

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

OFFICE OF PREVENTION, PESTICIDES AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

MEMORANDUM

DATE:

06/20/02

SUBJECT:

Clethodim. Chronic Dietary Exposure Assessments for the Section 3

Registration on Spinach and Mint. PC code 121011, DP Barcode D280875,

Submission S592413.

FROM:

Manying Xue, Chemist

RAB3

Health Effects Division (7509C)

THROUGH:

Stephen Dapson, Branch Senior Scientist

RAB3

Health Effects Division (7509C)

and

Christina Swartz, Chemist David Hrdy, Chemist

Dietary Exposure Science Advisory Council (DESAC)

Health Effects Division (7509C)

TO:

Mary Rust, Biologist

RAB3

Health Effects Division (7509C)

The purpose of this memorandum is to summarize the results of the dietary risk assessment for the general U.S. population and various population subgroups resulting from exposure to clethodim through food. This dietary risk assessment is an updated risk analysis that has been conducted for clethodim. The previous dietary risk assessment was conducted by Manying Xue (1/30/02, D280507).

16



EPA Reviewer: Manying Xue, Date 06/20/02

STUDY TYPE: Chronic Dietary Exposure Assessment for Section 3 Registration for the Use of Clethodim on spinach and mint.

ACTIVE INGREDIENT: Clethodim

SYNONYMS: Select 2 EC and Select

RESIDUE OF CONCERN: Plants: Clethodim and metabolites containing the

5-(2-(ethylthiopropyl) cyclohexene-3-one and

5-(2-(ethylthiopropyl) -5-hydroxycyclohexene-3-one moieties and

their sulphoxides and sulphones

<u>Livestock:</u> Clethodim and its metabolites containing the 2-cyclohexen-1-one moiety

Executive Summary

The Interregional Research Project No. 4 (IR-4) and Valent have submitted a petition for the establishment of permanent tolerances for the combined residues of clethodim [(E)-(±)-2-[1-[[(3-chloro-2-propenyl)oxy]imino]propyl]-5-[2-(ethylthio)propyl]-3-hydroxy-2-cyclohexen-1-one] and 5-OH clethodim-type residues in or on spinach at 2.0 ppm and mint at 5.0 ppm (D283278, D283279, M.Xue, 05/15/02). The chronic exposure assessment was requested to determine the dietary exposure estimates associated with the use of clethodim on these commodities.

This chronic analysis used tolerance level residues for all crops and livestock commodities. The projected % crop treated (%CT) data (2% for lettuce, broccoli and cauliflower, 15% cabbage, and 1% for brussels sprouts), and the weighted average % CT data (3% for cotton, 8% for onions, 3% for peanuts 4% for soybeans, 15% for sugar beets, and 1% for tomatoes) were used for the analysis; 100% CT data was assumed for spinach, mint, and the other crops in this analysis. The estimated risks from chronic dietary exposure to clethodim as represented by %cPAD (Chronic Population Adjusted Dose) were below HED's level of concern for the general US population and all population subgroups. The chronic dietary exposure estimate for the highest exposed population subgroup, children 1-6 years old, is 62% cPAD.

I. Introduction

8

Risk assessment incorporates both exposure and toxicity of a given pesticide. The risk is expressed as a percentage of a dose that could be expressed as a daily or a long term dose, to pose no unreasonable adverse effects. This is called the population adjusted dose (PAD), and is expressed as %PAD. References are available on the EPA/pesticides web site which discuss the acute and chronic risk assessments in more detail: "Available Information on Assessing Exposure from Pesticides, A User's Guide", 6/21/2000, web link: http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/EPA-PEST/2000/July/Day-12/6061.pdf; or see SOP 99.6, 8/20/99.

II. Toxicological Information

On October 16, 1997, the Health Effects Division's Hazard Identification Assessment Review Committee (HIARC) met to evaluate the toxicology data base of clethodim with special reference to the reproductive, developmental and neurotoxicity data. These data were re-reviewed specifically to address the sensitivity of infants and children from exposure to clethodim as required by the Food Quality Protection Act (FQPA). In addition, the Committee also re-assessed the doses and endpoints selected for acute dietary, chronic dietary (RfD) as well as occupational and residential exposure risk assessments (HED DOC. NO.121011, 10/24/1997).

<u>Cancer</u>: Clethodim has been classified as a group E carcinogen. Treatment-related increases in tumor incidence were not seen in mice (0, 20, 200, 1000 or 3000 ppm for 78 weeks; MRID No. 41030112) or in rats (0, 5, 20, 500, or 2500 ppm for 2 years; MRID No. 41030121); thus, a cancer risk assessment is not required.

The FQPA Safety Factor Committee met on July 31, 2000 to evaluate the hazard and exposure data for clethodim and recommended that the FQPA Safety Factor be removed (1x) in assessing the risk posed by this chemical (HED DOC. NO. 014309, 8/31/2000). The chronic RfD (0.01 mg/kg/day), divided by the 1x FQPA safety factor, yields the chronic population-adjusted dose (chronic PAD) of 0.01 mg/kg/day, which is used in assessing the chronic dietary risk. The doses and toxicological endpoints selected are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. Summary of Toxicological Information



Exposure Scenario	Dose (mg/kg/day)	Endpoint	Study
Acute Dietary (All Populations)	developmental t	d. There were no effects observed in oral oxicity studies in rats and rabbits that coul re). Therefore, a dose and endpoint were assessment.	d be attributable to a single
Chronic Dietary (All Populations)	NOAEL = 1.0 UF=100	Alterations in hematology and clinical chemistry parameters and increased absolute and relative liver weights observed at the LOAEL of 75 mg/kg/day.	Chronic Toxicity-Dog (1 year)
		Chronic RfD = 0.01 n	ng/kg/day

III. Residue Information

Permanent tolerances have been established under 40 CFR §180.458(a)(1), (4), and (5) for the combined residues of the herbicide clethodim and its metabolites containing the 2-cyclohexen-1one moiety in/on the fat, meat, and mbyp of cattle, goats, hogs, horses, poultry, and sheep at 0.20 ppm, milk at 0.05 ppm, eggs at 0.20 ppm, cottonseed at 1.0 ppm, potatoes at 0.5, soybeans at 10.0 ppm, potato flakes and granules at 1.0 ppm, cottonseed meal 2.0 ppm. In addition, permanent tolerances are established under 40 CFR §180.458(a)(3) and (6) for the combined residues of clethodim and its metabolites containing the 5-(2-ethylthiopropyl)cyclohexene-3-one and 5-(2-ethylthiopropyl)-5-hydroxycyclohexene-3-one moieties and their sulphoxides and sulphones, expressed as clethodim, in/on dry bulb onions at 0.20 ppm, sugar beet roots at 0.20 ppm, sugar beet tops and sugar beet molasses at 1.0 ppm, the tuberous and corm vegetables crop subgroup 1c, fruiting vegetables crop group, root vegetables (except sugar beets) crop subgroup 1b, leaves of root and tuber vegetables (excluding sugar beets, crop group 2), sugar beet, tops and sugar beet, molasses at 1.0 ppm, leaf petioles crop subgroup 4b at 0.6 ppm, melon crop subgroup 9a at 2.0 ppm, squash/cucumber crop subgroup 9b and cranberry at 0.5 ppm, sugar beets, roots at 0.20 ppm, sunflower seed at 5.0 ppm, strawberry at 3.0 ppm, sunflower, meal and clover, forage at 10.0 ppm, clover, hay at 20.0 ppm, green onion and leaf lettuce at 2.0 ppm, the Head/stem Brassica Crop Subgroup 5-A at 3.0 ppm, and mustard, seed, flax and canola at 0.5 ppm.

Time limited tolerances (set to expire on 4/30/03) are established under 40 CFR §180.458(a)(2) for the combined residues of clethodim and its metabolites containing the 5-(2-ethylthiopropyl)cyclohexene-3-one and 5-(2-ethylthiopropyl)-5-hydroxycyclohexene-3-one moieties and their sulphoxides and sulphones, expressed as clethodim, in/on alfalfa forage at 6 ppm, alfalfa hay at 10 ppm, dry beans at 2 ppm, peanuts and peanut hay at 3 ppm, peanut meal at 5 ppm, tomato paste at 3 ppm, and tomato puree at 2 ppm.

HED has recently evaluated the residue data of clethodim in/on the leafy *Brassica* greens subgroup 5-B, turnip greens, dry bean, peanuts, peanut hay, peanut meal, and alfalfa hay and

forage; and recommended for the establishment of tolerances for the residues of clethodim and its metabolites containing the 5-(2-ethylthiopropyl)cyclohexene-3-one and 5-(2-ethylthiopropyl)-5-hydroxycyclohexene-3-one moieties and their sulphoxides and sulphones at 3.0 ppm for peanuts, peanut hay, the leafy *Brassica* greens subgroup 5-B and turnip greens, 2.5 ppm for dry bean, 5.0 ppm for peanut meal, and 6.0 ppm for alfalfa hay and forage. In addition, HED recommended for the establishment of tolerances for the residues of clethodim and its metabolites at 2.0 ppm for spinach and 5.0 ppm for mint.

Chronic Assessment:

The chronic dietary exposure analysis was performed for the general U.S. Population and all population subgroups using tolerance level residues (livestock) and total residues of concern (plants; parent and metabolites). The %CT data for various crops were incorporated in the chronic assessment. The data were provided by BEAD on August 31, 2000 and March 23,2001 (see attachment 1 and 2); and 100% CT data for the proposed commodities of spinach and mint.

Metabolite:

Plants: Metabolism studies for clethodim in/on carrots, soybeans, and cotton were reviewed (PP#9F3743, MRIDs 41030137 & 41030138, M. Nelson, 3/12/90). The qualitative nature of the clethodim residue in plants is adequately understood for root crops and oil seed crops. HED prevously concluded that the residues of concern are clethodim and its metabolites containing the 2-cyclohexen-1-one moiety; however, the residues of concern are now described as clethodim and metabolites containing the 5-(2-(ethylthiopropyl)cyclohexene-3-one and 5-(2-(ethylthiopropyl)-5-hydroxycyclohexene-3-one moieties and their sulphoxides and sulphones in order to harmonize with the Codex MRL (PP#4F4340, D203378, J. Morales, 1/31/95).

Livestock: The nature of the residue in ruminants and poultry is adequately understood. HED previously concluded that the residues of concern are clethodim and its metabolites containing the 2-cyclohexen-1-one moiety.

Processing Information:

DEEMTM default concentration factors were used for all commodities.

Residue Estimates: The chronic analysis used tolerance level residues for all crops and livestock commodities. Tolerance levels and 100% CT data for canola, flax and mustard, seed, the leafy *Brassica* greens and turnip greens crop subgroup 5-B, dry bean, peanuts, peanut meal were used for the analyses. The projected % CT data (2% for lettuce, broccoli and cauliflower, 15% cabbage, and 1% for brusseles sprouts), and the weighted average % CT data (3% for cotton, 8% for onions, 3% for peanuts 4% for soybeans, 15% for sugar beets, and 1% for tomatoes), and



100% CT data (for most crops) were used for the analyses. Table 2 lists the recommended tolerance and residue values used in dietary exposure assessment. A summary of the residue information used in the chronic analysis is attached (Attachment 3).

Table 2. Recommended Tolerance and Residue Values Used in Dietary Exposure Assessment.

Commodity	Recommended Tolerance (ppm)	Residue Value in Dietary Exposure Assessment (ppm)
	Plants	
Spinach	2.0	2.0
Mint	5.0	5.0

I. DEEMTM Program and Consumption Information

Clethodim chronic dietary exposure assessments were conducted using the Dietary Exposure Evaluation Model (DEEMTM) software Version 7.73, which incorporates consumption data from USDA's Continuing Surveys of Food Intake by Individuals (CSFII), 1989-1992. The 1989-92 data are based on the reported consumption of more than 10,000 individuals over three consecutive days, and therefore represent more than 30,000 unique "person days" of data. Foods "as consumed" (e.g., apple pie) are linked to raw agricultural commodities and their food forms (e.g., apples-cooked/canned or wheat-flour) by recipe translation files internal to the DEEM software. Consumption data are averaged for the entire US population and within population subgroups for chronic exposure assessment, but are retained as individual consumption events for acute exposure assessment.

For chronic exposure and risk assessment, an estimate of the residue level in each food or food-form (e.g., orange or orange-juice) on the commodity residue list is multiplied by the average daily consumption estimate for that food/food form. The resulting residue consumption estimate for each food/food form is summed with the residue consumption estimates for all other food/food forms on the commodity residue list to arrive at the total estimated exposure. Exposure estimates are expressed in mg/kg body weight/day and as a percent of the cPAD. This procedure is performed for each population subgroup.

HED notes that there is a degree of uncertainty in extrapolating exposures for certain population subgroups from the general U.S. population which may not be sufficiently represented in the consumption surveys, (e.g., nursing and non-nursing infants or Hispanic females). Therefore, risks estimated for these population subgroups were included in representative populations having sufficient numbers of survey respondents (e.g., all infants or females, 13-50 years).

II. Results/Discussion/Conclusion

HED's level of concern is over 100% of the PAD. That is, estimated exposures above this level

are of concern, while estimated exposures at or below this level are not of concern. The DEEM analyses estimate the dietary exposure of the U.S. population and 26 population subgroups. The results reported in Table 3 are for the U.S. Population (total), all infants (<1 year old), children 1-6, children 7-12, females 13-50, males 13-19, males 20+, and seniors 55+. The results for the other population subgroups are not reported in Table 3. This is because the numbers of respondents in the other subgroups were not sufficient, and thus the exposure estimates for these subgroups contained higher levels of uncertainty. However, the respondents in these subgroups were also part of larger subgroups which are listed in Table 3. For example, nursing and non-nursing infants are included in all infants. The subgroups which are broken down by region, season, and ethnicity are also not included.

Table 3. Results of Chronic Dietary Exposure Analysis

	Chronic	Chronic Dietary		
Population Subgroup	Dietary Exposure (mg/kg/day)	% cPAD		
U.S. Population (total)	0.003053	31		
All Infants (< 1 year)	0.004446	45		
Children 1-6 years	0.006184	62		
Children 7-12 years	0.004355	44		
Females 13-50	0.002377	24		
Males 13-19	0.003015	30		
Males 20+ years	0.002470	25		
Seniors 55+	0.002705	27		

III. Conclusions

The Tier 2 chronic dietary risk assessment was conducted for all supported clethodim food uses. Dietary risk estimates are provided for the general U.S. population and various population subgroups. This assessment concludes that for all supported registered commodities, the chronic risk estimates are below the Agency's level of concern (<100% cPAD) for the general U.S. population (31% of the cPAD) and all population subgroups. The chronic dietary exposure estimate for the highest exposed population subgroup is children 1-6 years old, at 62% of the cPAD.

IV. List of Attachments

9

Attachment 1: Clethodim Quantitative Usage Analysis

Attachment 2: Projectioned Clethodim % CT of Market Share

Attachment 3: Chronic Dietary Residue Inputs

Attachment 4: Chronic Dietary Exposure Estimates

Petition file, M. Xue (RAB3) cc:

DE SAC[DDotson (04/1/02), SKinard (04/1/02)]; SDapson 04/05/2002 RDI:

Mxue:810F:CM#2:703 305-6198:7509C:RAB3



[DP Barcode D280875] [Clethodim PC 121001]

Attachment 1: Clethodim Quantitative Usage Analysis

Select Herbicide, marketed by Valent, contains the active ingredient clethodim and accounts for all agricultural usage presented in this report. Agricultural usage clethodim usage with 15% of the acreage treated; and alfalfa accounts for about 4% (15,000 lbs ai) of total clethodim usage with 1% of the acreage treated. Dry Clethodim is a systemic postemergence herbicide used mainly to control annual and perennial grasses in broadleaf crops and bulb crops (onions). The product remaining clethodim usage in terms of lbs of a.i.. Though few lbs a.i. are applied to garlic and onions the percentages of the crops treated are significant at 5% (58,000 lbs ai) of total usage with approximately 3% (or 384,000 acres) of the cotton acreage treated; sugar beets account for about 5% (20,000 lbs ai) of total averaged about 409,000 lbs of clethodim applied ahnually to various sites (see QUA Table). Of this, soybeans account for about 75% (305,000 lbs ai) of the and 8%, respectively. Clethodim is also registered for use on a variety of other crops, including apples, pears, peaches, oranges, almonds, walnuts and pecans. However, BEAD data sources indicate that little or no clethodim are applied to these crops. Clethodim is registered for use on various ornamental shrubs and total usage with approximately 4% (or 2.73 million acres) of the acres planted receiving one or more treatments of clethodim; cotton accounts for about 14% trees, as well as other non-agricultural sites, such as airports, rights-of-ways, industrial sites, paved areas and roads, fence rows, etc., but available EPA data beans (3,000 lbs a.i. applied), onions (3,000 lbs a.i. applied), and peanuts (6,000 lbs a.i. applied) round out the list of sites which account for significant sources found insignificant or no clethodim usage on these non-agricultural sites.

	,							•	ø		
Site	Acres (000)	Acres (Trea	(000) ted	% of Tre	% of Crop Treated	Lb ai (000) applied	b ai (000) applied	Averag	Average Application Rates	on Rates	States of Most Usage
	Grown	Wtd	Est	Wtd	Est	Wid	Est	lb ai / A /	#appl/	lb ai/A/	% of total lb ai used
Alfalfa	23,701	116	232	%0	%!	15	30	0.13	100	appi 0.13	47 IT 84%
Almonds	434	-	5	%0	1%	0		0.15	1.00	0.15	
Apples	452	*0	*0	*0	*0	*0	*0	•	1.00		
Cherries	95	*0	*0	*0	*0	*0	*0		1.00	•	
Cotton	13,959	384	009	3%	4%	58	90	0.15	1.22	0.12	LA MS TX AR TN
9											AZ 83%
Dry Beans/Peas	1,809	31	62	7%	3%	3	S	0.08	1.00	0.08	
Garlic	22	-	3	2%	14%	0	V	0.15	11.1	0.13	
Grapes	745	*0	*0	*0	*0	*0	*0	•	•	•	
Olives	30	*0	*0	*0	*0	*0	*0	•	1.00	N	
Onions	153	12	32	%8	21%	3	7	0.12	1.09	0.11	CA CO 90%
Oranges	949	*0	*0	*0	*0	*0	*0	•	1.00		
Peaches	179	*0	*0	*0	*0	*0	*0		00.I	•	
Peanuts	1,582	47	94	3%	%9	9	12	0.13	1.07	0.13	GA TX NC 84%
Pears	71	*0	*0	*0	*0	*	*0	•	00.1	•	
Pecans	453	*0	*0	*0	*0	*	*0		1.00	•	

Site 1	Acres (000)	Acres ((000) ted	% of Tre	% of Crop Treated	Lb ai (000) applied	b ai (000) applied	Averag	Average Application Rates	on Rates	States of Most Usage
	Grown	Wtd	Est	Wtd	Est	Wtd	Est	lbai/A/	#appl/	Ib ai / A /	% of total Ib ai used
Pistachios	71	*0	*0	*0	*0	*0	*0		1001	Idda	on this site
Prunes (&Plums)	127	*0	*0	*0	*0	*0	*0		8.1		
Shallots		•	•	•	•	1	•	•			
Sorghum	11,611	*0	*0	*0	*0	*0	*0	•	90		
Soybeans	63,141	2,729	3,850	4%	%9	305	430	0.11	1.00	0.11	IA IL AR MS TN
1.											MO 58%
Strawberries	50	*0	*0	*0	*0	*0	*0		1.00		
Sugar Beets	1,434	222	444	15%	31%	20	8	0.00	1.37	0.07	MN ND CO 87%
Tomatoes .	456	S	01	1%	7%	0	-	0.10	1.24	0.08	
Walnuts	181	*0	*0	*0	*0	*0	*0		08:		
Other Ag. Sites			•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•
	-										
Total (1)	1	3,548	4,440	Ī	•	409	515			,	
					1	T	-		-		

Weighted average--the most recent years and more reliable data are weighted more heavily. Est Max = Estimated maximum, which is estimated from available data.

Average application rates are calculated from the weighted averages.

NOTES ON TABLE DATA

Usage data primarily covers 1990 - 1999.

Calculations of the above numbers may not appear to agree because they are displayed as rounded:

to the nearest 1000 for acres treated or lb. a.i. (Therefore 0 = < 500)

to the nearest whole percentage point for % of crop treated. (Therefore 0.0% = < 0.05%)

0* = Available EPA sources indicate that no usage is observed in the reported data for this site, which implies that there is little or no usage.

A dash (-) indicates that information on this site is NOT available in EPA sources or is insufficient.

1 No usage of clethodim was found on registered non-agricultural sites (ornamental shrubs and trees, rights-of-way, paved areas, etc.)
SOURCES: EPA data, USDA, and National Center for Food and Agricultural Policy



Attachment 2: Projectioned Clethodim % CT of Market Share

March 23, 2001

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: Percent Crop Treated Estimates for Clethodim

FROM: David Donaldson, Economist

Economic Analysis Branch, BEAD (7503C)

Jim Saulmon, Botanist

Herbicide Insecticide Branch, BEAD (7503C)

THRU: Art Grube, Senior Economist

Economic Analysis Branch, BEAD (7503C)

Kathy Davis, Branch Chief

Herbicide Insecticide Branch, BEAD (7503C)

TO: Shaja Brothers, RD (7505C)

We have reviewed the clethodim percent crop treated (%CT) data from the registrant and concur with their projections. We consider them to be conservatively high projections of %CT for clethodim at full market potential and appropriate for estimating chronic risk.

In addition, RD has requested the projected %CT for Brussel sprouts and cauliflower. The registrant did not provide these projections but, using the same basic methodology, we have conservatively projected %CT at full market potential for these sites. The methodology used to make these projections and to evaluate the registrants projections is outlined below

Outline of methodology

The registrant based their projected clethodim %CT for the new use sites on the share of each crop that is treated with registered herbicides that control the same pests. They then projected what part of the market they could capture from those products.

To evaluate their projections, we looked at each crop and its available postemergent annual and perennial grass herbicides. In each crop we found sethoxydim to be the most likely herbicide to be replaced by clethodim because of clethodim's ability to control the same grasses. Based on this, we chose sethoxydim as a surrogate to project clethodim's market potential.



Relying on the assumption that clethodim is unlikely to replace more than 100 percent of sethoxydim's market share, we compared the registrants projections of %CT to our estimates of sethoxydim usage on the same crops. See EPA's quantitative usage analysis (QUA) for sethoxydim from June 1, 2000. Each of the registrant's projections of clethodim usage for the four crops were either at or above EPA's estimate of %CT for sethoxydim. Based on this, we concluded that their projections are reasonable and conservative.

For the two crops that the registrant did not project %CT (Brussels sprouts, and cauliflower), we again used sethoxydim as a surrogate to project clethodim's market potential. To insure that our estimates are conservative, we used the maximum value from the sethoxydim QUA. The estimated maximum is at least as large as the highest observed %CT for sethoxydim over the last ten years.

Projected clethodim %CT

Registrants proj	ections	
Broccoli	2%	
Cabbage	15%	
Onion	25%	
Lettuce 2%		
EPA projecti	ions	
Brussels sprouts	1%	
Cauliflower	2%	

Attached: Valent's 3/21/01 e-mail - clethodim %CT information

Attachment 3: Chronic Dietary Residue Inputs

Filename: C:\deem\AVM\121011.rs7 Chemical: clethodim
RfD(Chronic): 0 mg/kg bw/day NOEL(Chronic): 0 mg/kg bw/day
RfD(Acute): 0 mg/kg bw/day NOEL(Acute): 0 mg/kg bw/day
Date created/last modified: 04-03-2002/15:42:39/8 Program ver. 7.76

Cod	d Crop e Grp		Def Res		actors	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
		* *************************************				
20	7 1CD	Artichokes-jerusalem	1.000000 0.500000	1.000	1.000	
49	100	Balsam pear	0.500000	1.000	1.000	
258		Beans-dry-blackeye peas/cowpea	2.500000	1.000	0.020	
249		Beans-dry-broadbeans Beans-dry-garbanzo/chick pea Beans-dry-great northern Beans-dry-hyacinth Beans-dry-kidney Beans-dry-lima Beans-dry-navy (pea)	2.500000	1.000	0.020	
259		Beans-dry-garbanzo/chick pea	2.500000	1.000		
227		Beans-dry-great northern	2.500000	1.000	0.020	
25 <i>6</i> 228		Beans-dry-hyacinth	2.500000	1.000	0.020	
229	· .	Beans-dry-kidney	2.500000	1.000	0.020	
230		Beans-dry-lima	2.500000	1.000	0.020	
231		The second secon	2.500000	1.000	0.020	
251		Beans-dry-other	2.500000	1.000	0.020	
232		Beans-dry-pigeon beans	2.500000	1.000	0.020	
323		Beans-dry-pinto	2.500000	1.000	0.020	
324		Beef-dried	0.200000	1.920	1.000	
325		Beef-fat w/o bones	0.200000	1.000	1.000	
327		Beef-kidney	0.200000	1.000	1.000	
326		Beef-lean (fat/free) w/o bones	0.200000	1.000	1.000	
321		Beef-liver	0.200000	1.000	1.000	
322		Beef-meat byproducts	0.200000	1.000	1.000	
197		Beef-other organ meats Beets-garden-roots	0.200000	1.000	1.000	
165	2	Posts-garden toots	1.000000	1.000	1.000	
152		Beets-garden-roots Beets-garden-tops(greens) Bitter melon	1.000000	1.000	1.000	
452		Bok choy	0.500000	1.000	1.000	
168		Broccoli	3.000000	1.000	1.000	
451	5A	Broccoli-chinese	3.000000	1.000	0.020	2
169		Brussels sprouts	3.000000	1.000	0.020	
382		Burdock	3.000000	1.000	0.010	
170		Cabbage-green and red	1.000000	1.000	1.000	
383	5B	Cabbage-savoy	3.000000	1.000	0.150	
301	o	Canola oil (rape seed oil)	3.000000	1.000	1.000	
198		Carrots	0.500000	1.000	1.000	
143		Casabas	1.000000	1.000	1.000	
222		Cassava (yuca blanca)	2.000000	1.000	1.000	
171	5A	Cauliflower	1.000000	1.000	1.000	
199		Celeriac	3.000000	1.000	0.020	
166		Celery	1.000000 0.600000	1.000	1.000	
384	4B	Celery juice	0.600000	1.000	1.000	
366	Р	Chicken-byproducts	0.200000	1.000	1.000	
368		Chicken-fat w/o bones	0.200000	1.000 1.000	1.000	
367	P	Chicken-giblets(liver)	0.200000	1.000	1.000	
385	P	Chicken-giblets (excl. liver)	0.200000	1.000	1.000	
369	Ď,	Chicken-lean/fat free w/o bones	0.200000	4 2 2 2 2	1.000	
114	1AB	Chicory	1.000000	4 1 2 2 1	1.000	
386		Christophine	0.500000		1.000	
172		Collards	3.000000		1.000	
291		Cottonseed-meal	2.000000		1.000	
290		Cottonseed-oil	1.000000		0.030	
. 8		Cranberries	0.500000		0.030	
9		Cranberries-juice	0.500000	1.000թ 1.100		
389	0 (Cranberries-juice-concentrate			1.000	
144	9A (Crenshaws	2.000000		1.000	
148	9B (Cucumbers	0.500000		1.000	
			0.00000	1.000	1.000	



200		[] (1.11) [
154	8	Eggplant	1.000000	1.000 1.000
364	P	Eggs-white only	0.200000	1.000 1.000
363	P	Eggs-whole	0.200000	1.000 1.000
365	P	Eggs-yolk only	0.200000	1.000 1.000
292	0	Flax seed	0.500000	1.000 1.000
124		Ginger	1.000000	The state of the s
				1.000 1.000
450		Ginseng	1.000000	1.000 1.000
330	M	Goat-fat w/o bone	0.200000	1.000 1.000
331	M	Goat-kidney	0.200000	1.000 1.000
333	М	Goat-lean (fat/free) w/o bone	0.200000	1.000 1.000
332	M	Goat-liver	0.200000	1.000 1.000
328	М	Goat-meat byproducts	0.200000	1.000 1.000
329	М			
164		Goat-other organ meats	0.200000	1.000 1.000
	8	Groundcherries	1.000000	1.000 1.000
334	М	Horsemeat	0.200000	1.000 1.000
126	1AB	Horseradish	1.000000	1.000 1.000
174	5B	Kale	3.000000	1.000 1.000
175	5A	Kohlrabi	3.000000	1.000 1.000
176	4A	Lettuce-leafy varieties	2.000000	1.000 0.020
141	9A	Melons-cantaloupes-juice		
142		Malana and all and a second	2.000000	1.000000
	9A	Melons-cantaloupes-pulp	2.000000	1.000 1.000
145	9A	Melons-honeydew	2.000000	1.000 1.000
146	9A	Melons-persian ,	2.000000	1.000 1.000
398	D	Milk-based water	0.050000	1.000 1.000
319	Ď	Milk-fat solids	0.050000	1.000 1.000
318	D	Milk-nonfat solids	0.050000	1.000 1.000
183	5B	Mustard greens		
130			3.000000	1.000 1.000
		Mustard seed	0.500000	1.000 1.000
397	9B	Okra/chinese (luffa)	0.500000	1.000 1.000
206	3	Onions-dehydrated or dried	0.200000	9.000 0.080
205	3	Onions-dry-bulb (cipollini)	0.200000	1.000 0.080
262	3	Onions-green	2.000000	1.000 1.000
139	8	Paprika	1.000000	1.000 1.000
225		Parsley roots	1.000000	1.000 1.000
220	1 4 0	Parsnips		
403			1.000000	1.000 1.000
	0	Peanuts-butter	3.000000	1.890 0.030
940	0	Peanuts-hulled	3.000000	1.000 0.030
293	0	Peanuts-oil	3.000000	1.000 1.000
310	0	Peppermint	5.000000	1.000 1.000
311	0	Peppermint-oil	5.000000	1.000 1.000
156	8	Peppers-chilli incl jalapeno	1.000000	1.000 1.000
157	8	Peppers-other	1.000000	1.000 1.000
155	8	Peppers-sweet(garden)	1.000000	
158	8			1.000 1.000
		Pimientos	1.000000	1.000 1.000
344	М.	Pork-fat w/o bone	0.200000	1.000 1.000
345	М	Pork-kidney	0.200000	1.000 1.000
347	М	Pork-tean (fat free) w/o bone	0.200000	1.000 1.000
346	M	Pork-liver	0.200000	1.000 1.000
342	M	Pork-meat byproducts	0.200000	1.000 1.000
343	M	Pork-other organ meats	0.200000	1.000 1.000
210	1C	Potatoes/white-dry	2.000000	
209	1C			6.500 1.000
		Potatoes/white-peeled	1.000000	1.000 1.000
211	1C	Potatoes/white-peel only	1.000000	1.000 1.000
208	1C	Potatoes/white-unspecified	1.000000	1.000 1.000
207	1C	Potatoes/white-whole	1.000000	1.000 1.000
362	P	Poultry-other-fat w/o bones	0.200000	1.000 1.000
361	P	Poultry-other-giblets(liver)	0.200000	1.000 1.000
360	P	Poultry-other-lean (fat free) w/	0.200000	
149	9в			1.000 1.000
		Pumpkin	0.500000	1.000 1.000
407	1AB		1.000000	1.000 1,000
212	_1AB	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.000000	1.000, 1.000
213	2	Radishes-tops	0.700000	1.000 1.000
185	4B	Rhubarb	0.600000	1.000 1.000
214		Rutabagas-roots	1.000000	1.000 1.000
215	2	Rutabagas-tops	1.000000	
216		Salsify(oyster plant)		1.000 1.000
2.10	IVD	sersitatoasren braut)	1.000000	1.000 1.000



338	M	Sheep-fat w/o bone	0.200000	1.000	1.000
339	М	Sheep-kidney	0.200000	1.000	1.000
341	M	Sheep-lean (fat free) w/o bone	0.200000	1.000	1.000
340	M	Sheep-liver	0.200000	1.000	1.000
336	M	Sheep-meat byproducts	0.200000	1.000	1.000
337	M	Sheep-other organ meats	0.200000	1.000	1.000
307	6A	Soybeans-flour (defatted)	10.000000	1.000	
306	6A	Soybeans-flour (low fat)	10.000000	1.000	0.040
305	6A	Soybeans-flour (full fat)	10.000000	1.000	0.040
304	6A	Soybeans-mature seeds dry	10.000000	1.000	0.040
297	6A	Soybeans-oil	10.000000	1.000	0.040
482	0	Soybeans-protein isolate	10.000000	1.000	0.040
255	6A	Soybeans-sprouted seeds	10.000000	0.330	0.040
312	0	Spearmint	5.000000	1.000	1.000
313	0	Spearmint-oil	5.000000	1.000	1.000
186	4A	Spinach	2.000000	1.000	1.000
150	9B	Squash-summer	0.500000	1.000	1.000
415	9B	Squash-spaghetti	0.500000	1.000	1.000
151	9B	Squash-winter	0 500000	1.000	1.000
17	0	Strawberries	3.000000	1.000	1.000
416	0	Strawberries-juice	3.000000	1.000	1.000
282	1A	Sugar-beet	0.200000	1.000	
379	1A	Sugar-beet-molasses	1.000000	1.000	0.150
298	0	Sunflower-oil	5.000000	1.000	1.000
417	ŏ	Sunflower-seeds	5.000000	1.000	1.000
218		Sweet potatoes (incl yams)	1.000000	1.000	1.000
418	2	Sweet potatos-leaves	1.000000	1.000	1.000
187	4B	Swiss chard	0.600000	1.000	1.000
201		Taro-root	1.000000	1.000	1,000
190	2	Taro-greens	1.000000	1.000	1.000
163	8	Tomatoes-catsup	3.000000	1.000	0.010
423	8	Tomatoes-dried	1.000000	14.300	0.010
160	8	Tomatoes-juice	3.000000	1.000	0.010
162	8	Tomatoes-paste	3.000000	1.000	0.010
161	8	Tomatoes-puree	2.000000	1.000	0.010
159	8	Tomatoes-whole	1.000000	1.000	0.010
355	Р	Turkey-byproducts	0.200000	1.000	1.000
357	P	Turkeyfat w/o bones	0.200000	1.000	1.000
356	P	Turkey-giblets (liver)	0.200000	1.000	1.000
358	P	Turkey- lean/fat free w/o bones	0.200000	1.000	1.000
449	Ρ	Turkey-other organ meats	0.200000	1.000	1.000
137	1CD	Turmeric	1.000000	1.000	1.000
219		Turnips-roots	1.000000	1.000	1.000
188	2	Turnips-tops	1.000000	1.000	1.000
147	9A	Watermelon	2.000000	1.000	1.000
436	9A	Watermelon-juice	2.000000	1.000	1.000
439	9B	Wintermelon	0.500000	1.000	1.000
221	1CD	Yambean tuber (jicama)	1.000000	1.000	1.000
224		Yautia (tannier)	1.000000	1.000	1.000



Attachment 4: Chronic Dietary Exposure Estimates

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency DEEM Chronic analysis for CLETHODIM Ver. 7.76 (1989-92 data) Residue file name: C:\deem\AVM\121011.rs7 Analysis Date 04-03-2002/15:43:28 Resi Adjustment factor #2 used. Reference dose (RfD, Chronic) = .01 mg/kg bw/day Residue file dated: 04-03-2002/15:42:39/8

Total exposure by population subgroup

:		-
Total	Exposi	
iviai	EXDOSI	Ire

용하면 이번 내용하다 뭐라고 말하다 있다.	Total	Exposure
Population Subgroup	mg/kg body wt/day	Percent of Rfd
U.S. Population (total)	0.003053	**********
II C Danidate	2.005055	30.5%
U.S. Population (spring season)	0.003211	1 * 1 * 1 * 1 <u>* 1 </u>
U.S. FUDULATION (SIMMOR BOSSON	0.003282	32.1%
TUPULATION (Autumn assess)	0.002826	32.8%
U.S. Population (winter season)	0.002893	28.3%
	0.002043	28.9%
Northeast region	0.003242	
Midwest region	0.003242	32.4%
Southern region	0.003137	31.4%
Western region		29.3%
112	0.002969	29.7%
Hispanics	0.002804	4
Non-hispanic whites	0.002004	28.0%
Non-hispanic blacks	0.002998	30.0%
Non-hisp/non-white/non-black	0.003390	33.9%
	0.003954	39.5%
All infants (< 1 year)	0.00444	
NUTSING infants	0.004446	44.5%
Non-nursing infants	0.000892	8.9%
Children 1-6 vrs	0.005941	59.4%
Children 7-12 yrs	0.006184	61.8%
	0.004355	43.5%
Females 13-19 (not preg or nursing)		1-02%
	0.002556	25.6%
·	0.002450	24.5%
remales 13+ (preg/pot pure	0.002377	23.8%
Females 13+ (nursing)	0.002581	25.8%
	0.003189	31.9%
Males 13-19 yrs		
Males 20+ Vrs "	0.003015	30.2%
Seniors 55+	0.002470	24.7%
Pacific Region	0.002705	27.0%
The second secon	0.002922	29.2%
		∟7 • C/6