

Date: 7/19/04 h.d.

Huchael a Doherty GaRAL 1/22/04

Primary Evaluator

William Cutchin, Chemist

SIMB/HED (7509C)

Peer Reviewer

William Drew, Chemist

RAB2/HED (7509C)

Approved by

Richard Loranger

Branch Senior Scientist

RAB2/HED (7509C)

STUDY REPORTS:

MRID No. 45375505 T. Schreier (2001) Magnitude of the Residue of Flumioxazin on Almonds: Lab Project Number: 20116. Unpublished study prepared by Valent U.S.A. Corp. 368 pages.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The registrant has submitted field trial data for flumioxazin on almonds. Five field trials trials were conducted encompassing Regions 10 (CA) during the 1999 growing season. The number and locations of field trials are in accordance with OPPTS Guideline 860.1500 and Directive 98-02; Section 9. At four of the locations, flumioxazin, formulated as ValorTM WDG, was twice broadcast to the soil at 0.375 lb ai/A (0.42 kg ai/ha) at a 60-day retreatment interval for a total seasonal application rate of 0.75 lb ai/A (0.84 kg ai/ha). At the fifth location, flumioxazin, formulated as ValorTM WDG, was twice broadcast to the soil at 0.75 lb ai/A (0.84 kg ai/ha) at a 60-day retreatment interval for a total seasonal application rate of 1.5 lb ai/A (1.68 kg ai/ha). An adjuvant was added to the spray mixture for all applications, but not always identified. Almonds were harvested at 60-61 day PHI.

The analytical method used to analyze samples for flumioxazin in/on the almond matrices of almond nutmeat and almond hulls is adequate for data collection purposes. In the method, RM-30A-1, flumioxazin is extracted from almond nutmeat and hulls using acetone:water, partitioned into dichloromethane then between hexane and acetonitrile, and cleaned up by column chromatography. Gas chromatographic analysis is performed using a nitrogen-phosphorus specific detector. The limit of quantitation (LOQ) and limit of detection (LOD) of the residue method for flumioxazin in/on almond nutmeat and almond hulls were 0.01 ppm and 0.005 ppm, respectively.

The analytical method used to analyze samples for 1-hydroxy-trans-1,2-cyclohexanedicarboxylic acid (1-OH-HPA) during this study is adequate for data collection purposes. In the method, based on RM-30M4, the metabolite 1-OH-HPA is extracted from almond hulls using acid hydrolysis followed by liquid/liquid partition into ethyl acetate. The 1-OH-HPA is methylated, partitioned into hexane, and cleaned up by column chromatography.



The residues of 1-OH-H A are analyzed as its dimethyl ester, 1-OH-HPA-DME-1 (a.k.a. 1-HPA-DME, HPADME) using gas chromatography and a mass selective detector. The LOQ and LOD of the residue method for 1-OH-HPA in/on almond hulls were 0.1 ppm and 0.05 ppm, respectively.

The results from less trials show that maximum flumioxazin residues are 0.007 ppm on almond nutmeats for bot total application rates, 0.75 lb ai/A and 1.5 lb ai/A, and 60-day PHI. The results also show the maximum flumioxazin residues on almond hulls are 0.066 ppm for the 0.75 lb ai/A total application rate, and 0.617 ppm for the 1.5 lb ai/A total application rate, both with 60-day PHIs. No re idues of 1-OH-HPA were found above the 0.05 ppm LOQ on almond hulls for either application rate. A residue decline study was not conducted.

STUDY/WAIVER AC(EPTABILITY/DEFICIENCIES/CLARIFICATIONS:

Under the conditions and parameters used in the study, the field trial residue data are classified as scientifically acceptable.

The acceptability of this study for regulatory purposes is addressed in the forthcoming U.S. EPA Residue Chem stry Summary Document [DP Barcode D301247].

COMPLIANCE:

Signed and dated Good Laboratory Practice (GLP), Quality Assurance and Data Confidentiality statement were provided. With the exception of the following items, this study was performed in compliance with EPA GLP FIFRAStandards [40 CFR Part 160]: weather and miscellaneous field information (e.g. field history, irrigation and cultural practices, and plot description) included in this is report was not collected following GLP standards; storage temperature data for Triange B were not collected in accordance with GLPs; scales used to weigh field samples for Triange B were not maintained in accordance with GLPs; and, some entries in the Field Notebook for Triange B were not initialed and dated at the time of entry. The deviations from regulator requirements do not adversely impact the validity of the study.

A. BACKGROUNE INFORMATION

Flumioxazin is a 1 w N-phenylphthalimide herbicide proposed for preemergence application for the selectie control of susceptible broadleaf weeds.



TABLE A.1. Test Comp	ound Nomenclature
Compound	Chemical Structure O F CH2 HC
Common name	Flumioxazin
Company experimental name	S-53482
IUPAC name	<i>N</i> -(7-fluoro-3,4-dihydro-3-oxo-4-prop-2-ynyl-2 <i>H</i> -1,4-benzoxazin-6-yl)cyclohex-1-ene-1,2-dicarboxamide
CAS name	2-[7-fluoro-3,4-dihydro-3-oxo-4-(2-propynyl)-2 <i>H</i> -1,4-benzoxazin-6-yl]-4,5,6,7-tetrahydro-1 <i>H</i> -isoindole-1,3(2 <i>H</i>)-dione
CAS#	103361-09-7
End-use product/EP	Chateau™ WDG

TABLE A.2. Physicochemical Prope	TABLE A.2. Physicochemical Properties				
Parameter	Value				
Melting point/range (°C)	202-204				
рН	7.29 @ 25°C				
Density	1.51 g/mL @ 20°C				
Water solubility (25°C)	1.79 mg/L				
Solvent solubility (mg/L at°C)	NA				
Vapour pressure	2.41 x 10 ⁻⁶ mm Hg				
Dissociation constant (pK _a)	NA				
Octanol/water partition coefficient Log(Kow)	2.55 @ 20°C				
UV/visible absorption spectrum	NA				

B. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

B.1. Study Site Information

TABLE B.1.1 Trial Site Conditions	5			
Trial Identification (City, State/Year)	Soil	Meteorological data		
	Туре	Overall monthly rainfall range	Overall T°C range	
Chico, CA 1999 V-20116-A	Vina sandy loam	12 in (sprinkler irrigation)	NR	



Hughson, CA 1999 V-20116-B	Hanford	4-6 in (flood irrigation)	reported as within historical norms	
Kerman, CA 1999 V-20116-C	Hanford sandy loam	4-8 in (flood irrigation)	reported as cooler than average	
Madera, CA 1999 V-20116-D	Tugunga	2-12 in (microsprinkler irrigation)	reported as below normal	
Terra Bella, CA 1999 V-20116-E	Hanford fine sandy loam	8-10 in (microsprinkler irrigation)	reported as below normal	

NR= not reported

The reported temperatures are below the average historical values for the residue study period. The actual rainfall average was within the historical rainfall average. Irrigation was used to supplement as needed.

TABLE B.1.2	2. Study Use	P	ttern.					
Location	EP 1	Γ		Apj	plication			Tank Mix
(City, State/Year)			Method/Timing	Vol, GPA²	Rate, g a.i./A	RTI, ³ days	Total Rate, g a.i./A (lb a.i./A) (kg ai/ha)	- Adjuvants
Chico, CA 1999 Valor WDG Herbicide		oadcast to soil/ nut velopment	18	169.6	60	341.5 (0.753)	crop oil conc.	
	n	padcast to soil/ nut aturation prior to Il split	18	171.9	(0.845)	(0.845)		
	WDG Herbicide		padcast to soil/ nut velopment	18	339.3	60	682.2 (1.5)	crop oil conc.
		n	padcast to soil/ nut ituration prior to ll split	18	342.9		(1.69)	
Hughson, CA 1999	Valor WDG Herbicide	b g	padcast to soil/ en nut	25	172.0	60	343.7 (0.758) (0.85)	identity of adjuvant not indicated
	licioidae	4	padcast to soil/ ginning hull split	25	171.7			:
Kerman, CA 1999	Valor WDG	1	padcast to soil/ nuts	20	168.7	60	338.3 (0.746)	Agridex
	Herbicide	b	ore hull split, trees	20	169.6		(0.84)	
Madera, CA 1999	Valor WDG		radcast to soil/ its 1 ½ - 2 ½ "	20	171.5 60 169.2		340.7 (0.751)	identity of adjuvant not indicated
	Herbicide		adcast to soil/ ts 1 ½ - 2 ½ "	20			(0.84)	indicated



Location (City, State/Year)	EP ¹	Application					Tank Mix
		Method/Timing	Vol, GPA ²	Rate, g a.i./A	RTI, ³ days	Total Rate, g a.i./A (lb a.i./A) (kg ai/ha)	Adjuvants
CA 1999 WDG	Valor WDG	broadcast to soil/ fruit maturation	20	170.2	60 339.8 (0.749)	RMA crop oil	
	Herbicide	broadcast to soil/	24	169.6		(0.84)	

¹EP = End-use Product

TABLE B.1.3.					
NAFTA	Almond				
Growing Region	Submitted	Requested US			
1					
1A					
2					
3					
4					
5					
5A					
5B					
6					
7					
7A					
8					
9					
10	5	5			
11					
12					
13					

² Gallons per acre

³ Retreatment Interval



14		
15	"	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
Total	5	5

B.2. Sample Handlin; and Preparation

Almonds were collected at normal mature harvest from the untreated control plots and from the five treated plot (in duplicate). All almond nutmeat and hull samples harvested for this study were shipped frozen and stored at -20°C until analysis.

B.3. Analytical Meth dology

The analytical method used to analyze samples for flumioxazin in/on the almond matrices of almond nutmeat and a mond hulls was RM-30A-1. The method has undergone both a successful ILV trial and I as been successfully validated down to an LOQ of 0.01 ppm by the Agency (PP#s 7F4841 ar 1 0F6171, DP Barcodes: D259493 and D268181, D. Dotson, 3/12/2001). Flumioxazir is extracted from almond nutmeat and hulls using acetone:water. The residues of flumioxazin ϵ e partitioned into dichloromethane, partitioned between hexane and acetonitrile, and subjecte to a Florisil column chromatography cleanup. Gas chromatographic analysis is performed usi g a nitrogen-phosphorus specific detector and a DB-5 or DB-17 column. The LOQ and I DD of the residue method for flumioxazin in/on both almond nutmeat and almond hulls were 0. It ppm and 0.005 ppm, respectively.

The analytical me hod used to analyze samples for 1-OH-HPA during this study was based on RM-30M4. The method has been determined to be adequate for data collection of 1-OH-HPA from peanuts a disorder down to an LOQ and LOD of 0.02 ppm and 0.01, respectively (PP#s 7F484 and 0F6171, DP Barcodes: D259493 and D268181, D. Dotson, 3/12/2001). The metabolistic telescope to 1-OH-HPA is extracted from almond hulls using acid hydrolysis. A diatomaceous earth partition on column in the original method was replaced with the more common liquid/liquid partition. But he diatomaceous earth column and the liquid/liquid partition allow the 1-OH-HPA to be partitioned into ethyl acetate. The 1-OH-HPA is methylated with dimethyl sulfate, partitioned between water and hexane, and cleaned up using Florisil column chromatography. The residues of 1-OH-HPA are analyzed as its dimethyl ester, 1-OH-HPA-DME-1 (a.k.a. 1-HPA-D). IE, HPADME). Gas chromatographic analysis is performed using a mass selective detector at dan RTX-200 column. The LOQ and LOD of the residue method for



1-OH-HPA in/on almond hulls were 0.1 ppm and 0.05 ppm, respectively.

C. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The storage stability study (45375505ss.der.wpd, W. Cutchin) supports the storage durations/conditions of samples in the crop field trials. The analytical methods for flumioxazin and 1-OH-HPA are adequate for data collection for this study. Flumioxazin fortification of control samples of almond nutmeats and hulls were conducted at 0.01 to 1.0 ppm and 1-OH-HPA fortification of control samples of almond hulls were conducted at 0.01 to 0.5 ppm. Concurrent recoveries ranges from 71 to 114 (avg 91 \pm 10%). The LOQ and LOD of the residue method for flumioxazin in/on both almond nutmeat and almond hulls were 0.01 ppm and 0.005 ppm, respectively. The petitioner provided adequate sample chromatograms of control samples of various crop matrices that show no interfering peaks. Although no calibration curves were presented, adequate sample chromatograms of standard solutions were provided to indicate that the analytical method provided a linear response. The LOQ and LOD of the residue method for 1-OH-HPA in/on almond hulls were 0.1 ppm and 0.05 ppm, respectively.

The number and geographic location of the submitted studies were adequate. The results from these trials show that maximum flumioxazin residues are 0.007 ppm on almond nutmeats for both total application rates, 0.75 lb ai/A and 1.5 lb ai/A, and 60-day PHI. The results also show that maximum flumioxazin residues on almond hulls are 0.066 ppm for the 0.75 lb ai/A total application rate, and 0.617 ppm for the 1.5 lb ai/A total application rate, both with 60-day PHIs. No residues of 1-OH-HPA were found above the 0.05 ppm LOQ on almond hulls for either application rate. A residue decline study was not conducted.

TABLE C.1.	Summary of Con	current Recoveri	es of Flumioxazin and fi	rom Almond Matrices.
Matrix	Spike level (mg/kg)	Sample size (n)	Recoveries (%)	Mean ± std dev (%)
		Flui	mioxazin	
nutmeat	0.01 0.5	5 5	94,106,90,89,105 101,110,106,98,114	97 ± 7.3 106 ± 5.8
hulls	0.01 0.5 1.0	5 5 1	90,71,75,77,83 83,84,86,88,96 83	79 ± 6.7 87 ± 4.6 83
		1-C	Н-НРА	······································
hulls	0.01 0.5	5 5	90,93,81,86,86 98,96,90,84,93	87 ± 4.1 92 ± 4.9

TABLE C.2.	Summary of Storage Conditions			
Matrix	Storage Temp. (°C)	Actual Storage Duration (days)	Interval of Demonstrated Storage Stability (days)	
	F	lumioxazin		
nutmeat	-20	152-185	186	
hulls	-20	152-185	186	



Flumioxazin/59 39-RRO/129034/Valent USA/59639

DACO 7.4.1/O: PTS 860.1500/OECD IIA 6.3.1, 6.3.2, 6.3.3 and IIIA 8.3.1, 8.3.2, 8.3.3

Crop Field Tria - Almond

1-ОН-НРА						
hulls	-20	235-254	263			

TABLE C.3.	Residue	E L ita from	Crop Field	Trials with Fl	umioxaz	in.	
Trial ID (City, State/Year)	Region	Crop/ 'ariety	Commodity or Matrix	Total Rate, g a.i./A (lb a.i./A) (kg ai/ha)	PHI (days)	Flumioxazin (ppm)	1- OH-HPA (ppm)
Chico, CA 1999	Х	Carmel	nutmeat	341.5 (0.753) (0.845)	60	0.005,<0.005 <0.005,<0.005	NA
			hulls			0.013,0.014 0.029,0.032	<0.05,<0.05 <0.05,<0.05
Hughson, CA 1999	Х	Carmel	nutmeat	682.2 (1.5)	60	0.006,0.007	NA
			hulls	(1.69)		0.487,0.617	<0.05,<0.05
Kerman, CA	Х	Carmel	nutmeat	343.7 (0.758)	60	<0.005,<0.005	NA
			hulls	(0.85)		<0.005,<0.005	<0.05,<0.05
Madera, CA 1999	Х	N n-Pareil	nutmeat	340.7 (0.751)	60	<0.005,<0.005	NA
			hulls	(0.84)		0.037,0.041	<0.05,<0.05
Terra Bella, CA	X	Carmel	nutmeat	339.8 (0.749)	61	0.006,0.007	NA
			hulls	(0.84)		0.062,0.066	<0.05,<0.05

TABLE C.4.	Summary	of Residue Data from Crop Field Trials with Flumioxazin.							
Commodity	Total Applic Rate, g a.i./A (lb a.i./A) (kg ai/ha)	PHI (days)	Residue Levels (ppm)						
			n	Min.	Max.	HAFT*	Median (STMdR)	Mean (STMR)	Std. Dev.
				Flumioxaz	zin				
nutmeat	340 (0.75) (0.84)	60-61	10	<0.005	0.007	0.0065	0.0025	0.0036	0.0017
hulls			10	< 0.005	0.041	0.039	0.0305	0.0299	0.0213
nutmeat	682 (1.5) (1.69)	60	2	0.006	0.007	0.0065	0.0065	0.0065	0.0005
hulls			2	0.487	0.617	0.552	0.552	0.552	0.065
	<u> </u>			1-OH-HP	A	•			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
hulls	340 (0.75) (0.84)	60-61	2	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	0
	682 (1.5) (1.69)	60	2	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	0

^{*} HAFT = Highest Average Field Trial.



D. CONCLUSION

The submitted studies are adequate to determine the residues of flumioxazin on almond nutmeats and hulls as a result of the of the use pattern.

E. REFERENCES

PP#s 7F4841 and 0F6171. Tolerance Petitions for the Use of Flumioxazin on Peanuts, Soybeans, and Sugarcane. Evaluation of Residue Chemistry and Analytical Methodology. DP Barcodes: D259493 and D268181, D. Dotson, 3/12/2001

F. DOCUMENT TRACKING

RDI: W. Drew (7/13/04), R. Loranger (7/19/04)

Petition Number(s): 1F6296 DP Barcode(s): 284045

PC Code: 129034

Template Version September 2003