MEMORANDUM

Drinking Water Assessment for the "Inert" Herbicide Safener Dichlormid SUBJECT:

(D258095, ID# 6F03344)

TO: Robert Forrest (PM 05) and Treva Alston (PM Team Reviewer)

Registration Division (7505C)

FROM:

Alex Clem, Environmental Scientist, EFED, ERB3

Daniel Rieder, Branch Chief, EFED, ERB3

Teening estimates given below for the second s THRU:

The screening estimates given below for potential drinking water exposure to the safener dichlormid (also designated as R-25788) in surface and ground water are based on environmental fate studies which EFED reviewed 12 Jan 1993 and on product chemistry data supplied with the present action. We are also including a fate and effects synopsis which HED requested for their standard overall exposure assessment and which is presented to their various committees. This information is also captured, as Susie Chun has requested, in Section III-9 of HED's exposure assessment form (attached). Because of the urgency of her request (e-mail attached), provisional results were e-mailed to Susie on 20 July 1999. The drinking water results have not changed.

The petitioner provided their own estimates for drinking water concentrations by fax to Kerry Leifer (29 Jun 1999, attached) using a 0.5 lb safener/acre application rate. However, HED has stipulated a rate of 0.372 lb safener/acre (see below). The petitioner's and EFED's estimates, with minor differences caused by selection of input values, are in essential agreement. Drinking water screening estimates based on EFED's current GENEEC (for surface water) and SCI-GROW (for ground water) simulation models are:

SURFACE WATER: 27 ug/L (ppb) at 0.5 lb/acre.

20 ug/L (ppb) at 0.372 lb/acre

(Acute and chronic exposures are equivalent.)

GROUND WATER: 0.05 ug/L (ppb) at 0.5 lb/acre

0.03 ug/L (ppb) at 0.372 lb/acre

Environmental Fate and Effects Assessment Synopsis

Dichlormid was relatively short-lived in aerobic soil (aerobic soil "half-life" measured in one soil of approximately 7-12 days). Carbon dioxide was the only major identified aerobic soil metabolite. Its evolution from the centrally labeled carbonyl position indicates a high degree of mineralization of the dichlormid molecule. Other unidentified volatiles totaled less than approximately 3% of the dose. Minor amounts of several degradates extracted from the soil by

organic solvents were not identified. Significant amounts of other soil degradates were resistant to harsher extraction and presumably remain as bound residues. Dichlormid was stable against hydrolysis and photolysis in soil and water.

Dichlormid's low sorptivity to soil (median Kd of 0.45 and median Koc of 39 mL/g in four soils) indicates high mobility. Based on its low sorptivity to soil, high solubility in water (4.4 g/L), and low octanol to water partitioning ratio (Kow = 69), bioconcentration is not anticipated.

Drinking water exposure estimates are based on degradation and transport factors for dichormid coupled with EFED's current GENEEC and SCI-GROW screening models for surface and ground water, respectively. Model results in attached tables are for an application rate of dichormid of 0.5 lbs/acre (Zeneca supplied this rate to RD by fax on 29 June 99). If, instead, the rate selected is 0.372 lb/acre (3 lbs/acre of acetochlor x 12.4% dichlormid as given in paragraph III-1 of HED's standard form which is attached), then values are corrected by the proportionate multiplicative factor of 0.372/0.5 = 0.744.

Based on previous EFED review (31 July 1991) of core studies on acute toxicity to freshwater fish and invertebrates, and on avian oral and subacute dietary effects, it was concluded that dichlormid was practically non-toxic to fish, invertebrates, and birds. Coupled with potential exposures in environmental compartments, adverse ecological effects are, therefore, not expected.

GENEEC AND SCI-GROW TABLES SHOWING INPUT VALUES AND RESULTING ESTIMATED SCREENING LEVEL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCENTRATIONS (EEC)

SURFACE WATER

ONE (MULT)	APPLIC NOIN	ATIONS SOI TERVAL Ko	l (PPN	1)	% DRIFT	DEPTH (IN
0.500(0.50	00) 1 FANDARD PON	1 C	0.4 4388. VALUES (D	O DAYS)	0.0- 16.4	0.0
METABOLIC I	RAIN/RUNOFF	HYDROLYSIS (POND)	PHOTOL	YSIS EFF)	(DOND)	(DOMD)
35.70	2				0.00	
PEAK GEEC	AVERAGE 4		GEEC	DAY GE	EEC	
27.29	27.27			26.93		
UND WATER						
SCI-GROW EEC	FOR DICHLOR		INPUT	VALUES		
APPL (#/AC)	FOR DICHLOR APPL. UR NO. (#/A	ATE SOI	L SOIL	AEROB LISM (D	AYS)	
SCI-GROW EEC RUN No. 11 APPL (#/AC) RATE	FOR DICHLOR	ATE SOI C/YR) KOC	SOIL METABOI	AEROB LISM (D	AYS)	
SCI-GROW EEC RUN NO. 11	FOR DICHLOR	ATE SOI) C/YR) KOC .500 CONCENTRAT	SOIL METABOI	AEROB LISM (D	AYS)	

III. EXPOSURE ASSESSMENT

 $c: \verb|\clem| document| inerts | dichlormidfq paquestions. wpd \\ 4$

1. Is this a major agricultural chemical Range of application rates:	?	Yes ⊠	No □
The amount of dichlormid to be applingredient it is being applied with. The ai being acetochlor. This formula	he maximum treatment rate prop	osed is 3 lb a	
Frequency of applications:			
APPLICATION TYPE	CONDITION	IS	
early preplant	≤ 30 days before planting		
preplant incorporation	≤14 days before planting	· 1. 1. 1. 1	
preemergence surface	application to soil as a broadcast o	r banded appli	cation
postplant-preemergence	immediately after planting but prior	to corn emerg	ence
banding-preemergence	applied in a 10 to 14 inch band after planting but before emergence		
broadcast- early post emergence	early postemergence up to 11 inch	tall corn	
2. Range of established tolerances: 0. What metabolites require regulation regulated.	• •	nid (parent) v	vill be
3. Is this pesticide used on commoditie fruit, pome fruit, cereal grains, milk,	- -	children (suc	ch as citrus Yes ⊠ No □
Used on corn only			110 -
4. Are residues likely to be removed by	y preparation such as washing, peo	eling, or cook Yes ⊠	ting? No □
5. Available residue data sources for the	nis pesticide: ☑ Field Studies ☐ FDA Monitoring Data ☐ PDP Monitoring Data ☐ Other:		
6. Are real residues found in field trial	ls and/or monitoring data?	Yes □	No ⊠

7. Is there information available on % crop treated?

Yes ⊠

No □

8. How are the DRES analyses refined?

Original DRES analysis was for active ingredient, acetochlor and not for the safener. Since no doses/endpoints selected for safener, no dietary analysis was completed.

ACUTE

☐ Tolerance levels
☐ Field Trials (HAFT)
☐ W CT
☐ Monitoring Data
☐ Monte Carlo Analysis
☐ CHRONIC
☐ Tolerance levels
☐ Field Trials (Average)
☐ % CT
☐ Monitoring Data
☐ Monitoring Data

9. In order to characterize potential drinking water exposure, provide a brief summary of the environmental fate assessment for this compound and any metabolite(s) that may potentially get into drinking water based on metabolite fate characteristics (e.g., is the compound or any of its metabolites mobile and persistent?).

Dichlormid is relatively short-lived in aerobic soil (aerobic soil "half-life" measured in one soil of approximately 7-12 days). The only major metabolite identified was carbon dioxide. The compound is stable against both hydrolysis and photolysis. Its low sorptivity to soil (median Kd of 0.45 and median Koc of 39 mL/g in four soils) indicates high mobility. Based on its physical properties, bioconcentration is not anticipated.

Discuss the method used for drinking water exposure assessment (e.g., indicate which models are used or describe the monitoring data used).

Drinking water exposure estimates are based on EFED's current GENEEC and SCI-GROW screening models for surface and ground water, respectively. Model results given below in tables are for an application rate of dichormid of 0.5 lbs/acre (Zeneca supplied this rate to RD by fax on 29 June 99). If, instead, the rate selected is 0.372 lb/acre (3 lbs/acre of acetochlor x 12.4% dichlormid as given in paragraph III.1. above), then values are corrected by the proportionate multiplicative factor of 0.372/0.5 = 0.744. Both results are provided below.

SURFACE WATER: 27 ug/L (ppb) at 0.5 lb/acre. (Acute and chronic exposures are equivalent.)

20 ug/L (ppb) at 0.372 lb/acre

RATE (#/AC	NOINT	ATIONS SOII FERVAL Kd	(PPM	1)	BUFFER(FT) % DRIFT	INCORP DEPTH(IN
	500) 1 1	- 0.	4 4388.	0	0.0- 16.4	0.0
FIELD AND S	TANDARD POND	HALFLIFE V	/ALUES (D	AYS)		
(FIELD)	DAYS UNTIL RAIN/RUNOFF	(POND)	(POND-	EFF)	(POND)	(POND)
35.70	2				0.00	
PEAK GEEC	AVERAGE 4 DAY GEEC	AVERAG DAY G	SE 21 .	AVERAGI DAY GI	 3 56 BEC	
27.29	27.27	27.1	.7	26.93		
OUND WATE	27.27 R: 0.05 ug/L 0.03 ug/L Cs (IN PPB)	27.1 (ppb) at 0.5 lt (ppb) at 0.372	7 b/acre 2 lb/acre	26. 93		
OUND WATE SCI-GROW EEO RUN No. 11	27.27 R: 0.05 ug/L 0.03 ug/L Cs (IN PPB) FOR DICHLORI	27.1 (ppb) at 0.5 li (ppb) at 0.372	b/acre 2 lb/acre INPUT	26.93	3	
OUND WATE SCI-GROW EEO RUN NO. 11	27.27 R: 0.05 ug/L 0.03 ug/L Cs (IN PPB)	27.1 (ppb) at 0.5 li (ppb) at 0.372 MID ATE SOIL	b/acre 2 lb/acre INPUT N	26.93 VALUES	 3 	
OUND WATE SCI-GROW EEC RUN NO. 11 APPL (#/AC)	27.27 R: 0.05 ug/L 0.03 ug/L Cs (IN PPB) FOR DICHLORI NO. (#/AC	27.1 (ppb) at 0.5 li (ppb) at 0.372 MID ATE SOIL	b/acre 2 lb/acre INPUT V SOIL METABOI	VALUES AEROE	BIC DAYS)	
SCI-GROW EEG RUN NO. 11	27.27 R: 0.05 ug/L 0.03 ug/L Cs (IN PPB) FOR DICHLORI NO. (#/AC	27.1 (ppb) at 0.5 li (ppb) at 0.372 MID ATE SOIL C/YR) KOC	b/acre 2 lb/acre INPUT NOTE SOIL METABOI	VALUES AEROE LISM (D	BIC DAYS)	
SCI-GROW EEG RUN NO. 11	27.27 R: 0.05 ug/L 0.03 ug/L Cs (IN PPB) FOR DICHLORI NO. (#/A	27.1 (ppb) at 0.5 le (ppb) at 0.372 MID ATE SOIL C/YR) KOC .500 CONCENTRATIO	b/acre 2 lb/acre INPUT NOTE SOIL METABOI	VALUES AEROE LISM (D	BIC DAYS)	

10. Is there potential for non-dietary exposure to infants and children (residential exposure)?						
If so, characterize:		Yes □	No □			



07/20/99 07:24 PM

To:

Susie Chun/DC/USEPA/US@EPA Treva Alston/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Subject: Re: dichlormid - fqpa meeting

Susie,

I have provisionally completed the redlined Section III.9. in your attachment (attached at the end of this message). Treva is still trying to secure some additional fate and product chemistry information for me, but I don't think the "water numbers" will change.

Alex



Susie Chun



To: CC:

Alex Clem/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Subject: dichlormid - fqpa meeting

Alex,

Sorry for the short notice but could you please fill out the water section for the fqpa committee meeting and e-mail it back to me. The tox person taking the chemical to HIARC and FQPA is hoping to take it next Thursday.

I've attached the exposure section and redlined the water part.

I really am sorry and appreciate your help. Thanks.

Susie Chun 305-2249

dichlormidfqpaquestions

Sheet1

R-25788 (DICHUORNID)

SCI-GROW

FAX TO HERRY LeiFer 1 page

Inputs :-

Application rate

0.5 lb/ac 39.2

Median Koc Mean T1/2

(values 38, 40.3, 35.5 & 49.4) 7.5 days

Ref. RR89-030B Ref. RR90-014B

Screening Ground Water Concentrations =

0.01 ppb

GENEEC

Inputs:-

Application rate

0.5 lb/aq

(pre-em)

Lowest Koc Longest T1/2

35.5

(values 38, 40.3, 35.5 & 49.4)

Ref. RR89-030B Ref. RR90-014B

Solubility **Photolysis** 7.5 days 4388 mg/l

Stable

Aquatic degradation

No data

Screening Surface Water Concentrations =

24.85 ppb

W. Hillebrecht

instantaneous peak conc.

24.63 ppb 56 day average

Page 1