## DATA EVALUATION RECORD SEEDLING EMERGENCE EC25 TEST 123-1(A) (TIER II)

1. CHEMICAL: ARSENAL

PC Code No.: 128821

2. TEST MATERIAL: Acid component of imazapyr

Purity: Unknown\*

3. CITATION

Authors:

Title:

V. Banks

The Effect of ARSENAL on Non-Target Terrestrial Plants

Tier II

Study Completion Date:

August 28, 1988

Laboratory: American Cyanamid Company

Agricultural Research Division

**PÖ BOX 400** 

Princeton, NJ 08540

Sponsor:

BASF / American Cyanamid

Laboratory Report ID:

Not Reported 408118-01

MRID No.: DP Barcode:

D275562

4. **REVIEWED BY:** Stephen Carey, Biologist, EFED/ERB3

Date: 9/02/03

Date: 9/72/03

5. APPROVED BY: Michael Davy onomist, EFED/ERB2

Signature:

6. STUDY PARAMETERS

**Definitive Study Duration: 28 days** 

7. **CONCLUSIONS**:

**Results Synopsis** 

Most sensitive monocot: wheat

Most sensitive parameter: weight

EC<sub>25</sub>: 0.0046 lb ae/A

Slope: 1.45

EC05: 0.00099 lb ae/A

Most sensitive dicot: sugarbeet

Most sensitive parameter: weight

EC<sub>25</sub>: 0.0024 lb ae/A

Slope: 0.847

EC05: 0.00017 lb ae/A

<sup>\*</sup> The purity was not reported by the study author, the reviewer estimated the purity of the acid compound was 22.6%.



DP Barcode: D275562 MRID No.: 408118-01

# 8. ADEQUACY OF THE STUDY

A. Classification: Supplemental

**B. Rationale:** Each species was subjected to overcrowding and excessive competition, especially larger seedlings, which ten seeds of each species were planted in a 4-in diameter dixie cup and grown to 28 days. The area of the pot container may restrict seedling growth.

C. Repairability: No

# 9. GUIDELINE DEVIATIONS

See Reviewer's Comment section

# 10. <u>SUBMISSION PURPOSE</u>:

# 11. MATERIALS AND METHODS

## A. Test Organisms

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information
Species 6 dicot in 4 families, including soybean and a rootcrop; 4 monocots in 2 families, including corn.	Dicot: tomato, sugarbeet, sunflower, cucumber, pea, soybean.
	Monocots: oats, wheat, onion, corn
Number of seeds per rep 10	10 seeds per replicate
Number of plant per pot/container	10 plants per pot
Source of Seed	Not reported
Historical % Germination of Seed	>75%

## B. Test System

	Reported Information
Solvent	50% acetone
Site of test	Greenhouse
Planting method / type of pot	Planted in 4 inch diameter dixie cups filled with washed quartz sand, 10 seeds/cup

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information
Method of application	Belt sprayer
Method of watering	Not reported
Growth stage at application Seed	Seed

# C. Test Design

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information
Dose range 2x or 3x	2x progression
<b>Doses</b> At least 5	10 concentrations; 2.19, 4.38, 8.75, 17.5, 35, 70, 140, 280, 560, 1120 g/ha (converted to 0.00195, 0.0039, 0.0078, 0.0156, 0.031, 0.0624, 0.1249, 0.2498, 0.4996, and 1.0 lb ae/A)
Controls Negative and solvent	Negative
Replicates per dose At least 3	3
<b>Duration of test</b> 14 days	28 days
Were observations made at least weekly?	No, observations were made at 14 D and 28D.
Maximum labeled rate	1.5 lb ae/A

# 12. REPORTED RESULTS

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information
Quality assurance and GLP compliance statements were included in the report?	No
Was a NOEC observed for each species?	Yes, NSD as in no significant difference was observed
Phytotoxic observations	Yes

DP Barcode: D275562 MRID No.: 408118-01

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information
Were initial chemical concentrations measured? (Optional)	No
Were adequate raw data included?	Yes

## Reported results and observations:

#### Corn

Emergence: At 14 DAT (Days After Treatment) only 1120 and 2.19 g/ha resulted in significant difference and >25% reduction in emergence. No treatment caused a 50% reduction. Injury: At 14 DAT, plants showed slight foliar anthocyanin and necrosis at 35 g/ha. At 28 DAT, plant growth was completely inhibited at >140 g/ha; stunted and some mortality at 35 g/ha;

normal growth at 4.38 - 17.5 g/ha.

Height: At 14 DAT, all doses resulted in heights significantly lower than the control; the predicted 125 dose was 2.34 g/ha and I50 was 49.87 g/ha. At 28 DAT, heights were significantly different at >17.5; I50 >17.5 g/ha.

Weight: At 28 DAT, weights were significantly different at >17.5 g/ha.

#### Wheat

Emergence: At 14 DAT, only 2.19, 35, and 140 g/ha were significantly different. All doses caused 25% reduction except 70, 280, and 560 g/ha. Only 2.19 g/ha caused 50% reduction. Seedling

emergence did not appear dosage related.

Injury: At 14 DAT, severe stunting and interveinal chlorosis or cessation of growth occurred at doses >8.75 g/ha; stunting only occurred at 8.75 g/ha or lower. At 28 DAT, 4.38 g/ha showed no significant difference, but 2.19 g/ha was more injurious than the control. Greater than 93% injury occurred at ≥70 g/ha and slight stunting at 4.38 g/ha. Plants had fewer and shorter leaves and significant stunting at 2.19-17.5 g/ha and stopped growing at 35 g/ha.

Height: At 14 DAT, height reduced at >8.75 g/ha, 125 was predicted at 2.41 g/ha and 150 at 5.83 g/ha. AT 28 DAT, there was NSD at ≤8.75 g/ha and greater than 50% reduction at >8.75 g/ha. Weight: At 28 DAT, 4.38 g/ha showed NSD. Fresh weights were negatively correlated with

herbicide dose.

Sugarbeet

Emergence: At 14 DAT, emergence was NSD at all doses expect 35 g/ha. Doses of 2.19 - 560

g/ha showed a significant 25% inhibiton.

Injury: At 14 DAT, >40% injury resulted from doses of 35 g/ha or higher. Doses lower than 35 g/ha caused less than 25% injury. At 28 DAT, all doses of ≥35 g/ha caused >60% crop injury. Height: At 14 DAT, heights were NSD at <35 g/ha. At 28 DAT, doses of 70, 140, 560, and 1120 g/ha were significant different. Height was not correlated with dose, so no linear reression could be calculated to predict % inhibitions.

Weight: Doses <17.5 g/ha resulted in NSD in fresh weight.

## Sunflower

Emergence: At 14 DAT, all doses showed NSD except for 560 g/ha which resulted in a 25% inhibition.

Injury: At 14 DAT, there was NSD at ≥17.5 g/ha. Injury ratings were ≥50% at doses >17.5 g/ha. At 28 DAT, there was NSD ≥35 g/ha. Injury ratings were 30% or greater at >70 g/ha.

DP Barcode: D275562 MRID No.: 408118-01

Height: At 14 DAT, only 2.15 g/ha showed NSD compared to the control. Regression analysis predicted I25 and I50 at 2.73 and 7.46 g/ha, respectively. At 28 DAT, height shows NSD at 17.5

Weight: There is NSD in fresh weight at <280 g/ha.

#### **Tomato**

Emergence: At 14 DAT, 2.19, 8.75, 140, 560, and 1120 g/ha showed NSD from control. A 50% reduction resulted from 4.38, 17.5, 35, and 70 g/ha and a 25% reduction resulted from 2.19, 140,

Injury: At 14 DAT, only 1120, 560, and 140 g/ha caused significant injury. Injured plants were stunted and yellow. At 28 DAT, only 2.19 and 8.75 g/ha were NSD. Injury levels approached 30% at >17.5 g/ha, except for 140 and 560 g/ha which showed less than 30% injury.

Height: At 14 and 28 DAT, there were NSD at any dose.

Weight: At 28 DAT, there was NSD at 140, 17.5, 8.75, 4.38, and 2.19 g/ha from the control. Fresh weight was less at 35, 70, 280, 560, and 1120 g/ha

#### Cucumber

Emergence: At 14 DAT, there was NSD at any dose except 560 g/ha.

Injury: At 14 DAT, there was NSD in injury at 2.15, 8.75, and 280 g/ha compared to the control. All other doses caused significant stunting and yellowing. AT 28 DAT, all doses showed significant injury. Less than 30% inhibition was shown by 2.15, 4.38, 8.75, 17.5, and 280 g/ha. Height: At 14 DAT, NSD resulted from doses of 2.19, 4.38, 8.75, 35, 140, and 280 g/ha. At 28 DAT, only 2.19 and 8.75 g/ha showed NSD. Dosage response was not sufficiently correlated to calculate a linear regression at either 14 or 28 DAT.

Weight: At 28 DAT, treatment resulted in significant reduction in fresh weight with only a few exceptions.

#### Oat

Emergence: There was NSD in seedling emergence at 14 DAT.

Injury: AT 14 DAT, visual observations of stunting, interveinal chlorosis, and inhibition of the growing point were estimated at ≥35 g/ha. At 28 DAT, doses ≤17.5 g/ha showed NSD. Doses greater than 280 g/ha caused almost total growth inhibition and 90% crop injury. Height: At 14 DAT, there was NSD from control at <35 g/ha. Regression analysis indicated an I25 and I50 at 4.11 and 16.87 g/ha, respectively. At 28 DAT, only 4.38 and 2.19 g/ha showed NSD from control. Other treatments showed a negative correlation between dose and height. Weight: Doses of 17.5 and 2.19 g/ha showed NSD from control. All other doses showed

significant inhibition.

Emergence: At 14 DAT, there was NSD in seedling emergence at all levels. Differences ≥25% were observed at >280 g/ha.

Injury: At 14 DAT, there was NSD at ≤140 g/ha. Stunting and plant chlorosis were observed at higher doses. At 28 DAT, doses of 2.19 and 35 g/ha were significant different from control. Treatments ≥70 g/ha caused 50% injury.

Height: At 14 DAT, doses <140 g/ha were NSD from control. Height was significantly reduced at higher doses. AT 28 DAT, only 2.19 g/ha and doses ≥70 g/ha caused >57% height reduction. I25 and I50 were estimated to be 7.8 and 81.01 g/ha, respectively. Weight: Doses >140 g/ha resulted in NSD in fresh weight.

## Soybean

DP Barcode: D275562 MRID No.: 408118-01

Emergence: There was NSD at any doses.

Injury: At 14 DAT, I25 occurred at doses >17.5 g/ha and I50 occurred at doses >35 g/ha. Plants were very stunted, chlorotic with necrotic vascular tissue at ≥280 g/ha. At 28 DAT, 125 and 150

occurred at 17.5 and >35 g/ha, respectively.

Height: At 14 DAT, I25 and I50 were observed at >35 and ~280 g/ha, respectively. Regression analysis predicted I25 and I50 at 14.33 and 205.42 g/ha, respectively. At 28 DAT, I25 and I50 were observed at 17.5 and ~70 g/ha, respectively.

Weight: The I25 and I50 values were >35 and ~70 g/ha, respectively.

#### Pea

Emergence: At 14 DAT, the I25 and I50 values occurred at >70 and >280 g/ha, respectively. Injury: At 14 DAT, I25 and I50 occurred at >70 g/ha. All doses injured the plants. At 28 DAT, the I25 and I50 values were ~17.5 and 70 g/ha, respectively.

Height: At 14 DAT, the I25 and I50 values were >280 g/ha, respectively. Regression analysis estimated the I25 and I50 values at 213.75 and 430.5 g/ha, respectively. AT 28 DAT, the I25 and I50 values occurred at >140 and >280 g/ha, respectively.

Weight: At 28 DAT, the I25 and I50 values occurred at >70 g/ha. However, at 17.5 g/ha showed 30% reduction in weight.

· Mortality: Number of dead plants out of 30 total (3 rens)

	Doses (lb ae/A)										
Species	CTRL	0.00195	0.0039	0.0078	0.0156	0.031	0.0624	0.1249	0.2498	0.4996	1.0
Corn	1	9	3	1	3	4	2	3	4	5	10
Oats	8	7	14	12	9	6	9	6	5	6	11
Onion	6	6	1	3	2	0	6	1	11	11	16
Wheat	5	19	13	16	15	17	10	17	10	10	14
Soybean	2		3	2	0	2	4	3	2	5	2
Pea	3		0	1	8	5	6	13	13	29	30
Sugarbeet	4	11	13	9	7	14	11	9	9	13	13
Sunflower	8	7	7	7	6	3	6	6	8	16	5
Cucumber	2	6	7	3	4	5	3	6	1	8	6
Tomato	5	13	18	9	18	21	19	13	17	8	11

## Statistical Results

Statistical Method: Data were taken on the number of emerged seedling at 14 days after treatment (DAT), height of seedling and visual crop injury ratings at 14 and 28 DAT, and percent reduction of fresh weight at 28 DAT. Crop injury ratings take into account the visual condition of the plant such as plant vigor, color, turgidity and height. Means for each type of data were calculated and analyzed using the LSD test to calculate differences at the 95% confidence levels.

DP Barcode: D275562 MRID No.: 408118-01

A linear regression analysis was conducted on the 14 day height data for each species to predict the I25 and I50 levels. All concentrations are reported in g/ha.

Most sensitive dicot: Not reported. Most sensitive monocot: Not reported

#### 13. **Verification of Statistical Results**

Results for the most sensitive parameter of each species

Species	Parameter	EC <sub>25</sub> (lbs:ae/A)	NOEC or [EC <sub>ss</sub> ] (lbs ae/A)	Slape
Corn	Height	0.025	0.0156	1.69
Oats	Weight <sup>2</sup>	0.054	0.0156	2.85
Onion	Weight <sup>2</sup>	0.034	0.1249 <sup>A</sup> [0.01]	1.91
Wheat	Weight <sup>2</sup>	0.0046	<0.00195 [0.00099]	1.45
Soybean	Height	0.012	0.0078	0.89
Pea	Weight <sup>2</sup>	0.093	0.0624	3.14
Sugarbeet	Weight <sup>2</sup>	0.0024	0.0 <b>15</b> 6 <sup>A</sup> [0.00017]	0.847
Sunflower	Height	0.0027	<0.00195 [0.000021]	0.461
Cucumber	Weight <sup>2</sup>	0.0043	<0.00195 [0.000005]	0.335
Tomato	Weight <sup>2</sup>	0.008	0.0156 <sup>A</sup> [0.0003]	0.706

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Determination of the most sensitive species is based on EC<sub>25</sub> values.

<sup>2</sup> Data for shoot fresh weight.

<sup>A</sup> The NOAEC value is above the EC25; EC05 value is used instead.

Results for most sensitive parameter of most sensitive species

	Monocot	Dicot
Species	wheat	sugarbeet
Parameter	weight	weight
EC <sub>25</sub> (lb ae/A)	0.0046	0.0024
95% C.I.	0.0013 - 0.016	8.0E-05 - 0.075
Slope	1.45	0.847
NOAEC or [EC05] (lb ae/A)	[0.00099]	[0.00017]

DP Barcode: D275562

MRID No.: 408118-01

# 14. REVIEWER'S COMMENTS

The study author did not determined which species was the most sensitive dicot or monocot to imazapyr, results were reported in  $I_{25}$  and  $I_{50}$  or was reported not significant different (NSD) from control. The author used a linear regression analysis to estimate the toxicity values for 14D height and a hypothesis analysis to estimate the lowest significant difference (LSD) for emergence, crop injury, weight, and 28D height. The author concluded that imazapyr was detrimental to all species tested, but was more injurious to oats and wheat than any of the other crops tested, seedling emergence was the least endpoint affected, and increased growth inhibition with increasing dose. The reviewer could not verify the study author's results because a hypothesis analysis does not produce  $EC_{25}$  values, a linear regression analysis is rather different from a dose-response (non-linear) regression analysis, and the most sensitive dicot or monocot was not identified either. Regarding verification, it appears the plants were exposed to the acid component of imazapyr mixed in a 50% (v/v) solution of acetone which is not an end use product. The purity of the product was estimated by the reviewer to be 22.6% in acid equivalents.

This study partially conforms to the procedures of the subdivision guideline requirements for a seedling emergence toxicity test, the study deficiencies are: 1) quality assurance and GLP compliance statements were not reported; 2) observation of crop injury or endpoint measurements were not made weekly; 3) environmental conditions were not described, except for temperature; 4) plants were grown in quartz sand with no added nutrients; 5) fresh weight was recorded instead of dry weight; 6) possible overcrowding and excessive competition of plants, especially larger seedlings, since the test was extended beyond 14 days with 10 seeds of each species planted in a 4 inch diameter dixie cup filled with washed quartz sand; 7) full description of the crop injury rating system was not available; 8) the purity of the acid component was not reported; 9) the chemical was not reported although the trademark brand was reported.

Based on the report, the study is scientifically sound but does not fulfill the guideline requirements. This study was classified as core, but is now reclassified as **supplemental**. This study is required to be repeated with 10 species with appropriate volume of pot containers not to restrict seedling growth. The use of TEP (typical end-use product) instead of technical grade active ingredient is required for all terrestrial nontarget plant tests with the highest percent active ingredient used. This review supercedes the previous review conducted December 5<sup>th</sup>, 1991 with NOAEC values.

Results of the 28-day seedling emergence toxicity test show weight was the most sensitive parameter for both plant classes with wheat as the most sensitive monocot and sugarbeet as the most sensitive dicot. Sugarbeet was the most sensitive species of both classes. Based on nominal concentrations, 28-day EC25 and EC05 were 0.0024 and 0.00017 lb ae/A, respectively.

DP Barcode: D275562 MRID No.: 408118-01

## Statistical results

Corn Height at 28D (File: se corn height 28D.wpd) (stats: ICORHSE28.DAT)

Williams Test

[One-Sided Test for Decrease, alpha = 0.050000 ]

			-	
Dose	Isotone Means	T-bar	P-value	Significance
0.00195 0.0039 0.0078 <b>0.0156</b> 0.031 0.0624 0.1249 0.2498 0.4996	33 29.8 29.8 29.8 29.8 16.3 16.3 6.67 5.67	1.355 1.355 1.355 1.355 6.947 6.947 10.98 11.39 12.92	N.S. N.S. N.S. (0.005 (0.005 (0.005 (0.005 (0.005	NOEC

"\*"=Significant; "N.S."=Not Significant.

Estimates of EC%

95% Bounds Std.Err. Lower Bound Lower Upper /Estimate 0.0017 0.027 0.29 0.25 0.0033 0.036 0.25 \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Parameter Estimate Upper /Estimate 0.027 0.29 0.25 0.036 0.25 0.30 EC5 0.0067 EC10 0.011 0.30 0.41 EC25 0.061 0.025 0.010 0.19 EC50 0.062 0.035 0.11 0.12 0.55

**Slope = 1.69** Std.Err. = 0.331

CORN WEIGHT AT 28D (File: se corn weight 28D.wpd) (stats: secw.dat) .

Williams Test

[One-Sided Test for Decrease, alpha = 0.050000 ]

Isotone T-bar P-value Significance Means 0 40.1 0.2722 0.2722 0.00195 38.8 N.S. 38.8 38.8 **38.8** 0.0039 N.S. 0.0078 N.S. 0.2722 0.0156 0.2722 NOEC 4.612 <0.005 4.612 <0.005 8.507 <0.005 7.609 <0.005 7.703 <0.005 0.031 18.7 18.7 0.0624 0.1249 0.676 0.2498 0.676 0.4996 0.19

<sup>&</sup>quot;\*"=Significant; "N.S."=Not Significant.

DP Barcode: D275562 MRID No.: 408118-01

Estimates of	EC%

Parameter	Estimate	95% Bounds		Std.Err.	Lower Bound	
EC5 EC10 EC25 EC50	0.012 0.016 <b>0.026</b> 0.046	Lower 0.0043 0.0066 <b>0.014</b> 0.029	Upper 0.032 0.038 <b>0.051</b> 0.072	0.21 0.18 0.14 0.096	/Estimate 0.36 0.42 0.52 0.63	

**Slope = 2.79** Std.Err. = 0.648

!!!Poor fit: p < 0.001 based on DF= 7.00 18.0

OATH.DAT : OAT HEIGHTS at 28D

Williams Test

[One-Sided Test for Decrease, alpha = 0.050000 ]

Dose	Isotone Means	T-bar	P-value	Significance
0 0.00195 0.0039	22.3 21.7 18.7	0.3116 1.714	N.S. N.S.	NOEC
0.0078	16.3	2.804	0.0063	*
0.0156	16.3	2.804	0.0064	
0.031	15	3.427	<0.005	*
0.0624	12.3	4.674	<0.005	*
0.1249	11.7	4.985	<0.005	
0.2498	5.33	7.946	<0.005	*
0.4996	1	9.971	<0.005	

"\*"=Significant; "N.S."=Not Significant.

Estimates of EC%

Parameter	Estimate	95% Bou	inds	Std.Err.	Lower Bound	
EC5 EC10 EC25 EC50	0.020 0.030 0.058 0.12	Lower 0.0082 0.014 0.033 0.084	Upper 0.050 0.065 0.10 0.17	0.19 0.16 0.12 0.076	/Estimate 0.41 0.46 0.57 0.70	

Slope = 2.12 Std.Err. = 0.362

Goodness of fit: p = 0.10 based on DF= 7.0 20.

DP Barcode: D275562 MRID No.: 408118-01 OATW.DAT : oats weight Williams Test [One-Sided Test for Decrease, alpha = 0.050000 ] Dose Isotone T-bar P-value Significance Means 8.989E-315 6.65 6.65 6.25 0.4688 N.S. 5.16 1.726 N.S. 5.16 1.726 N.S. 5.16 1.726 N.S. 4.9 2.021 0.036 2.9 4.321 <0.005 2.9 4.321 <0.005 0.39 7.221 <0.005 0.07 7.59 <0.005 0.00195 0.0039 0.0078 0.0156 NOEC 0.031 0.0624 0.1249 0.2498 0.4996 "\*"=Significant; "N.S."=Not Significant. \_\_\_\_\_ Estimates of EC% \_\_\_\_\_\_ 
 Parameter
 Estimate
 95% Bounds
 Std.Err.
 Lower Bound

 EC5
 0.025
 0.010
 0.061
 0.19
 0.41

 EC10
 0.033
 0.015
 0.073
 0.17
 0.46

 EC25
 0.054
 0.030
 0.098
 0.12
 0.55

 EC50
 0.094
 0.063
 0.14
 0.086
 0.67
 **Slope = 2.85** Std.Err. = 0.605 Goodness of fit: p = 0.15 based on DF= 7.0 20. ONIONH.DAT : Onion height Williams Test [One-Sided Test for Decrease, alpha = 0.050000 ] Isotone T-bar P-value Significance Means 8.989E-315 9.33 9.33
8 1.109 N.S.
8 1.109 N.S.
8 1.109 N.S.
7 1.941 0.042
5.33 3.328 <0.005
4.33 4.16 <0.005
2 6.102 <0.005 0.00195 0.0039 0.0078 0.0156 NOEC 0.031 0.0624 0.1249 0.2498 0.4996

"\*"=Significant; "N.S."=Not Significant.

Parameter Estimate 95% Bounds

0.0087

0.016

0.043

0.13

Lower 0.0022 0.0050

0.019

0.082

Estimates of EC%

EC5

EC10

EC25

EC50

Junds Std.Err. Lower Bound

Upper /Estimate

0.034 0.29 0.26

0.050 0.24

0.24

0.098

0.45

0.63

	Slope =	1.39 Std	.Err. =	0.259		
Goodness	of fit: p =	0.46	based or	n DF=	7.0	20.
ONIONW.D	AT : Onion W	eight				
Williams	Test					
[One-Side	ed Test for	Decrease, a	 alpha =	0.050000	<b>-</b>	
Dose		T-bar		Significance		
0 0.00195 0.0039 0.0078 0.0156 0.031 0.0624 <b>0.1249</b> 0.2498 0.4996	0.776 0.776 0.776 0.663 0.277 <b>0.277</b> 0.0967	-0.7543 -0.7543 -0.7543 -0.7543 -0.2095 1.66 1.66 2.53 2.611	N.S. N.S. N.S. N.S. 0.012	NOEC > EC2	25	
	nificant; "N	.S."=Not Si	gnificant			*
Estimates						
EC5 EC10 EC25 EC50	Estimate 0.010 0.016 0.034 0.076 Slope =	0.0021 0.0040 <b>0.012</b> 0.038	0.053 0.066 <b>0.096</b> 0.15	0.34 0.30 0.22 0.15	Lower Box /Estimate 0.20 0.25 0.35 0.50	und
Goodness	of fit: p =	0.36	based on	DF= 7	.0 2	0.

0.095

0.21

DP Barcode: D275562 MRID No.: 408118-01 28D SE Wheat Height Williams Test [One-Sided Test for Decrease, alpha = 0.050000 ] T-bar P-value Significance Isotone Means 0 22.7 22.7
21.3
0.4523
N.S.
21
0.5654
N.S.
17
1.922
0.042
12
3.619
<0.005
11.3
3.845
<0.005
3.5
6.502
<0.005
3.5
6.502
<0.005
2.17
6.955
<0.005 0.00195 0.0039 NOEC 0.0078 0.0156 0.031 0.1249 0.2498 0.4996 0.624 "\*"=Significant; "N.S."=Not Significant. Estimates of EC% 
 Parameter
 Estimate
 95% Bounds
 Std.Err.
 Lower Bound

 Lower
 Upper
 /Estimate

 EC5
 0.00049
 1.7E-05
 0.014
 0.71
 0.034

 EC10
 0.0011
 5.9E-05
 0.022
 0.63
 0.052

 EC25
 0.0046
 0.0046
 0.045
 0.49
 0.10

 EC50
 0.021
 0.0042
 0.11
 0.34
 0.20
 0.034 0.052 0.10 0.20 Slope = 1.00 Std.Err. = 0.252 Goodness of fit: p = 0.44 based on DF= 7.0 20. WHEAT WEIGHT 28D Williams Test [One-Sided Test for Decrease, alpha = 0.050000 ] Dose Isotone T-bar P-value Significance Means 7.62
5.21
2.186
0.02
5.21
2.186
0.023
3.65
3.604
<0.005
3.32
2.56
4.59
<0.005
0.957
6.046
<0.005
0.49
6.469
0.005
0.28
6.66
<0.005
0.28
6.914
<0.005 0 <NOEC 0.00195 0.0039 0.0078 0.0156 0.031

"\*"=Significant; "N.S."=Not Significant.

0.0624 0.1249 0.2498 0.4996

Estimates	of EC%					
Parameter EC5 EC10 EC25 EC50	0.00099 0.0018 0.0046	Lower 0.00016 0.00035 <b>0.0013</b> 0.0055	Upper 0.0062 0.0089 <b>0.016</b> 0.034	0.39 0.34 0.27 0.19	/Estimate 0.16 0.20	
Goodness o	f fit: p =	0.41	based on	DF= 7	7.0 20.	

Williams	Test					- <b>-</b>
[One-Side	d Test for D	<b></b> ecrease, a	<b>-</b> alpha =	0.050000 ]		
Dose				Significance		
0.2497 0.4996	2.78 2.5 2.5 1.67 1.33 1.25 1.25 0.667	-0.9312 -0.9312 -0.3492 -0.3492 1.397 2.095 2.27 2.27 3.492	N.S. N.S. N.S. 0.031 0.022 0.022 <0.005	* * *	5	
"*"=Sign:	ificant; "N.S	S."=Not Si	gnificant	•		
Estimates	of EC%					
Parameter EC5 EC10	Estimate 0.0019	3.0E-05	unds Upper 0 13	0 00	Lower Bound /Estimate	
EC25 EC50	0.021	0.0014	0.16	0.74 0.51 0.29	0.030	

Goodness of fit: p = 0.18 based on DF= 7.0 20.

DP Barcode: D275562 MRID No.: 408118-01 SBW.DAT : SUGARBEET WEIGHT at 28D Williams Test [One-Sided Test for Decrease, alpha = 0.050000 ] Isotone T-bar P-value Significance Means 1.26 1.26 1.26 -0.303 N.S.
0.841 0.8732 N.S.
0.841 0.8732 N.S.
0.841 0.8732 N.S.
0.841 0.8732 N.S.
0.213 2.629 0.0097 \*
0.213 2.629 0.0098 \*
0.213 2.629 0.0098 \*
0.213 2.629 0.0098 \*
0.213 2.629 0.0098 \* 0.00195 0.0039 0.0078 0.0156 0.031 0.0624 0.1249 0.2498 0.4996 "\*"=Significant; "N.S."=Not Significant. Estimates of EC% \_\_\_\_\_\_ 
 Parameter
 Estimate
 95% Bounds
 Std.Err.
 Lower Bound

 Lower
 Upper
 /Estimate

 EC5
 0.00017
 9.7E-07
 0.031
 1.1
 0.0056

 EC10
 0.00047
 5.1E-06
 0.043
 0.96
 0.011

 EC25
 0.0024
 8.0E-05
 0.075
 0.72
 0.033

 EC50
 0.015
 0.0015
 0.15
 0.49
 0.099
 **Slope = 0.847** Std.Err. = 0.298 Goodness of fit: p = 0.43 based on DF= 7.0 20. SFH.DAT : SUNFLOWER HEIGHT at 28D Williams Test [One-Sided Test for Decrease, alpha = 0.050000 ] Dose Isotone T-bar P-value Significance Means 9 7 \_\_\_\_\_\_ <NOEC 0.00195 6.67 6.67 0.0039 0.0078 0.0156 0.031 0.0624 0.1249 0.2498 0.4996

<sup>&</sup>quot;\*"=Significant; "N.S."=Not Significant.

DP Barcode: D275562 MRID No.: 408118-01 Estimates of EC% Parameter Estimate 95% Bounds Std.Err. Lower Bound

Lower Upper /Estimate

2 1E-05 3 3E-08 0.014 1.4 0.0015 Lower Upper /Estimate

2.1E-05 3.3E-08 0.014 1.4 0.0015

0.00013 6.2E-07 0.028 1.1 0.0047

0.0027 7.6E-05 0.096 0.76 0.028

0.078 0.010 0.61 0.43 0.13 EC10 EC25 **Slope = 0.461** Std.Err. = 0.146 Goodness of fit: p = 0.51 based on DF= 7.0 20. SFW.DAT : sunflower weight at 28D Williams Test \_\_\_\_\_ [One-Sided Test for Decrease, alpha = 0.050000 ] Isotone T-bar P-value Significance Means \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ 8.989E-315 8.21 0.00195 8.01 0.1596 N.S. 8.01 0.1596 N.S. 8.01 0.1596 N.S. 0.0039 0.0078 8.01 0.1596 N.S.
7.76 0.3539 N.S.
7.5 0.5608 N.S.
7.5 0.5608 N.S.
6.13 1.628 N.S.
6.13 1.628 N.S.
8.13 3.653 <0.005 \*\* 0.0156 0.031 0.0624 0.1249 0.2498 0.4996 "\*"=Significant; "N.S."=Not Significant. \_\_\_\_\_\_ Estimates of EC% \_\_\_\_\_\_ 95% Bounds Std.Err. Lower Bound Lower Upper /Estimate Parameter Estimate Upper /Estimate
0.32 0.37 0.18
0.34 0.28 0.26
0.42 0.16 0.47
0.81 0.11 0.58 0.056 0.010 0.090 0.023 0.20 0.093 0.47 0.28 EC5 EC10 EC25 EC50 Slope = 1.77 Std.Err. = 0.749Goodness of fit: p = 0.93 based on DF= 7.0 20.

DP Barcode: D275562 MRID No.: 408118-01 TOMH.DAT : TOMATO HEIGHT at 28D Williams Test \_\_\_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_\_/ [One-Sided Test for Decrease, alpha = 0.050000] Isotone T-bar P-value Significance \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ 1.72 0.8946 N.S. 1.72 0.8946 N.S. 1.72 0.8946 N.S. 1.72 0.8946 N.S. 1.75 0.8946 N.S. NOEC 0.2498 0.4996 "\*"=Significant; "N.S."=Not Significant. Estimates of EC% Parameter Estimate 95% Bounds Std.Err. Lower Bound Lower Upper /Estimate 5.1E-23 2.2E-308 +INF 1.6E+02 4.3E-286 Lower Upper /Estima:
5.1E-23 2.2E-308 +INF 1.6E+02 4.3E-286
6.8E-14 7.4E-204 6.2E+176 93. 1.1E-190
1.2E+02 3.2E-61 4.3E+64 30. 2.7E-63
1.0E+19 1.9E-301 +INF 1.6E+02 1.9E-320 EC10 EC25 EC50 Slope = 0.0398 Std.Err. = 0.304 Goodness of fit: p = 0.57 based on DF= 7.0 20. TOMW.DAT : Tomato Weight at 28D Williams Test \_\_\_\_\_\_ [One-Sided Test for Decrease, alpha = 0.050000] Isotone T-bar P-value Significance Means 0.722 0.722 -0.5069 N.S. 0.642 0.01079 N.S. 0.642 0.01079 N.S. 0.447 1.273 N.S. NOEC > EC25 0.308 2.172 0.026 \* 0.308 2.172 0.026 \* 0.308 2.172 0.027 \* 0.223 2.718 0.008 \* 0.223 2.718 0.008 \* 0.00195 0.0039 0.0078 0.0156 0.031 0.0624 0.1249 0.2498 0.4996

DP Barcode: D275562 MRID No.: 408118-01 Estimates of EC% ----- 
 Parameter
 Estimate
 95% Bounds
 Std.Err.
 Lower Bound

 EC5
 0.00034
 2.3E-07
 0.51
 1.5
 0.00067

 EC10
 0.0011
 2.3E-06
 0.52
 1.3
 0.0021

 EC25
 0.0080
 0.00011
 0.59
 0.91
 0.013

 EC50
 0.072
 0.0056
 0.92
 0.54
 0.078
 **Slope = 0.706** Std.Err. = 0.351 Goodness of fit: p = 0.059 based on DF= 7.0 20. CUCH.DAT : CUCUMBER HEIGHT at 28D -----Williams Test ----[One-Sided Test for Decrease, alpha = 0.050000 ] Isotone T-bar P-value Significance Means Ω <NOEC 0.00195 0.0039 0.0078 0.0156 0.031 0.0624 0.1249 0.2498 0.4996 "\*"=Significant; "N.S."=Not Significant. Estimates of EC% \_\_\_\_\_\_ Parameter Estimate 95% Bounds Std.Err. Lower Bound Lower Upper /Estimate EC5 6.2E-06 2.6E-09 0.015 1.6 0.00042 EC10 0.00010 2.6E-07 0.041 1.3 0.0025 EC25 0.012 0.00044 0.31 0.69 0.038 EC50 2.2 0.15 31. 0.56 0.070 Slope = 0.296 Std.Err. = 0.0980 Goodness of fit: p = 0.11 based on DF= 7.0 20.

DP Barcode: D275562 MRID No.: 408118-01

CHOW DAM . CHOIMPED WITCHE

CUCW.DAT : CUCUMBER WEIGHT

Williams Test

[One-Sided Test for Decrease, alpha = 0.050000 ]

Dose	Isotone Means	T-bar	P-value	Significance
0	10.6			<noec< td=""></noec<>
0.00195	8.56	2.147	0.022	*
0.0039	8.56	2.147	0.025	*
0.0078	8.56	2.147	0.027	*
0.0156	7.22	3.548	<0.005	*
0.031	6.2	4.619	<0.005	*
0.0624	6.2	4.619	<0.005	*
0.1249	6.2	4.619	<0.005	*
0.2498	6.2	4.619	<0.005	*
0.4996	4.36	6.541	<0.005	*

"\*"=Significant; "N.S."=Not Significant.

Estimates of EC%

Parameter Estimate 95% Bounds Std.Err. Lower Bound bower **3.4E-06** 2.2E-10 6.6E-05 2 2 7 5 Lower Upper /Estimate 0.13 EC5 2.1 4.1E-05 0.00033 EC10 1.7 0.20 EC25 0.0043 3.6E-05 0.51 0.0084 EC50 0.44 0.023 8.4 0.62 0.052

**Slope = 0.335** Std.Err. = 0.144

!!!Poor fit: p < 0.001 based on DF= 7.00 20.0

PEAH.DAT : PEA HEIGHT at 28D

Williams Test

[One-Sided Test for Decrease, alpha = 0.050000]

Dose Isotone T-bar P-value Significance Means 6.326E-315 18.3 0.0039 18.3 -0.2203 N.S. 0.0078 -0.2203 18.3 N.S. 0.0156 16.7 16.7 16.3 0.8813 N.S. 0.8813 0.031 N.S. N.S. 0.0624 1.102 1.763 0.1249 15.3 N.S. NOEC 3.525 <0.005 11.46 <0.005 0.2498 12.7 0.4996 0.667 0.999 0 11.9 < 0.005

"\*"=Significant; "N.S."=Not Significant.

"*"=Signif	icant; "N.S."=Not	Significant.	
**			

Estimates	of	EC%	
-----------	----	-----	--

0.4996 0.999

DP Barcode: D275562

					<b></b>	
Parameter	Estimate	95% Bou	nds	Std.Err.	Lower Bound	
EC5 EC10 EC25 EC50	0.046 0.060 <b>0.093</b> 0.15	Lower 0.024 0.034 <b>0.060</b> 0.11	Upper 0.088 0.11 <b>0.14</b> 0.21	0.14 0.12 0.092 0.064	/Estimate 0.52 0.56 0.65 0.74	

**Slope = 3.14** Std.Err. = 0.530

!!!Poor fit: p = 0.0054 based on DF= 7.0 20.

DP Barcode: D275562 MRID No.: 408118-01 SOYH.DAT : SOYBEAN HEIGHT Williams Test [One-Sided Test for Decrease, alpha = 0.050000 } Isotone T-bar P-value Significance Means 28
26.3
0.8626
N.S.
26.3
0.8626
N.S.
21
3.623 <0.005
17.7
5.348 <0.005
13.7
7.418 <0.005
10.3
9.143 <0.005
9.833 <0.005
6.67
11.04 <0.005
5
11.9 <0.005 Ω 0.0039 0.0078 NOEC 0.0156 0.031 0.0624 0.1249 0.2498 0.4996 0.999 "\*"=Significant; "N.S."=Not Significant. Estimates of EC% Estimate 95% Bounds Std.Err. Lower Bound Lower Upper /Estimate 0.00094 0.00026 0.0033 0.27 0.28 0.0024 0.00081 0.0072 0.23 0.34 0.012 0.0052 0.026 0.17 0.45 0.066 0.039 0.11 0.11 0.59 Parameter Estimate EC5 EC10 EC25 EC50 **Slope = 0.890** Std.Err. = 0.0845 Goodness of fit: p = 0.18 based on DF= 7.0 20. SOYW.DAT : SOYBEAN WEIGHT Williams Test [One-Sided Test for Decrease, alpha = 0.050000 ] Dose Isotone T-bar P-value Significance Means 28.9 27.3 27.3 28.9
27.3
0.647
N.S.
27.3
0.647
N.S.
23.9
2.002
0.036
22.3
2.637
0.0094
14.5
5.738
<0.005
11.4
7.38
<0.005
10.4
7.38
<0.005
9.05
7.922
<0.005
9.05
7.922
<0.005 0.0039 0.0078 NOEC 0.0156 0.031 0.0624 0.1249 0.2498 0.4996 0.999

DP Barcode: D275562 MRID No.: 408118-01

Estimates of	of EC%				
Parameter	Estimate	95% Bou Lower	nds Upper	Std.Err.	Lower Bound /Estimate
EC5	0.00072	7.8E-05	0.0067	0.47	0.11
EC10	0.0022	0.00033	0.014	0.40	0.15
EC25	0.013	0.0035	0.052	0.29	0.26
EC50	0.10	0.044	0.23	0.18	0.44
		0.044 766 Std.E		0.18	O.44
!!!Poor fi	t: p = (	0.012 base	d on DF=	7.0	20.