

**DATA EVALUATION RECORD**  
**ACUTE CONTACT LD<sub>50</sub> TOXICITY TEST WITH THE HONEY BEE**  
**§ 141-1**

1. CHEMICAL: spinosad PC Code No.: 110003

2. TEST MATERIAL: XDE-105 Purity: 88%

3. CITATION

Authors: Hoxter, K.A., W. L. Bernard and J. B. Beavers

Title: XDE-105: An Acute Contact Toxicity with the Honey Bee

Study Completion Date: December 18, 1992

Laboratory: Wildlife International Ltd.  
8598 Commerce Drive  
Easton, Maryland 21601

Sponsor: DowElanco  
Indianapolis, IN

Laboratory Report ID: 103-384

MRID No.: 43414547

DP Barcode: D218791

4. REVIEWED BY: Joanne Edwards, Entomologist, EEB, EFED

Signature: *Joanne I Edwards*

Date: 4/27/96

5. APPROVED BY: Leslie Touart, Head, Section 1, EEB, EFED

Signature: *L. T. T.*

Date: 3/25/96

6. STUDY PARAMETERS

Age of Test Organisms at Test Initiation: 1 to 4 days

Exposure Duration: 48 hours

7. CONCLUSIONS: This study is scientifically sound and fulfills the requirements for an acute contact study with the honey bee. Based on the results of this 48-hour acute contact study, the LD<sub>50</sub> was determined to be 0.0029 micrograms of active ingredient per bee ( $\mu\text{g ai/bee}$ ). This classifies XDE-105 as highly toxic to honey bees.

8. ADEQUACY OF THE STUDY

A. Classification: Core

B. Rationale: The study is scientifically sound and meets guideline requirements.

C. Repairability: N/A

9. GUIDELINE DEVIATIONS None.

10. SUBMISSION PURPOSE: Submitted to support new chemical registration.

11. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Test Organisms

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information
Species: Honey Bee ( <i>Apis mellifera L.</i> )	Honey Bee ( <i>Apis mellifera L.</i> )
Age at beginning of test: Worker bees of uniform age.	1 to 4 days old
Source	Wildlife International Apiary Easton, Maryland 21601
Were bees from diseased-free colonies?	Yes
Were bees kept in conditions conforming to proper cultural practices?	Hives were maintained according to honey bee husbandry practices recommended by the State of Maryland.

## B. Test System

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information
<u>Test Chambers</u>	The test chambers were disposable one pint rolled paper containers measuring approximately 87 mm in diameter and 85 mm high.
<u>Photoperiod</u>	8 hrs light/16 hours darkness
<u>Temperature during exposure</u>	Range: 21.6 to 22.5 °C
<u>Relative humidity during exposure</u>	80 % ± 13 %
<u>Feeding</u>	Each container was covered with a disposable plastic petri dish through which an inverted 20 ml glass vial was inserted. The vial contained a sugar/water solution (approx. 1 to 1 ratio). A sponge also was inserted through the top of the container cover and moistened with water at least once daily to increase humidity within the test chamber and provide an additional source of water for the bees.

C. Test Design

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information
<b>Range finding test?</b>	Yes
<b>Definitive Test</b> <b>Nominal concentrations:</b> At least five, in a geometric scale, unless LD <sub>50</sub> > 25 µg ai/bee	Geometric Series: 0.0008, 0.0016, 0.0031, 0.0063 and 0.0125 µg ai/bee
<b>Controls:</b> Water control or vehicle control (if vehicle is used)	Solvent (acetone) and negative controls were used.
<b>Number of bees per chamber:</b>	A minimum of 25 bees were placed in each test chamber and two replicate tests were performed per dosing regime; 50 bees per treatment, including controls
<b>Vehicle:</b>	Acetone
<b>Amount of vehicle per bee:</b>	2 µl of acetone was applied to the thorax and/or abdomen of each bee.
<b>Were bees immobilized prior to testing? (describe)</b>	Bees were immobilized with nitrogen twice: first, prior to removal from the acrylic holding boxes just before being placed in the holding containers, and then again, in the holding containers just prior to dosing.
<b>How were doses administered? (describe)</b>	The five test doses were administered topically in a droplet to the abdomen and/or thorax of each nitrogen immobilized bee.
<b>Were bees randomly or impartially assigned to test groups?</b>	Yes
<b>Control(s)</b>	Solvent (acetone) and negative controls were maintained concurrently.

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information
<u>Preparation of Dosing Solutions</u> (describe)	A calculated amount of XDE-105 was mixed with sufficient pesticide grade acetone to represent the highest dosage, 0.0125 µg ai/bee. Lower concentration dosing suspensions were then prepared by serial dilution.
<u>Observations period</u> 48 hours	Observations were recorded at the following intervals: @ times on day on initiation and then approx. 24 and 48 hrs after initiation

12. REPORTED RESULTS

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information
<u>Quality assurance and GLP compliance statements were included in the report?</u>	Yes
<u>Were there no observed adverse effects on bees at the greatest aging interval?</u>	No
<u>Control Mortality</u>	2% (negative control) 1% (solvent control)
<u>Were raw data included?</u>	Excerpted
<u>Were signs of toxicity (if any) described?</u>	Yes

### Mortality and Observations

Dosage ( $\mu\text{g ai/bee}$ )	Number of Bees Exposed	Mean % Dead at 48 hrs
Negative Control	100	2%
Solvent Control (acetone)	100	1%
0.0008	100	1%
0.0016	100	6%
0.0031	100	73%
0.0063	100	92%
0.0125	100	99%

### Reported Statistical Results

Statistical Method: Stephan Computer Program (binomial test was used). NOEC was determined by visual inspection of the mortality data.

LD<sub>50</sub>: 0.0025  $\mu\text{g ai/bee}$  (95% C.I.: 0.0016 - 0.0031  $\mu\text{g ai/bee}$ ).  
NOEC: 0.0016  $\mu\text{g ai/bee}$  based on treatment related mortality and signs of toxicity at doses  $\geq$  0.0031  $\mu\text{g ai/bee}$

#### **14. VERIFICATION OF STATISTICAL RESULTS**

The reviewer used EPA's Toxanal Program to determine the LD<sub>50</sub> (see attached printout). The moving average method was used.

LD<sub>50</sub>: 0.0029  $\mu\text{g ai/bee}$  (95% C.I.: 0.0026 - 0.0031  $\mu\text{g ai/bee}$ )  
NOEC: 0.0016  $\mu\text{g ai/bee}$  based on visual observation of the data

The LD<sub>50</sub> of 0.0029  $\mu\text{g ai/bee}$  classifies XDE-105 as highly toxic to honey bees.

15. **REVIEWER'S COMMENTS:** No major study deviations were noted. The authors reported that the photoperiod was longer than 8 hours of light on two dates, the extensions ranging from 5 to 7 minutes. The authors also reported that the relative humidity of the study room exceeded the specified range of 20% -90% when the readings ranged from 94-100%. These deviations were not found to affect the overall quality of the study.

NOTE: THERE WAS CONTROL MORTALITY, BUT AT LEAST ONE OF THE LOWER CONCENTRATIONS HAD ZERO MORTALITY. THEREFORE, ABBOTT'S CORRECTION IS NOT APPLICABLE.

jedwards spinosad acute bee

\*\*\*\*\*

CONC.	NUMBER EXPOSED	NUMBER DEAD	PERCENT DEAD	BINOMIAL PROB. (PERCENT)
.0125	100	99	99	0
.0063	100	92	92	0
.0031	100	73	73	0
.0016	100	6	6	0
.0008	100	1	1	0

BECAUSE THE NUMBER OF ORGANISMS USED WAS SO LARGE, THE 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE INTERVALS CALCULATED FROM THE BINOMIAL PROBABILITY ARE UNRELIABLE. USE THE INTERVALS CALCULATED BY THE OTHER TESTS.

AN APPROXIMATE LC50 FOR THIS SET OF DATA IS 2.527212E-03

RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE MOVING AVERAGE METHOD

SPAN	G	LC50	95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS
4	1.018381E-02	3.136565E-03	2.857961E-03
2.599385E-03			

RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE PROBIT METHOD

ITERATIONS	G	H
5	.441073	6.872742

0 A PROBABILITY OF 0 MEANS THAT IT IS LESS THAN 0.001.

SINCE THE PROBABILITY IS LESS THAN 0.05, RESULTS CALCULATED USING THE PROBIT METHOD PROBABLY SHOULD NOT BE USED.

SLOPE = 4.57362  
95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 1.536127 AND 7.611113

LC50 = 2.768018E-03  
95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 1.710099E-03 AND 4.536778E-03

LC10 = 1.46046E-03  
95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS = 3.625951E-04 AND 2.171359E-03

\*\*\*\*\*

---

DER dated 4/27/96 (MRID 43414547)

*Spinosad*

---

Page 8 is not included in this copy.

Pages \_\_\_\_\_ through \_\_\_\_\_ are not included in this copy.

---

The material not included contains the following type of information:

- Identity of product inert ingredients.
  - Identity of product impurities.
  - Description of the product manufacturing process.
  - Description of quality control procedures.
  - Identity of the source of product ingredients.
  - Sales or other commercial/financial information.
  - A draft product label.
  - The product confidential statement of formula.
  - Information about a pending registration action.
  - FIFRA registration data.
  - The document is a duplicate of page(s) \_\_\_\_\_.
  - The document is not responsive to the request.
- 

The information not included is generally considered confidential by product registrants. If you have any questions, please contact the individual who prepared the response to your request.

---