### Record

### Data Evaluation Report on the Acute Toxicity of Orthosulfamuron Freshwater Algae, Anabaena flos-aquae

PMRA Submission	on Number {	<u>}</u>	EPA MRID Nu	mber 465789-38
Data Requireme	ent:	PMRA DATA CODE EPA DP Barcode OECD Data Point EPA MRID EPA Guideline	{} D319377 {} 465789-38 123-2	
Test material: Common name Chemical name:	Orthosulfamuror IUPAC: Not rep CAS name: Not CAS No.: Not re Synonyms: IR58	orted reported ported	Purity: 49.96 a.i.%	
Primary Review Staff Scientist, C			Signature: Lissa Wind Date: 2/24/06  Signature: Cui S M.	cest
•	ewer: Teri S. My , Cambridge Env		Signature: Jui S My Date: 3/7/06	ym
Primary Review EPA/OPP/EFE	ver: Christopher D/ERB IV	J. Salice	<b>Date:</b> 6/30/06	
Secondary Revi EPA/OPP/EFE	ewer(s): Christop D/ERB IV	her J. Salice	Date: 7/31/06	
Reference/Subm	nission No.: {	}		
Company Code Active Code Use Site Catego EPA PC Code	{}			

**Date Evaluation Completed: 31-07-2006** 

<u>CITATION</u>: Desjardins, D., T.Z. Kendall and H.O. Krueger. 2003. IR5878 50 WG ALONE: A 96 hour Toxicity Test with the Freshwater Alga *Anabaena flos-aquae*. Unpublished study performed by Wildlife International, Ltd, Easton, MD, Project No. 544A-118 and submitted by ISAGRO S.p.A., Milano, Italy. Final report issued June 27, 2003.

DISCLAIMER: This document provides guidance for EPA and PMRA reviewers on how to complete a data evaluation record after reviewing a scientific study concerning the acute toxicity of a pesticide to aquatic nonvascular plants. It is not intended to prescribe conditions to any external party for conducting this study nor to establish absolute criteria regarding the assessment of whether the study is scientifically sound and whether the study satisfies any applicable data requirements. Reviewers are expected to review and to determine for each study, on a case-by-case basis, whether it is scientifically sound and provides sufficient information to satisfy applicable data requirements. Studies that fail to meet any of the conditions may be accepted, if appropriate; similarly, studies that meet all of the conditions may be rejected, if appropriate. In sum, the reviewer is to take into account the totality of factors related to the test methodology and results in determining the acceptability of the study.



Ì

PMRA Submission Number {......

**EPA MRID Number 465789-38** 

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:**

In a 96 hour acute toxicity study, cultures of the freshwater algae, *Anabaena flos-aquae* were exposed to IR5878 50WG (a.i. Orthosulfamuron, 49.96%) at nominal concentrations of 0.091, 0.20, 0.45, 1.0, 2.2, 5.0 and 11 mg/L under static conditions. The measured (mean) concentrations were 0.089, 0.20, 0.45, 0.99, 2.2, 4.9 and 11 mg/L.

By 96 hours, cell density percent inhibitions were 30, 15, -4.4, -2.8, 11, 58 and 93% for the 0.089, 0.20, 0.45, 0.99, 2.2, 4.9 and 11 mg/L treatment groups, respectively, compared to the control. Cell density and biomass were equally sensitive, with  $EC_{50}$  values of 4.7 mg/L and NOAEC values of 2.2 mg/L. By 96 hours, biomass (area under the curve) inhibitions were 29, 5.6, 11, 9.8, 19, 60 and 89% for the 0.089, 0.20, 0.45, 0.99, 2.2, 4.9 and 11 mg/L treatment groups, respectively, compared to the control. By 96 hours growth rate inhibitions were 6.9, 3.4, -0.56, 0.072, 3.2, 17 and 48% for the 0.089, 0.20, 0.45, 0.99, 2.2, 4.9 and 11 mg/L treatment groups, respectively, compared to the control. The growth rate  $EC_{50}$  was >11 mg/L and the NOAEC was 2.2 mg/L.

Enlarged cells were observed in the 11 mg/L treatment group.

This toxicity study is scientifically sound and satisfies the guideline requirement for an aquatic nonvascular plant study with the freshwater alga, Anabaena flos-aquae. This study is classified ACCEPTABLE.

#### **Results Synopsis**

Test Organism: Anabaena flos-aquae

Test Type (Flow-through, Static, Static Renewal): Static

#### Cell density (96 Hours):

EC $_{05}$ : 1.8 mg/L (0.90 mg ai/L) 95% C.I.: 0.87-3.7 mg/L (0.43-1.8 mg ai/L) EC $_{50}$ : 4.7 mg/L (2.3 mg ai/L) 95% C.I.: 3.5-6.5 mg/L (1.7-3.2 mg ai/L)

NOAEC: 2.2 mg/L (1.1 mg ai/L)

Probit Slope: 3.92±0.954

#### Growth rate (0-96 hours):

EC<sub>05</sub>: 3.0 mg/L (1.5 mg ai/L) 95% C.I.: 1.9-4.6 mg/L (0.95-2.3 mg ai/L) EC<sub>50</sub>: 12 mg/L (6.0 mg ai/L) 95% C.I.: 10-13 mg/L (5.0-6.5 mg ai/L)

NOAEC: 2.2 mg/L (1.1 mg ai/L)

Probit Slope: 2.76±0.456

#### Biomass (0-96 hours):

EC<sub>05</sub>: 1.5 mg/L (0.75 mg ai/L) 95% C.I.: 0.84-2.6 mg/L (0.42-1.3 mg ai/L) EC<sub>50</sub>: 4.7 mg/L (2.3 mg ai/L) 95% C.I.: 3.7-5.9 mg/L (1.8-2.9 mg ai/L)

NOAEC: 2.2 mg/L (1.1 mg ai/L)

Probit Slope: 3.27±0.532

Endpoint(s) Affected: Cell density, biomass, and growth rates.

Most sensitive endpoint(s): Cell density and biomass

<b>PMRA</b>	Submission	Number -	{`
T TATE Y	Cucinnosicii	TAMIMOOT	

EPA MRID Number 465789-38

#### I. MATERIALS AND METHODS

**GUIDELINE FOLLOWED:** 

The study followed OECD Guideline 201 and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Series 850-Ecological Effects Test Guidelines (*draft*), OPPTS Number 850.5400, *Algal Toxicity, Tiers I and II.* The following deviation from these guidelines are:

deviation from these guidelines are.

The dilution water characteristics of TOC, particulate matter, and chlorine content were not reported.

This deviation did not affect the validity of the study.

COMPLIANCE:

Signed and dated GLP, Quality Assurance and No Data Confidentiality statements were provided. The study followed the U.S. EPA (40 CFR, Part

160) Good Laboratory Practice.

A. MATERIALS:

1. Test material

IR5878 50WG (Orthosulfamuron)

Description:

Brown granular solid

Lot No./Batch No.:

G038/02

**Purity:** 

49.96%

Stability of compound

under test conditions: The measured concentrations of orthosulfamuron were 102-106% of nominal at Hour 0 and 92.8-96.4% at 96 hours.

(OECD recommends water solubility, stability in water and light, pKa, Pow, and vapor pressure of test compound) Only the water solubility was reported.

Storage conditions of

test chemicals:

The test material was stored under ambient conditions.

Physicochemical properties of orthosulfamuron

Parameter	Values	Comments
Water solubility at 20EC	Not reported	
Vapor pressure	Not reported	
UV absorption	Not reported	
рКа	Not reported	
Kow	Not reported	

PMRA Si	ubmission	Number	[   • • • • • • •
---------	-----------	--------	----------------------

EPA MRID Number 465789-38

#### 2. Test organism:

Name:

Algae Anabaena flos-aquae

EPA requires a nonvascular species: For tier I testing, only one species, S. capricornutum, to be tested; for tier II testing, S. costatum, A. flos-aquae, S. capricorntum, and a freshwater diatom is tested.

OECD suggests the following species are considered suitable: S. capricornutum, S. subspicatus, and C. vulgaris. If other species are used, the strain should be reported

Strain:

UTCC 67

Source:

Current in-house laboratory cultures, originally obtained from

University of Toronto Culture.

Age of inoculum:

Two weeks

Method of cultivation:

Algal Assay Procedure (AAP) medium

#### **B. STUDY DESIGN:**

#### 1. Experimental Conditions

a. A range-finding study was not reported.

b. Definitive Study

Table 1: Experimental Parameters

Parameter	Details	Remarks
		Criteria
Acclimation period:	Continuous	
Culturing media and conditions:	Algal Assay Procedure (AAP)	
(same as test or not)	medium; same as test.	EPA recommends two week acclimation period.
Health: (any mortality observed)	Not reported	OECD recommends an amount of algae suitable for the inoculation of test cultures and incubated under the conditions of the test and used when still exponentially growing, normally after an incubation period of about 3 days.  When the algal cultures contain deformed or abnormal cells, they must be discarded.
Test system Static/static renewal Renewal rate for static renewal	Static	EPA expects the test concentrations to be renewed every 3 to 4 days (one renewal for the 7 day test, 3-4 renewals for the 14 day test).
Incubation facility	Environmental chamber	Joi me 14 day test).

PMRA Submission Number {......}

Parameter Details		Remarks	
1 WA WINDLET		Criteria	
Duration of the test	96 hours	Criteria	
	70 304	EPA requires: 96-120 hours	
		OECD: 72 hours	
Test vessel			
Material: (glass/stainless steel)	Erlenmeyer flasks	j	
Size:	250 mL	OECD recommends 250 ml conical	
Fill volume:	100 mL	flasks are suitable when the volume of	
	1	the test solution is 100 ml or use a	
Details of growth medium name		culturing apparatus.	
pH at test initiation:	7.4-7.5	OECD recommends the medium pH	
pH at test termination:	7.4-7.8	after equilibration with air is ~8 with	
Chelator used:	disodium EDTA	less than .001 mmol/l of chelator if used.	
Carbon source:	NaHCO <sub>3</sub>		
Salinity (for marine algae):	N/A	EPA recommends 20X-AAP and	
		chelating agents (e.g. EDTA) in the	
		nutrient medium for optimum cell	
		growth. Lower concentrations of chelating agents (down to one-third	
		of the normal concentration	
		recommended for AAP medium) may	
		be used in the nutrient medium used	
		for test solution preparation if it is	
		suspected that the chelator will	
		interact with the test material.	
•		ASTM reference, E1415-91and D	
		3978-80 (reapproved 1987).	
If non-standard nutrient medium	N/A		
was used, detailed composition			
provided (Yes/No)			
Dilution water			
source/type:	well water	EPA pH: Skeletonema costatum= ~8.0	
pH:	Not reported	Others = $\sim$ 7.5 from beginning to end of	
salinity (for marine algae):		the test, EPA salinity: 30-35 ppt, EPA is	
water pretreatment (if any):	Not reported	against the use of dechlorinated water.	
Total Organic Carbon:	Not reported	OECD: pH is measured at beginning of	
particulate matter:	Not reported	the test and at 72 hours, it should not	
metals: pesticides:	<lod <lod< td=""><td>normally deviate by more than one unit</td></lod<></lod 	normally deviate by more than one unit	
chlorine:	Not reported	during the test.	
Indicate how the test material is	Stock solution		
added to the medium (added			
directly or used stock solution)			
Aeration or agitation	Agitation, 100 rpm		
1		}	

PMRA Submission Number {......}

Parameter	Details	Remarks
		Criteria
Initial cells density	10,000	
		EPA requires an initial number of 3,000 - 10,000 cells/mL. For Anabaena flosaquae, cell counts on day 2 are not required.
		OECD recommends that the initial cell concentration be approximately 10,000 cells/ml for <u>S</u> capricornutum and <u>S</u> subspicatus. When other species are used the biomass should be comparable.
Number of replicates		
Control:	3	
Solvent control:	NA	EDA manima a manima a d'amai
Treatments:	3	EPA requires a negative and/or solvent control with 3 or more replicates per
	<b>\</b>	doses. Navicula sp.tests should be
		conducted with four replicate.
Total		OECD preferably three replicates at each test concentration and ideally twice that number of controls. When a vehicle is used to solubilize the test substance, additional controls containing the vehicle at the highest concentration used in the test.
Test concentrations	0.001 0.20 0.45 1.0 2.2 5.0 1	
Nominal:	0.091, 0.20, 0.45, 1.0, 2.2, 5.0 and	EPA requires at least 5 test
Measured:	11 mg/L	concentrations, with each at least 60% of the next higher one.
Measured:	0.090 0.20 0.45 0.00 2.2 4.01	of the next nigher one.
	0.089, 0.20, 0.45, 0.99, 2.2, 4.9 and 11 mg/L	OECD recommends at least five concentrations arranged in a geometric series, with the lowest concentration
(		tested should have no observed effect on the growth of the algae. The highest concentration tested should inhibit growth by at least 50% relatively to the control and, preferably, stop growth completely.
Solvent (type, percentage, if used)	N/A	
Method and interval of analytical verification	At 0 and 96 hours samples were analyzed by HPLC	

PMRA Submission Number {......}

Parameter	Details	Remarks
		Criteria
Test conditions Temperature: Photoperiod: Light intensity and quality:	22.9-24.1°C continuous 1960-2340 lux, cool white light	EPA temperature: Skeletonema: 20EC, Others: 24-25EC; EPA photoperiod: S. costatum 14 hr light/10 hr dark, Others: Continuous: EPA light: Anabaena: 2.0 Klux (±15%), Others: 4 - 5 Klux (±15%)  OECD recommended the temperature in the range of 21 to25°C maintained at ± 2°C and continuous uniform illumination provided at approximately 8000 Lux measured with a spherical collector.
Reference chemical (if used) name: concentrations:	None	
Other parameters, if any	None	

P	MRA	Submission	Number	{
---	-----	------------	--------	---

EPA MRID Number 465789-38

#### 2. Observations:

Table 2: Observation parameters

Table 2: Observation parameters				
Parameters	Details	Remarks		
		Criteria		
Parameters measured including the growth inhibition/other toxicity symptoms	Cell density, biomass (area under the curve), growth rate	EPA recommends the growth of the algae expressed as the cell count per mL, biomass per volume, or degree of growth as determined by spectrophotometric means.		
Measurement technique for cell density and other end points	Hemacytometer and microscope	EPA recommends the measurement technique of cell counts or chlorophyll a  OECD recommends the electronic particle counter, microscope with counting chamber, fluorimeter, spectrophotometer, and colorimeter. (note: in order to provide useful measurements at low cell concentrations when using a spectrophotometer, it may be necessary to use cuvettes with a light path of at least 4 cm).		
Observation intervals	24, 48, 72 and 96 hours	EPA and OECD: every 24 hours.		
Other observations, if any	None			
Indicate whether there was an exponential growth in the control	Yes	EPA requires control cell count at termination to be 2X initial count or by a factor of at least 16 during the test.  OECD: cell concentration in control cultures should have increased by a factor of at least 16 within three days.		
Were raw data included?	Replicate data were provided			

<b>PMRA</b>	Submission	Number	{

**EPA MRID Number 465789-38** 

#### **II. RESULTS and DISCUSSION:**

#### A. INHIBITORY EFFECTS:

By 96 hours, cell density percent inhibitions were 30, 15, -4.4, -2.8, 11, 58 and 93% for the 0.089, 0.20, 0.45, 0.99, 2.2, 4.9 and 11 mg/L treatment groups, respectively, compared to the control. By 96 hours, biomass (area under the curve) inhibitions were 29, 5.6, 11, 9.8, 19, 60 and 89% for the 0.089, 0.20, 0.45, 0.99, 2.2, 4.9 and 11 mg/L treatment groups, respectively, compared to the control. By 96 hours growth rate inhibitions were 6.9, 3.4, -0.56, 0.072, 3.2, 17 and 48% for the 0.089, 0.20, 0.45, 0.99, 2.2, 4.9 and 11 mg/L treatment groups, respectively, compared to the control.

Enlarged cells were observed in the 11 mg/L treatment group.

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 465789-38

Table 3: Effect of Orthosulfamuron on algal growth Anabaena flos-aquae

Treatment (record	Initial cell	Cell density at			муние
measured and nominal	density	24 hours	48 hours		96 hours
concentration (mg/L)				cell count	% inhibition
Negative control	10,000	19,333	155,333	2,575,000	
Solvent control (if used)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/a
0.091 (0.089)	10,000	13,000	157,000	1,793,333	30
0.20 (0.20)	10,000	6,000	120,333	2,176,667	15
0.45 (0.45)	10,000	17,333	91,667	2,688,333	-4.4
1.0 (0.99)	10,000	69,333	146,000	2,648,333	-2.8
2.2 (2.2)	10,000	34,333	199,000	2,281,667	11
5.0 (4.9)	10,000	18,000	77,333	1,075,000	58
11 (11)	10,000	15,000	29,667	192,667	93
Reference chemical (if used)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Table 4: Statistical endpoint values.

Tuble 4. Statistical enuponit values.						
Statistical Endpoint	biomass	growth rate	cell density			
NOAEC or EC <sub>05</sub> (mg/L)	2.2	2.2	2.2	30 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
EC <sub>50</sub> (mg/L)	4.7	4.7	>11			
IC <sub>50</sub> or EC <sub>50</sub> (mg/L) (95% C.I.)	4.7 (3.5-6.5)	4.7 (3.7-5.9)	>11			
Other (IC <sub>25</sub> /EC <sub>25</sub> )	NR	NR	NR			
Reference chemical, if used NOAEC IC <sub>50</sub> /EC <sub>50</sub>	N/A	N/A	NA			

NR Not reported

PMRA Submission Number {......}

**EPA MRID Number 465789-38** 

#### **B. REPORTED STATISTICS:**

The 96-Hour treatment and control response data passed the tests for normality (Shapiro-Wilks) and homogeneity of variance (Levene's). The 96-Hour  $EC_{50}$  value was determined by non-linear regression or linear interpolation. The reported toxicity values were determined in terms of the mean measured test concentrations.

#### C. VERIFICATION OF STATISTICAL RESULTS:

Statistical Method: Cell density, growth rate, and biomass data were analyzed using the Chi-square and Shapiro-Wilks tests for normality and the Hartley and Bartlett's tests for homogeneity of variances. Data did not require transformations to satisfy the assumptions of ANOVA. The NOAEC values were determined using ANOVA, followed by Dunnett's test. These analyses were conducted using TOXSTAT statistical software. The EC<sub>x</sub> values were determined using non-linear regression via Nuthatch statistical software. Mean-measured concentrations were used to compute these estimates.

#### Cell density (96 Hours):

EC<sub>05</sub>: 1.8 mg/L (0.90 mg ai/L) 95% C.I.: 0.87-3.7 mg/L (0.43-1.8 mg ai/L) EC<sub>50</sub>: 4.7 mg/L (2.3 mg ai/L) 95% C.I.: 3.5-6.5 mg/L (1.7-3.2 mg ai/L)

NOAEC: 2.2 mg/L (1.1 mg ai/L)

Probit Slope: 3.92±0.954

#### Growth rate (0-96 hours):

EC<sub>05</sub>: 3.0 mg/L (1.5 mg ai/L) 95% C.I.: 1.9-4.6 mg/L (0.95-2.3 mg ai/L) EC<sub>50</sub>: 12 mg/L (6.0 mg ai/L) 95% C.I.: 10-13 mg/L (5.0-6.5 mg ai/L)

NOAEC: 2.2 mg/L (1.1 mg ai/L)

Probit Slope: 2.76±0.456

#### Biomass (0-96 hours):

EC<sub>05</sub>: 1.5 mg/L (0.75 mg ai/L) 95% C.I.: 0.84-2.6 mg/L (0.42-1.3 mg ai/L) EC<sub>50</sub>: 4.7 mg/L (2.3 mg ai/L) 95% C.I.: 3.7-5.9 mg/L (1.8-2.9 mg ai/L)

NOAEC: 2.2 mg/L (1.1 mg ai/L)

Probit Slope: 3.27±0.532

Endpoint(s) Affected: Cell density, biomass, and growth rates.

Most sensitive endpoint(s): Cell density and biomass

#### D. STUDY DEFICIENCIES:

There were no study deficiencies.

#### **E. REVIEWER'S COMMENTS:**

The reviewer's conclusions were identical to the study authors'.

The experimental start date was April 11, 2003 and the experimental termination date was April 24, 2003.

PMRA Submission Number {......}

**EPA MRID Number 465789-38** 

#### F. CONCLUSIONS:

The study is scientifically sound and is classified ACCEPTABLE. Cell density and biomass were the most sensitive endpoints. The EC<sub>50</sub> was 4.7 mg/L (2.3 mg ai/L); the NOAEC value was 2.2 mg/L (1.1 mg ai/L).

#### Cell density (96 Hours):

EC $_{05}$ : 1.8 mg/L (0.90 mg ai/L) 95% C.I.: 0.87-3.7 mg/L (0.43-1.8 mg ai/L) EC $_{50}$ : 4.7 mg/L (2.3 mg ai/L) 95% C.I.: 3.5-6.5 mg/L (1.7-3.2 mg ai/L)

NOAEC: 2.2 mg/L (1.1 mg ai/L)

Probit Slope: 3.92±0.954

#### Growth rate (0-96 hours):

EC<sub>05</sub>: 3.0 mg/L (1.5 mg ai/L) 95% C.I.: 1.9-4.6 mg/L (0.95-2.3 mg ai/L) EC<sub>50</sub>: 12 mg/L (6.0 mg ai/L) 95% C.I.: 10-13 mg/L (5.0-6.5 mg ai/L)

NOAEC: 2.2 mg/L (1.1 mg ai/L)

Probit Slope: 2.76±0.456

#### Biomass (0-96 hours):

EC<sub>05</sub>: 1.5 mg/L (0.75 mg ai/L) 95% C.I.: 0.84-2.6 mg/L (0.42-1.3 mg ai/L) EC<sub>50</sub>: 4.7 mg/L (2.3 mg ai/L) 95% C.I.: 3.7-5.9 mg/L (1.8-2.9 mg ai/L)

NOAEC: 2.2 mg/L (1.1 mg ai/L)

Probit Slope: 3.27±0.532

Endpoint(s) Affected: Cell density, biomass, and growth rates.

Most sensitive endpoint(s): Cell density and biomass

PMRA Submission Number {.......

**EPA MRID Number 465789-38** 

#### **III. REFERENCES:**

- ASTM Standard Guide 1218-90E. 1990. Standard Guide for Conducting Static 96-Hour Toxicity Tests with Microalgae. American Society for Testing and Materials. Philadelphia, PA.
- Bruce, R.D and D.J. Versteeg. 1992. Statistical Procedure for Modeling Continuous Toxicity Data. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*. 11:1485-1494.
- Norgerg-King, T.J. 1993. A Linear Interpolation Method for Sublethal Toxicity: the Inhibition Concentration (Icp) Approach. Version 2.0. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. National Effluent Toxicity Assessment Center. Duluth, MN. Technical Report 03-93.
- Official Journal of the European Communities. 1992. No. L383. Method C.3.: Algal Inhibition Test.
- OECD. 1984. OECD Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals 201. Alga, Growth Inhibition Test.
- The SAS System for Windows. 1999. Version 8.02. SAS Institute Inc. Cary, NC.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1996. Series 850-Ecological Effects Test Guidelines (draft), OPPTS Number 850.5400.: Algal Toxicity, Tiers I and II.
- West, Inc. and D.D. Gulley. TOXSTAT Version 3.5. Copyright 1996. Western Ecosystems Technology, Inc. Cheyenne, WY.

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 465789-38

#### APPENDIX I. OUTPUT OF REVIEWER'S STATISTICAL VERIFICATION:

cell density (96 h)

File: 8938c

Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

#### ANOVA TABLE

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F
Between	7	16378892.625	2339841.804	7.255
Within (Error)	16	5160372.000	322523.250	
Total	23	21539264.625		

Critical F value = 2.66 (0.05, 7, 16)

Since F > Critical F REJECT Ho: All groups equal

cell density (96 h)

File: 8938c Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

Ι	DUNNETTS TEST - TA	BLE 1 OF 2	Ho:Control <treatment< th=""></treatment<>			
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	TRANSFORMED MEAN	MEAN CALCULATED IN ORIGINAL UNITS	T STAT	SIG	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	control 0.089 0.2 0.45 0.99 2.2 4.9	2575.000 1793.333 2176.667 2688.333 2648.333 2281.667 1075.000 192.667	2575.000 1793.333 2176.667 2688.333 2648.333 2281.667 1075.000 192.667	1.686 0.859 -0.244 -0.158 0.633 3.235 5.138	*	

Dunnett table value = 2.56 (1 Tailed Value, P=0.05, df=16,7)

cell density (96 h)

File: 8938c Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	DUNNETTS TEST - T	ABLE 2 OF	2 Ho:	Control <tr< th=""><th>eatment</th></tr<>	eatment
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	NUM OF REPS	Minimum Sig Diff (IN ORIG. UNITS)		DIFFERENCE FROM CONTROL
1	control	3			
2	0.089	3	1187.066	46.1	781.667
3	0.2	3	1187.066	46.1	398.333
4	0.45	3	1187.066	46.1	-113.333
5	0.99	3	1187.066	46.1	-73.333

Page 14 of 21

PMRA Submission Number {}				EPA MRID N	EPA MRID Number 465789-38		
6	2.2	3	1187.066	46.1	293.333		
7	4.9	3	1187.066	46.1	1500.000		
8	11	3	1187.066	46.1	2382.333		

cell density (96 h)
File: 8938c Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST	(Isotonic regression model)	TABLE 1 OF 2
---------------	-----------------------------	--------------

IDENTIFICATION	N	ORIGINAL MEAN	TRANSFORMED MEAN	ISOTONIZED MEAN
control	3	2575.000	2575.000	2575.000
0.089	3	1793.333	1793.333	2326.667
0.2	. 3	2176.667	2176.667	2326.667
0.45	3	2688.333	2688.333	2326.667
0.99	3	2648.333	2648.333	2326.667
2.2	3	2281.667	2281.667	2281.667
4.9	3	1075.000	1075.000	1075.000
11	3	192.667	192.667	192.667
	0.089 0.2 0.45 0.99 2.2	0.089 3 0.2 .3 0.45 3 0.99 3 2.2 3	0.089 3 1793.333 0.2 3 2176.667 0.45 3 2688.333 0.99 3 2648.333 2.2 3 2281.667 4.9 3 1075.000	0.089       3       1793.333       1793.333         0.2       .3       2176.667       2176.667         0.45       3       2688.333       2688.333         0.99       3       2648.333       2648.333         2.2       3       2281.667       2281.667         4.9       3       1075.000       1075.000

cell density (96 h)

File: 8938c Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST	(Isotonic	regression	model)	TABLE	2	OF	2

IDENTIFICATION	ISOTONIZED MEAN	CALC. WILLIAMS	SIG P=.05	TABLE WILLIAMS	DEGREES OF FREEDOM
control 0.089 0.2 0.45 0.99 2.2 4.9	2575.000 2326.667 2326.667 2326.667 2326.667 2281.667 1075.000 192.667	0.536 0.536 0.536 0.536 0.633 3.235 5.138	*	1.75 1.83 1.86 1.87 1.88 1.89	k= 1, v=16 k= 2, v=16 k= 3, v=16 k= 4, v=16 k= 5, v=16 k= 6, v=16 k= 7, v=16

s = 567.911

Note: df used for table values are approximate when v > 20.

#### Estimates of EC%

·					_
Estimate	95% Bou	nds	Std.Err.	Lower Bound	_
	Lower	Upper		/Estimate	
1.8	0.87	3.7	0.15	0.48	
2.2	1.2	4.2	0.13	0.53	
3.2	2.0	5.1	0.097	0.63	
	1.8	Lower 1.8 0.87 2.2 1.2	Lower Upper 1.8 0.87 3.7 2.2 1.2 4.2	Lower Upper  1.8 0.87 3.7 0.15  2.2 1.2 4.2 0.13	Lower Upper /Estimate  1.8 0.87 3.7 0.15 0.48  2.2 1.2 4.2 0.13 0.53

Page 15 of 21

PMRA Submiss		}			EPA MR	ID Number 465789-38
EC50	4.7	3.5	6.5	0.065	0.73	
S	lope =	3.92 Std	.Err. =	0.954		
Goodness of	f fit: p =		based on	DF=	5.0	16.
8938C : cel	ll density	(96 h)				
Observed vs		ed Treatme	nt Group M			
Dose		Obs.	Pred.	Obs. -Pred.	Pred.	%Change
0.0890 0.200 0.450 0.990 2.20	3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00	1.79e+03 2.18e+03 2.69e+03 2.65e+03 2.28e+03	2.38e+03 2.16e+03	-599.	100. 99.6 90.3	6.63e-10 3.60e-06 0.00311 0.389 9.66

biomass (0-96)

File: 8938b

Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

#### ANOVA TABLE

	SS	MS	F
7	6728940522.000	961277217.429	20.506
16	750048672.000	46878042.000	
23	7478989194.000		
		7 6728940522.000 16 750048672.000	7 6728940522.000 961277217.429 16 750048672.000 46878042.000

Critical F value = 2.66 (0.05,7,16)
Since F > Critical F REJECT Ho:All groups equal

biomass (0-96)

File: 8938b Transf

Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	OUNNETTS TEST - TA	ABLE 1 OF 2	Ho:Control <tr< th=""><th>eatment</th><th></th></tr<>	eatment	
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	TRANSFORMED MEAN	MEAN CALCULATED IN ORIGINAL UNITS	T STAT	SIG
1	control	57532.000	57532.000		
2	0.089	40668.000	40668.000	3.017	*
3	0.2	54292.000	54292.000	0.580	
4	0.45	51060.000	51060.000	1.158	

Page 16 of 21

PMRA Submission	Number {}		EPA MRID Number 465789-38			
5	0.99	51900.000	51900.000	1.007		
6	2.2	46652.000	46652.000	1.946		
7	4.9	22804.000	22804.000	6.212 *		
8	11	6200.000	6200.000	9.182 *		
Dunnett table	e value = 2.56	(1 Tailed	Value, P=0.05, df=1	L6,7)		

biomass (0-96)

File: 8938b Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	DUNNETTS TEST - T	TABLE 2 OF	2 но:	Control <t< th=""><th>reatment</th></t<>	reatment
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	NUM OF REPS	Minimum Sig Diff (IN ORIG. UNITS)	% of CONTROL	DIFFERENCE FROM CONTROL
1	control	3			
2	0.089	3	14311.299	24.9	16864.000
3	0.2	3	14311.299	24.9	3240.000
4	0.45	3	14311.299	24.9	6472.000
5	0.99	3	14311.299	24.9	5632.000
6	2.2	3	14311.299	24.9	10880.000
7	4.9	3	14311.299	24.9	34728.000
8	11	3	14311.299	24.9	51332.000

biomass (0-96)

File: 8938b

Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	WILLIAMS TEST (ISOCO	nic 1	regression mod	lel) TABLE 1 O	F 2
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	N	ORIGINAL MEAN	TRANSFORMED MEAN	ISOTONIZED MEAN
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	control 0.089 0.2 0.45 0.99 2.2	3 3 3 3 3	57532.000 40668.000 54292.000 51060.000 51900.000 46652.000 22804.000	57532.000 40668.000 54292.000 51060.000 51900.000 46652.000 22804.000	57532.000 49480.000 49480.000 49480.000 49480.000 46652.000 22804.000
8	11	3	6200.000	6200.000	6200.000

biomass (0-96) File: 8938b Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST (Isotonic regression model) TABLE 2 OF 2 ISOTONIZED CALC. SIG TABLE DEGREES OF

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 465789-38

IDENTIFICATION	MEAN	WILLIAMS	P=.05	WILLIAMS	FREEDOM
control 0.089 0.2 0.45 0.99 2.2 4.9	57532.000 49480.000 49480.000 49480.000 49480.000 46652.000 22804.000 6200.000	1.440 1.440 1.440 1.946 6.212 9.182	* *	1.75 1.83 1.86 1.87 1.88 1.89	k= 1, v=16 k= 2, v=16 k= 3, v=16 k= 4, v=16 k= 5, v=16 k= 6, v=16 k= 7, v=16

s = 6846.754

Note: df used for table values are approximate when v > 20.

#### Estimates of EC%

						<b></b>
Parameter	Estimate	95% Bounds		Std.Err.	Lower Bound	
		Lower	Upper		/Estimate	
EC5	1.5	0.84	2.6	0.12	0.57	
EC10	1.9	1.2	3.1	0.10	0.62	
EC25	2.9	2.1	4.1	0.073	0.70	
EC50	4.7	3.7	5.9	0.047	0.80	

Slope = 3.27 Std.Err. = 0.532

Goodness of fit: p = 0.18 based on DF= 5.0 16.

8938B : biomass (0-96)

Observed vs. Predicted Treatment Group Means

Dose	#Reps.	Obs. Mean	Pred. Mean	Obs. -Pred.	Pred. %Control	%Change
0.00	3.00	5.75e+04	5.15e+04	6.05e+03	100.	0.00
0.0890	3.00	4.07e+04	5.15e+04	-1.08e+04	100.	8.90e-07
0.200	3.00	5.43e+04	5.15e+04	2.81e+03	100.	0.000371
0.450	3.00	5.11e+04	5.15e+04	-399.	100.	0.0436
0.990	3.00	5.19e+04	5.08e+04	1.12e+03	98.6	1.36
2.20	3.00	4.67e+04	4.42e+04	2.45e+03	85.9	14.1
4.90	3.00	2.28e+04	2.44e+04	-1.62e+03	47.4	52.6
11.0	3.00	6.20e+03	5.79e+03	407.	11.3	88.7
	3 0 6 1					

growth rate (0-96)

File: 8938g Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

### ANOVA TABLE

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F
Between	7	18.975	2.711	22.975
Within (Error)	16	1.891	0.118	

Page 18 of 21

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 465789-38

Total 23 20.866

Critical F value = 2.66 (0.05,7,16)Since F > Critical F REJECT Ho:All groups equal

growth rate (0-96)

File: 8938g Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

DUNNETTS TEST - TABLE 1 OF 2			Ho:Control <treatment< th=""></treatment<>			
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	TRANSFORMED MEAN	MEAN CALCULATED IN ORIGINAL UNITS	T STAT	SIG	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	control 0.089 0.2 0.45 0.99 2.2 4.9	5.780 5.380 5.583 5.813 5.777 5.590 4.807 3.010	5.780 5.380 5.583 5.813 5.777 5.590 4.807 3.010	1.426 0.701 -0.119 0.012 0.677 3.470 9.876	*	

Dunnett table value = 2.56 (1 Tailed Value, P=0.05, df=16,7)

growth rate (0-96)

File: 8938g Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	DUNNETTS TEST - 7	TABLE 2 OF	2 но:	Control <t< th=""><th>reatment</th></t<>	reatment
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	NUM OF REPS	Minimum Sig Diff (IN ORIG. UNITS)	% of CONTROL	DIFFERENCE FROM CONTROL
1	control	3			~~~~~~
2	0.089	3	0.718	12.4	0.400
3	0.2	3	0.718	12.4	0.197
4	0.45	3	0.718	12.4	-0.033
5	0.99	3	0.718	12.4	0.003
6	2.2	3	0.718	12.4	0.190
7	4.9	3	0.718	12.4	0.973
8	11	3	0.718	12.4	2.770

growth rate (0-96)

File: 8938g Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST (Isotonic regression model) TABLE 1 OF 2

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 465789-38

IDENTIFICATION	N	ORIGINAL MEAN	TRANSFORMED MEAN	ISOTONIZED MEAN
control	3	5.780	5.780	5.780
0.089	3	5.380	5.380	5.638
0.2	3	5.583	5.583	5.638
0.45	3	5.813	5.813	5.638
0.99	3	5.777	5.777	5.638
2.2	3	5.590	5.590	5.590
4.9	3	4.807	4.807	4.807
11	3	3.010	3.010	3.010
	control 0.089 0.2 0.45 0.99 2.2 4.9	control 3 0.089 3 0.2 3 0.45 3 0.99 3 2.2 3 4.9 3	TDENTIFICATION N MEAN  control 3 5.780 0.089 3 5.380 0.2 3 5.583 0.45 3 5.813 0.99 3 5.777 2.2 3 5.590 4.9 3 4.807	IDENTIFICATION         N         MEAN         MEAN           control         3         5.780         5.780           0.089         3         5.380         5.380           0.2         3         5.583         5.583           0.45         3         5.813         5.813           0.99         3         5.777         5.777           2.2         3         5.590         5.590           4.9         3         4.807         4.807

growth rate (0-96)

File: 8938g Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST	(Isotonic	regression	model)	TABLE 2 O	F 2
IDENTIFICATION	ISOTONIZED MEAN	CALC. WILLIAMS	SIG P=.05	TABLE WILLIAMS	DEGREES OF FREEDOM
control 0.089 0.2 0.45 0.99 2.2 4.9	5.780 5.638 5.638 5.638 5.638 5.590 4.807 3.010	0.505 0.505 0.505 0.505 0.677 3.468 9.868	*	1.75 1.83 1.86 1.87 1.88 1.89	k= 1, v=16 k= 2, v=16 k= 3, v=16 k= 4, v=16 k= 5, v=16 k= 6, v=16 k= 7, v=16

Note: df used for table values are approximate when v > 20.

#### Estimates of EC%

Parameter	Estimate	95% Bounds		Std.Err.	Std.Err. Lower Bound								
		Lower	Upper		/Estimate								
EC5	3.0	1.9	4.6	0.091	0.65								
EC10	4.0	2.9	5.6	0.070	0.72								
EC25	6.7	5.6	8.0	0.038	0.83								
EC50	12.	10.	13.	0.027	0.88								

Slope = 2.76 Std.Err. = 0.456

Goodness of fit: p = 0.72 based on DF= 5.0 16.

8938G : growth rate (0-96)

Observed vs. Predicted Treatment Group Means

PMRA Submission Number {......}

 					. <b>-</b>		
Dose	#Reps.	Obs. Mean	Pred. Mean	Obs. -Pred.	Pred. %Control	%Change	-
0.00	3.00	5.78	5.67	0.106	100.	0.00	
0.0890	3.00	5.38	5.67	-0.294	100.	2.54e-07	
0.200	3.00	5.58	5.67	-0.0902	100.	5.46e-05	
0.450	3.00	5.81	5.67	0.140	100.	0.00475	
0.990	3.00	5.78	5.66	0.112	99.8	0.154	
2.20	3.00	5.59	5.55	0.0449	97.7	2.26	
4.90	3.00	4.81	4.83	-0.0246	85.2	14.8	
11.0	3.00	3.01	3.01	0.00483	53.0	47.0	

<sup>!!!</sup>Warning: EC50 not bracketed by doses evaluated.