Record

Date Evaluation Completed: 31-07-2006

Data Evaluation Report on the Acute Toxicity of Orthosulfamuron Freshwater Algae, Anabaena flos-aquae

PMKA Submissi	on Number {	}	EPA MRID Number 465/89-37
Data Requirem	ent:	PMRA DATA CODE EPA DP Barcode OECD Data Point EPA MRID EPA Guideline	{} D319377 {
Test material: Common name Chemical name:	Orthosulfamuror IUPAC: Not rep CAS name: Not CAS No.: Not re Synonyms: IR58	orted reported ported	Purity: 49.96 a.i.%
Primary Reviewer: Dana Worcester Staff Scientist, Cambridge Environmental Inc.			Signature: Lissa Wocast Date: 2/24/06
Secondary Reviewer: Teri S. Myers Senior Scientist, Cambridge Environmental Inc.			Signature: Seu S Myss. Date: 3/11/06
Primary Review EPA/OPP/EFE	ver: Christopher D/ERB IV	J. Salice	Date: 6/30/06
Secondary Reviewer(s): Christopher J. Salice EPA/OPP/EFED/ERB IV		her J. Salice	Date: 6/30/06 Date: 7/31/06
Reference/Submission No.: {}		}	
Company Code Active Code Use Site Catego EPA PC Code	<i>()</i>	[For PMRA] [For PMRA] [For PMRA]	

<u>CITATION</u>: Desjardins, D., T.Z. Kendall and H.O. Krueger. 2003. IR5878 50WG: A 96 hour Toxicity Test with the Freshwater Alga *Anabaena flos-aquae*. Unpublished study performed by Wildlife International, Ltd, Easton, MD, Project No. 544A-113 and submitted by ISAGRO S.p.A., Milano, Italy. Final report issued June 20, 2003.

DISCLAIMER: This document provides guidance for EPA and PMRA reviewers on how to complete a data evaluation record after reviewing a scientific study concerning the acute toxicity of a pesticide to aquatic nonvascular plants. It is not intended to prescribe conditions to any external party for conducting this study nor to establish absolute criteria regarding the assessment of whether the study is scientifically sound and whether the study satisfies any applicable data requirements. Reviewers are expected to review and to determine for each study, on a case-by-case basis, whether it is scientifically sound and provides sufficient information to satisfy applicable data requirements. Studies that fail to meet any of the conditions may be accepted, if appropriate; similarly, studies that meet all of the conditions may be rejected, if appropriate. In sum, the reviewer is to take into account the totality of factors related to the test methodology and results in determining the acceptability of the study.



PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 465789-37

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

In a 96 hour acute toxicity study, cultures of the freshwater algae, Anabaena flos-aquae were exposed to IR5878 50WG (a.i. Orthosulfamuron, 49.96%) at nominal concentrations of 0.091, 0.20, 0.45, 1.0, 2.2, 5.0 and 11 mg/L under static conditions. The measured (mean) concentrations were 0.082, 0.19, 0.43, 0.96, 2.2, 4.6 and 10 mg/L. Both a negative and an adjuvant control were tested in this study.

By 96 hours, cell density percent inhibitions were -21, -43, -40, -7.8, -9.5, 60 and 84% for the 0.082, 0.19, 0.43, 0.96, 2.2, 4.6 and 10 mg/L treatment groups, respectively, compared to the control. Cell density was the most sensitive endpoint, with an EC₅₀ of 3.8 mg/L and a NOAEC of 2.2 mg/L. By 96 hours, biomass (area under the curve) inhibitions were -1.5, -22, -20, -7.5, 6.6, 48 and 76% for the 0.082, 0.19, 0.43, 0.96, 2.2, 4.6 and 10 mg/L treatment groups, respectively, compared to the control. The biomass EC₅₀ was 4.7 mg/L and the NOEC was 2.2 mg/L. By 96 hours growth rate inhibitions were -3.7, -6.8, -7.5, -1.1, -2.0, 19 and 37% for the 0.082, 0.19, 0.43, 0.96, 2.2, 4.6 and 10 mg/L treatment groups, respectively, compared to the control. The growth rate EC₅₀ was >10 mg/L and the NOEC was 2.2 mg/L.

Enlarged cells were observed in the 10 mg/L treatment group.

This toxicity study is scientifically sound and satisfies the guideline requirement for an aquatic nonvascular plant study with the freshwater alga, *Anabaena flos-aquae*. This study is classified ACCEPTABLE.

Results Synopsis

Test Organism: Anabaena flos-aquae

Test Type (Flow-through, Static, Static Renewal): Static

Cell density (96 Hours):

EC₀₅: 1.0 mg/L (0.50 mg ai/L) 95% C.I.: 0.37-2.8 mg/L (0.18-1.4 mg ai/L) PS% C.I.: 2.5-5.6 mg/L (1.2-2.8 mg ai/L) PS% C.I.: 2.5-5.6 mg/L (1.2-2.8 mg ai/L)

NOAEC: 2.2 mg/L (1.1 mg ai/L) Probit Slope: 2.89±0.750

C 4 400C

Growth rate (0-96 hours):

EC $_{50}$: 1.1 mg/L (0.50 mg ai/L) 95% C.I.: 0.53-2.1 mg/L (0.26-1.0 mg ai/L) PS% C.I.: 3.7-6.0 mg/L (1.8-3.0 mg ai/L) 95% C.I.: 3.7-6.0 mg/L (1.8-3.0 mg ai/L)

Probit Slope: 2.55±0.444

Biomass (0-96 hours):

EC₀₅: 1.8 mg/L (0.90 mg ai/L) 95% C.I.: 0.91-3.5 mg/L (0.45-1.7 mg ai/L)

EC₅₀: >10 mg/L (>5.0 mg ai/L) 95% C.I.: N/A NOAEC: 2.2 mg/L (1.1 mg ai/L)

Probit Slope: 1.89±0.377

Endpoint(s) Affected: Cell density, biomass, and growth rates.

Most sensitive endpoint(s): Cell density

PMP A	Submission	Mumban	•
I MILLON	3001111881011	number .	

EPA MRID Number 465789-37

I. MATERIALS AND METHODS

GUIDELINE FOLLOWED:

The study followed OECD Guideline 201 and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Series 850-Ecological Effects Test Guidelines (draft), OPPTS Number 850.5400, Algal Toxicity, Tiers I and II. The following

deviation from these guidelines are:

The dilution water characteristics of TOC, particulate matter, and chlorine content were not reported.

This deviation did not affect the validity of the study.

COMPLIANCE:

Signed and dated GLP, Quality Assurance and \underline{No} Data Confidentiality statements were provided. The study followed the U.S. EPA (40 CFR, Part

160) Good Laboratory Practice.

A. MATERIALS:

1. Test material

IR5878 50WG (Orthosulfamuron)

Description:

Brown granular solid

Lot No./Batch No.;

G038/02

Purity:

49.96%

Stability of compound

under test conditions: The measured concentrations of orthosulfamuron were 96.1-101% of nominal at Hour 0 and 83.9-94.3% at 96 hours.

(OECD recommends water solubility, stability in water and light, pKa, Pow, and vapor pressure of test compound) Only the water solubility was reported.

Storage conditions of

test chemicals:

The test material was stored under ambient conditions.

Physicochemical properties of orthosulfamuron

Parameter	Values	Comments
Water solubility at 20EC	Not reported	
Vapor pressure	Not reported	
UV absorption	Not reported	
pKa	Not reported	
Kow	Not reported	

PMRA	Submission	Number	
-------------	------------	--------	--

EPA MRID Number 465789-37

2. Test organism:

Name:

Algae Anabaena flos-aquae

EPA requires a nonvascular species: For tier I testing, only one species, S. capricornutum, to be tested; for tier II testing, S. costatum, A. flos-aquae, S. capricorntum, and a freshwater diatom is tested.

OECD suggests the following species are considered suitable: S. capricornutum, S. subspicatus, and C. vulgaris. If other species are used, the strain should be reported

Strain:

UTCC 67

Source:

Current in-house laboratory cultures, originally obtained from

University of Toronto Culture.

Age of inoculum:

Two weeks

Method of cultivation:

Algal Assay Procedure (AAP) medium

B. STUDY DESIGN:

1. Experimental Conditions

a. A range-finding study was not reported.

b. Definitive Study

Table 1: Experimental Parameters

Parameter	Details	Remarks
		Criteria
Acclimation period:	Continuous	
Culturing media and conditions: (same as test or not)	Algal Assay Procedure (AAP) medium; same as test.	EPA recommends two week acclimation period.
Health: (any mortality observed)	Not reported	OECD recommends an amount of algae suitable for the inoculation of test cultures and incubated under the conditions of the test and used when still exponentially growing, normally after an incubation period of about 3 days. When the algal cultures contain deformed or abnormal cells, they must be discarded
Test system Static/static renewal Renewal rate for static renewal	Static	EPA expects the test concentrations to be renewed every 3 to 4 days (one renewal for the 7 day test, 3-4 renewals for the 14 day test).
Incubation facility	Environmental chamber	

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 465789-37

Parameter	Details	P
a a ameter	Details	Remarks
Duration of the test	96 hours	Criteria
	90 nows	EPA requires: 96-120 hours OECD: 72 hours
Test vessel Material: (glass/stainless steel) Size: Fill volume:	Erlenmeyer flasks 250 mL 100 mL	OECD recommends 250 ml conical flasks are suitable when the volume of the test solution is 100 ml or use a culturing apparatus.
Details of growth medium name pH at test initiation: pH at test termination: Chelator used: Carbon source: Salinity (for marine algae):	7.4-7.6 7.6-7.7 disodium EDTA NaHCO ₃ N/A	OECD recommends the medium pH after equilibration with air is ~8 with less than .001 mmol/l of chelator if used. EPA recommends 20X-AAP and chelating agents (e.g. EDTA) in the nutrient medium for optimum cell growth. Lower concentrations of chelating agents (down to one-third of the normal concentration recommended for AAP medium) may be used in the nutrient medium used for test solution preparation if it is suspected that the chelator will interact with the test material. ASTM reference, E1415-91and D 3978-80 (reapproved 1987).
If non-standard nutrient medium was used, detailed composition provided (Yes/No)	N/A	23.18 00 (reapproved 1387).
Dilution water source/type: pH: salinity (for marine algae): water pretreatment (if any): Total Organic Carbon: particulate matter: metals: pesticides: chlorine: Indicate how the test material is added to the medium (added directly or used stock solution) Aeration or agitation	well water Not reported Not reported Not reported Not reported <lod 100="" <lod="" agitation,="" not="" reported="" rpm<="" solution="" stock="" td=""><td>EPA pH: Skeletonema costatum = ~8.0 Others = ~7.5 from beginning to end of the test. EPA salinity: 30-35 ppt. EPA is against the use of dechlorinated water. OECD: pH is measured at beginning of the test and at 72 hours, it should not normally deviate by more than one unit during the test.</td></lod>	EPA pH: Skeletonema costatum = ~8.0 Others = ~7.5 from beginning to end of the test. EPA salinity: 30-35 ppt. EPA is against the use of dechlorinated water. OECD: pH is measured at beginning of the test and at 72 hours, it should not normally deviate by more than one unit during the test.
	G, AVV IPIN	

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 465789-37

Parameter	Details	Remarks
		Criteria
Initial cells density	10,000	EPA requires an initial number of 3,000 - 10,000 cells/mL. For Anabaena flosaquae, cell counts on day 2 are not required.
		OECD recommends that the initial cell concentration be approximately 10,000 cells/ml for <u>S. capricornutum</u> and <u>S. subspicatus</u> . When other species are used the biomass should be comparable.
Number of replicates		
Control: Solvent control:	3 3	
Treatments:	3	EPA requires a negative and/or solvent control with 3 or more replicates per doses. Navicula sp.tests should be conducted with four replicate.
		OECD preferably three replicates at each test concentration and ideally twice that number of controls. When a vehicle is used to solubilize the test substance, additional controls containing the vehicle at the highest concentration used in the test.
Test concentrations Nominal:	0.091, 0.20, 0.45, 1.0, 2.2, 5.0 and 11 mg/L	EPA requires at least 5 test concentrations, with each at least 60%
Measured:		of the next higher one.
	0.082, 0.19, 0.43, 0.96, 2.2, 4.6 and 10 mg/L	OECD recommends at least five concentrations arranged in a geometric series, with the lowest concentration tested should have no observed effect on the growth of the algae. The highest concentration tested should inhibit growth by at least 50% relatively to the control and, preferably, stop growth completely.
Solvent (type, percentage, if used)	N/A	
Method and interval of analytical verification	At 0 and 96 hours samples were analyzed by HPLC	

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 465789-37

Parameter	Details	Remarks Criteria
Test conditions Temperature: Photoperiod: Light intensity and quality:	23.6-24.5°C continuous 1980-2220 lux, cool white light	EPA temperature: Skeletonema: 20EC, Others: 24-25EC; EPA photoperiod: S. costatum 14 hr light/ 10 hr dark, Others: Continuous; EPA light: Anabaena: 2.0 Klux (±15%), Others: 4- 5 Klux (±15%) OECD recommended the temperature in the range of 21 to 25°C maintained at ± 2°C and continuous uniform illumination provided at approximately 8000 Lux measured with a spherical collector.
Reference chemical (if used) name: concentrations:	None	
Other parameters, if any	None	

PMRA	Submission	Number	()	Į

EPA MRID Number 465789-37

2. Observations:

	Table 2: Observation parameter	513
Parameters	Details	Remarks
		Criteria
Parameters measured including the growth inhibition/other toxicity	Cell density, biomass (area under the curve), growth rate	EPA recommends the growth of the algae
symptoms		expressed as the cell count per mL, biomass per volume, or degree of growth as determined by spectrophotometric means.
Measurement technique for cell	Hemacytometer and microscope	
density and other end points		EPA recommends the measurement technique of cell counts or chlorophyll a
		OECD recommends the electronic particle counter, microscope with counting chamber, fluorimeter, spectrophotometer, and colorimeter. (note: in order to provide useful measurements at low cell concentrations when using a spectrophotometer, it may be necessary to use cuvettes with a light path of at least 4
		cm).
Observation intervals	24, 48, 72 and 96 hours	EPA and OECD: every 24 hours.
Other observations, if any	None	
Indicate whether there was an exponential growth in the control	Yes	EPA requires control cell count at termination to be 2X initial count or by a factor of at least 16 during the test. OECD: cell concentration in control cultures should have increased by a factor of at least 16 within three days.
Were raw data included?	Replicate data were provided	

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 465789-37

II. RESULTS and DISCUSSION:

A. INHIBITORY EFFECTS:

By 96 hours, cell density percent inhibitions were -21, -43, -40, -7.8, -9.5, 60 and 84% for the 0.082, 0.19, 0.43, 0.96, 2.2, 4.6 and 10 mg/L treatment groups, respectively, compared to the control. By 96 hours, biomass (area under the curve) inhibitions were -1.5, -22, -20, -7.5, 6.6, 48 and 76% for the 0.082, 0.19, 0.43, 0.96, 2.2, 4.6 and 10 mg/L treatment groups, respectively, compared to the control. By 96 hours growth rate inhibitions were -3.7, -6.8, -7.5, -1.1, -2.0, 19 and 37% for the 0.082, 0.19, 0.43, 0.96, 2.2, 4.6 and 10 mg/L treatment groups, respectively, compared to the control.

Enlarged cells were observed in the 10 mg/L treatment group.

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 465789-37

Table 3: Effect of Orthosulfamuron on algal growth Anabaena flos-aquae

Treatment (record	Initial cell	Cell density at			
measured and nominal	density	24 hours	48 hours	96 hours	
concentration (mg/L)				cell count	% inhibition
Negative control	10,000	33,667	114,333	1,390,000	
Adjuvant control	10,000	10,000	29,000	1,288,333	7.3
0.091 (0.082)	10,000	11,000	119,667	1,686,667	-21
0.20 (0.19)	10,000	9,333	97,333	1,983,333	-43
0.45 (0.43)	10,000	16,333	102,667	1,946,667	-40
1.0 (0.96)	10,000	15,000	91,333	1,498,333	-7.8
2.2 (2.2)	10,000	16,667	105,333	1,521,667	-9.5
5.0 (4.6)	10,000	11,667	138,667	553,333	60
11 (10)	10,000	10,000	34,333	228,667	84
Reference chemical (if used)	N/A				

Table 4: Statistical endpoint values

[Table II States	iicai enupoint vaiues.		
Statistical Endpoint	biomass	growth rate	cell density	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
NOAEC or EC ₀₅ (mg/L)	2.2	2.2	2.2	
EC ₅₀ (mg/L)	3.8	4.7	>10	
IC ₅₀ or EC ₅₀ (mg/L) (95% C.I.)	3.8 (2.5-5.6)	4.7 (3.7-6.0)	>10	
Other (IC ₂₅ /EC ₂₅)	NR	NR	NR	
Reference chemical, if used NOAEC IC ₅₀ /EC ₅₀	N/A	N/A	NA	

NR Not reported

B. REPORTED STATISTICS:

The 96-Hour treatment and control response data passed the tests for normality (Shapiro-Wilks) and homogeneity of variance (Levene's). The 96-Hour EC_{50} value was determined by non-linear regression or linear interpolation. The reported toxicity values were determined in terms of the mean measured test concentrations.

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 465789-37

C. VERIFICATION OF STATISTICAL RESULTS:

Statistical Method: Cell density, growth rate, and biomass data were analyzed using the Chi-square and Shapiro-Wilks tests for normality and the Hartley and Bartlett's tests for homogeneity of variances. Data did not require transformation to satisfy the assumptions of ANOVA. The NOAEC values were determined using ANOVA, followed by Dunnett's or William's test. These analyses were conducted using TOXSTAT statistical software. The response in the treatment groups was compared to the negative control. Student's t-tests were used to compare response in the negative control to that in the adjuvant control for all parameters; with the exception of biomass, there were no differences. The EC_x values were determined using non-linear regression via Nuthatch statistical software. Mean-measured concentrations were used to compute these estimates.

Cell density (96 Hours):

 $\begin{array}{lll} EC_{05} : & 1.0 \text{ mg/L } (0.50 \text{ mg ai/L}) \\ EC_{50} : & 3.8 \text{ mg/L } (1.9 \text{ mg ai/L}) \\ NOAEC : & 2.2 \text{ mg/L } (1.1 \text{ mg ai/L}) \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{lll} 95\% \text{ C.I.: } 0.37\text{-}2.8 \text{ mg/L } (0.18\text{-}1.4 \text{ mg ai/L}) \\ 95\% \text{ C.I.: } 2.5\text{-}5.6 \text{ mg/L } (1.2\text{-}2.8 \text{ mg ai/L}) \\ \end{array}$

Probit Slope: 2.89±0.750

Growth rate (0-96 hours):

EC₀₅: 1.1 mg/L (0.50 mg ai/L) 95% C.I.: 0.53-2.1 mg/L (0.26-1.0 mg ai/L) EC₅₀: 4.7 mg/L (2.3 mg ai/L) 95% C.I.: 3.7-6.0 mg/L (1.8-3.0 mg ai/L)

NOAEC: 2.2 mg/L (1.1 mg ai/L)

Probit Slope: 2.55±0.444

Biomass (0-96 hours):

EC₀₅: 1.8 mg/L (0.90 mg ai/L) 95% C.I.: 0.91-3.5 mg/L (0.45-1.7 mg ai/L)

EC₅₀: >10 mg/L (>5.0 mg ai/L) 95% C.I.: N/A

NOAEC: 2.2 mg/L (1.1 mg ai/L)

Probit Slope: 1.89±0.377

Endpoint(s) Affected: Cell density, biomass, and growth rates.

Most sensitive endpoint(s): Cell density

D. STUDY DEFICIENCIES:

There were no study deficiencies.

E. REVIEWER'S COMMENTS:

The reviewer's conclusions were identical to the study authors'.

The experimental start date was April 17, 2003 and the experimental termination date was April 24, 2003.

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 465789-37

F. CONCLUSIONS:

The study is scientifically sound and is classified ACCEPTABLE. Cell density was the most sensitive endpoint. The EC_{50} was 3.8 mg/L (1.9 mg ai/L); the EC_{05} and NOAEC values were 1.0 mg/L (0.50 mg ai/L) and 2.2 mg/L (1.1 mg ai/L), respectively.

Cell density (96 Hours):

EC $_{05}$: 1.0 mg/L (0.50 mg ai/L) 95% C.I.: 0.37-2.8 mg/L (0.18-1.4 mg ai/L) EC $_{50}$: 3.8 mg/L (1.9 mg ai/L) 95% C.I.: 2.5-5.6 mg/L (1.2-2.8 mg ai/L)

NOAEC: 2.2 mg/L (1.1 mg ai/L)

Probit Slope: 2.89±0.750

Growth rate (0-96 hours):

EC₀₅: 1.1 mg/L (0.50 mg ai/L) 95% C.I.: 0.53-2.1 mg/L (0.26-1.0 mg ai/L) EC₅₀: 4.7 mg/L (2.3 mg ai/L) 95% C.I.: 3.7-6.0 mg/L (1.8-3.0 mg ai/L)

NOAEC: 2.2 mg/L (1.1 mg ai/L)

Probit Slope: 2.55±0.444

Biomass (0-96 hours):

EC₀₅: 1.8 mg/L (0.90 mg ai/L) 95% C.I.: 0.91-3.5 mg/L (0.45-1.7 mg ai/L)

EC₅₀: >10 mg/L (>5.0 mg ai/L) 95% C.I.: N/A

NOAEC: 2.2 mg/L (1.1 mg ai/L)

Probit Slope: 1.89±0.377

Endpoint(s) Affected: Cell density, biomass, and growth rates.

Most sensitive endpoint(s): Cell density

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 465789-37

III. REFERENCES:

- ASTM Standard Guide 1218-90E. 1990. Standard Guide for Conducting Static 96-Hour Toxicity Tests with Microalgae. American Society for Testing and Materials. Philadelphia, PA.
- Bruce, R.D and D.J. Versteeg. 1992. Statistical Procedure for Modeling Continuous Toxicity Data. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*. 11:1485-1494.
- Norgerg-King, T.J. 1993. A Linear Interpolation Method for Sublethal Toxicity: the Inhibition Concentration (Icp) Approach. Version 2.0. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. National Effluent Toxicity Assessment Center. Duluth, MN. Technical Report 03-93.
- Official Journal of the European Communities. 1992. No. L383. Method C.3.: Algal Inhibition Test.
- OECD. 1984. OECD Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals 201. Alga, Growth Inhibition Test.
- The SAS System for Windows. 1999. Version 8.02. SAS Institute Inc. Cary, NC.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1996. Series 850-Ecological Effects Test Guidelines (draft), OPPTS Number 850.5400.: Algal Toxicity, Tiers I and II.
- West, Inc. and D.D. Gulley. TOXSTAT Version 3.5. Copyright 1996. Western Ecosystems Technology, Inc. Cheyenne, WY.

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 465789-37

APPENDIX I. OUTPUT OF REVIEWER'S STATISTICAL VERIFICATION:

cell density (96 h)

File: 8937c

Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

ANOVA TABLE

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F
Between	7	8446787.167	1206683.881	6.148
Within (Error)	16	3140380.667	196273.792	
Total	23	11587167.833		

Critical F value = 2.66 (0.05, 7, 16)Since F > Critical F REJECT Ho: All groups equal

cell density (96 h)

File: 8937c Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	DUNNETTS TEST - T	ABLE 1 OF 2	Ho:Control <treatment< th=""></treatment<>			
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	TRANSFORMED MEAN	MEAN CALCULATED IN ORIGINAL UNITS	T STAT	SIG	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	neg control 0.082 0.19 .43 0.96 2.2 4.6	1390.000 1686.667 1983.333 1946.667 1498.333 1521.667 553.333 228.667	1390.000 1686.667 1983.333 1946.667 1498.333 1521.667 553.333 228.667	-0.820 -1.640 -1.539 -0.299 -0.364 2.313 3.210	*	

Dunnett table value = 2.56 (1 Tailed Value, P=0.05, df=16,7)

cell density (96 h)

File: 8937c Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	DUNNETTS TEST - 7	TABLE 2 OF	2 Ho:Control <treatment< th=""></treatment<>			
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	NUM OF REPS	Minimum Sig Diff (IN ORIG. UNITS)	% of CONTROL	DIFFERENCE FROM CONTROL	
1	neg control	3				
2	0.082	3	926.031	66.6	-296.667	
3	0.19	3	926.031	66.6	-593.333	
4	. 43	3	926.031	66.6	-556.667	
5	0.96	3	926.031	66.6	-108.333	

Page 14 of 21

MRA Submission	Number {}		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	EPA MRID N	lumber 465789-37
6	2.2	3	926.031	66.6	-131.667
7	4.6	3	926.031	66.6	836.667
8	10	3	926.031	66.6	1161,333

cell density (96 h)

File: 8937c Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST (Isotonic regression model) TABLE 1 OF 2							
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	N	ORIGINAL MEAN	TRANSFORMED MEAN	ISOTONIZED MEAN		
1	neg control	3	1390.000	1390.000	1751.667		
2	0.082	3	1686.667	1686.667	1751.667		
3	0.19	3	1983.333	1983.333	1751.667		
4	.43	3	1946.667	1946.667	1751.667		
5	0.96	3	1498.333	1498.333	1510.000		
6	2.2	3	1521.667	1521.667	1510.000		
7	4.6	3	553.333	553.333	553.333		
8	10	3	228.667	228.667	228.667		

cell density (96 h)

File: 8937c Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST	(Isotonic	regression	model)	TABLE 2 C)F 2
IDENTIFICATION	ISOTONIZED MEAN	CALC. WILLIAMS	SIG P=.05	TABLE WILLIAMS	DEGREES OF FREEDOM
neg control	1751.667				
0.082	1751.667	1.000		1.75	k = 1, v = 16
0.19	1751.667	1.000		1.83	k = 2, v = 16
. 43	1751.667	1.000		1.86	k = 3, v = 16
0.96	1510.000	0.332		1.87	k = 4, v = 16
2.2	1510.000	0.332		1.88	k = 5, v = 16
4.6	553.333	2.313	*	1.89	k = 6, v = 16
10	228.667	3.210	*	1.89	k = 7, v = 16

s = 443.028

Note: df used for table values are approximate when v > 20.

Estimates of EC%

Parameter	Estimate	95% Bou	nds	Std.Err.	Lower Bound	
EC5 EC10 EC25	1.0 1.4 2.2	Lower 0.37 0.58 1.2	Upper 2.8 3.2 4.1	0.21 0.18 0.13	/Estimate 0.37 0.42 0.54	

Page 15 of 21

PMRA Submission	Number {	}			EPA MR	ID Number 465789-3
EC50	3.8	2.5	5.6	0.084	0.67	
Slop	e =	2.89 Std	.Err. =	0.750		
Goodness of f	it: p =	0.42	based on	DF=	5.0	16.
8937C : cell	density	(96 h)		·		
Observed vs.	Predicte	d Treatme	nt Group Me	eans		
Dose	#Reps.	Obs. Mean	Pred. Mean	Obs.	Pred. %Control	&Change
0.430 0.960 2.20 4.60	3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00	1.98e+03 1.95e+03 1.50e+03 1.52e+03 553. 229.	ANOVA TAE	245. 214. -166. 216. -145. 36.3 RMATION	100. 99.7 95.7 75.1 40.2 11.1	0.00883 0.321 4.29 24.9 59.8 88.9
SOURCE	DF		ss	· ~~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	MS	F
Between	7	242	4342816.000	346334	688.000	
Within (Error)	16	40	3418304.000	25213	644.000	

Critical F value = 2.66 (0.05, 7, 16)Since F > Critical F REJECT Ho: All groups equal

Total 23 2827761120.000

biomass

File: 8937b Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	DUNNETTS TEST - T	ABLE 1 OF 2	Ho:Control <tr< th=""><th>eatment</th><th></th></tr<>	eatment	
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	TRANSFORMED MEAN	MEAN CALCULATED IN ORIGINAL UNITS	T STAT	SIG
1 2 3 4 5	neg control 0.082 0.19 .43 0.96	31232.000 31696.000 37996.000 37624.000 33588.000	31232.000 31696.000 37996.000 37624.000 33588.000	-0.113 -1.650 -1.559 -0.575	

Page 16 of 21

PMRA Submission Number {	}		EPA MRIL	Number 465789-37
6 7 8	2.2 4.6 10	29168.000 16332.000 7436.000	29168.000 16332.000 7436.000	0.503 3.634 * 5.804 *
Dunnett table value =	2.56	(1 Tailed Valu	ie, P=0.05, df=1	L6,7)

biomass

File: 8937b Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	DUNNETTS TEST -	TABLE 2 OF	2 Ho:Control <treatment< th=""></treatment<>		
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	NUM OF REPS	Minimum Sig Diff (IN ORIG. UNITS)	% of CONTROL	DIFFERENCE FROM CONTROL
1	neg control	3			
2	0.082	3	10495.718	33.6	-464.000
3	0.19	3	10495.718	33.6	-6764.000
4	.43	3	10495.718	33.6	-6392.000
5	0.96	3	10495.718	33.6	-2356.000
6	2.2	3	10495.718	33.6	2064.000
7	4.6	3	10495.718	33.6	14900.000
8	10	3	10495.718	33.6	23796.000

biomass

File: 8937b Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	WILLIAMS TEST (Isotor	nic	regression mode	1) TABLE 1 O	F 2
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	N	ORIGINAL MEAN	TRANSFORMED MEAN	ISOTONIZED MEAN
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	neg control 0.082 0.19 .43 0.96 2.2 4.6	3 3 3 3 3 3	31232.000 31696.000 37996.000 37624.000 33588.000 29168.000 16332.000 7436.000	31232.000 31696.000 37996.000 37624.000 33588.000 29168.000 16332.000 7436.000	34637.000 34637.000 34637.000 34637.000 33588.000 29168.000 16332.000 7436.000

biomass

File: 8937b

Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST (Isotonic regression model) TABLE 2 OF 2 ISOTONIZED CALC. SIG ISOTONIZED CALC. SIG TABLE DEGREES OF MEAN WILLIAMS P=.05 WILLIAMS FREEDOM IDENTIFICATION FREEDOM

PMRA Submissio	n Number {	}			EPA MR	ID Number 465789-37
			· -			
neg	control					
	0.082	34637.00	0.83	31	1.75	k = 1, v = 1
		34637.00		31	1.83	
		34637.00	0.83		1.86	k= 2, v=1 k= 3, v=1
	0.96	33588.00	0.5	75	1.87	k=4, $v=1$
		29168.00		03	1 00	k- 5 37-1
	4.6	16332.00	00 3.63	34 *	1.89	k= 6, v=1
		7436.00	00 5.80		1.89	k=7, $v=1$
s = 5021.319						
Note: df use	d for tab	le values	are appro	ximate whe	n v > 20.	
Estimates of						
Parameter	Estimate	95% 1	Rounde	Ctd Err	Louis D	٠
EC5	1 1	Lower	Upper		/Estima	te
EC10	1.1	0.53	2.3	0.15	0.50	
EC10	1.5	0.82	2.	0.12	0.56	
EC25	2.6	1.7	3.9	0.085	0.66	
EC50	4.7	3.7	6.0	0.15 7 0.12 9 0.085 0 0.051	0.78	
Slo	pe =	2.55 Std	l.Err. =	0.444		
Goodness of	fit: p =	0.44	based or	n DF=	5.0	16.
 3937B : biom				·		
Observed vs.	Predicte	d Treatme	nt Group N	feans		
Dose	#Reps.	Obs.	Pred.	Obs.	Pred.	%Change
		Mean	Mean	-Pred.	&Control	-
0.00	3.00	3.12e+04	3.48e+04	-3.57e+03	100.	0.00
0.0820	3.00	3.17e+04	3.48e+04	-3.10e+03	100.	0.000365
0.190	3.00	3.80e+04	3.48e+04	-3.10e+03 3.20e+03 2.97e+03	100.	0.0190
	3.00	3.76e+04	3.47e+04	2.97e+03	99.6	0.403
0.960	3.00	3.36e+U4	3.34e+04	154.	96.1	3.92
2.20	3.00	2.92e+04	2.78e+04	1.33e+03	80.0	20.0
4.60	3.00	1.63e+04		-1.41e+03		49.0
10.0			7.02e+03	418.	20.2	79.8
growth rate				120.	20.2	,,,,
	Tr	ansform:	NO TRANSFO	RMATION		
			ANOVA TA			
					~ -	
SOURCE	DF		SS		MS	F
 Between				·		
SCMEELL	7		13.54	. 3	1.935	15.117

Page 18 of 21

er {}		EPA MRID Number 465789-37
16	2.051	0.128
23	15.593	
	16	16 2.051

Critical F value = 2.66 (0.05,7,16)
Since F > Critical F REJECT Ho:All groups equal

growth rate File: 8937g

Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

GROUP IDENTIFICATION TRANSFORMED MEAN MEAN CALCULATED IN ORIGINAL UNITS T STAT SIG 1 neg control 2 5.110 5.110 5.297 -0.639 3 0.19 5.457 5.457 -1.187 4 .43 5.493 5.493 -1.312 5 0.96 5.163 5.163 -0.183 6 2.2 5.210 5.210 -0.342 7 4.6 4.127 4.127 3.366 * 8 10 3.200 3.200 6.538 *		DUNNETTS TEST - TAI	BLE 1 OF 2	Ho:Control <tr< th=""><th>eatment</th><th></th></tr<>	eatment	
2 0.082 5.297 5.297 -0.639 3 0.19 5.457 5.457 -1.187 4 .43 5.493 5.493 -1.312 5 0.96 5.163 5.163 -0.183 6 2.2 5.210 5.210 -0.342 7 4.6 4.127 4.127 3.366 *	GROUP	IDENTIFICATION			т стат	SIG
	3 4 5 6 7	0.082 0.19 .43 0.96 2.2 4.6	5.297 5.457 5.493 5.163 5.210 4.127	5.297 5.457 5.493 5.163 5.210 4.127	-1.187 -1.312 -0.183 -0.342 3.366	

Dunnett table value = 2.56 (1 Tailed Value, P=0.05, df=16,7)

growth rate

File: 8937g Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	DUNNETTS TEST - 7	TABLE 2 OF	2 Ho:	Control <t< th=""><th>reatment</th></t<>	reatment
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	NUM OF REPS	Minimum Sig Diff (IN ORIG. UNITS)	% of CONTROL	DIFFERENCE FROM CONTROL
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	neg control 0.082 0.19 .43 0.96 2.2 4.6	3 3 3 3 3 3	0.748 0.748 0.748 0.748 0.748 0.748	14.6 14.6 14.6 14.6 14.6 14.6	-0.187 -0.347 -0.383 -0.053 -0.100 0.983

growth rate

File: 8937g Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST (Isotonic regression model) TABLE 1 OF 2

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 465789-37

GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	N	ORIGINAL MEAN	TRANSFORMED MEAN	ISOTONIZED MEAN
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	neg control 0.082 0.19 .43 0.96 2.2 4.6	3 3 3 3 3 3 3	5.110 5.297 5.457 5.493 5.163 5.210 4.127 3.200	5.110 5.297 5.457 5.493 5.163 5.210 4.127 3.200	5.339 5.339 5.339 5.339 5.187 5.187 4.127 3.200

growth rate File: 8937g

Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST	(Isotonic	regression	model)	TABLE 2 O	₹ 2
IDENTIFICATION	ISOTONIZED MEAN	CALC. WILLIAMS	SIG P=.05	TABLE WILLIAMS	DEGREES OF FREEDOM
neg control 0.082 0.19 .43 0.96 2.2 4.6	5.339 5.339 5.339 5.339 5.187 5.187 4.127 3.200	0.784 0.784 0.784 0.262 0.262 3.364 6.534	*	1.75 . 1.83 1.86 1.87 1.88 1.89	k= 1, v=16 k= 2, v=16 k= 3, v=16 k= 4, v=16 k= 5, v=16 k= 6, v=16 k= 7, v=16

s = 0.358

Note: df used for table values are approximate when v > 20.

Estimates of EC%

Parameter	Estimate	95% Bou	ınds	Std.Err.	Lower Bound
		Lower	Upper		/Estimate
EC5	1.8	0.91	3.5	0.14	0.51
EC10	2.8	1.7	4.6	0.11	0.60
EC25	5.8	4.5	7.5	0.053	0.77
EC50	13.	10.	17.	0.056	0.76

Slope = 1.89 Std.Err. = 0.377

Goodness of fit: p = 0.53 based on DF= 5.0 16.

8937G : growth rate

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 465789-37

Observed vs.	Predicted	${\tt Treatment}$	Group	Means
--------------	-----------	-------------------	-------	-------

						
Dose	#Reps.	Obs. Mean	Pred. Mean	Obs. -Pred.	Pred. %Control	%Change
0.00	3.00	5.11	5.34	-0.234	100.	0.00
0.0820	3.00	5.30	5.34	-0.0475	100.	0.00149
0.190	3.00	5.46	5.34	0.114	100.	0.0247
0.430	3.00	5.49	5.33	0.162	99.8	0.245
0.960	3.00	5.16	5.26	-0.0973	98.4	1.56
2.20	3.00	5.21	4.97	0.242	93.0	7.05
4.60	3,00	4.13	4.31	-0.185	80.7	19.3
10.0	3.00	3.20	3.15	0.0457	59.0	41.0

^{!!!}Warning: EC50 not bracketed by doses evaluated.

Control t-tests

cell density	biomass	growth rate
negative control	negative control	negative control
1005000	29700000	0.048
1345000	31476000	0.0511
1820000	32520000	0.0542
adjuvant control	adjuvant control	adjuvant control
980000	20712000	0.0478
1430000	25368000	0.0517
1455000	25482000°	0.0519

t-test

0.531171 t-test

0.012972 t-test

0.540128