirement Status and Registrants Response Form provided for each product.

A product-specific data call-in, outlining specific data requirements, accompanies this RED.

#### 2. Labeling for End-Use Products

Labeling changes are necessary to implement measures outlined in Section IV above. Specific language to incorporate these changes is specified in Table 34.

#### C. Data Requirements for Triadimenol

There are data that must be submitted to support the continuing registration of triadimenol. These data are not expected to change the regulatory conclusions for triadimenol described in this document. A generic DCI will be issued and will require development and submission of these listed data in order to confirm the conclusions outlined in this document.

**Product Chemistry** 

Guideline Study Name	New OPPTS	Old Guideline No.
	Guideline No.	
Stability	830.6313	63-13
pH ( H <sub>2</sub> 0 solutions)	830.7000	63-12
Ultraviolet/visible Absorption	830.7050	None
	830.7550	
Partition Coefficient (N-octanol/water)	830.7560	63-11
	OR	

Guideline Study Name	New OPPTS	Old Guideline No.
	Guideline No.	
	830.7570	
	830.7840	
Water Solubility	OR	63-8
·	830.7860	

**Toxicology** 

Guideline Study Name	New OPPTS Guideline No.	Old Guideline No.
Acute neurotoxicity study	870.6100	81-7
Subchronic neurotoxicity Study	870.6200	82-7

#### **Residue Chemistry**

- Separate metabolism studies with triazole-14C and phenyl-14C labeled triadimenol applied as a seed treatment to wheat and corn must be conducted to confirm residues of concern.
- Storage stability data for triadimenol, KWG 1342, and KWG 1732 in/on field corn, sweet corn, cotton, and wheat processed commodities are required pending the results from the requested metabolism studies. Storage stability data for KWG 1732 in/on wheat forage, hay, and straw are required pending the results from the requested metabolism studies.
- Crop field trial data depicting residues of triadimenol, KWG 1342, and KWG 1732 in/on field corn (forage, grain, stover), sweet corn (forage, kernel plus cob with husks removed, grain, and stover), cotton (undelinted seed and gin byproducts), and wheat (forage, grain, hay, and straw) grown from seed treated at the maximum rate are required pending the results from the requested metabolism studies.
- A wheat processing study conducted with triadimenol applied to wheat as a seed treatment should be submitted once the requested corn or wheat metabolism studies have been submitted and reviewed.
- Limited field rotational crop studies for triadimenol must be submitted pending the results from the requested metabolism studies.

### **D.** Labeling Changes Summary Table

For triadimefon to be eligible for reregistration, all triadimefon labels must be amended to incorporate the risk mitigation measures outlined in Section IV. Table 34 describes how language on the labels should be amended.

**Table 34. Labeling Changes Summary Table** 

Summary of Labeling Changes for Triadimefon		
Description	Amended Labeling Language	Placement on Label
	Manufacturing Use Products	
One of these statements may be added to a label to allow reformulation of the product for a specific use or all additional uses supported by a formulator or user group	Only for formulation into a <i>fungicide</i> for the following use(s): golf course turfgrass, sodfarm turfgrass, outdoor- and greenhouse-grown ornamentals (trees, shrubs, flowering plants, including roses), azaleas (for control of pine-twisting rust only), pine trees (including Christmas trees), pine seedlings, pine seeds, and pineapple (preplant dip and postharvest dip only), "  "This product can not be formulated into end-use products with directions for use in residential or commercial settings on apples, grapes, pears, raspberries, azaleas (except to control pine-twisting rust only), or turfgrass (except for turfgrass on golf courses or sodfarms)."  "This product can not be formulated into liquid concentrate end-use products with directions for use on azaleas, pine seedlings, pine seeds, or pineapple."  "This product can not be formulated into dry flowable end-use products with directions for use on pine trees, pine seedlings, or pineapple."  "This product can be formulated into granular end-use products with directions for use only on golf course turfgrass or sodfarm turfgrass."	Directions for Use
	"This product may be used to formulate products for specific use(s) not listed on the MP label if the formulator, user group, or grower has complied with U.S. EPA submission requirements regarding support of such use(s)."	Directions for Use
Environmental Hazards	"Do not discharge effluent containing this product into lakes, streams, ponds, estuaries, oceans, or other waters unless in accordance with the requirements of a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit and the permitting authority has been notified in writing prior to discharge. Do not discharge effluent containing this product to sewer systems without previously notifying the local sewage treatment plant authority. For guidance, contact your State Water Board or Regional Office of the EPA."	Precautionary Statements immediately following the User Safety Recommendations

	End Use Products Intended for Occupational Use (WPS and NonWPS)	
PPE Requirements Established by the RED¹ for liquid concentrate end-use products	"Some materials that are chemical-resistant to this product are (registrant inserts correct chemical-resistant material). If you want more options, follow the instructions for category [registrant inserts A,B,C,D,E,F,G,or H] "on an EPA chemical-resistance category selection chart."  "Mixers, loaders, applicators, flaggers, and other handlers must wear:  > Long sleeved shirt and long pants,  > Shoes plus socks, and  > Chemical resistant gloves, such as (registrant insert correct chemical-resistant materials) when mixing/loading or when applying using handheld equipment or handheld nozzles."  "See engineering controls for additional requirements."	Immediately following/below Precautionary Statements: Hazards to Humans and Domestic Animals
PPE Requirements Established by the RED¹ for dry flowable end-use products  Note: if the end-use product does not have directions for use as a seed treatment, then the phrase "or when handling treated seed (including spreading, drying, raking, bagging, and sewing seed bags)" may be dropped.	"Some materials that are chemical-resistant to this product are ( <i>registrant inserts correct chemical-resistant material</i> ). If you want more options, follow the instructions for category [ <i>registrant inserts A,B,C,D,E,F,G,or H</i> ] "on an EPA chemical-resistance category selection chart."  "Mixers, loaders, applicators, flaggers, and other handlers must wear:  > Long sleeved shirt and long pants,  > Shoes plus socks, and  > Chemical resistant gloves, such as ( <i>registrant insert correct chemical-resistant materials</i> ) when mixing/loading, when applying using handheld equipment or handheld nozzles, or when handling treated seed (including spreading, drying, raking, bagging, and sewing seed bags)."  "See engineering controls for additional requirements."	Immediately following/below Precautionary Statements: Hazards to Humans and Domestic Animals
PPE Requirements Established by the RED <sup>1</sup> for wettable powder end-use products	"Personal Protective Equipment (PPE)"  "Some materials that are chemical-resistant to this product are ( <i>registrant inserts correct chemical-resistant material</i> ). If you want more options, follow the instructions for category [ <i>registrant inserts A,B,C,D,E,F,G,or H</i> ] "on an EPA chemical-resistance category selection chart."	Immediately following/below Precautionary Statements: Hazards to Humans and Domestic Animals

	End Use Products Intended for Occupational Use (WPS and NonWPS)	
Note: all wettable powder products must be in water soluble packets to be eligible for reregistration.  Note: if the end-use product does not have directions for use as a seed treatment, then the phrase "or when handling treated seed (including spreading, drying, raking, bagging, and sewing seed bags)" may be dropped.  Note: if the end-use product does not have directions for use as a dip treatment, then the phrases "participating in dip applications" may be dropped.	"Mixers, loaders, applicators, flaggers, and other handlers must wear:  > Long sleeved shirt and long pants,  > Shoes plus socks,  > Chemical resistant gloves, such as (registrant insert correct chemical-resistant materials) when mixing/loading, when using handheld equipment or handheld nozzles, when participating in dip applications, or when handling treated seed (including spreading, drying, raking, bagging, and sewing seed bags), and  > Chemical-resistant apron, when mixing/loading, when participating in dip applications, or cleaning spills or equipment."  "See engineering controls for additional requirements."	
PPE Requirements Established by the RED <sup>1</sup> for granular products	"Some materials that are chemical-resistant to this product are (registrant inserts correct chemical-resistant material). If you want more options, follow the instructions for category [registrant inserts A,B,C,D,E,F,G,or H] "on an EPA chemical-resistance category selection chart."  "Loaders, applicators, flaggers, and other handlers must wear:  > Long-sleeved shirt and long pants,  > Shoes plus socks, and  > Chemical resistant gloves, such as (registrant insert correct chemical-resistant materials) when loading."  "See engineering controls for additional requirements."	Immediately following/below Precautionary Statements: Hazards to Humans and Domestic Animals

	End Use Products Intended for Occupational Use (WPS and NonWPS)		
PPE Requirements Established by the RED <sup>1</sup> for ready-to-use briquette products	"Personal Protective Equipment (PPE)"  "Some materials that are chemical-resistant to this product are (registrant inserts correct chemical-resistant material). If you want more options, follow the instructions for category [registrant inserts A,B,C,D,E,F,G,or H] on an EPA chemical-resistance category selection chart."  "Applicators and other handlers must wear:  > Long-sleeved shirt and long pants,  > Shoes plus socks, and  > Chemical resistant gloves, such as (registrant insert correct chemical-resistant materials). "	Immediately following/below Precautionary Statements: Hazards to Humans and Domestic Animals	
PPE Requirements Established by the RED¹ for tree-injection products  Note: if the tree-injection product is ready-to-use, the words "mixers, loaders" may be dropped	"Personal Protective Equipment (PPE)"  "Some materials that are chemical-resistant to this product are (registrant inserts correct chemical-resistant material). If you want more options, follow the instructions for category [registrant inserts A,B,C,D,E,F,G,or H] on an EPA chemical-resistance category selection chart."  "Mixers, loaders, applicators and other handlers must wear:  > Long-sleeved shirt and long pants,  > Shoes plus socks, and  > Chemical resistant gloves, such as (registrant insert correct chemical-resistant materials)."	Immediately following/below Precautionary Statements: Hazards to Humans and Domestic Animals	
User Safety Requirements	"Follow manufacturer's instructions for cleaning/maintaining PPE. If no such instructions for washables exist, use detergent and hot water. Keep and wash PPE separately from other laundry."  "Discard clothing and other absorbent materials that have been drenched or heavily contaminated with this product's concentrate. Do not reuse them."	Precautionary Statements: Hazards to Humans and Domestic Animals immediately following the PPE requirements	

End Use Products Intended for Occupational Use (WPS and NonWPS)		
Engineering Controls for liquid, dry flowable, or granular formulations that contain directions for use for sodfarm turfgrass	"Engineering Controls"  "Pilots must use an enclosed cockpit in a manner that is consistent with the WPS for Agricultural Pesticides [40 CFR170.240(d)(6)]. Pilots must wear the PPE required on this labeling for applicators."	Precautionary Statements: Hazards to Humans and Domestic Animals (Immediately following PPE and User Safety Requirements.)
Engineering Controls for wettable powders packaged in water-soluble packets. All wettable powders must be in water soluble packets to be eligible for reregistration.	"Engineering Controls"  "Water soluble packets when used correctly qualify as a closed mixing/loading system under the Worker Protection Standard for Agricultural Pesticides [40 CFR 170.240(d)(4). Mixers and loaders using water soluble packets must:  -wear the personal protective equipment required on this labeling for mixers and loaders, and -be provided, have immediately available, and wear in an emergency, such as a broken package, spill, or equipment breakdown: >chemical resistant footwear and >a NIOSH-approved respirator equipped with: a dust/mist filter with MSHA/NIOSH approval number prefix TC-21C or any N, R, P, or HE filter."  "Pilots must use an enclosed cockpit in a manner that is consistent with the WPS for Agricultural Pesticides [40 CFR170.240(d)(6)]. Pilots must wear the PPE required on this labeling for applicators."	Precautionary Statements: Hazards to Humans and Domestic Animals (Immediately following PPE and User Safety Requirements.)
User Safety Recommendations	"Users should wash hands before eating, drinking, chewing gum, using tobacco, or using the toilet."  "Users should remove clothing/PPE immediately if pesticide gets inside. Then wash thoroughly and put on clean clothing."  "Users should remove PPE immediately after handling this product. Wash the outside of gloves before removing. As soon as possible, wash thoroughly and change into clean clothing."	Precautionary Statements under: Hazards to Humans and Domestic Animals immediately following Engineering Controls  (Must be placed in a box.)

End Use Products Intended for Occupational Use (WPS and NonWPS)		
Environmental Hazards	"ENVIRONMENTAL HAZARDS"	Environmental Hazards
	"Do not apply directly to water, or to areas where surface water is present or to intertidal areas below the mean water mark. Do not contaminate water when disposing of equipment washwater or rinsate."	
	"Do not apply when weather conditions favor drift from treated areas. Drift and runoff from treated areas may be hazardous to organisms in neighboring areas."	
	"This product may contaminate water through runoff. This product has a high potential for runoff for several months or more after application. Poorly draining soils and soils with shallow water tables are more prone to produce runoff that contains this product."	
	"This chemical has properties and characteristics associated with chemicals detected in ground water. The use of this chemical in areas where soils are permeable, particularly where the water table is shallow, may result in ground water contamination."	
Restricted-Entry Interval (for labels with WPS uses) Note: the prohibition for	"Do not enter or allow worker entry into treated areas during the restricted entry interval (REI) of 12 hours."	Directions for Use, Agricultural Use Requirements Box
sodfarms may be dropped if the end-use product does not contain directions for use on sodfarms	"Harvesting or transplanting turfgrass grown on sodfarms is prohibited for 17 days following application."	1
Early Reentry Personal Protective Equipment established by the RED (for labels with WPS uses)	"PPE required for early entry to treated areas that is permitted under the Worker Protection Standard and that involves contact with anything that has been treated, such as plants, soil, or water, is:  > coveralls, > shoes plus socks, and > chemical-resistant gloves made of any waterproof material."	Place in the Directions for Use In Agricultural Use Requirements box, immediately following the REI
Entry Restriction for NonWPS uses	Entry Restriction for non-WPS uses applied as a spray (does not include tree-injection uses):  "Do not enter or allow others to enter until sprays have dried."	If no WPS uses on the label, place the statements in the Directions for Use Under General Precautions

	End Use Products Intended for Occupational Use (WPS and NonWPS)	
	Entry Restriction for non-WPS uses applied dry (does not include ready-to-use briquette uses):	and Restrictions.
	"Do not enter or allow others to enter until dusts have settled."	If WPS uses are also on the labeling, place these statements in a
	Entry Restriction for non-WPS uses applied as a granular and when watering-in is required:	NonAgricultural Use Requirements box as
	"Do not enter or allow others to enter the treated area (except those involved in watering-in) until watering-in is complete and the surface is dry."	specified in PR Notice 93-7 and 93-11.
General Application Restrictions (for labels with WPS uses)	"Do not apply this product in a way that will contact workers or other persons, either directly or through drift. Only protected handlers may be in the area during application."	Place in the Directions for Use directly above the Agricultural Use Box.
Other Application Restrictions (Risk	All Products/Formulations Containing Triadimefon	Directions for Use
Mitigation)	Delete all directions for use for the following use-patterns:	
	> apples	
	> grapes	
	> pears	
	> raspberries > residential or commercial turfgrass (except for turfgrass on golf courses or sodfarms).	
	Include the following statement: "Application to trees that bear fruit or nuts is prohibited. Applications are permitted on nonbearing fruit or nut trees only."	
Spray Drift	"Spray drift requirements"	
	(1) For groundbloom and aerial applications, use only medium or coarser spray nozzles according to ASABE (S572) definition for standard nozzles. Aerial applicators must consider flight speed and nozzle orientation in determining droplet size.	

	End Use Products Intended for Occupational Use (WPS and NonWPS)	
	(2) Make aerial or ground applications when the wind velocity is 3 to 10 mph. Do not apply when the wind speed is greater than 10 mph. For all non-aerial applications, wind speed must be measured adjacent to the application site on the upwind side, immediately prior to application.	
	(4) Do not make aerial or ground applications into temperature inversions.	
	(5) For groundboom applications, apply with nozzle height no more than 4 feet above the ground or crop canopy.	
	(6) For airblast applications, turn off outward pointing nozzles at row ends and when spraying the outer two rows. To minimize spray loss over the top in tree applications, spray must be directed into the canopy.	
	(7) For aerial applications, do not release spray at a height greater than 10 feet above the ground or plant canopy.	
	(8) For aerial applications, the outermost nozzles must not exceed 60% of the wingspan or 80% of the rotor blade diameter.	
	(9) When aerial applications are made with a cross-wind, the swath will be displaced downwind. The applicator must compensate for this displacement at the downwind edge of the application area by adjusting the path of the aircraft upwind."	
Application Restrictions for Turfgrass Uses	"Use on turfgrass is limited to sodfarm turf and golf course turf only. Use on turfgrass is prohibited on all other residential and commercial sites."	Directions for Use associated with turfgrass use directions
(Note: The maximum allowable application rate per application or per year must be listed as pounds or	Maximum application rate is 2.7 lb ai/A Maximum annual application rate is 5.4 lb ai/A Minimum retreatment interval is 14 days.	use directions
gallons of formulated	"Aerial application and chemigation to turf are permitted on sodfarm turfgrass only."	
product per acre, not solely as pounds active ingredient per acre.)	"Application to golf courses, including tees, greens, fairways, and roughs, is permitted only if the turfgrass is 2.5 inches or less in height."	

	End Use Products Intended for Occupational Use (WPS and NonWPS)	
	"Harvesting or transplanting turfgrass grown on sodfarms is prohibited for 17 days following application."	
Application Restrictions for Azaleas	"Use on azaleas is limited to applications to control pine-twisting rust disease."	Directions for Use associated with azalea use directions
Application Restrictions for Ornamentals and Pine Trees, including Christmas trees	"Chemigation is permitted for use on ornamentals and pine trees, including Christmas trees."	
Application Restrictions for Ornamentals at Residential Sites	"The maximum application rate for ornamentals (including azaleas) at residential sites is 0.0025 lb ai/gal."	Directions for Use associated with ornamental use directions
Note: The maximum allowable application rate must be listed as pounds or gallons of formulated product per acre, not solely as pounds active ingredient per gallon.)		
	End Use Products Intended Primarily for Use by Homeowners	
Environmental Hazards	"ENVIRONMENTAL HAZARDS"	Precautionary Statements
	"Do not apply directly to water. Do not contaminate water when disposing of equipment washwaters or rinsate."	
	"Do not apply when weather conditions favor drift from treated areas. Drift and runoff from treated areas may be hazardous to organisms in neighboring areas."	
	"This product may contaminate water through runoff. This product has a high potential for runoff for	

	End Use Products Intended for Occupational Use (WPS and NonWPS)	
	several months or more after application. Poorly draining soils and soils with shallow water tables are more prone to produce runoff that contains this product."	
	"This chemical has properties and characteristics associated with chemicals detected in ground water. The use of this chemical in areas where soils are permeable, particularly where the water table is shallow, may result in ground water contamination."	
Application Restrictions	All products:  "Do not apply this product in a way that will contact any person or pet, either directly or through drift. Keep people and pets out of the area during application."	Directions for Use under General Precautions and Restrictions  Statements must be in the color red and in all caps.
Entry Restrictions	Products Applied as a Liquid (except tree-injection uses):  "Do not allow people or pets to enter the treated area until sprays have dried."	Directions for Use under General Precautions and Restrictions
Directions for Use	"Do not apply this product to lawns or other turfgrass."	Directions for Use section
Directions for Use on Ornamentals  Note: The maximum allowable application rate must be listed as pounds or gallons of formulated product per acre, not solely as pounds active ingredient per gallon.)	"Applications with hose-end sprayers are permitted only for outdoor use on ornamentals. Use of hose-end sprayer equipment in residential greenhouses is prohibited."  "The maximum application rate for ornamentals is 0.0025 lb ai/gal."	Directions for Use section associated with the directions for ornamental uses
Other Application Restrictions (Risk Mitigation)	All Products/Formulations Containing Triadimefon  Delete all directions for use for the following use-patterns:	Directions for Use

End Use Products Intended for Occupational Use (WPS and NonWPS)	
> apples	
> grapes	
> pears	
> raspberries	
> residential or commercial turfgrass	
Include the following statement: "Application to trees that bear fruit or nuts is prohibited. Applications are permitted on nonbearing fruit or nut trees only."	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>T</sup> PPE that is established on the basis of Acute Toxicity of the end-use product must be compared to the active ingredient PPE in this document. The more protective PPE must be placed in the product labeling. For guidance on which PPE is considered more protective, see PR Notice 93-7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The registrant must drop the N type filter from the respirator statement if the pesticide product contains or is used with oil. Instructions in the <u>Labeling</u> section appearing in quotations represent the exact language that should appear on the label. Instructions in the <u>Labeling</u> section not in quotes represents actions that the registrant should take to amend their labels or product registrations.

VI. Appendices

**Appendix A: Use Patterns Subject to Reregistration for Triadimefon** 

Formulation	Max. Single Application Rate (ai)	Max. # Apps.	Minimum Retreatment Interval (Days)	Use Limitations
owns)				
WP	0.0028 lbs ai/gallon	1	Not Specified (NS)	All wettable powder products must be packaged in water soluble bags.
only				
WP DF	0.005 lbs ai/gallon	8	NS	All wettable powder products must be packaged in water soluble bags.
		•	•	
WP	0.25 lbs ai/A	8	NS	A closed cockpit is required for aerial applications (all formulations).  All wettable powder products must be packaged in water soluble bags.
	only WP DF	Application Rate (ai)  We 0.0028 lbs ai/gallon  only  WP 0.005 lbs ai/gallon	Application Rate (ai)  WP 0.0028 lbs ai/gallon 1  Only  WP 0.005 lbs ai/gallon 8	Max. Single Application Rate (ai)  WP 0.0028 lbs ai/gallon 1 Not Specified (NS)  Only  WP 0.005 lbs ai/gallon 8 NS

Site Application Type Application Timing Application Equipment	Formulation	Max. Single Application Rate (ai)	Max.# Apps.	Minimum Retreatment Interval (Days)	Use Limitations
Groundboom, LCO handgun, low- pressure handwand, and handgun sprayer  Flagging, tractor-drawn spreader	LC WP DF G	2.7 lbs ai/A	2 (at max. single app. rate)	14	Use is restricted to golf course and sod farm turf, only.  A maximum annual application rate of 5.4 lbs ai/A is allowed.  Applications are restricted to turf less than 2.5 inches in height. This applies to the entire golf course, including tees, greens, fairways, and roughs.  All wettable powder products must be packaged in water soluble bags.
Greenhouse Ornamentals (including fle	owers, shrubs, and tree	s)		<u> </u>	
Low-pressure handwand, handgun sprayer, high-pressure handwand	WP LC	0.00625 lbs ai/gallon 0.00938 lbs ai/gallon	8	N/S NS	Prohibit residential (consumer) hose-end sprayer applications in greenhouses.  All wettable powder products must be packaged in water soluble bags.
Ornamentals (including flowers, shrubs	and trees)		•		
Low-pressure handwand, handgun sprayer, high-pressure handwand  Low-pressure handwand, chemigation, groundboom, high-pressure handwand	LC WP DF	0.0023 lbs ai/gallon 0.0025 lbs ai/gallon	8	NS NS	Residential (consumer) use limited to ornamentals, <u>only</u> .  Professional hose-end sprayer applications are allowed.  All wettable powder products must be packaged in water soluble bags.
Ornamentals (including shade trees and	woody shrubs)				

Tree injection unit (ready-to-use micro injection unit, or unit		Application Rate (ai)	Max. # Apps.	Minimum Retreatment Interval (Days)	Use Limitations	
requiring product dilution)	LC	0.000026 lbs ai/ inch of trunk circumference	6	NS		
Pines (including Christmas trees)		l .				
Low-pressure handwand and handgun sprayer	LC	0.0023 lbs ai/gallon	8	NS		
Pine Seed (on-nursery applications)						
Dip	WP	0.0000625 lbs ai/gallon		NS	All wettable powder products must be packaged in water soluble bags.	
Planter box, hopper box, cement mixer,	WP	0.0013 lbs ai/A	1	NS	soluble bags.	
Cement mixer	DF	0.00136 lbs ai/A		NS		
Pine Seed (commercial applications)				•		
Commercial loading/applying, commercial bagging of treated seed, commercial bag sewing, and multiple commercial activities	WP	0.0013 lbs ai/lb	1	NS	All wettable powder products must be packaged in water soluble bags.	
Pine Seedlings						
Aerial, flagging, airblast, groundboom, low-pressure handwand, handgun sprayer	WP	0.5 lbs ai/A	4	NS	A closed cockpit is required for aerial application.  All wettable powder products must be packaged in water	
By hand	Ready-to-use briquette	0.000019 lbs ai/seedling	1	NS	soluble bags.	

Site Application Type Application Timing Application Equipment	Formulation	Max. Single Application Rate (ai)	Max. # Apps.	Minimum Retreatment Interval (Days)	Use Limitations
Low-pressure handwand, handgun sprayer, high-pressure handwand	LC WP	0.00029 lbs ai/gallon	8	NS	All wettable powder products must be packaged in water soluble bags.
Sod Farm Turf					
Aerial, chemigation, flagging, groundboom, LCO handgun, low- pressure handwand, and handgun	WP DF		2		A closed cockpit is required for aerial applications (all formulations).
sprayer  Aerial, flagging, tractor-drawn spreader	G	2.7 lbs ai/A	2 (at max. single app. rate)	17	A maximum annual application rate of 5.4 lbs ai/A is allowed.  All wettable powder products must be packaged in water soluble bags.

## Appendix B. Table of Generic Data Requirements and Studies Used to Make the Reregistration Decision

### **GUIDE TO APPENDIX B**

Appendix B contains listing of data requirements which support the reregistration for active ingredients within the case 2700 covered by this RED/TRED. It contains generic data requirements that apply to triadimefon/triadimenol in all products, including data requirements for which a "typical formulation" is the test substance.

The data table is organized in the following formats:

- 1. <u>Data Requirement</u> (Column 1). The data requirements are listed in the order in which they appear in 40 CFR part 158. The reference numbers accompanying each test refer to the test protocols set in the Pesticide Assessment Guidance, which are available from the National technical Information Service, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161 (703) 487-4650.
- 2. <u>Use Pattern</u> (Column 2). This column indicates the use patterns for which the data requirements apply. The following letter designations are used for the given use patterns.
  - A. Terrestrial food
  - B. Terrestrial feed
  - C. Terrestrial non-food
  - D. Aquatic food
  - E. Aquatic non-food outdoor
  - F. Aquatic non-food industrial
  - G. Aquatic non-food residential
  - H. Greenhouse food
  - I. Greenhouse non-food
  - J. Forestry
  - K. Residential
  - L. Indoor food
  - M. Indoor non-food
  - N. Indoor medical
  - O. Indoor residential
- 3. Bibliographic Citation (Column 3). If the Agency has acceptable data in its files, this column list the identify number of each study. This normally is the Master Record Identification (MIRD) number, but may be a "GS" number if no MRID number has been assigned. Refer to the Bibliography appendix for a complete citation of the study.

## **APPENDIX B-1**

### Data Supporting Guideline Requirements for the Reregistration of Triadimefon

REQUIRE	EMENT		USE PATTERN	CITATION(S)
	PRODU	CT CHEMISTRY		
<u>New</u> <u>Guideline</u> <u>Number</u>	Old Guideline Number			
830.1550	61-1	Product Identity and Composition	All	CSF ('96)
830.1600	61-2A	Start. Mat. & Mnfg. Process	All	00098119, 42307801
830.1620	61-2B	Description of Production Process	All	00098119, 42307801
830.1650	61-2B	Description of Formulation Process	All	00098119, 42307801
830.1670	61-2B	Formation of Impurities	All	40477401
830.1700	62-1	Preliminary Analysis	All	00098120, 44166001
830.1750	62-2	Certification of limits	All	44166001, CSF ('96)
830.1800	62-3	Enforcement Analytical Method	All	42307802
830.6302	63-2	Color	All	41616001
830.6303	63-3	Physical State	All	41616001
830.6304	63-4	Odor	All	41616001
830.6313	63-13	Stability to Normal and Elevated Temperatures, Metals and Metal Ions	All	42307803
830.6314	63-14	Oxidation/Reduction: Chemical Incompatibility	All	Not Applicable; N/A
830.6315	63-15	Flammability	All	N/A
830.6316	63-16	Explodability	All	N/A
830.6317	63-17	Storage Stability	All	N/A
830.6319	63-19	Miscibility	All	N/A
830.6320	63-20	Corrosion Characteristics	All	N/A
830.7000	63-12	рН	All	41616001
830.7050	None	UV/Visable Absorption	All	N/A
830.7100	63-18	Viscosity	All	41616001

#### Data Supporting Guideline Requirements for the Reregistration of Triadimefon REQUIREMENT USE CITATION(S) **PATTERN** 830.7200 63-5 Melting Point 41616001 All 830.7220 63-6 **Boiling Point** All N/A 830.7300 63-7 All 41616001 Relative Density 830.7370 63-10 Dissociation Constants in Water All N/A Octanol/Water Partition Coefficient 830.7550 63-11 All 42307803 830.7560 or 830.7570 830.7840 63-8 Water solubility: column elution All 41616001 method; shake flask method 830.7860 830.7950 63-9 42307801 Vapor Pressure All **ECOLOGICAL EFFECTS** 71-1 850.2100 Avian Acute Oral Toxicity 00071469, 41895901 850.2200 71-2A Avian Dietary Toxicity - Quail 00071469, 00050066 850.2200 71-2B Avian Dietary Toxicity - Duck 00126277 71-4 850.2300 Avian Reproduction –Avian chronic 00110430, 00110431, tests on the Mallard Duck and 40283102, 42342301 **Bobwhite Quail** 71-3 850.2400 Mammal Acute/ Chronic Toxicity- Rat 00125411, 00151248, 00155075, 00264276, 92188019, 92188320 850.1010 72-2A Invertebrate Toxicity 00071469, 00231311, 254693, 41922101 850.1025 72-3B Estuarine/Marine Toxicity - Mollusk **Data Gap** 850.1035 72-3C Estuarine/Marine Toxicity - Shrimp Data Gap EC50 850.1045 850.1075 72-3A Estuarine/Marine Fish LC50 Data Gap 850.1075 72-1A Fish Toxicity- Bluegill 00071469, 43304301 850.1075 72-1C Fish Toxicity- Rainbow Trout 00071469, 43256201 850.1300 72-4A Freshwater Fish- Early Life Stage 00251243, 41922103

#### Data Supporting Guideline Requirements for the Reregistration of Triadimefon REQUIREMENT USE CITATION(S) **PATTERN** 850.1500 72-5 Life Cycle Fish N/A 850.1735 00071469, 00231311, None Freshwater Acute InvertebrateToxicity 00246736, 41922102 850.4100 122-1A Terrestrial Toxicity; Seedling N/A Emergence 850.4150 122-1B Terrestrial Plant Toxicity; Vegetative N/A Vigor 850.5400 122-2 Aquatic Plant Growth 00159558, 00266051 850.4225 123-1A Seed Germination and Seedling Data Gap Emergence (Tier 2) 850.4250 123-1B Vegetative Vigor (Tier 2) Data Gap 850.4400 123-2 Aquatic Vascular Plant Growth (Tier2) Data Gap 05001991, 42307804 850.3020 141-1 Honey Bee Acute Contact 850.3030 141-2 Honey Bee Residue on Foliage N/A **TOXICOLOGY** 870.1100 81-1 **Acute Oral Toxicity** 00125411, 00264276, 43936101 870.1200 81-2 Acute Dermal Toxicity-Rat 00145086, 00264276 870.1300 81-3 Acute Inhalation Toxicity-Rat 41616002 870.2400 81-4 Primary Eye Irritation-Rabbit 41782501 870.2500 81-5 Primary Skin Irritation- Rabbit 41616004 870.2600 81-6 41554001 Dermal Sensitization- Guinea pig 82-5A 870.6100 90-Day Neurotoxicity- Hen 44153501 870.6300 83-6 Developmental Neurotoxicity Study 00089023; **Data Gap** 870.3100 82-1A 90-Day Feeding - Rat 00048624 870.3100 82-1A 30-Day Oral Toxicity- Rat 00048627 00048625, 00060226 870.3150 82-1B 90-Day Feeding (Non-rodent)- Dog 82-2 870.3200 21-Day Dermal Toxicity- Rat 42341501 870.3700 83-3A Developmental Toxicity - Rat 00089023, 00149336, 92188018

#### Data Supporting Guideline Requirements for the Reregistration of Triadimefon REQUIREMENT USE CITATION(S) **PATTERN** 870.3700 83-3B Developmental Toxicity - Rabbit 41446201, 42089601 870.3800 83-4 2-Generation Reproduction - Rat 00155075, 92188019, 92188020 The reproductive study in the rat is acceptable/ nonguideline in conjunction with the 3-generation study (MRID 00032541) 870.3800 83-4 Reproduction and fertility effects (3-00032541 Gen)- Rat The reproductive study in the rat is acceptable/ nonguideline in conjunction with the multi-generation reproduction study (MRID 00155075) 870.4100 83-1B Chronic Toxicity - Dog 00032539, 00126261 870.4200 83-2B Carcinogenicity- Mice 40752101, 40865101 870.4300 83-5 2-year Combined 42153901 Chronic/Oncogenicty- Rat 870.5100 84-2 Mutagenicity-Gene Mutation-bacterial 00126264, 00099413 870.5395 84-2 Micronuleus Assay 00048637 870.5450 84-2 00048628 Cytogenetics Dominant Lethal Assay 870.5550 84-2 Unscheduled DNA Synthesis Assay 00159343 870.6200 81-8 Acute Neurotoxicity Screening Battery-43495509, 43936101 Rat 870.6200 82-7 Subchronic Neurotoxicity Screening 44153501 Battery- Rat 870.7485 85-1 General Metabolism- Rat 00033057, 42409101 OCCUPATIONAL/RESIDENTIAL EXPOSURE 43125401 875.2400 133-3 **Dermal Passive Dosimetry Exposure** 43125401 875.2500 133-4 Inhalation Passive Dosimetry Exposure

45654503

Estimation of Dermal Exposure at

Outdoor Sites

231

None

REQUIR	EMENT		USE PATTERN	CITATION(S)
None	232	Estimation of Inhalation Exposure at Outdoor Sites		45654503
	ENVIRO	ONMENTAL FATE		
None	160-5	Chemical Identity		N/A
835.2120	161-1	Hydrolysis		41922101
835.2240	161-2	Photodegradation - Water		42112901
835.2410	161-3	Photodegradation - Soil		00044169, 42820401
835.2370	161-4	Photodegradation - Air		N/A
835.4100	162-1	Aerobic Soil Metabolism		42242701, 42224104, 41686102,
835.4200	162-2	Anaerobic Soil Metabolism		41686101, 42401201
835.4400	162-3	Anaerobic Aquatic Metabolism		41686101, 42401201
835.4300	162-4	Aerobic Aquatic Metabolism		42224104
835.1240	163-1	Leaching/Adsorption/Desorption		41616008, 42356601
835.1410	163-2	Laboratory Volatilization		N/A
835.8100	163-3	Volatility- Field		N/A
835.6100	164-1	Terrestrial Field Dissipation		42242701, 41686103, 41686104
835.6200	164-2	Aquatic Field Dissipation		N/A
835.6300	164-3	Forestry Dissipation		N/A
860.1950	165-4	Bioaccumulation in Fish		41619901
None	165-5	Bioaccumulation- Aquatic Nontarget		41619901
835-7100	166-1	Ground Water- Small Scale Prospective		N/A
840.1100	201-1	Spray Droplet Size Spectrum		N/A
840.1000	201-4	Background for Pesticide Aerial Drift (Evaluation)		N/A
840.1200	202-1	Spray Drift Field Deposition (Evaluation)		N/A

### **Data Supporting Guideline Requirements for the Reregistration of Triadimefon**

REQUIRI	EMENT		USE PATTERN	CITATION(S)
860.1200	171-3	Directions for Use		N/A
860.1300	171-4A	Nature of Residue - Plants		42123401, 42123402, 42123403, 42798901, 42853401, 92188025-33
860.1300	171-4B	Nature of Residue - Livestock		42123404, 42856801, 42864901, 43418301-02, 92188034-39
860.1340	171-4C	Residue Analytical Method - Plants		43870101, 44041002, 92188040-43
860.1340	171-4D	Residue Analytical Method - Livestock		43418303, 92188044-48
860.1360	171-4M	Multiresidue Methods		41976601, 43705401
860.1380	171-4E	Storage Stability Data- Plant and Livestock		41976602, 42857401, 44038901, 44041001, 92188051-53, 43462401
860.1480	171-4J	Magnitude of Residues - Meat/Milk/Poultry /Egg		92188054-57
860.1500	171-4K	Crop Field Trials		92188060-65, 92188070, 92188073-75, 42342303-08, 41809401
860.1520	171-4L	Magnitude of Residue in Processed Food/Feed		42346601, 43948601, 92188064-65, 92188069, 43284401, 42013201, 92188074
860.1850	165-1	Confined Accumulation in Rotational Crops Study		42613301
860.1900	165-2	Field Accumulation in Rotational Crops Study		N/A

## **APPENDIX B-2**

### **Data Supporting Guideline Requirements for the Reregistration of Triadimenol**

REQUIRE	EMENT		USE PATTERN	CITATION(S)		
PRODUCT CHEMISTRY						
New Guideline Number	Old Guideline Number					
830.1550	61-1	Product Identity and Composition	All	N/A		
830.1600	61-2A	Start. Mat. & Mnfg. Process	All	N/A		
830.1620	61-2B	Description of Production Process	All	N/A		
830.1650	61-2B	Description of Formulation Process	All	N/A		
830.1670	61-2B	Formation of Impurities	All	N/A		
830.1700	62-1	Preliminary Analysis	All	N/A		
830.1750	62-2	Certification of limits	All	N/A		
830.1800	62-3	Enforcement Analytical Method	All	N/A		
830.6302	63-2	Color	All	N/A		
830.6303	63-3	Physical State	All	N/A		
830.6304	63-4	Odor	All	N/A		
830.6313	63-13	Stability to Normal and Elevated Temperatures, Metals and Metal Ions	All	Data Gap		
830.6314	63-14	Oxidation/Reduction: Chemical Incompatibility	All	N/A		
830.6315	63-15	Flammability	All	N/A		
830.6316	63-16	Explodability	All	N/A		
830.6317	63-17	Storage Stability	All	N/A		
830.6319	63-19	Miscibility	All	N/A		
830.6320	63-20	Corrosion Characteristics	All	N/A		
830.7000	63-12	рН	All	Not available; Data Gap		
830.7050	None	UV/Visable Absorption	All	Not Available; Data Gap		
830.7100	63-18	Viscosity	All	N/A		

#### Data Supporting Guideline Requirements for the Reregistration of Triadimenol REQUIREMENT USE CITATION(S) **PATTERN** 830.7200 63-5 Melting Point All 00125399 830.7220 63-6 **Boiling Point** All N/A 830.7300 63-7 All 00125399 Relative Density 830.7370 63-10 Dissociation Constants in Water All Not available Octanol/Water Partition Coefficient 830.7550 63-11 All Not available; Data Gap 830.7560 830.7570 830.7840 63-8 Water solubility: column elution All 00125399; **Data Gap** method; shake flask method 830.7860 830.7950 63-9 Vapor Pressure 00125399 All **TOXICOLOGY** 81-1 870.1100 **Acute Oral Toxicity** 00125411 870.1200 81-2 00145086 Acute Dermal Toxicity-Rat 870.1300 81-3 Acute Inhalation Toxicity-Rat 00145087 870.2400 81-4 Primary Eye Irritation-Rabbit 00145088 870.2500 81-5 Primary Skin Irritation- Rabbit 00145088 870.2600 81-6 Dermal Sensitization- Guinea pig 00125413 82-1A 00127769, 42192701 870.3100 90-Day Oral Toxicity- Rat 870.3150 82-1B 90-Day Oral Toxicity (Non-rodent )-00125420 Dog 870.3150 82-1B 6 months oral toxicity (Non-rodent)-00151247 Dog 870.3200 82-2 15-Day Dermal Toxicity- Rabbit 00151246 870.3465 82-4 21-Day Inhalation Toxicity- Rat 00125421 870.3700 83-3A Prenatal Developmental Toxicity - Rat 40307804, 40887702, 41498401 870.3700 83-3B Developmental Toxicity - Rabbit 40307805, 40887703,

42365001

#### Data Supporting Guideline Requirements for the Reregistration of Triadimenol REQUIREMENT USE CITATION(S) **PATTERN** 870.3800 83-4 2-Gen. reproduction and fertility effects 00151248 - Rat 870.4100 83-1B Chronic Toxicity - Dog 00150484, 00159012 870.4200 83-2B Carcinogenicity- Mice 00126259, 44740901 870.4300 83-5 00126260 Combined Chronic/Carcinogenicity-Rat 870.5100 84-2 Bacterial system, mammalian 00126264 activation-Gene Mutation 870.5300 84-2 In Vitro Mammalian Cell Gene 00126269 Mutation 870.5450 84-2 Cytogenetics Dominant Lethal Assay 00126266 870.5500 84-2 Other Genotoxicity DNA Damage 00126271 870.5550 84-2 Other Genotoxicity Unscheduled DNA 00126271 Synthesis 870.5900 84-2 Other Effects In Vitro Sister Chromatid 40815901 Exchange Assay 870.6100 81-7 Acute Neurotoxicity Study Data Gap 870.6200 82-7 Subchronic Neurotoxicity Study Data Gap Other Studies; Central Nervous Effects-00145083 Other none Mice **RESIDUE CHEMISTRY** 860.1200 171-3 Directions for Use N/A 860.1300 171-4A Nature of Residue - Plants 42123401-03, 42798901, 42853401, 92188025-33 860.1300 171-4B Nature of Residue - Livestock 42123404, 42856801, 42864901, 43418301-02, 92188034-39 860.1340 171-4C Residue Analytical Method - Plants 43870101, 44041002, 92188040-43 171-4D 860.1340 Residue Analytical Method -43418303, 92188044-48 Livestock 860.1360 171-4M Multiresidue Methods 40969801, 41976601, 43705401

#### Data Supporting Guideline Requirements for the Reregistration of Triadimenol REQUIREMENT **USE** CITATION(S) **PATTERN** 860.1380 171-4E Storage Stability Data- Plant and 41976602, 42857401, Livestock 44038901, 44041001, 92188051-53, 43462401 171-4J Magnitude of Residues -860.1480 92188054-57 Meat/Milk/Poultry /Egg 860.1500 171-4K Crop Field Trials 00125407-09, 40615201, 41051401, 41242801, 42696308-09, 42712101 860.1520 171-4L Magnitude of Residue in Processed 44519801-03 Food/Feed 860.1850 165-1 Confined Accumulation in Rotational 42613301 Crops Study 860.1900 165-2 Field Accumulation in Rotational N/A

Crops Study

#### **Appendix C. Technical Support Documents**

Additional documentation in support of this RED is maintained in the OPP docket, located in Room 119, Crystal Mall #2, 1801 South Bell Street, Arlington, VA. It is open Monday through Friday, excluding Federal Holidays, from 8:30 am to 4 pm.

The docket contains the risk assessments and related documents as of August 30, 2006. The availability announcement will be published in the Federal Register. All documents, in hard copy form, may be viewed in the OPP docket room or downloaded or viewed via the internet at the following site: <a href="www.epa.gov/pesticides/reregistration">www.epa.gov/pesticides/reregistration</a>. The following list details all documents related to the Triadimefon/Triadimenol (RED/TRED).

#### Health Effects Documents:

- 1. DP Barcode 329823 and D328572. Jin Kim, Tara Chandgoyal and Jonathan Becker. July 18, 2006. Characterization of Triadimefon Use on Golf Courses, Turf, Pine Seed and Seedling Treatment, and Pineapple.
- 2. DP Barcode D314778. Yvonne Barnes and Sheila Piper. July 6, 2006. Triadimefon: Revised Acute, Probabilistic and Chronic Dietary (Food + Drinking Water) Exposure and Risk Assessments for the Triadimefon Reregistration.
- 3. DP Barcode D331455. Yvonne Barnes and Sheila Piper. August 1, 2006. Triadimefon + Triadimenol: Aggregate Acute, Chronic, and Short-Term Risk Assessments Reflecting July, 2006 Risk Mitigation in Response to the Phase 4 Triadimefon RED.
- 5. DP Barcode D328262. Rich Griffin. June 20, 2006. Triadimefon: HED Response to Comments Received During the Public Comment Phase.
- 6. DP Barcode D330611. Rich Griffin. July 10, 2006. Triadimefon/Triadimenol: Summary of Refinements and Revisions to the Human Health Risk Assessment.
- 7. DP Barcode D314814 and D315040. Shanna Recore. June 30, 2006. Triadimefon: Occupational and Residential Exposure Assessment for the Reregistration Eligibility Decision Document.
- 8. Meeting Summary. Kimberly Nesci. EPA/FDA Joint Teleconfernce on July 11, 2006 to Discuss 1,2,4 Triazole (Free Triazole) as a Common Metabolite of Triazole Derivative Fungicides and Anastrozole, a Drug.
- 9. Sam Ary. Triadimefon. Acute and Chronic Dietary Exposure Assessments for the Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED) Document.

- 10. DP Barcode D321636. Sam Ary. August 31, 2005. Triadimefon. Registrants Response to Residue Chemistry Data Requirements. Magnitude of Triadimefon Residues of Concern in Apple Processed Commodities.
- 11. DP Barcode D314778. Sam Ary. November 23, 2005. Triadimefon. Acute and Chronic Dietary Exposure Assessments for the Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED) Document.
- 12. DP Barcode D315115. Yvonne Barnes. November 22, 2005. Triadimefon: Summary of Product Chemistry Data for Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED) Document.
- 13. DP Barcode D 326678. Rich Griffin. February 9, 2006. Triadimefon. Preliminary Human Health Risk Assessment (Revised).
- 14. DP Barcode D314742. Sam Ary. November 23, 2005. Triadimefon. Summary of Analytical Chemistry and Residue Data for the Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED) Document.
- 15. DP Barcode D314928. Sam Ary. November 18, 2005. Triadimenol Acute and Chronic Dietary Exposure Assessments for the Tolerance Reassessment Eligibility Decision Document.
- DP Barcode D314891. Sam Ary. November 17, 2005. Triadimenol. Summary of Analytical Chemistry and Residue Data for the Tolerance Reassessment Eligibility Decision Document.
- 17. DP Barcode D326716. Christina Jarvis. January 24, 2006. Triadimenol HED Chapter of the Tolerance Reassessment Eligibility Decision Document (Revised).
- 18. DP Barcode D322336. Sam Ary. October 14, 2005. Triadimenol. Registrants Response to Residue Chemistry Data Requirements.
- 19. DP Barcode D315152. Yvonne Barnes. November 22, 2005. Summary of Product Chemistry Data for Tolerance Reassessment Document.
- 20. DP Barcode D322240. Jack Arthur. December 9, 2005. Occupational and Residential Exposure/Risk Assessment of 1,2,4-Triazole.
- 21. DP Barcode D 322238. Michael Doherty. November 1, 2005. 1,2,4-Triazole. Acute and Chronic Dietary Exposure Assessments to Support Reregistration and Registration Actions for Triazole-derivative Fungicides.

- 22. DP Barcode D322239. Michael Doherty. December 20, 2005. Triazole Alanine and Triazole Acetic Acid. Acute and Chronic Dietary Exposure Assessments to Support Reregistration and Registration Actions for Triazole-derivative Fungicides.
- 23. DP Barcode D322215. Michael Doherty. February 7, 2006. 1,2,4-Triazole, Triazole Alanine, triazole Acetic Acid: Human Health Aggregate Risk Assessment in Support of Reregistration and Registration Actions for Triazole-derivative Fungicide Compounds.
- 24. Kathleen Raffaele. Triazoles- 2<sup>nd</sup> Report of the *Ad Hoc* HED Peer Review Committee.

#### Ecological Fate and Effects Documents:

- 1. DP Barcode D328531. Fred Jenkins and Jonathan Angier. June 1, 2006. Response to Bayer Public Comments Regarding the Environmental Fate and Effects Division Triadimefon Risk Assessment.
- 2. DP Barcode D 331725. Fred Jenkins and Jonathan Angier. August 3, 2006. EFED Revisions to the Ecological Risk Assessment (dated Aug. 30, 2005) and the Drinking Water Exposure Assessment (dated Aug. 31, 2005) in Support of the Triadimefon Reregistration Eligibility Decision Document.
- 3. DP Barcode D316941. Jonathan Angier. August 31, 2005. Tier 2 Drinking Water Assessment for Triadimefon and its Major Degradate Triadimenol.
- 4. Jonathan Angier and Michelle Embry. January 19, 2006. Environmental Fate and Ecological Risk Assessment for Triadimefon.
- 5. DP Barcode D312519. James Breithaupt. February 9, 2005. TRED for Triadimenol; Drinking Water Assessment.
- 6. DP Barcode D329271. James Hetrick. June 15, 2006. Response to Triazole Task Force Comments on the FQPA Drinking Water Assessment for 1,2,4-Triazole (1,2,4-T), Triazole alanine (TA), and Triazole acetic acid (TAA).

#### Other Documents:

1. Memorandum. John A. Lazor. EPA 1,2,4 Triazole Consult Response.

# Appendix D. Citations Considered to be Part of the Data Base Supporting the Reregistration Eligibility Decision (Bibliography) for Triadimefon and Triadimenol

## **Bibliography**

MRID	Citation Reference
32539	Hoffman, K.; Groning, P. (1978) Meb 6447 Long-Term Toxicity Study on Dogs (Two-Year Feeding Study): Report No. 7882; Report No. 66656. (Unpublished study received Apr 28, 1980 under 3125- 318; prepared by Bayer, AG, submitted by Mobay Chemical Corp., Kansas City, Mo.; CDL:099413-D)
32541	Loser, E.; Lorke, D. (1979) Meb 6447 Multigeneration Reproduction Study on Rats: Report No. 8297; Report No. 67752. (Unpublished study received Apr 28, 1980 under 3125-318; prepared by Bayer, AG, submitted by Mobay Chemical Corp., Kansas City, Mo.; CDL: 099413-F)
33057	Fredrickson, D.R. (1978) Metabolism of Bayleton^(TM)I in Rats: Re- port No. 66201. (Unpublished study received Apr 28, 1980 under 3125-318; submitted by Mobay Chemical Corp., Kansas City, Mo.; CDL:099415-F)
48624	Mohr, U. (1976) Meb 6447: Subchronic Toxicity Study on Rats (Twelve -Week Feeding Experiment): Report No. R 840 a; Report No. 44300. (Unpublished study received Aug 15, 1977 under 3125-318; pre- pared by Medizinische Hochschule Hannover, Abteilung fur Experi- mentelle Pathologie, West Germany, submitted by Mobay Chemical Corp., Kansas City, Mo.; CDL:231312-C)
48625	Hoffman, K.; Luckhaus, G. (1974) Meb 6447: Subchronic Toxicity Study on Dogs (Thirteen-Week Feeding Experiment): Report No. 5071; Report No. 44737. (Unpublished study received Aug 15, 1977 under 3125-318; prepared by Bayer, AG, submitted by Mobay Chemical Corp., Kansas City, Mo.; CDL:231312-D)
48627	Thyssen, J.; Kimmerle, G.; Luckhaus, G. (1974) Meb 6447: Subacute Toxicity Studies: Report No. 4464; Report No. 46338. (Unpub- lished study received Aug 15, 1977 under 3125-318; prepared by Bayer, AG, submitted by Mobay Chemical Corp.; Kansas City, Mo.; CDL:231312-F)
48628	Machemer, L. (1976) Meb 6447: Dominant Lethal Study on Male Mice to Test for Mutagenic Effects: Report No. 5837; Report No. 47929. (Unpublished study received Aug 15, 1977 under 3125-318; pre- pared by Bayer, AG, submitted by Mobay Chemical Corp., Kansas City, Mo.; CDL:231312-G)
48637	Machemer, L. (1977) MEB 6447 (Triadimefon, Bayleton Active Ingre- dient): Micronucleus Test on Mice to Evaluate Meb 6447 for Muta- genic Effects: Report No. 6622; Report No. 52724. (Unpublished study received Aug 15, 1977 under 3125-318; prepared by Bayer, AG, submitted by Mobay Chemical Corp., Kansas City, Mo.; CDL: 231312-P)
50066	Fink, R.; Beavers, J.B. (1977) Final Report: Eight-Day Dietary LC50Bobwhite Quail: Project No. 149-105; Report No. 527773. (Unpublished study received Aug 15, 1977 under 3125-318; pre- pared by Wildlife International, Ltd., submitted by Mobay Chemi- cal Corp., Kansas City, Mo.; CDL:231311-K)

MRID	Citation Reference
60226	Hoffmann, K.; Luckhaus, G.; Lorke, D. (1974) Meb 6447: Subchronic Toxicity Study on Dogs (Thirteen-Week Feeding Experiment): Re- port No.5071; Report No. 44737. (Unpublished study received Dec 15, 1977 under 3125-EX-149; prepared by Bayer, AG, W. Germany, submitted by Mobay Chemical Corp., Kansas City, Mo.; CDL: 232490-D)
71469	Mobay Chemical Corporation Agricultural Chemicals Division; Environmental Toxicology of Baytan (Triadimenol); KWG 0519; October 29, 1982.
89023	Nagumo, K.; Teraki, Y.; Chiba, T.; et al. (1981) Teratogenicity Test of MEB 6447 in Pregnant Rats: 'Submitter  80257. (Unpub- lished study received Jan 5, 1982 under 1F2474; prepared by St. Marianna Univ., First Dept. of Anatomy, Laboratory of Embry- ology, submitted by Mobay Chemical Corp., Kansas City, Mo.; CDL: 070570-A)
98119	Smith, D.N. (1981) Bayleton Manufacture. (Unpublished study re- ceived Mar 30, 1982 under 2F2665; submitted by Mobay Chemical Corp., Kansas City, Mo.; CDL:070747-A)
98120	Harbin, D.N.; Slahck, S.C.; Westphal, C. (1982) The Composition of Technical ^(R)IBayleton: Report No. 80605. (Unpublished study received Mar 30, 1982 under 2F2665; submitted by Mobay Chemical Corp., Kansas City, Mo.; CDL:070747-B)
110430	Mobay Chemical Corp. (1982) Synopsis of Environmental Toxicology of Bayleton. Summary of studies 248117-B through 248117-E. (Unpublished study received Aug 17, 1982 under 3125-318; CDL: 248117-A)
110431	Lamb, D.; Carsel, M.; Mallicoat, D.; et al. (1982) Triadimefon (Bayleton) Reproduction Study with Bobwhite Quail: Study No. 81- 675-03; 80753. (Unpublished study received Aug 17, 1982 under 3125-318; submitted by Mobay Chemical Corp., Kansas City, MO; CDL:248117-B)
125399	Mobay Chemical Corp. (1983) Product Chemistry of Baytan. (Compilation; unpublished study received Mar 11, 1983 under 3125-346; CDL:071461-A)
125411	Mihail, F.; Thyssen, J.; Lorke, D. (1980) KWG 0519 (Triadimenol): Acute Toxicity Studies-(Isomer Ratio 80:20): Bayer Report No. 9451; 69378. (Unpublished study received Mar 11, 1983 under 3125-346; prepared by Farbenfabriken Bayer, AG, W. Ger., submitted by Mobay Chemical Corp., Kansas City, MO; CDL: 071466-B)
125421	Kimmerle, G.; Lorke, D. (1976) KWG 0519: Subacute Inhalation Toxicity Study on Rats: Report No. 6321; 51130. (Unpublished study received Mar 11, 1983 under 3125-346; prepared by Farben- fabriken Bayer, AG, W. Ger., submitted by Mobay Chemical Corp., Kansas City, MO; CDL:071466-M)
126259	Bomhard, E.; Loser, E.; Frank; et al. (1982) KWG 0519 (Triadimenol, Baytan Active Ingredient): Chronic Toxicological Study on Mice (Feeding Experiment over Two Years): Report No. 10855- 82291. (Unpublished study received Mar 11, 1983 under 3125- 346; prepared by Farbenfabriken Bayer, AG, W. Ger., submitted by Mobay Chemical Corp., Kansas City, MO; CDL:071467-A)
126260	Kroetlinger, F.; Loeser, E.; Schilde, B.; et al. (1982) KWG 0519: (Triadimenol, the Active Ingredient of Baytan): Chronic Toxicity Study on Rats (2-year Feeding Experiment): Bayer Report No. 11009; 82358. (Unpublished study received Mar 11, 1983 under 3125-346;

MRID	Citation Reference
	prepared by Bayer AG, W. Ger., submitted by Mobay Chemical Corp., Kansas City, MO; CDL:071468-A)
126261	Hoffmann, K.; Groning, P.; Lorke, D. (1978) MEB 6447: Long-term Toxicity Study on Dogs (Two-year Feeding Study): Report No. 7882; 66656. (Unpublished study received Mar 11, 1983 under 3125-346; prepared by Bayer AG, W. Ger., submitted by Mobay Chemical Corp., Kansas City, MO; CDL:071468-B)
126264	Herbold, B.; Lorke, D. (1979) KWG 0519: Salmonella/Microsome Test for Detection of Point-mutagenic Effects: Report No. 8189; 67595. (Unpublished study received Mar 11, 1983 under 3125-346; prepared by Bayer AG, W. Ger., submitted by Mobay Chemical Corp., Kansas City, MO; CDL:071468-F)
126266	Herbold, B.; Lorke, D. (1978) KWG 0519: Dominant Lethal Study on Male Mouse to Test for Mutagenic Effects: Report No. 7900; 66746. (Unpublished study received Mar 11, 1983 under 3125-346; prepared by Bayer AG, W. Ger., submitted by Mobay Chemical Corp., Kansas City, MO; CDL:071468-H)
126269	Cifone, M.; Balinas, V. (1982) Mutagenicity Evaluation of KWG 0519 in the Mouse Lymphoma Forward-mutation Assay: LBI Safety No. 7607; 82353. Final rept. (Unpublished study received Mar 11, 1983 under 3125-346; prepared by Litton Bionetics, Inc., submitted by Mobay Chemical Corp., Kansas City, MO; CDL: 071468-K)
126271	Herbold, B.; Lorke, D. (1981) KWG 0519: Triadimenol, the Active Ingredient of Baytan: Study of DNA Damage Using the E. coli Pol Al- Test: Bayer Report No. 10265; 80212. (Unpublished study received Mar 11, 1983 under 3125-346; prepared by Bayer AG, W. Ger., submitted by Mobay Chemical Corp., Kansas City, MO; CDL:071468-M)
126277	Lamb, D.; Toll, P.; Mallicoat, D.; et al. (1981) Acute Dietary LC50 of Technical Triadimenol (Baytan) to Mallard Ducks and Bobwhite Quail: Study No. 80-175-09 and 80-175-05. (Unpublished study received Mar 11, 1983 under 3125-346; submitted by Mobay Chemical Corp., Kansas City, MO; CDL:071469-F)
145083	Polacek, I. (1983) Central Nervous Effects of KWG 0519 (Pilot Study): Study No. 03018. Unpublished study prepared by Toxicol- ogical Institute Regensburg. 17 p.
145086	Hixson, E. (1983) Acute Dermal Toxicity of Baytan 25% Dry Flowable in Rabbits: Study Number 83-023-05. Unpublished study prepared by Mobay Chemical Corporation. 11 p.
145087	Sangha, G. (1983) Acute Inhalation Toxicity Study with Baytan 25% Dry Flowable in Rats: Study Number 83-041-08. Unpublished study prepared by Mobay Chemical Corporation. 16 p.
145088	Hixson, E. (1984) Eye and Dermal Irritation of Baytan 25% Dry Flowable in Rabbits: Study Numbers 83-323-06 and 83-333-06. Unpublished study prepared by Mobay Chemical Corporation. 16 p.
149331	Mihail, F. (1980) MEB 6447: Acute Toxicity Studies: Report No. 9277. Unpublished study prepared by Bayer AG. 10 p.

MRID	Citation Reference
149336	Unger, T.; Van Goethem, D.; Shellenberger, T. (1982) A Terato- logical Evaluation of Bayleton in Mated Female Rats: MRI Project No. 7272-B. Unpublished study prepared by Midwest Research Institute. 52 p.
150484	Hoffmann, K.; Vogel, O. (1984) KWG 0519 (C.N. Triadimenol) First Chronic Study of Toxicity to Dogs on Oral Administration (Two- year Feeding Study): KWG 0519/005. Unpublished study prepared by Bayer AG. 254 p.
151246	Heimann, K.; Schilde, B. (1984) KWG 0519 (Suggested Common Name: Triadimenol, the Active Ingredient of BAYTAN): Subacute Dermal Toxicity Study on Rabbits: Bayer Report No.: 12496. Unpublished study prepared by Bayer AG. 66 p.
151247	Hoffmann, K. (1984) KWG 0519 (c.n. Triadimenol): Second Chronic Study of Toxicity to Dogs on Oral Administration (Six-month Feeding Study): Report No.: 12971. Unpublished study prepared by Bayer AG. 127 p.
151248	Loeser, E.; Eiben, R. (1984) KWG 0519 (Proposed c.n. Triadimenol): Generation Study on Rats: Report No.: 12390. Unpublished study prepared by Bayer AG. 250 p.
155075	Eiben, R. (1984) MEB 6447 (Triadimefon): Two-generation Study with Rats: Supplementary Study: Report No. 12712. Unpublished study prepared by Bayer AG, Institute of Toxicology. 168 p.
159012	Hoffmann, K. (1984) Investigation of the Body Weight Development of Beagle Dogs in Chronic Toxicity Studies (Survey, 1973-1983): Pharma Report No. 12385. Unpublished study prepared by Bayer Pharmaceuticals Div. 14 p.
159343	Herbold, B. (1985) MEB 6447 C.N. Triadimefon: Pol Test on E. coli To Evaluate for Potential DNA Damage: Revised Report: Report No. 12780. Unpublished translation, Mobay report no. 86793, of study prepared by Bayer AG. 16 p.
159558	Heimbach, F. (1985) Growth Inhibition of Green Algae (Scenedesmus subspicatus) by Triadimefon (Technical): Test Report: Report HBF/A112: Study No. A105/85. Unpublished study prepared by Bayer AG. 18 p.
231311	Chemagro Agricultural Division, Bayleton Fish and Wildlife Safety, June, 18 1977.
246736	Mobay Chemical Corporation, Corporate Toxicology Department, Stanley Life Cycle Study of Triadimefon to Daphnia Magna; Research Center; Study Number 81 667-01 Author: D. W. Lang.
251243	Mobay Chemical Corporation, Toxicity of Triadimefon (Bayleton) To Rainbow Trout Early Life Stages; Report No. 85939 September 9, 1983.
254693	Mobay Chemical Corporation, Bayleton Fish and Wildlife Data, Brochure, confidential document number AS 84-2461; September, 1984.
264276	Mobay Corporation Environmental Health Research; Toxicology of Bayleton; Supplement No. 5 to Original Brochure Date June 6, 1977; Brochure No. 1441; February 21, 1986.
5001991	Stevenson, J. H.; The Acute Toxicity of Unformulated Pesticides to Worker Honey Bees; Rothamsted Experimental Station Harpenden New Hampshire.

MRID	Citation Reference
40283102	Mobay Corporation Coroporate Toxicology Department; Effect of Triadimenol (Baytan) of Mallard Duck Reproduction; Report No. 86385; February 28, 1984; Report Author: J.C. Carlisle.
40307804	Becker, H. (1987) Embryotoxicity (including Teratogenicity) Study with KWG 0519 in the Rat: Report No. 94463. Unpublished compil- ation prepared by Research and Consulting Co. AG. 494 p.
40307805	Becker, H.; Mueller, E.; Vogel, W.; et al. (1987) Embryotoxicity (including Teratoegenicity) Study with KWG 0519 in the Rabbit: Project 065248: Report No. 94762. Unpublished compilation pre- pared by Research and Consulting Co. AG. 318 p.
40477401	Talbott, T. (1987) Supplement 1: Product Chemistry of Bayleton Technical: Laboratory Project ID ANR-00587. Unpublished study prepared by Mobay Corporation. 55 p.
40615201	Pither, K. (1988) TriadimenolMagnitude of Residues on Bananas: Baytan Objective No. 5781. Unpublished study prepared by PTRL. 86 p.
40752101	Bomhard, E. (1986) MEB 6447: Carcinogenicity Study on NMRI Mice (21-Month Administration in the Feed): Report No. 87287. Unpu- blished study prepared by Bayer AG. 1190 p.
40819101	Nagata, T. (1981) Triadimefon Subacute Toxicity on Rats: 3-Month Feeding Study: Report No. 69700. Unpublished study prepared by Shin Nippon Kagaku Co., Ltd. 229 p.
40865101	Bombard, E.; Hahnemann, S. (1986) MEB 6447: Common Name: Triadime- fon, the Active Ingredient of Bayleton: Carcinogenicity Study on NMRI Mice: Project ID 87287. Unpublished study prepared by Bayer Ag. 5 p.
40887702	Becker, H. (1987) Embryotoxicity (Including Teratogenicity) Study with KWG 0519 in the Rat: Project ID 94463. Unpublished study prepared by Research and Consulting Co. 13 p.
40887703	Becker, H. (1987) Embryotoxicity (Including Teratogenicity) Study with KWG 0519 in the Rabbit: Project ID 94762. Unpublished stu- dy prepared by Research and Consulting Co. AG. 9 p.
40969801	Foushee, G. (1988) Baytan, Multiresidue Method Trial: Project ID; 87-4705; Mobay Report No. 95672. Unpublished study prepared by Langston Laboratory, Inc. 526 p.
41051401	Pither, K. (1989) TriadimenolMagnitude of Residues on Bananas: Baytan Objective No. 5781: Mobay Report No. 95684-1. Unpublished study prepared by PTRL. 11 p.
41242801	Rockwell, J. (1988) Residues of Baytan and Its Metabolites in Cotton: Morse Laboratories 45659, 45661. Unpublished study pre- pared by Morse Laboratories. 354 p.
41446201	Clemens, G.; Hartnagel, R. (1990) Teratology Study in the Rabbit with MEB 6447 (Triadimefon): Report No.: MTD0149. Unpublished study prepared by Miles, Inc. 155 p.
41498401	Clemens, G.; Troup, C.; Hartnagel, R. (1990) Developmental Toxicity Study in the Rat with Baytan Technical: Report No.: MTD0156. Unpublished study prepared by Miles, Inc., Toxicology Depart- ment. 263 p.

MRID	Citation Reference
41554001	Sheets, L. (1990) Dermal Sensitization Study with Technical Grade Triadimefon (Bayleton) in Guinea Pigs: Lab Project Number: 90-324-EL. Unpublished study prepared by Mobay Corp. 21 p.
41616001	Talbot, T. (1990) Product Chemistry of Bayleton Technical: Supple- ment 1: Lab Project Number: 80298; 85997; 91321. Unpublished study prepared by Mobay Corp. 25 p.
41616002	Warren, D. (1990) Acute Four-Hour Inhalation Toxicity Study with Bayleton Technical in Rats: Lab Project Number: 90-042-GL. Un- published study prepared by Mobay Corp. 31 p.
41616004	Sheets, L. (1990) Primary Dermal Irritation Study with Technical Grade Triadimefon (Bayleton) in Rabbits: Lab Project Number: 90-325-EK. Unpublished study prepared by Mobay Corp. 16 p.
41616008	Daly, D. (1987) Soil Adsorption/Desorption with ?carbon 14 - Bayleton: Final Report: Lab Project Number: 36354. Unpublished study prepared by Analytical Bio-Chemistry Laboratories, Inc. 50 p.
41619901	Grau, R. (1990) Triadimefon: Bioconcentration in Fish: Lab Project Number: E2880381/0. Unpublished study prepared by Mobay Corp. 55 p.
41686101	Leimkuehler, W.; Lenz, C. (1989) The Anaerobic Soil Metabolism of ?Triazole-3,5 carbon 14  Triadimefon 1: Lab Project Number: BL0- 42102. Unpublished study prepared by Mobay Corp. 33 p.
41686102	Leimkuehler, W.; Lenz, C.; Stevenson, T. et al. (1989) The Aerobic Metabolism of ?Triazole-3,5, carbon 14  Triadimenfon1: Lab Pro- ject Number: BL042101. Unpublished study prepared by Mobay Corp. 42 p.
41686103	Carey, R. (1990) Subsurface Soil Investigation Report: Lab Project Number: ML022101. Unpublished study prepared by Mobay Corp. 44 p.
41686104	Carey, R. (1990) Subsurface Soil Investigation Report: Lab Project Number: ML022101. Unpublished study prepared by Mobay Corp. 72 p.
41782501	Sheets, L. (1990) Primary Eye Irritation Study with Bayleton 50% WP in Rabbits: Lab Project Number: 90-335-GY. Unpublished study prepared by Mobay Corporation 18 p.
41809401	Williams, B. (1991) Triadimefon: Magnitude of the Residue Crop Field Trials: Lab Project Number: 38613-1: BL19GR01: 100122. Unpublished study prepared by Analytical Bio-Chemistry Laborato- ries Inc. 323 p.
41895901	Stafford, T. (1991) Technical Bayleton: An Acute Oral LD50 with Bobwhite Quail (Colinus virginianus): Lab Project Number: BL711- 701: 101222. Unpublished study prepared by Mobay Corp. 21 p.
41922101	Cain, K.; Moore, K. (1991) Stability of Triadimefon in Sterile Aqueous Buffer Solutions: Lab Project Number: BL072401: 101291. Unpublished study prepared by Mobay Corp. 26 p.

MRID	Citation Reference
41922102	Gagliano, G. (1991) Chronic Toxicity of Bayleton Technical to the Waterflea (Daphnia magna) Under Static Renewal Conditions: Lab Project Number: BL840701: 101298. Unpublished study prepared by Mobay Corp. 57 p.
41922103	Cohle, P.; Friesen, K. (1991) Early Life-Stage Toxicity of Bayleton to Fathead Minnows (Pimephal-es promelas) in a Flow-through Sys- tems: Lab Project Number: 39006: 101301. Unpublished study pre- pared by ABC Laboratories, Inc. 75 p.
41976601	Ver Hey, M. (1991) Bayleton, KWG 1323 and KWG 1342: Multiresidue Method Testing: Lab Project Number: MOBAY/1093: BL161601. Un- published study prepared by Colorado Analytical Research & Dev., Corp. 380 p.
41976602	Williams, B.; Conrath, B. (1991) Triadimefon/Triadimenol Freezer Storage Stability Study in Wheat Gain, Grapes, and Tomatoes: Amended Report: Lab Project Number: 36987-1: BL142301: 100105. Unpublished study prepared by Analytical Bio-Chemistry Laboratories. 417 p.
42013201	Pither, K. (1991) Triademefon (500DF): Magnitude of the Residue on Pineapple Processed Products: Lab Project Number: BL19PN02B: 101325. Unpublished study prepared by ABC Labs, Inc. and Maui Pineapple Company, Ltd. 324 p.
42089601	Clemens, G.; Hartnagel, R. (1991) Teratology Study in the Rabbit with MEB 6447: Supplement to MRID 41446201: Lab Project Number: 100026: MTD0149. Unpublished study prepared by Miles, Inc., Tox. Dept. 11 p.
42112901	Krolski, M.; Bosnak, L. (1991) Addendum I: Additional Characteriza- tion of the Degradation Products Found in the Triadimefon Aqueous Photolysis Study: Lab Project Number: 101357: BL082402. Unpublished study prepared by Mobay Corp. 25 p.
42123401	Krolski, M. (1991) Addendum I: Raw Data on the Fate of ?carbon 14  Triadimefon on Tomatoes and Cucumbers: Lab Project Number: 68593 -1. Unpublished study prepared by Stoner Labs, Inc. 20 p.
42123402	Minor, R. (1991) Addendum I: Raw Data for the Metabolism of Triadim efon in Apples: Lab Project Number: 53621-1. Unpublished study prepared by Mobay Corp. 19 p.
42123403	Duah, F. (1991) Addendum I: Raw Data on The Metabolism of Bayleton on Grapes: Lab Project Number: 88790-1. Unpublished study pre- pared by Mobay Corp. 27 p.
42123404	Chopade, H. (1991) Addendum I: Raw Data for the Metabolism of Triad imefon in Male and Female Pigs: Lab Project Number: 66509-1. 51 p.
42153901	Bomhard, E.; Schilde, B. (1991) MEB 6447: Chronic Toxicity and Cancerogenicity Studies on Wistar Rats with Administration in Diet over a Period of 105 Weeks: Lab Project Number: 20774: 101922. Unpublished study prepared by Bayer Ag., Dept. of Toxicology. 1029 p.
42192701	Nishimura, N. (1983) Subacute Toxicity Study of KWG 0519 in Dietary Administration to Rats for 13 Weeks: Lab Project Num- ber: 101939. Unpublished study prepared by Bozo Research Cent- er Inc. 320 p.

MRID	Citation Reference
42224104	Leikmuehler, W.; Lenz, C.; Stevenson, T.; et al. (1989) The Aerobic Metabolism of (Triazole-3,5-carbon 14) Triadimenfon (sic): Lab Project Number: BLO42101: 99773. Unpublished study prepared by Mobay Corp. 45 p.
42242701	Grace, T.; Cain, K. (1991) Dissipation of Triadimefon in California Soils: Revised: Lab Project Number: 100150: BL830089R0A: 892010.1-1K. Unpublished study prepared by Plant Science, Inc., Siemer and Associates, Inc., and Ricerca, Inc. 4388 p.
42307801	Fontaine, L. (1992) Supplemental Product Chemistry of Bayleton Technical: Lab Project Number: MCL0054. Unpublished study prepared by Miles, Inc. 22 p.
42307802	Fontaine, L. (1992) Supplemental Product Chemistry of Bayleton Technical: Lab Project Number: ANR-00692: ANR-00792. Unpublished study prepared by Miles, Inc. 20 p.
42307803	Fontaine, L. (1992) Supplemental Product Chemistry of Bayleton Technical: Lab Project Number: 52834: 68665: 85997. Unpublished study prepared by Miles, Inc. 41 p.
42307804	Hoxter, K.; Lynn, S. (1992) Technical Bayleton: An Acute Contact Toxicity Study with the Honey Bee (LD50): Lab Project Number: 149-168B: BL761401: 102633. Unpublished study prepared by Wildlife Intl., Inc. 18 p.
42341501	Sheets, L.; Phillips, S.; Lake, S. (1992) A Repeated Dose 21-Day Dermal Toxicity Study with Technical Grade Triadimefon (Bayleton) in Rats: Lab Project Number: 91-122-LE; 102680. Unpublished study prepared by Miles, Inc. 215 p.
42342301	Stafford, T. (1992) Technical Bayleton: A One Generation Reproduction Study with Bobwhite Quail: Lab Project Number: BL741701. Unpublished study prepared by Miles, Inc. 106 p.
42342303	Burger, R. (1992) Triadimefon (50DF)Magnitude of the Residue on Apples: Lab Project Number: BL 19AP01: 39590: 102625. Unpublished study prepared by Miles, Inc. and ABC Laboratories. 386 p.
42342304	Burger, R. (1992) Triadimefon (50DF)Magnitude of the Residue on Cantaloupe: Lab Project Number: BL 19CP01: 39585: 102628. Unpublished study prepared by Miles, Inc. and ABC Laboratories. 319 p.
42342305	Burger, R. (1992) Triadimefon (50DF)Magnitude of the Residue on Cucumber: Lab Project Number: BL 19CU01: 39586: 102630. Unpublished study prepared by Miles, Inc. and ABC Laboratories. 409 p.
42342306	Burger, R. (1992) Triadimefon (50DF)Magnitude of the Residue on Pears: Lab Project Number: BL 19PR01: 39592: 102626. Unpublished study prepared by Miles, Inc. and ABC Laboratories. 303 p.
42342307	Burger, R. (1992) Triadimefon (50DF)Magnitude of the Residue on Pineapple: Lab Project Number: BL 19PN02: 39256: 102649. Unpublished study prepared by Miles, Inc., ABC Laboratories, and Dole Packaged Foods Co. 416 p.
42342308	Burger, R. (1992) Triadimefon (50DF)Magnitude of the Residue on Summer Squash: Lab Project Number: BL 19SQ01: 39589: 102629. Unpublished study prepared by Miles, Inc. and ABC Laboratories. 420 p.

MRID	Citation Reference
42346601	Burger, R. (1992) Triadimefon (50DF)-Magnitude of the Residue on Processed Apple Commodities: Lab Project Number: BL-19AP02: 39591: 102636. Unpublished study prepared by Miles Inc. and ABC Laboratories. 233 p.
42356601	Cain, K.; Green, D. (1992) Leaching of Aged Residues of Triadimefon: Lab Project Number: BL092101: 102631. Unpublished study prepared by Miles Inc. 33 p.
42365001	Clemens, G.; Grosso, D.; Anderson, E.; et al. (1992) A Developmental Toxicity Study in Rabbits with Baytan Technical: Lab Project Number: MTD0256: 102692. Unpublished study prepared by Miles, Inc. 159 p.
42401201	Schmidt, J.; Head, L. (1992) Anaerobic Aquatic Metabolism of ?carbon-14  Triadimefon: Lab Project Number: BLO42401: 103207: 392331. Unpublished study prepared by ABC Labs, Inc. 60 p.
42409101	Chopade, H. (1992) Disposition and Metabolism of ?Phenyl-UL-carbon 14  Triadimefon in Rats: Lab Project Number: BLO41801: 102640. Unpublished study prepared by Miles, Inc. 251 p.
42613301	Mattern, G.; Parker, G.; Wendt, S. (1992) Confined Accumulation of (Phenyl-UL-(carbon 14)) Triadimefon Residues in Rotational Crops: Lab Project Number: BL051601: 91.027: P309W: 103806. Unpublished study prepared by Miles Inc., Plant Sciences, Inc. and PTRL West, Inc. 152 p.
42696308	Williams, B. (1992) TriadimenolMagnitude of the Residue on Barley, 2.6F ST: Amended Final Report: Lab Project Number: 38700-1: BT19BA01: 100351. Unpublished study prepared by ABC Laboratory. 410 p.
42696309	Williams, B. (1992) TriadimenolMagnitude of the Residue on Oats, 2.6F ST: Amended Final Report: Lab Project Number: 388151: BT19OA01: 100352. Unpublished study prepared by ABC Laboratories, Inc. 368 p.
42712101	Williams, B. (1992) TriadimenolMagnitude of the Residue on Wheat, 2.6F ST. Unpublished study prepared by ABC Laboratories, Inc. 432 p.
42798901	Krolski, M. (1993) Fate of Bayleton-(carbon 14) as a 25 Percent Wettable Powder on Tomatoes and Cucumbers. Mass Spectral Data on (carbon 14) Triadimefon Residues.
42853401	Chopade, H. (1993) Metabolism of (Phenyl-UL-(carbon 14)) Triadimefon in Wheat: Lab Project Number: BL041601: 105162. Unpublished study prepared by Miles Inc., Agricultural Div. 141 p.
42856801	Hall, L.; Hartz, A. (1993) The Metabolism of (carbon 14) Triadimefon in the Lactating Goat: Lab Project Number: BL041001: 105161. Unpublished study prepared by Miles Inc. 160 p.
42857401	Williams, B.; Chickering, C. (1993) Triadimefon and MetabolitesFreezer Storage Stability Study in Raw Agricultural Commodities and Processed Products: Lab Project Number: 396832: BL131601: 102641-1. Unpublished study prepared by ABC Labs, Inc. 150 p.
42864901	Duah, F.; Smasal, T. (1993) Metabolism of Triadimefon in Laying Hens: Lab Project Number: BL040501: 105183. Unpublished study prepared by Miles Inc. 129 p.

MRID	Citation Reference
43125401	Eberhart, D.; Ellisor, G. (1993) Evaluation of Potential Exposure Resulting from Contact with Bayleton-Treated Turf: Lab Project Number: 92E043: 105137. Unpublished study prepared by Miles, Inc. 432 p.
43256201	Bowers, L. (1994) Acute Toxicity of Bayleton Technical to the Rainbow Trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) Under Static Renewal Conditions: Lab Project Number: BL812201: 106573. Unpublished study prepared by Miles Inc. 27 p.
43284401	Burger, R. (1994) Triadimefon (50DF): Magnitude of the Residue in Processed Grape Commodities: Lab Project Number: 105043: BL19GR03: 40712. Unpublished study prepared by Miles Inc. in cooperation with ABC Laboratories, Inc. and The National Food Laboratory, Inc. 648 p.
43304301	Bowers, L.; Frank, J. (1994) Acute Toxicity of BAYLETON Technical to the Bluegill (Lepomis macrochirus) under Static-Renewal Conditions: Lab Project Number: BL810301: 106758. Unpublished study prepared by Miles Environmental Research Section. 27 p.
43418301	Hall, L.; Hartz, A. (1994) The Metabolism of (carbon 14) Triadimefon in the Lactating Goat: Addendum 1: Additional Data as Requested by the EPA: Lab Project Number: BL041001: 105161-1. Unpublished study prepared by Miles, Inc. 12 p.
43418302	Duah, F.; Myers, T. (1994) Metabolism of Triadimefon in Laying Hens: Addendum 1: Additional Data as Requested by EPA: Lab Project Number: BL040501: 105183-1. Unpublished study prepared by Miles, Inc. 17 p.
43418303	Duah, F.; Myers, T.; Freeseman, P. (1994) Extraction Efficiency of the Analytical Method for Triadimefon Residues in Meat, Milk, and Eggs: Lab Project Numbers: BL200201: 106449. Unpublished study prepared by Miles, Inc. 44 p.
43462401	Duah, F. (1994) Storage Stability of Triadimefon Residues in Animal Tissues, Milk, and Eggs: Lab Project Number: BL130201: 106638. Unpublished study prepared by Miles Inc. 37 p.
43495509	Beyrouty, P. (1992) An Acute Study of the Potential Effects of Orally Administered Triadimefon on Behavior in Rats: Lab Project Number: 97132. Unpublished study prepared by Bio- Research Lab. Ltd. 276 p.
43705401	Fomenko, J. (1995) Evaluation of KWG 1732 (a Tridimefon Metabolite) Through the FDA Multiresidue Methods: Lab Project Numbers: K2162301: 106963: A012.009. Unpublished study prepared by Huntingdon Analytical Services. 97 p.
43870101	Bajzik, M. (1995) Independent Laboratory Validation of the Analytical Residue Method for Triadimefon and Metabolites in Plant Matrices (Report No. 106549): Lab Project Number: A012.014: BL111601: 107141. Unpublished study prepared by Huntingdon Analytical Services. 121 p.
43936101	Dreist, M.; Popp, A. (1996) MEB 6447 (Common Name: Triadimefon): Acute Oral Neurotoxicity Screening Study in Wistar Rats: Lab Project Number: 24636: 1058215: 107154. Unpublished study prepared by Bayer AG. 468 p.
43948601	Murphy, J. (1996) Triadimefon (50DF)Magnitude of the Residue on Processed Apple Commodities: Addendum 1: Additional Information Requested by EPA: Lab Project Number: BL19AP02: 102636-1: 39591. Unpublished study prepared by Bayer Corp. 8 p.

MRID	Citation Reference
44038901	Lenz, C. (1996) Triadimefon and MetabolitesFreezer Storage Stability Study in Raw Agricultural Commodities and Processed Commodities: Addendum 2: Lab Project Number: BL131601: 102641-2: 39683. Unpublished study prepared by ABC Laboratories, Inc. 586 p.
44041001	Chopade, H. (1996) Metabolism of (Phenyl-UL-(carbon 14)) Triadimefon in Wheat: Addendum 1Storage Stability of (carbon 14) KWG 1732 in Wheat Grain: Lab Project Number: BL221601: 105162-1. Unpublished study prepared by Bayer Corp. 30 p.
44041002	Chopade, H. (1996) Extraction Efficiency of the Analytical Residue Method for the Determination of Triadimefon and Metabolite Residues in Plant Matrices: Determination of Aged (carbon 14) Triadimefon Residues in Wheat Grain: Lab Project Number: BL221601: 107343. Unpublished study prepared by Bayer Corp. 35 p.
44153501	Dreist, M.; Popp, A. (1996) MEB 6447 (Common Name: Triadimefon): Subchronic Neurotoxicity Screening Study in Wistar Rats: (Thirteen-Week Administration in the Diet with a Four-Week Recovery Period in Males and a Ten-Week Recovery Period in Females): Lab Project Number: 25315: T 6058265: 107477. Unpublished study prepared by Bayer AG. 786 p.
44166001	Fontaine, L. (1996) Product Chemistry of Bayleton Technical: Lab Project Number: 107296: 107241: 99642. Unpublished study prepared by Bayer Corp. 173 p.
44519801	Shen, S. (1998) Determination of Residues of Baytan 30 in Processed Field Corn Fractions: Lab Project Number: 96-003: 963HI: 43514. Unpublished study prepared by ABC Labs., Inc. and Gustafson Research and Development Center. 458 p. {OPPTS 860.1520}
44519802	Shen, S. (1998) Determination of Residues of Baytan 30 in Processed Cotton Fractions: Lab Project Number: 96-001: 963HG: 43516. Unpublished study prepared by ABC Labs., Inc. and Gustafson Research and Development Center. 452 p. {OPPTS 860.1520}
44519803	Shen, S. (1998) Determination of Residues of Baytan 30 in Processed Wheat Fractions: Lab Project Number: 96-002: 963HH: 43513. Unpublished study prepared by ABC Labs., Inc. and Gustafson Research and Development Center. 462 p. {OPPTS 860.1520}
44740901	Schaldt, L. (1998) KWG 0519 Oncogenicity Study in CD-1 Mice (Dietary Administration over 18 Months): Lab Project Number: 28299: T4060738: 108689. Unpublished study prepared by Bayer AG. 1854 p.
45654503	Findlay, M.; Chester, G. (1995) Seed Treated Products: Worker Exposure During Sowing of Treated Seed with "Baytan": Lab Project Number: N(DEGREES)WER002. Unpublished study prepared by ZENECA Agrochemicals. 107 p.
46576207	Mithcell, H. (2005) Product Chemistry of Bayleton FLO Fungicide. Project Number: BR/2392, ANR/06605, 3414519/1. Unpublished study prepared by Bayer Corp. 134 p.
46578707	Mitchell, H. (2005) Product Chemistry of Tartan Flo Fungicide. Project Number: BR/2390, ANR/04605, ANR/04705. Unpublished study prepared by Bayer Corp. 205 p.
46836301	Mitchell, H. (2006) Product Chemistry of Bayleton 3.62% SC Systemic Fungicide. Project Number: BR/2462, 201435, ANR/03006. Unpublished study prepared by Bayer Corp. 180 p.

MRID	Citation Reference
92188018	Eigenberg, D. (1990) Mobay Corporation Phase 3 Summary of MRID 00149336. A Teratological Evaluation of Bayleton (Triadimefon) in Mated Female Rats: Project 7272-B (Mobay Report 82270). Prepared by MIDWEST RESEARCH INSTITUTE. 22 p.
92188019	Eigenberg, D. (1990) Mobay Corporation Phase 3 Summary of MRID 00155075 and Related MRIDs 00032541. MEB 6447 (Triadimefon) Multigeneration Reproduction Study on Rats; (Triadimefon) Two-generation Study with Rats (Bayleton): Projects 8297 and 12712 (Mobay Reports 67752 and 82270). Prepared by BAYER AG. 38 p.
92188020	Loser, E. (1990) Mobay Corporation Phase 3 Reformat of MRID 00032541 and Related MRIDs 00155075. MEB 6447 (Triadimefon) Multigeneration Reproduction Study on Rats: Project Number 67752; Report No. 8297. Prepared by BAYER AG. 173 p.
92188025	Chopade, H. (1990) Mobay Corporation Phase 3 Summary of MRID 00025540. The Metabolism of BAYLETON in Apples: Project Report No. 53621. Prepared by MOBAY CORPORATION. 14 p.
92188026	Mango, R.; Puhl, R.; Thornton, J. (1990) Mobay Corporation Phase 3 Reformat of MRID 00025540. The Metabolism of BAYLETON in Apples: Project No. 53621. Prepared by MOBAY CORPORATION. 26 p.
92188027	Chopade, H. (1990) Mobay Corporation Phase 3 Summary of MRID 00031440 and Related MRIDs 00031441. Fate of BAYLETON-Carbon-14 as a 25% Wettable Powder on Tomatoes and Cucumbers: Project Report Nos. 68593 and 68594. Prepared by MOBAY CORPORATION. 13 p.
92188028	Nye, D. (1990) Mobay Corporation Phase 3 Reformat of MRID 00031440. Fate of BAYLETON-Carbon-14 as a 25 Percent Wettable Powder on Tomatoes and Cucumbers: Project Report 68593. Prepared by STONER LABORATORIES, INC. 33 p.
92188029	Puhl, R. (1990) Mobay Corporation Phase 3 Reformat of MRID 00031441. Further Identification of Polar Activity from BAYLETON Cucumber and Tomato Metabolism Samples: Project Report No. 68594. Prepared by MOBAY CORPORATION. 10 p.
92188030	Chopade, H. (1990) Mobay Corporation Phase 3 Summary of MRID 00098115. Metabolism of BAYLETON in Wheat: Project Report No. 80293. Prepared by MOBAY CORPORATION. 14 p.
92188031	Morgan, J.; Lenz, M. (1990) Mobay Corporation Phase 3 Reformat of MRID 00098115.  Metabolism of BAYLETON in Wheat: Project Report No. 80293. Prepared by MOBAY CORPORATION. 29 p.
92188032	Chopade, H. (1990) Mobay Corporation Phase 3 Summary of MRID 00150893. Metabolism of BAYLETON on Grapes: Project Report No. 88790. Prepared by MOBAY CORPORATION. 12 p.
92188033	Fredrickson, R. (1990) Mobay Corporation Phase 3 Reformat of MRID 00150893.  Metabolism of BAYLETON on Grapes: Project Report No. 88790. Prepared by MOBAY CORPORATION. 21 p.
92188034	Chopade, H. (1990) Mobay Corporation Phase 3 Summary of MRID 00025543. Metabolism of BAYLETON in the Cow: Project Report No. 66202. Prepared by MOBAY CORPORATION. 11 p.

MRID	Citation Reference
92188035	Fredrickson, D. (1990) Mobay Corporation Phase 3 Reformat of MRID 00025543.  Metabolism of BAYLETON in the Cow: Project Report No. 66202. Prepared by MOBAY CORPORATION. 19 p.
92188036	Premkumar, N. (1990) Mobay Corporation Phase 3 Summary of MRID 00033058.  Metabolism of BAYLETON in Male and Female Pigs: Project No. 66509. Prepared by MOBAY CORPORATION. 16 p.
92188037	Pither, K. (1990) Mobay Corporation Phase 3 Reformat of MRID 00033058. Metabolism of BAYLETON in Male and Female Pigs: Project Report No. 66509. Prepared by MOBAY CORPORATION. 25 p.
92188038	Mathew, A. (1990) Mobay Corporation Phase 3 Summary of MRID 00033070. The Fate of BAYLETON-Carbon-14 in Poultry: Project Report No. 67482. Prepared by MOBAY CORPORATION. 16 p.
92188039	Nye, D. (1990) Mobay Corporation Phase 3 Reformat of MRID 00033070. The Fate of BAYLETON-Carbon-14 in Poultry: Project Report No. 67482. Prepared by STONER LABORATORIES, INC. 67 p.
92188040	Obrist, J.; Nichols, S.; Leimkuehler, W. (1990) Mobay Corporation Phase 3 Reformat of MRID 00025546. An Interference Study for the BAYLETON and KWG 0519 Residue Method for Crops: Project Report No. 68006. Prepared by MOBAY CORPORATION. 208 p.
92188041	Leimkuehler, W. (1990) Mobay Corporation Phase 3 Reformat of MRID 00125782. An Interference Study for BAYLETON Residue Method for Crops - Resolution of Interference for KWG 1342 and KWG 1323: Project Report No. 80567. Prepared by MOBAY CORPORATION. 225 p.
92188042	Chopade, H. (1990) Mobay Corporation Phase 3 Summary of MRID 00149163 and Related MRIDs 00025546, 00125782. Residue Analysis Procedure for BAYLETON and Metabolites in Plants: Project Report Nos. 80488, 68006 and 80567. Prepared by MOBAY CORPORATION. 16 p.
92188043	Obrist, J.; Leimkuehler, W. (1990) Mobay Corporation Phase 3 Reformat of MRID 00149163. Residue Analysis Procedure for BAYLETON and Metabolites in Barley and Wheat: Project Report No. 80488. Prepared by MOBAY CORPORATION. 28 p.
92188044	Minor, R. (1990) Mobay Corporation Phase 3 Summary of MRID 00090019 and Related MRIDs 00090020, 00063837, 00072538, 00149342, 00063835. Analytical Methods for BAYLETON in Various Animal Tissues: Project Report Nos. 69531, 80265, 68705, 68798, 69028 and 68887. Prepared by ANALYTICAL DEVELOPMENT CORP. 21 p.
92188045	Kruplak, J. (1990) Mobay Corporation Phase 3 Reformat of MRID 00090019. BAYLETON Total Residue Method Verification (Bovine): Project Report No. 69531. Prepared by ANALYTICAL DEVELOPMENT CORP. 158 p.
92188046	Obrist, J.; Nichols, S. (1990) Mobay Corporation Phase 3 Reformat of MRID 00063835. An Interference Study for the BAYLETON and KWG 0519 Residue Method for Bovine Tissues and Milk: Project report No. 68887. Prepared by MOBAY CORPORATION. 67 p.

MRID	Citation Reference
92188047	Kruplak, J.; Weissenburger, B.; Bache (1990) Mobay Corporation Phase 3 Reformat of MRID 00063837. Determination of BAYLETON and KWG 0519 in Cattle Tissues: Project Report No. 68705. Prepared by ANALYTICAL DEVELOPMENT CORP. 31 p.
92188048	Kruplak, J.; Bache, B.; Breault, G. (1990) Mobay Corporation Phase 3 Reformat of MRID 00072538. Residue Analysis Procedure for the Determination of BAYLETON and KWG 0519 in Bovine Milk: Project Report No. 68798. Prepared by ANALYTICAL DEVELOPMENT CORP. 19 p.
92188051	Premkumar, N. (1990) Mobay Corporation Phase 3 Summary of MRID 00025541 and Related MRIDs 00098117, 41027902. Storage Stability of BAYLETON, BAYTAN, KWG 1323 and KWG 1342 in Apple Peel, Potatoes, Grapes and Wheat Green Forage; and Storage Stability of KWG 1323 and KWG 1342 in Wheat Grain: Project Report Nos. 54192, 80338 and 98432. Prepared by MOBAY CORPORATION. 11 p.
92188052	Thornton, J. (1990) Mobay Corporation Phase 3 Reformat of MRID 00025541. The Stability of BAYLETON and KWG 0519 Residues in Apple Peel During Frozen Storage: Project Report No. 54192. Prepared by MOBAY CORPORATION. 8 p.
92188053	Fredrickson, D. (1990) Mobay Corporation Phase 3 Reformat of MRID 00098117. The Stability of BAYLETON and BAYTAN Residues in Wheat Forage During Storage: Project Report No. 80338. Prepared by MOBAY CORPORATION. 7 p.
92188054	Bornatsch, W. (1990) Mobay Corporation Phase 3 Summary of MRID 00090021. Effect of Feeding BAYLETON and the Metabolite KWG 0519 (BAYTAN) to Dairy Cattle Total Residue: Project Report No. 69930. Prepared by MOBAY CORPORATION. 9 p.
92188055	Kruplak, J. (1990) Mobay Corporation Phase 3 Reformat of MRID 00090021. Effect of Feeding BAYLETON and the Metabolite KWG 0519 (BAYTAN) to Dairy Cattle Total Residues: Project Report No. 69930. Prepared by ANALYTICAL DEVELOPMENT CORP. 247 p.
92188056	Mathew, A. (1990) Mobay Corporation Phase 3 Summary of MRID 00090022. Effect of Feeding BAYLETON and the Metabolite KWG 0519 (BAYTAN) to Poultry - Total Residue: Project No. 80281. Prepared by MOBAY CORPORATION. 12 p.
92188057	Kruplak, J.; Weissenburger, B. (1990) Mobay Corporation Phase 3 Reformat of MRID 00090022. Effect of Feeding BAYLETON and the Metabolite KWG 0519 (BAYTAN) to Poultry - Total Residues: Project Report No. 80281. Prepared by ANALYTICAL DEVELOPMENT CORP. 174 p.
92188060	Leslie, W. (1990) Mobay Corporation Phase 3 Summary of MRID 00067381 and Related MRIDs 00109463. Triadimefon (BAYLETON 25WP Formulation) - Magnitude of the Residues on Chick Peas: Project Report Nos. 68905, 68906, 68907, 68908, 68909, 80723, 80930 and 80931; Mobay Report No. 100117-1. Prepared by MOBAY CORPORATION. 13 p.
92188061	Biehn, W. (1990) Mobay Corporation Phase 3 Summary of MRID 00143953 and Related MRIDs 00153279. Triadimefon: Magnitude of the Residue on Raspberries: IR-4 No. 2552. Prepared by ICI Americas Inc. 20 p.

MRID	Citation Reference
92188062	Leslie, W. (1990) Mobay Corporation Phase 3 Summary of MRID 00072532 and Related MRIDs 00149342. Triadimefon (BAYLETON 50WP Formulation) - Magnitude of the Residues on Seed Grass: Project Report Nos. 80670, 80673, 80674, 80675 and 80676; Mobay Report No. 100114-1. Prepared by MOBAY CORPORATION. 12 p.
92188063	Leslie, W. (1990) Mobay Corporation Phase 3 Summary of MRID 00128337. Triadimefon (BAYLETON 50WP Formulation) Magnitude of the Residues on Sugar Beets: Project Report Nos. 81198, 81199, 82800, 82801, 82802, 82803, 82804, 82805, 82806, 82807, 82808, 82809, 82811, 82814, 82815, 82816, 82817 and 82818; Mobay Report 100115-1. Prepared by MOBAY CORPORATION. 17 p.
92188064	Leslie, W. (1990) Mobay Corporation Phase 3 Summary of MRID 00098114 and Related MRIDs 00149329, 00061736. Magnitude of the Residues on Wheat: Objective No. (3012): Report Nos. 80494, 80495, 80530, 80542, 80545, 80546, 80547, 80550, 80551, 80552, 80553, 82821, 82874, 84208, 82822 and 82824; Mobay Report No. 100111-1. Prepared by MOBAY CORPORATION. 14 p.
92188065	Leslie, W. (1990) Mobay Corporation Phase 3 Summary of MRID 00128337. Triadimefon (BAYLETON 50WP Formulation) - Magnitude of the Residue in Unprocessed Sugar Beets and Sugar Beet Processed Products: Project Report Nos. 84211, 84212 and 82811; Mobay Report No. 100116-1. Prepared by MOBAY CORPORATION. 12 p.
92188069	Leslie, W. (1990) Mobay Corporation Phase 3 Reformat of MRID 00128337. Triadimefon (BAYLETON 50WP Formulation) - Magnitude of the residues in Unprocessed Sugar Beets and Sugar Beet processed Products: Project Nos. (82811, 84211, 84212); Mobay Report No. 100116. Prepared by MOBAY CORPORATION. 56 p.
92188070	Leslie, W. (1990) Mobay Corporation Phase 3 Reformat of MRID 00067381 and Related MRIDs 00109463. Triadimefon (BAYLETON 25WP Formulation) - Magnitude of the Residues on Chick Peas (Project Nos. 68905, 68906, 68907, 68908, 68909, 80723, 80930, 80931); Mobay Report No. 100117. Prepared by MOBAY CORPORATION. 55 p.
92188073	Leslie, W. (1990) Mobay Corporation Phase 3 Reformat of MRID 00128337. Triadimefon (BALETON 50WP) - Magnitude of the Residues on Sugar Beets: (Project Nos. 81198, 81199, 82205, 82206, 82207, 82800, 82801, 82802, 82812, 82813, 82814, 82815, 82816, 82817, 82818); Mobay Report No. 10115. Prepared by MOBAY CORPORATION. 204 p.
92188074	Leslie, W. (1990) Mobay Corporation Phase 3 Reformat of MRID 00098114 and Related MRIDs 00149329, 00061736. Triadimefon (BAYLETON 50WP Formulation) - Magnitude of the Residues on Wheat: (Report Nos. 80494, 80495, 80530, 80542, 80545, 80546, 80547, 80550, 80551, 80552, 80553, 82821, 82874, 84208, 82822, 82824); Mobay Report No. 100111. Prepared by MOBAY CORPORATION. 219 p.
92188075	Leslie, W. (1990) Mobay Corporation Phase 3 Reformat of MRID 00072532 and Related MRIDs 00149342. Triadimefon (BAYLETON 50WP Formulation) - Magnitude of the Residues on Seed Grass: Project Report Nos. (80670, 80673, 80674, 80675, 80676, 82848, 82854, 82855, 82856, 82857, 82858); Mobay Report 100114. Prepared by MOBAY CORPORATION. 121 p.
92188320	Bayer Ag Institute of Toxicology; Two Generation Study with Rats; Report No.: 12712, Report Author: Dr. R. Eiben (Also under MRIDs 00155075, 92188019 and TRID Number 470102-011).

MRID	Citation Reference
None	Allen, J., Wolf, D., George, M. Hester, S., Sun G., Thai, S-F., Delker, D., Nelson, G., Winkfield, E. Roop, B., Leavitt, S., Ward, W., and Nesnow, S. (2005) Comparable Liver P450 Enzyme Activity and Histopathology in Mice Treated With the Conazole Fungicides: Myclobutanil, Propiconazole and Triadimefon. <i>The Toxicologist</i> . Abstract. No, 2146.
None	Crofton, KM. 1996. A structure- activity relationship for the neurotoxicity of triazole fungicites. <i>Toxicol. Lett</i> 84 (3): 155-159.
None	Crofton, KM., Boneck, VM., and Reiter, LW. 1988. Hyperactivity induced by triaedimefon, a triazole fungicide. <i>Fundam. Appl. Toxicol</i> . 10, 459-465.
None	Crofton, KM., Boneck, VM., and MacPhail, RC. 1989. Evidence for monoaminergic involvement in triadimefon- induced hyperactivity, <i>Psychopharmacology</i> 97, 326-330.
None	Crofton, KM., Howard, JL., Moser, VC., Gill, MW., et al. 1991. Interlaboratory comparison of motor activity experiments: implications for neurotoxicological assessments. <i>Neurotoxicol. Teratol.</i> 13 (6): 599- 609.
None	Crofton, KM., Makris, SL., Sette, WF., Mendez, E., and Raffaele, KC. 2004. A qualitative retrospective analysis of positive control data in developmental neurotoxicity studies. <i>Neurotoxicology and Teratology</i> 26; 345-352.352.
None	Goetz, A.K., Blystone, C., Ren, H., Schmid, J.E., Nichols, H.P., Bao, W., Best, D.S., Narotsky, M.G., Wolf, D.C., Rockett, J.C., and Dix, D.J. (2005) Reproductive Effects of Exposure to Conazole Fungicides in the Male Rat. <i>The Toxicologist</i> . Abstract No 2148.
None	Golub, M., Costa, L., Crofton, K., Frank, D., Fried, P., Gladen, B., Henderson, R., Liebelt, E., Lusskin, S., Marty, S., Rowland, A., Scialli, J., and Vore, M. 2005. NTP-CERHR expert panel report on the reproductive and developmental toxicity of methylphenidate. <i>Birth Defects Research</i> (part B) 74:300-381.
None	Hester, S., Thai, SF., Wolf, D., Ward, W., and Nesnow, S. (2005) Comparison of Gene Expression Profiles from Rats Fed Acute Levels of Three Toxicologically Different Conazoles. <i>The Toxicologist</i> . Abstract No. 2149.
None	Ikaiddi, MU., Akunne, HC., and Soliman, KE. 1997. Behavioral and neurochemical effects of acute and repeated administration of triadimefon in the male rat. <i>Neurotoxicology</i> 18(3): 771-80.
None	Moser, VC and MacPhail, RC. 1989. Neurobehavioral effects of triadimefon, a triazole fungicide, in male and female rats. <i>Neurotoxicol. Teratol.</i> 11, 285-293.
None	Moser, VC and MacPhail, RC. 1992. International validation of the neurobehavioral screening battery: The IPCS/WHO collaborative study. <i>Toxicol. Lett.</i> 64-65 Spec. No., 217-223.
None	Moser, VC., Cheek, BM., and MacPhail, RC. 1995. A multidisciplinary approach to toxicological screenings: III. Neurobehavioral toxicity. <i>Journal Toxicol Environ Health</i> Jun 45(2): 173-210.
None	Ren, H., Schmid, J.E., Retief, J., Turpaz, Y., Zhang, X., Jones, P.D., Newsted, J.L., Giesy, J.P., Wolf, D.C., Wood, C.R., Bao, W., and Dix, D.J. (2005) Profiling Gene Expression in Human H295R Adrenocortical Carcinoma Cells and Rat Testes to Identify Pathways of

MRID	Citation Reference
	Toxicity for Conazole Fungicides. <i>The Toxicologist</i> . Abstract No. 2150.
None	Sun G, Thai SF, Tully DB, Lambert GR, Goetz AK, Wolf DC, Dix DJ, Nesnow S: Propiconazole-induced cytochrome P450 gene expression and enzymatic activities in rat and mouse liver. Tox Lett 155: 277-287, 2004; and abstracts from the 2005 SOT meeting,
None	Walker, QD and Mailman, RB. 1996. Triadimefon and triadimenol: effects on monoamine uptake and release. <i>Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.</i> 139 (2): 227-233.
None	Ward, W., Delker, D., Hester, S., Thai, S-F., Allen, J., Jones, C., Wolf, D., and Nesnow, S. (2005) Comparison of Hepatic Gene Expression Profiles from Mice Exposed to Three Toxicologically Different Conazoles. <i>The Toxicologist</i> . Abstract No. 2147.
None	Wolf, D.C., Allen, J., Sun, G., Thibodeaux, J., George, M., Hester, S.D., Thai, SF., Delker, D., Nelson, G., Winkfield, E., Roop, B., Leavitt, S., Ward, W., and Nesnow, S. (2005) Triadimefon Induces Rat Thyroid Tumors Through a Non-TSH Mediated Mode of Action. <i>The Toxicologist</i> . Abstract No. 2144.

## Appendix E. Generic Data Call-In

**Appendix E.** The generic data call-in will be posted at a later date.

## **Appendix F. Product Specific Data Call-In**

**Appendix F.** The product specific data call-in will be posted at a later date.

## **Appendix G: Batching of Triadimenol/Triadimefon Products**

## EPA'S BATCHING OF TRIADIMENOL/TRIADIMEFON PRODUCTS FOR MEETING ACUTE TOXICITY DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR REREGISTRATION

In an effort to reduce the time, resources and number of animals needed to fulfill the acute toxicity data requirements for reregistration of products containing TRIADIMENOL or TRIADIMEFON as the active ingredient, the Agency has batched products which can be considered similar for purposes of acute toxicity. Factors considered in the sorting process include each product's active and inert ingredients (identity, percent composition and biological activity), type of formulation (e.g., emulsifiable concentrate, aerosol, wettable powder, granular, etc.), and labeling (e.g., signal word, use classification, precautionary labeling, etc.). Note that the Agency is not describing batched products as "substantially similar" since some products within a batch may not be considered chemically similar or have identical use patterns.

Using available information, batching has been accomplished by the process described in the preceding paragraph. Notwithstanding the batching process, the Agency reserves the right to require, at any time, acute toxicity data for an individual product should the need arise.

Registrants of products within a batch may choose to cooperatively generate, submit or cite a single battery of six acute toxicological studies to represent all the products within that batch. It is the registrants' option to participate in the process with all other registrants, only some of the other registrants, or only their own products within a batch, or to generate all the required acute toxicological studies for each of their own products. If a registrant chooses to generate the data for a batch, he/she must use one of the products within the batch as the test material. If a registrant chooses to rely upon previously submitted acute toxicity data, he/she may do so provided that the data base is complete and valid by today's standards (see acceptance criteria attached), the formulation tested is considered by EPA to be similar for acute toxicity, and the formulation has not been significantly altered since submission and acceptance of the acute toxicity data. Regardless of whether new data is generated or existing data is referenced, registrants must clearly identify the test material by EPA Registration Number. If more than one confidential statement of formula (CSF) exists for a product, the registrant must indicate the formulation actually tested by identifying the corresponding CSF.

In deciding how to meet the product specific data requirements, registrants must follow the directions given in the Data Call-In Notice and its attachments appended to the RED. The DCI Notice contains two response forms which are to be completed and submitted to the Agency within 90 days of receipt. The first form, "Data Call-In Response," asks whether the registrant will meet the data requirements for each product. The second form, "Requirements Status and Registrant's Response," lists the product specific data required for each product, including the standard six acute toxicity tests. A registrant who wishes to participate in a batch must decide whether he/she will provide the data or depend on someone else to do so. If a registrant supplies the data to support a batch of products, he/she must

select one of the following options: Developing Data (Option 1), Submitting an Existing Study (Option 4), Upgrading an Existing Study (Option 5) or Citing an Existing Study (Option 6). If a registrant depends on another's data, he/she must choose among: Cost Sharing (Option 2), Offers to Cost Share (Option 3) or Citing an Existing Study (Option 6). If a registrant does not want to participate in a batch, the choices are Options 1, 4, 5 or 6. However, a registrant should know that choosing not to participate in a batch does not preclude other registrants in the batch from citing his/her studies and offering to cost share (Option 3) those studies.

Seven products were found which contain Triadimenol as the active ingredient. These products have been placed in a no batch group in accordance with the active and inert ingredients and type of formulation

#### **Batching Instructions for Triadimenol:**

No Batch: Each product in this Batch should generate their own data.

NOTE: The technical acute toxicity values included in this document are for informational purposes only. The data supporting these values may or may not meet the current acceptance criteria.

No Batch	EPA Reg. No.	Percent Active Ingredient
	264-742	25.00
	264-743	98.30
	264-760	28.30
	264-939	Triademinol: 5.00
		Tetramethylthiuram disulfide: 15.30
	264-941	30.00
	264-980	Triademinol: 13.33
		Azoxystrobin: 8.00
		Metalaxyl: 40.00
	2935-459	30.00

Fifty one products were found which contain Triadimefon as the active ingredient. These products have been placed in seven batches and a no batch group in accordance with the active and inert ingredients and type of formulation.

#### **Batching Instructions for Triadimefon:**

Batch 3A: Products in this Batch may cite studies conducted on products in Batch 3.

Batch 6: Each product in this Batch must conduct a primary eye irritation study using the fertilizer with the highest percentage of nitrogen.

No Batch: Each product in this Batch should generate their own data.

NOTE: The technical acute toxicity values included in this document are for informational purposes only. The data supporting these values may or may not meet the current acceptance criteria.

Batch 1	EPA Reg. No.	Percent Active Ingredient
	264-737	50.0
	264-740	50.0
	432-1294	50.0
	432-1360	50.0
	432-1367	50.0

Batch 2	EPA Reg. No.	Percent Active Ingredient
	432-1293	25.0
	432-1309	25.0
	432-1316	25.0
	432-1317	25.0

Batch 3	EPA Reg. No.	Percent Active Ingredient
	4-342	1.0
	432-1295	1.0
	432-1336	1.0
	961-354	1.0
	8378-55	1.0
	9198-111	1.0
	10404-58	1.0
	28293-278	1.0
	32802-41	1.0
	34704-802	1.0

Batch 3A	EPA Reg. No.	Percent Active Ingredient
	4-362	0.50
	432-1296	0.50
	869-224	0.50
	829-288	0.50
	961-353	0.50
	7401-432	0.50
	8378-54	0.50
	8660-199	0.78

9198-112	0.50
9198-187	0.59
28293-280	0.50
32802-42	0.50
72155-47	0.50

Batch 4	EPA Reg. No.	Percent Active Ingredient
	432-1297	0.88
	829-289	0.88
	72155-48	0.88

Batch 5	EPA Reg. No.	Percent Active Ingredient
	869-222	0.88
	2724-691	0.88

Batch 6	EPA Reg. No.	Percent Active Ingredient
	961-388	0.10
	961-389	0.05
	9198-190	0.62
	10404-65	0.50

No Batch	EPA Reg. No.	Percent Active Ingredient
	264-736	97.70
	264-757	Triadimefon: 2.10
		Sulfur: 53.70
	432-1300	22.00
	432-1412	Triadimefon: 8.33
		Trifloxystrobin: 41.67
	432-1445	43.00
	432-1446	Triadimefon: 20.86
		Trifloxystrobin: 4.17
	9198-169	Triadimefon: 16.00
		Metalaxyl: 16.00
	9198-197	Triadimefon: 1.59
		Thiram: 40.76
	64014-3	0.88
	72155-46	1.00

#### H. List of Registrants Sent this Data Call-In

United States Environmental Protection Ag	ency
Washington, D.C. 20460	

# LIST OF ALL REGISTRANTS SENT THIS DATA CALL-IN NOTICE Case # and Name: 2700, Triadimefon

Co. Number	Company Name	Agent for	Address	City and State	Zip
264	BAYER CROPSCIECNCE		2 T.W. Alexander Drive	Research Triangle Park	NC 27709

# Appendix I. List of Available Related Documents and Electronically Available Forms Pesticide Registration Forms are available at the following EPA internet

site:

http://www.epa.gov/opprd001/forms/.

Pesticide Registration Forms (These forms are in PDF format and require the Acrobat reader)

#### Instructions

- 1. Print out and complete the forms. (Note: Form numbers that are bolded can be filled out on your computer then printed.)
- 2. The completed form(s) should be submitted in hardcopy in accord with the existing policy.
- 3. Mail the forms, along with any additional documents necessary to comply with EPA regulations covering your request, to the address below for the Document Processing Desk.

DO NOT fax or e-mail any form containing 'Confidential Business Information' or 'Sensitive Information.'

If you have any problems accessing these forms, please contact Nicole Williams at (703) 308-5551 or by e-mail at williams.nicole@epamail.epa.gov.

The following Agency Pesticide Registration Forms are currently available via the internet: at the following locations:

8570-1	Application for Pesticide Registration/Amendment	http://www.epa.gov/opprd001/forms/8570-1.pdf.
8570-4	Confidential Statement of Formula	http://www.epa.gov/opprd001/forms/8570-4.pdf.
8570-5	Notice of Supplemental Registration of Distribution of a Registered Pesticide Product	http://www.epa.gov/opprd001/forms/8570-5.pdf.
8570-17	Application for an Experimental Use Permit	http://www.epa.gov/opprd001/forms/8570-17.pdf.
8570-25	Application for/Notification of State Registration of a Pesticide To Meet a Special Local Need	http://www.epa.gov/opprd001/forms/8570-25.pdf.
8570-27	Formulator's Exemption Statement	http://www.epa.gov/opprd001/forms/8570-27.pdf.
8570-28	Certification of Compliance with Data Gap Procedures	http://www.epa.gov/opprd001/forms/8570-28.pdf.
8570-30	Pesticide Registration Maintenance Fee Filing	http://www.epa.gov/opprd001/forms/8570-30.pdf.
8570-32	Certification of Attempt to Enter into an Agreement with other Registrants for Development of Data	http://www.epa.gov/opprd001/forms/8570-32.pdf.

8570-34	Certification with Respect to Citations of Data (in PR Notice 98-5)	http://www.epa.gov/opppmsd1/PR_Notices/pr98-5.pdf.
8570-35	Data Matrix (in PR Notice 98-5)	http://www.epa.gov/opppmsd1/PR_Notices/pr98-5.pdf.
8570-36	Summary of the Physical/Chemical Properties (in PR Notice 98-1)	http://www.epa.gov/opppmsd1/PR_Notices/pr98-1.pdf.
8570-37	Self-Certification Statement for the Physical/Chemical Properties (in PR Notice 98-1)	http://www.epa.gov/opppmsd1/PR_Notices/pr98-1.pdf.

#### **Pesticide Registration Kit**

www.epa.gov/pesticides/registrationkit/.

## Dear Registrant:

For your convenience, we have assembled an online registration kit which contains the following pertinent forms and information needed to register a pesticide product with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP):

- 1. The Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA) as Amended by the Food Quality Protection Act (FQPA) of 1996.
- 2. Pesticide Registration (PR) Notices
  - a. 83-3 Label Improvement Program--Storage and Disposal Statements
  - b. 84-1 Clarification of Label Improvement Program
  - c. 86-5 Standard Format for Data Submitted under FIFRA
  - d. 87-1 Label Improvement Program for Pesticides Applied through Irrigation Systems (Chemigation)
  - e. 87-6 Inert Ingredients in Pesticide Products Policy Statement
  - f. 90-1 Inert Ingredients in Pesticide Products; Revised Policy Statement
  - g. 95-2 Notifications, Non-notifications, and Minor Formulation Amendments
  - h. 98-1 Self Certification of Product Chemistry Data with Attachments (This document is in PDF format and requires the Acrobat reader.)

Other PR Notices can be found at http://www.epa.gov/opppmsd1/PR\_Notices.

- 3. Pesticide Product Registration Application Forms (These forms are in PDF format and will require the Acrobat reader.)
  - a. EPA Form No. 8570-1, Application for Pesticide Registration/Amendment
  - b. EPA Form No. 8570-4, Confidential Statement of Formula

- c. EPA Form No. 8570-27, Formulator's Exemption Statement
- d. EPA Form No. 8570-34, Certification with Respect to Citations of Data
- e. EPA Form No. 8570-35, Data Matrix

T

- 4. General Pesticide Information (Some of these forms are in PDF format and will require the Acrobat reader.)
  - a. Registration Division Personnel Contact List
- b. Biopesticides and Pollution Prevention Division (BPPD) Contacts
  - c. Antimicrobials Division Organizational Structure/Contact List
  - d. 53 F.R. 15952, Pesticide Registration Procedures; Pesticide Data Requirements (PDF format)
  - e. 40 CFR Part 156, Labeling Requirements for Pesticides and Devices (PDF format)
  - f.. 40 CFR Part 158, Data Requirements for Registration (PDF format)
  - g.. 50 F.R. 48833, Disclosure of Reviews of Pesticide Data (November 27, 1985)

Before submitting your application for registration, you may wish to consult some additional sources of information. These include:

- 1. The Office of Pesticide Programs' Web Site
- 2. The booklet "General Information on Applying for Registration of Pesticides in the United States", PB92-221811, available through the National Technical Information Service (NTIS) at the following address:

National Technical Information Service (NTIS) 5285 Port Royal Road Springfield, VA 22161

The telephone number for NTIS is (703) 605-6000.

- 3. The National Pesticide Information Retrieval System (NPIRS) of Purdue University's Center for Environmental and Regulatory Information Systems. This service does charge a fee for subscriptions and custom searches. You can contact NPIRS by telephone at (765) 494-6614 or through their Web site.
- 4. The National Pesticide Telecommunications Network (NPTN) can provide information on active ingredients, uses, toxicology, and chemistry of pesticides. You can contact NPTN by telephone at (800) 858-7378 or through their Web site: ace.orst.edu/info/nptn.

The Agency will return a notice of receipt of an application for registration or amended registration, experimental use permit, or amendment to a petition if the applicant or petitioner encloses with his submission a stamped, self-addressed postcard. The postcard must contain the following entries to be completed by OPP:

- Date of receipt;
- EPA identifying number; and
- Product Manager assignment.

Other identifying information may be included by the applicant to link the acknowledgment of receipt to the specific application submitted. EPA will stamp the date of receipt and provide the EPA identifying File Symbol or petition number for the new submission. The identifying number should be used whenever you contact the Agency concerning an application for registration, experimental use permit, or tolerance petition.

To assist us in ensuring that all data you have submitted for the chemical are properly coded and assigned to your company, please include a list of all synonyms, common and trade names, company experimental codes, and other names which identify the chemical (including "blind" codes used when a sample was submitted for testing by commercial or academic facilities). Please provide a chemical abstract system (CAS) number if one has been assigned.

#### **Documents Associated with this RED**

The following documents are part of the Administrative Record for this RED document and may be included in the EPA's Office of Pesticide Programs Public Docket. Copies of these documents are not available electronically, but may be obtained by contacting the person listed on the respective Chemical Status Sheet.

- 1. Health Effects Division and Environmental Fate and Effects Division Science Chapters, which include the complete risk assessments and supporting documents.
- 2. Detailed Label Usage Information System (LUIS) Report.