MRID No. 412152-02

DATA EVALUATION RECORD

- Fenvalerate. CHEMICAL: 1. Shaughnessey No. 109301.
- TEST MATERIAL: MO 70616-3-6 1.9EC; Lot #3-6; 32% active 2. ingredient (reviewer's calculation); a yellow liquid.
- STUDY TYPE: Freshwater Fish Static Acute Toxicity Test. 3. Species Tested: Bluegill Sunfish (Lepomis macrochirus).
- CITATION: Forbis, A.D., L. Georgie, and D. Burgess. Acute Toxicity of M070616-3-6 1.9 EC to Bluegill Sunfish (Lepomis macrochirus). Static Acute Toxicity Report No. 33176. Prepared by Analytical Bio-Chemistry Laboratories, Inc., Columbia, MO. Submitted by E.I. du Pont de Nemours & Company, Inc., Wilmington, DE. EPA MRID No. 412152-02.
- REVIEWED BY: 5.

Louis M. Rifici, M.S. Associate Scientist KBN Engineering and Applied Sciences, Inc. Signature: Jours m Rife Date:

APPROVED BY:

Pim Kosalwat, Ph.D. Senior Scientist KBN Engineering and Applied Sciences, Inc.

Henry T. Craven, M.S. Supervisor, EEB/EFED USEPA

signature: P. Kosalwat

Date: 10/24/91

Date: 10/24/91

Signature: Minchel Reserve 5/11/93

Signature: 2/24/11-3

Date: 5/24/11-3

- **CONCLUSIONS:** This study is scientifically sound but does 7. not meet the guideline requirements for a static acute toxicity test. The test concentrations were measured but some contamination of the analytical samples probably occurred during shipment, leading to analytical results which were highly variable. Since the analytical results were unreliable, nominal concentrations were used to compute the LC₅₀ value. The 96-hour LC₅₀ of 0.69 μ g a.i./l classifies MO 70616-3-6 1.9EC as very highly toxic to bluegill sunfish. The NOEC can be estimated as 0.32 μg a.i./l nominal concentration.
- 8. RECOMMENDATIONS: N/A.

- 9. BACKGROUND:
- 10. DISCUSSION OF INDIVIDUAL TESTS: N/A.

11. MATERIALS AND METHODS:

A. <u>Test Animals</u>: Bluegill sunfish (Lepomis macrochirus) were obtained from a commercial supplier in Osage Beach, MO. The fish were maintained in culture tanks on a 16-hour daylight photoperiod for at least 2 weeks prior to testing. The fish were fed a commercially available fish food daily until 48 hours before the test. The condition of the fish was monitored daily and records of disease treatments were kept.

Mean weight and length of the control fish measured at the end of the test were 0.43 (± 0.18) g and 32 (± 4.0) mm. Biomass loading rate in the control was 0.29 g/l.

B. <u>Test System</u>: Vessels used in the test were 19-1 glass containers filled with 15 l of soft reconstituted water (control) or test solution. The vessels were kept in a water bath set to maintain 22 ±1°C.

The dilution water was prepared by adding 48 mg NaHCO₃, 30 mg $CaSO_4.2H_2O$, 30 mg MgSO₄, and 2 mg KCl to 1 liter of deionized water. This recipe was designed to yield a total hardness of 40-45 mg/l as $CaCO_3$, a total alkalinity of 30-35 mg/l as $CaCO_3$, and an initial pH of 7.2-7.6.

The test material was dissolved in acetone. The test solutions were prepared by adding appropriate amounts of stock solution directly to the test chambers.

The bluegill were not fed during the test.

- C. <u>Dosage</u>: Ninety-six-hour static test. Based on a preliminary test, five nominal concentrations (0.56, 1.0, 1.8, 3.2, and 5.6 μg/l), a solvent control (0.04 ml acetone/l), and a dilution water control were used. The concentrations made were based on the total product.
- Design: Ten fish were randomly added to each test chamber, one chamber per concentration, within 30 minutes of test solution preparation. All chambers

were observed once every 24 hours for mortality and sublethal responses.

Samples from each test chamber were taken at 0 and 96 hours and sent to the Shell Development Company for analysis. The dilution water control was not measured. The concentration of MO 70616 was determined using gasliquid chromatography.

- E. <u>Statistics</u>: The 96-hour median lethal concentration (LC₅₀) and associated 95% confidence interval (C.I.) were calculated using a computer program developed by Stephan.
- 12. REPORTED RESULTS: The measured concentrations are given in Table A (attached). The mean measured concentrations (reviewer calculated) were 0.103, 0.125, 0.205, 0.45, and 0.915 μ g a.i./l. These values represent 35-57% of nominal concentrations.

The mortality responses of the bluegill sunfish are given in Table 3 (attached). The 96-hour LC₅₀, based on nominal concentrations as whole test material, was 2.2 μ g/l (95% C.I. = 1.0-3.2 μ g/l). Sublethal and lethal responses were observed at 1.8, 3.2, and 5.6 μ g/l. The 96-hour no-observed-effect concentration (NOEC), based on the lack of mortality and abnormal effects, was 1.0 μ g/l.

The water quality measurements made during the test are given in Table 3 (attached).

13. <u>STUDY AUTHOR'S CONCLUSIONS/QUALITY ASSURANCE MEASURES:</u>
The authors presented no conclusions.

Quality Assurance and Good Laboratory Practice statements were included in the report, indicating that the study was conducted in accordance with FIFRA Good Laboratory Practice Standards set forth in 40 CFR Part 160.

- 14. REVIEWER'S DISCUSSION AND INTERPRETATION OF STUDY RESULTS:
 - A. <u>Test Procedure</u>: The test procedures were generally in accordance with protocols recommended by the guidelines, but deviated from the SEP as follows:

The percent active ingredient for the test material was not given in the report.

The fish were acclimated to the dilution water and testtemperature for 48-96 hours. The SEP recommends an acclimation period of at least two weeks.

The test temperature was not monitored every six hours as recommended.

A 15 to 30-minute dawn and dusk simulation period is recommended in the SEP. A transition period was not used in the study.

Each selected nominal concentration was approximately 55% of the next highest concentration. The SEP recommends that each concentration be 60% of the next highest concentration.

- B. Statistical Analysis: The reviewer used EPA's Toxanal program and nominal concentrations to calculate the LC₅₀ value as 0.69 μ g a.i./l with a 95% confidence interval of 0.32-1 μ g a.i./l (see attached printout).
- C. <u>Discussion/Results</u>: The percent active ingredient in the test material was calculated by the reviewer using information provided in the Appendix of this report (page 15).

A portion of the Appendix appears to be missing from the report. Several references to data located in the Appendix are made in the body of the report, but the data are missing from the Appendix.

The test material may have been unstable or volatile. The concentration of MO 70616 decreased by as much as 86% between the two sampling times. The authors had performed several preliminary tests with the test material prior to the initiation of the definitive test and should have been familiar with the properties of the test material. This test probably should have been performed in a flow-through system.

In the sample analysis report (Appendix), the author states "It was impossible to determine whether the water (in the shipping container) was from the surrounding melted ice or sample leakage. Since some of the bottle caps were rather loose and the bottles packed upside down it is probable that both occurred." If there was exchange between the samples and the water from melted ice, the analytical results must be considered invalid. Measured concentrations are not

required in static tests and, since the test was scientifically sound, the study need not be classified "invalid" based on the analytical results alone. However, the analytical measurements are valuable and their exclusion should not go without mention.

This study is scientifically sound but does not meet the guideline requirements for a static acute toxicity test. The analytical results were highly variable and probably do not represent the actual concentrations the fish were exposed to because of the apparent leakage and contamination of the samples with the ice water during shipping. Since the analytical results were unreliable, nominal concentrations were used to compute the LC50 value. The 96-hour LC50 of 0.69 μ g a.i./l classifies MO 70616-3-6 1.9EC as very highly toxic to bluegill sunfish. The NOEC can be estimated as 0.32 μ g a.i./l nominal concentration.

D. Adequacy of the Study:

- (1) Classification: Supplemental.
- (2) Rationale: Some contamination of the analytical samples probably occurred during shipment, leading to analytical results which are highly variable.
- (3) Repairability: No.
- 15. COMPLETION OF ONE-LINER FOR STUDY: Yes, 10-24-91.

sh # 109301 **FENVALERATE** Page is not included in this copy. Pages 6 through / are not included. The material not included contains the following type information: Identity of product inert ingredients. Identity of product impurities. Description of the product manufacturing process. Description of quality control procedures. · Identity of the source of product ingredients. Sales or other commercial/financial information. A draft product label. The product confidential statement of formula. Information about a pending registration action. X FIFRA registration data. The document is a duplicate of page(s) The document is not responsive to the request.

The information not included is generally considered confidential by product registrants. If you have any questions, please contact the individual who prepared the response to your request.

RIFICI			OCHIRUS 10/23/		
*****	*****	*****	*****	*********	***
CONC.	NUMBER	NUMBER	PERCENT	BINOMIAL	
	EXPOSED	DEAD	DEAD	PROB. (PERCENT)	
1.8	10	10	, 100	9.765625E-02	
1	10	10	100	9.765625E-02	
.58	10	2	20	5.46875	
.32	10	0	0	9.765625E-02	
.18	10	0 ~ ~	0	9.765625E-02	

THE BINOMIAL TEST SHOWS THAT .32 AND 1 CAN BE USED AS STATISTICALLY SOUND CONSERVATIVE 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS, BECAUSE THE ACTUAL CONFIDENCE LEVEL ASSOCIATED WITH THESE LIMITS IS GREATER THAN 95 PERCENT.

AN APPROXIMATE LC50 FOR THIS SET OF DATA IS .6884761

WHEN THERE ARE LESS THAN TWO CONCENTRATIONS AT WHICH THE PERCENT DEAD IS BETWEEN 0 AND 100, NEITHER THE MOVING AVERAGE NOR THE PROBIT METHOD CAN GIVE ANY STATISTICALLY SOUND RESULTS.

9