PMRA Submiss	ion Number {	}	EPA MRID Number 465789-35
Data Requirem	ent:	PMRA DATA CODE EPA DP Barcode OECD Data Point EPA MRID EPA Guideline	{} D319377 {
Test material: Common name Chemical name:	Orthosulfamuro: IUPAC: Not rep CAS name: Not CAS No.: Not re Synonyms: IR58	orted reported eported	Purity: 49.96 a.i.%
Primary Review Staff Scientist, C	ver: Dana Worce Cambridge Envir	ester conmental Inc.	Signature: Lana Worcest Date: 2/24/06
Secondary Revi Senior Scientist,	ewer: Teri S. My , Cambridge Env	vers ironmental Inc.	Signature: Smym Date: 3/11/06
Primary Review EPA/OPP/EFEI	er: Christopher D/ERB-IV	Salice	Date: 6/30/06
Secondary Revie EPA/OPP/EFE	Secondary Reviewer(s): Christopher Salice EPA/OPP/EFED/ERB-IV		Date: 7/31/06
Reference/Subm	ission No.: {	}	
Company Code Active Code Use Site Categor EPA PC Code		[For PMRA] [For PMRA] [For PMRA]	<i>.</i>

Date Evaluation Completed: 31-07-2006

<u>CITATION</u>: Desjardins, D., T.Z. Kendall and H.O. Krueger. 2003. IR5878 50WG: A 96 hour Toxicity Test with the Freshwater Diatom (*Navicula pelliculosa*). Unpublished study performed by Wildlife International, Ltd, Easton, MD, Project No. 544A-115 and submitted by ISAGRO S.p.A., Milano, Italy. Final report issued April 11, 2003.

DISCLAIMER: This document provides guidance for EPA and PMRA reviewers on how to complete a data evaluation record after reviewing a scientific study concerning the acute toxicity of a pesticide to aquatic nonvascular plants. It is not intended to prescribe conditions to any external party for conducting this study nor to establish absolute criteria regarding the assessment of whether the study is scientifically sound and whether the study satisfies any applicable data requirements. Reviewers are expected to review and to determine for each study, on a case-by-case basis, whether it is scientifically sound and provides sufficient information to satisfy applicable data requirements. Studies that fail to meet any of the conditions may be accepted, if appropriate; similarly, studies that meet all of the conditions may be rejected, if appropriate. In sum, the reviewer is to take into account the totality of factors related to the test methodology and results in determining the acceptability of the study.



PMRA Submission Number {......

EPA MRID Number 465789-35

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

In a 96 hour acute toxicity study, cultures of the freshwater diatom, *Navicula pelliculosa* were exposed to IR5878 50WG (a.i. Orthosulfamuron, 49.96%) at nominal concentrations of 0.31, 0.63, 1.3, 2.5 and 5.0 mg/L under static conditions. The measured (mean) concentrations were 0.28, 0.57, 1.1, 2.3 and 4.6 mg/L.

By 96 hours, cell density percent inhibitions were 3.5, 0.49, -1.6, 44 and 100% for the 0.28, 0.57, 1.1, 2.3 and 4.6 mg/L treatment groups, respectively, compared to the control. The cell density EC_{50} was 2.4 mg/L and the NOAEC was 1.1 mg/L. By 96 hours, biomass (area under the curve) inhibitions were -14, -5.3, 9.7, 83 and 100% for the 0.28, 0.57, 1.1, 2.3 and 4.6 mg/L treatment groups, respectively, compared to the control. Biomass was the most sensitive endpoint, with an EC_{50} of 1.6 mg/L and a NOAEC of 0.57 mg/L. By 96 hours growth rate inhibitions were 0.68, -0.009, -0.42, 13 and 100% for the 0.28, 0.57, 1.1, 2.3 and 4.6 mg/L treatment groups, respectively, compared to the control. The growth rate EC_{50} was 3.3 mg/L and the NOAEC was 1.1 mg/L.

There were no compound related phytotoxic effects.

The study is scientifically sound, however, it does not satisfy the guideline requirement for an aquatic nonvascular plant study with *Navicula pelliculosa*. At 96 hours the cell density inhibition in the adjuvant control was 100%, showing that the adjuvant had toxic properties for the freshwater diatom *Navicula pelliculosa*. The study authors concluded that it is impossible to discern the effects of the adjuvant from the effects of the test material, Orthosulfamuron. Given the uncertainties associated with the potential toxicity of the adjuvant, this study is classified as SUPPLEMENTAL.

Results Synopsis

Test Organism: Navicula pelliculosa

Test Type (Flow-through, Static, Static Renewal): Static

Cell density (96 Hours); reviewer-reported:

EC₀₅: 1.6 mg/L (0.8 mg ai/L) 95% C.I.: 1.5-1.8 mg/L (0.75-0.90 mg ai/L) EC₅₀: 2.4 mg/L (1.2 mg ai/L) 95% C.I.: 2.3-2.5 mg/L (1.1-1.2 mg ai/L)

NOAEC: 1.1 mg/L (0.55 mg ai/L)

Probit Slope: 9.98±0.993

Growth rate (0-96 hours); study author-reported:

EC₀₅: >1.1 mg/L (>0.55 mg ai/L) 95% C.I.: N/A

EC₅₀: 3.3 mg/L (1.6 mg ai/L) 95% C.I.: 3.2-3.4 mg/L (1.6-1.7 mg ai/L)

NOAEC: 1.1 mg/L (0.55 mg ai/L)

Probit Slope: could not determine using this model

Biomass (0-96 hours); reviewer-reported:

EC₀₅: 0.87 mg/L (0.43 mg ai/L) 95% C.I.: 0.75-1.0 mg/L (0.37-0.50 mg ai/L) EC₅₀: 1.6 mg/L (0.8 mg ai/L) 95% C.I.: 1.5-1.7 mg/L (0.75-0.85 mg ai/L)

NOAEC: 0.57 mg/L (0.28 mg ai/L)

Probit Slope: 6.26±0.493

Endpoint(s) Affected: Cell density, biomass, and growth rates.

Most sensitive endpoint(s): Biomass

PMRA Submission Number {.......

EPA MRID Number 465789-35

I. MATERIALS AND METHODS

GUIDELINE FOLLOWED:

The study followed OECD Guideline 201 and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Series 850-Ecological Effects Test Guidelines (*draft*), OPPTS Number 850.5400, *Algal Toxicity, Tiers I and II.* The following deviation from these guidelines is:

The dilution water characteristics of TOC, particulate matter, and chlorine content were not reported.

At 96 hours the cell density inhibition in the adjuvant control was 100%, showing that the adjuvant had toxic properties for the freshwater diatom *Navicula pelliculosa*. The study authors concluded that it is impossible to discern the effects of the adjuvant from the effects of the test material, Orthosulfamuron.

COMPLIANCE:

Signed and dated GLP, Quality Assurance and No Data Confidentiality statements were provided. The study followed the U.S. EPA (40 CFR, Part 160) Good Laboratory Practice.

A. MATERIALS:

1. Test material

IR5878 50WG (Orthosulfamuron)

Description:

Brown granular solid

Lot No./Batch No.:

G038/02

Purity:

49.96%

Stability of compound

under test conditions: The measured concentrations of orthosulfamuron were 95.1-113% of nominal at Hour 0 and 84.8-93.8% at 96 hours.

(OECD recommends water solubility, stability in water and light, pKa, Pow, and vapor pressure of test compound) Only the water solubility was reported.

Storage conditions of

test chemicals:

The test material was stored under ambient conditions.

Physicochemical properties of orthosulfamuron

Parameter	Values	Comments
Water solubility at 20EC	Not reported	
Vapor pressure	Not reported	
UV absorption	Not reported	
pKa	Not reported	
Kow	Not reported	

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 465789-35

2. Test organism:

Name:

Freshwater diatom Navicula pelliculosa

EPA requires a nonvascular species: For tier I testing, only one species, S. capricornutum, to be tested; for tier II testing, S. costatum, A. flos-aquae, S. capricorntum, and a freshwater diatom is tested.

OECD suggests the following species are considered suitable: S. capricornutum, S. subspicatus, and C. vulgaris. If other species are used, the strain should be reported

Strain:

UTEX 667

Source:

Current in-house laboratory cultures originally obtained from Culture

Collection of Algae at the University of Austin.

Age of inoculum:

Two weeks old

Method of cultivation:

Algal Assay Procedure (AAP) medium

B. STUDY DESIGN:

1. Experimental Conditions

- a. A range-finding study was not reported.
- b. Definitive Study

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 465789-35

Table 1: Experimental Parameters

Parameter	Details	Remarks
		Criteria
Acclimation period:	Continuous	
Culturing media and conditions:	Algal Assay Procedure (AAP)	
(same as test or not)	medium; same as test.	EPA recommends two week acclimation period.
Health: (any mortality observed)	Not reported	para.
	·	OECD recommends an amount of algae suitable for the inoculation of test cultures and incubated under the conditions of the test and used when still exponentially growing, normally after an incubation period of about 3 days. When the algal cultures contain deformed or abnormal cells, they must be discarded.
Test system		
Static/static renewal Renewal rate for static renewal	Static	EPA expects the test concentrations to be renewed every 3 to 4 days (one renewal for the 7 day test, 3-4 renewals for the 14 day test).
Incubation facility	Environmental chamber	·
Duration of the test	96 hours	
		EPA requires: 96-120 hours OECD: 72 hours
Test vessel		
Material: (glass/stainless steel)	Erlenmeyer flasks	
Size:	250 mL	OECD recommends 250 ml conical
Fill volume:	100 mL	flasks are suitable when the volume of the test solution is 100 ml or use a culturing apparatus.
Details of growth medium name		

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 465789-35

Parameter	Details	Remarks
r ar arneter	Details	
pH at test initiation:	7.6	Criteria
pH at test initiation:	7.6-7.8	OECD recommends the medium pH
Chelator used:	disodium EDTA	after equilibration with air is ~8 with less than .001 mmol/l of chelator if used.
Carbon source:		tess than .001 mmot/t of chetator if usea.
Salinity (for marine algae):	NaHCO ₃ N/A	EPA recommends 20X-AAP and
Sammy (for marine algae):	IN/A	chelating agents (e.g. EDTA) in the
		nutrient medium for optimum cell
		growth. Lower concentrations of
		chelating agents (down to one-third
		of the normal concentration
		recommended for AAP medium) may
		be used in the nutrient medium used
		for test solution preparation if it is
		suspected that the chelator will
		interact with the test material.
		ASTM reference, E1415-91and D
		3978-80 (reapproved 1987).
If non-standard nutrient medium	N/A	() () () () () () () () () ()
was used, detailed composition		
provided (Yes/No)		
Ţ,		
Dilution water		
source/type:	well water	EPA pH: Skeletonema costatum = ~8.0
pH:	Not reported	Others = \sim 7.5 from beginning to end of
salinity (for marine algae):		the test. EPA salinity: 30-35 ppt. EPA is
water pretreatment (if any):	Not reported	against the use of dechlorinated water.
Total Organic Carbon:	Not reported	OFCD W.
particulate matter:	Not reported	OECD: pH is measured at beginning of the test and at 72 hours, it should not
metals:	<lod< td=""><td>normally deviate by more than one unit</td></lod<>	normally deviate by more than one unit
pesticides:	<lod< td=""><td>during the test.</td></lod<>	during the test.
chlorine:	Not reported	
Indicate how the test material is	Stock solution	
added to the medium (added		
directly or used stock solution)		
Aeration or agitation	Agitation, 100 rpm	

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 465789-35

Parameter	Details	Remarks
2 44 44400		Criteria
Initial cells density	10,000	Criteria
·		EPA requires an initial number of 3,000 - 10,000 cells/mL. For Anabaena flosaquae, cell counts on day 2 are not required.
		OECD recommends that the initial cell concentration be approximately 10,000 cells/ml for <u>S. capricornutum</u> and <u>S. subspicatus</u> . When other species are used the biomass should be comparable.
Number of replicates		
Control:	4	
Solvent control: Treatments:	4 4	EPA requires a negative and/or solvent control with 3 or more replicates per doses. Navicula sp. tests should be conducted with four replicate.
		OECD preferably three replicates at each test concentration and ideally twice that number of controls. When a vehicle is used to solubilize the test substance, additional controls containing the vehicle at the highest concentration used in the test.
Test concentrations		
Nominal:	0.31, 0.63, 1.3, 2.5 and 5.0 mg/L	EPA requires at least 5 test concentrations, with each at least 60%
Measured:	0.28, 0.57, 1.1, 2.3 and 4.6 mg/L	of the next higher one.
		OECD recommends at least five concentrations arranged in a geometric series, with the lowest concentration tested should have no observed effect on the growth of the algae. The highest concentration tested should inhibit growth by at least 50% relatively to the control and, preferably, stop growth completely.
Solvent (type, percentage, if used)	N/A	
Method and interval of analytical verification	At 0 and 96 hours samples were analyzed by HPLC	

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 465789-35

Parameter	Details	Remarks
<u> </u>		Criteria
Test conditions Temperature: Photoperiod: Light intensity and quality:	21.5-24.6°C continuous 3990-4650 lux, cool white light	EPA temperature: Skeletonema: 20 BC, Others: 24-25 BC; EPA photoperiod: S. costatum 14 hr light/10 hr dark, Others: Continuous; EPA light: Anabaena: 2.0 Klux (±15%), Others: 4-5 Klux (±15%) OECD recommended the temperature in the range of 21 to25°C maintained at ±2°C and continuous uniform illumination provided at approximately 8000 Lux measured with a spherical collector.
Reference chemical (if used)	None	
name:		
concentrations:		
Other parameters, if any	None	

2. Observations:

Table 2: Observation parameters

Parameters	Details	Remarks	
		Criteria	
Parameters measured including the	Cell density, biomass (area under the curve), growth rate	·	
growth inhibition/other toxicity symptoms		EPA recommends the growth of the algae expressed as the cell count per mL, biomass per volume, or degree of growth as determined by spectrophotometric means.	
Measurement technique for cell	Hemacytometer and microscope		
density and other end points		EPA recommends the measurement	
	·	technique of cell counts or chlorophyll a	
		OECD recommends the electronic particle counter, microscope with counting chamber, fluorimeter, spectrophotometer, and colorimeter. (note: in order to provide useful measurements at low cell concentrations when using a spectrophotometer, it may be necessary to use cuvettes with a light path of at least 4 cm).	
Observation intervals	24, 48, 72 and 96 hours	EPA and OECD: every 24 hours.	
Other observations, if any	None		

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 465789-35

Parameters	Details	Remarks Criteria
Indicate whether there was an exponential growth in the control	Yes	EPA requires control cell count at termination to be 2X initial count or by a factor of at least 16 during the test. OECD: cell concentration in control cultures should have increased by a factor of at least 16 within three days.
Were raw data included?	Replicate data were provided	

II. RESULTS and DISCUSSION:

A. INHIBITORY EFFECTS:

By 96 hours, cell density percent inhibitions were 3.5, 0.49, -1.6, 44 and 100% for the 0.28, 0.57, 1.1, 2.3 and 4.6 mg/L treatment groups, respectively, compared to the control. By 96 hours, biomass (area under the curve) inhibitions were -14, -5.3, 9.7, 83 and 100% for the 0.28, 0.57, 1.1, 2.3 and 4.6 mg/L treatment groups, respectively, compared to the control. By 96 hours growth rate inhibitions were 0.68, -0.009, -0.42, 13 and 100% for the 0.28, 0.57, 1.1, 2.3 and 4.6 mg/L treatment groups, respectively, compared to the control.

There were no compound related phytotoxic effects.

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 465789-35

Table 3: Effect of orthosulfamuron on freshwater diatom Navicula pelliculosa

Treatment (record	Initial cell	Cell density at			
measured and nominal	density	24 hours	48 hours	96 hours	
concentration (mg/L)				cell count	% inhibition
Negative control	10,000	33,750	350,000	1,027,500	
Adjuvant control	10,000	2,500	2,500	1,000	100
0.31 (0.28)	10,000	35,000	489,250	991,250	3.5
0.63 (0.57)	10,000	32,750	431,000	1,022,500	0.49
1.3 (1.1)	10,000	13,750	252,250	1,043,750	-1.6
2.5 (2.3)	10,000	3,250	12,500	575,000	44
5.0 (4.6)	10,000	2,000	1,500	2,500	100
Reference chemical (if used)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Table 4: Statistical endpoint values.

Statistical Endpoint	biomass	growth rate	cell density	
NOAEC or EC ₀₅ (mg/L)	1.1	0.57	1.1	
EC ₅₀ (mg/L)	2.4	1.6	3.3	
IC ₅₀ or EC ₅₀ (mg/L) (95% C.I.)	2.4 (2.3-2.5)	1.6 (1.5-1.7)	3.3 (3.2-3.4)	
Other (IC ₂₅ /EC ₂₅)	NR	NR	NR	
Reference chemical, if used NOAEC IC ₅₀ /EC ₅₀	N/A	N/A	NA	

NR Not reported

B. REPORTED STATISTICS:

The 96-Hour treatment and control response data passed the tests for normality (Shapiro-Wilks) and homogeneity of variance (Levene's). The 96-Hour EC_{50} value was determined by non-linear regression or linear interpolation. The reported toxicity values were determined in terms of the mean measured test concentrations.

PMRA Submission Number {......

EPA MRID Number 465789-35

C. VERIFICATION OF STATISTICAL RESULTS:

Statistical Method: Cell density, growth rate, and biomass data were analyzed using the Chi-square and Shapiro-Wilks tests for normality and the Hartley and Bartlett's tests for homogeneity of variances. Data for cell density required a square-root transformation to satisfy the assumptions of ANOVA. The NOAEC values were determined using ANOVA, followed by Dunnett's test. These analyses were conducted using TOXSTAT statistical software. The EC_x values were determined using non-linear regression via Nuthatch statistical software. Mean-measured concentrations were used to compute these estimates. Growth rate data did not fit the probit model, so the EC_x values could not be determined for this endpoint.

Cell density (96 Hours):

 EC_{05} :

1.6 mg/L (0.8 mg ai/L)

95% C.I.: 1.5-1.8 mg/L (0.75-0.90 mg ai/L) 95% C.I.: 2.3-2.5 mg/L (1.1-1.2 mg ai/L)

EC₅₀: 2.4 mg/L (1.2 mg ai/L)

NOAEC: 1.1 mg/L (0.55 mg ai/L)

Probit Slope: 9.98±0.993

Growth rate (0-96 hours):

 EC_{05} : EC₅₀: >1.1 mg/L (>0.55 mg ai/L) 95% C.I.: N/A 95% C.I.: N/A

>2.3 mg/L (>1.1 mg ai/L)

NOAEC: 1.1 mg/L (0.55 mg ai/L)

Probit Slope: could not determine using this model

Biomass (0-96 hours):

EC₀₅:

0.87 mg/L (0.43 mg ai/L)

95% C.I.: 0.75-1.0 mg/L (0.37-0.50 mg ai/L) 95% C.I.: 1.5-1.7 mg/L (0.75-0.85 mg ai/L)

EC50: 1.6 mg/L (0.8 mg ai/L)NOAEC: 0.57 mg/L (0.28 mg ai/L)

Probit Slope: 6.26±0.493

Endpoint(s) Affected: Cell density, biomass, and growth rates.

Most sensitive endpoint(s): Biomass

D. STUDY DEFICIENCIES:

At 96 hours the cell density inhibition in the adjuvant control was 100%, showing that the adjuvant had toxic properties for the freshwater diatom Navicula pelliculosa. The study authors concluded that it is impossible to discern the effects of the adjuvant from the effects of the test material, Orthosulfamuron.

E. REVIEWER'S COMMENTS:

With the exception of the EC₅₀ for growth rate, the reviewer's conclusions were identical to the study authors'. Biomass was the most sensitive endpoint, with an EC₅₀ of 1.6 mg/L (0.8 mg ai/L). Growth rate data did not fit the probit model, so toxicity estimates could not be determined by the reviewer. In the Executive Summary and Conclusions sections, the reviewer's results are reported for cell density and biomass and the study authors' results are reported for growth rate.

The experimental start date was February 13, 2003 and the experimental termination date was February 24, 2003.

PMRA Submission Number {.......

EPA MRID Number 465789-35

F. CONCLUSIONS:

The study is scientifically sound, however, there are uncertainties associated with the toxicity of the adjuvant; therefore this study is classified SUPPLEMENTAL. Biomass was the most sensitive endpoint. The EC₅₀ was 1.6 mg/L (0.80 mg ai/L); the EC₀₅ and NOAEC values were 0.87 mg/L (0.43 mg ai/L) and 0.57 mg/L (0.28 mg ai/L), respectively.

Cell density (96 Hours); reviewer-reported:

EC₀₅:

1.6 mg/L (0.8 mg ai/L)

95% C.I.: 1.5-1.8 mg/L (0.75-0.90 mg ai/L) 95% C.I.: 2.3-2.5 mg/L (1.1-1.2 mg ai/L)

EC₅₀:

2.4 mg/L (1.2 mg ai/L)

NOAEC:

1.1 mg/L (0.55 mg ai/L)

Probit Slope: 9.98±0.993

Growth rate (0-96 hours); study author-reported:

EC₀₅:

>1.1 mg/L (>0.55 mg ai/L)

95% C.I.: N/A

EC₅₀:

3.3 mg/L (1.6 mg ai/L)

95% C.I.: 3.2-3.4 mg/L (1.6-1.7 mg ai/L)

NOAEC:

1.1 mg/L (0.55 mg ai/L)

Probit Slope: could not determine using this model

Biomass (0-96 hours); reviewer-reported:

EC₀₅:

0.87 mg/L (0.43 mg ai/L)

95% C.I.: 0.75-1.0 mg/L (0.37-0.50 mg ai/L)

EC₅₀:

1.6 mg/L (0.8 mg ai/L) 0.57 mg/L (0.28 mg ai/L) 95% C.I.: 1.5-1.7 mg/L (0.75-0.85 mg ai/L)

NOAEC:

Probit Slope: 6.26±0.493

Endpoint(s) Affected: Cell density, biomass, and growth rates.

Most sensitive endpoint(s): Biomass

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 465789-35

III. REFERENCES:

- ASTM Standard Guide 1218-90E. 1990. Standard Guide for Conducting Static 96-Hour Toxicity Tests with Microalgae. American Society for Testing and Materials. Philadelphia, PA.
- Bruce, R.D and D.J. Versteeg. 1992. Statistical Procedure for Modeling Continuous Toxicity Data. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry. 11:1485-1494.
- Cohen, J. 1977. Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences. Academic Press, New York.
- Norgerg-King, T.J. 1993. A Linear Interpolation Method for Sublethal Toxicity: the Inhibition Concentration (Icp) Approach. Version 2.0. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. National Effluent Toxicity Assessment Center. Duluth, MN. Technical Report 03-93.
- Official Journal of the European Communities. 1992. No. L383. Method C.3.: Algal Inhibition Test.
- OECD. 1984. OECD Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals 201. Alga, Growth Inhibition Test.
- The SAS System for Windows. 1999. Version 8.02. SAS Institute Inc. Cary, NC.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1996. Series 850-Ecological Effects Test Guidelines (draft), OPPTS Number 850.5400.: Algal Toxicity, Tiers 1 and 11.
- West, Inc. and D.D. Gulley. TOXSTAT Version 3.5. Copyright 1996. Western Ecosystems Technology, Inc. Cheyenne, WY.

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 465789-35

APPENDIX I. OUTPUT OF REVIEWER'S STATISTICAL VERIFICATION:

cell density (96 h)

File: 8935c

Transform: SQUARE ROOT(Y)

ANOVA TABLE

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F
Between	5	2969.286	593.857	272.162
Within (Error)	18	39.278	2.182	
Total	23	3008.565		

Critical F value = 2.77 (0.05, 5, 18)

Since F > Critical F REJECT Ho: All groups equal

cell density (96 h)

File: 8935c Transform: SQUARE ROOT(Y)

I	DUNNETTS TEST - TA	Ho:Control <tr< th=""><th>eatment</th><th></th></tr<>	eatment		
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	TRANSFORMED MEAN	MEAN CALCULATED IN ORIGINAL UNITS	T STAT	SIG
1 2 3 4 5 6	neg control 0.28 0.57 1.1 2.3 4.6	32.011 31.478 31.975 32.294 24.124 1.537	1027.500 991.250 1022.500 1043.750 587.500 2.500	0.510 0.034 -0.272 7.551 29.176	*

cell density (96 h)

File: 8935c Transform: SQUARE ROOT(Y)

Dunnett table value = 2.41 (1 Tailed Value, P=0.05, df=18,5)

	DUNNETTS TEST - T	CABLE 2 OF	2 но:	Control <t< th=""><th>reatment</th></t<>	reatment
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	NUM OF REPS	Minimum Sig Diff (IN ORIG. UNITS)	% of CONTROL	DIFFERENCE FROM CONTROL
1	neg control	4	**********		
2	0.28	4	154.823	15.1	36.250
3	0.57	4	154.823	15.1	5.000
4	1.1	4	154.823	15.1	-16.250
5	2.3	4	154.823	15.1	440.000
6	4.6	4	154.823	15.1	1025.000
		·			

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 465789-35

cell density (96 h)

File: 8935c Transform: SQUARE ROOT(Y)

	WILLIAMS TEST (Isotor	nic	regression mode	el) TABLE 1 OF	7 2
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	N	ORIGINAL MEAN	TRANSFORMED MEAN	ISOTONIZED MEAN
1 2 3 4 5	neg control 0.28 0.57 1.1 2.3 4.6	4 4 4 4 4	1027.500 991.250 1022.500 1043.750 587.500 2.500	32.011 31.478 31.975 32.294 24.124 1.537	32.011 31.916 31.916 31.916 24.124 1.537

cell density (96 h)

File: 8935c Transform: SQUARE ROOT(Y)

WILLIAMS TEST	(Isotonic	regression	model)	TABLE 2 O	F 2
IDENTIFICATION	ISOTONIZED MEAN	CALC. WILLIAMS	SIG P=.05	TABLE WILLIAMS	DEGREES OF FREEDOM
neg control 0.28 0.57 1.1 2.3 4.6	32.011 31.916 31.916 31.916 24.124 1.537	0.091 0.091 0.091 7.551 29.175	*	1.73 1.82 1.85 1.86 1.87	k= 1, v=18 k= 2, v=18 k= 3, v=18 k= 4, v=18 k= 5, v=18

s = 1.477

Note: df used for table values are approximate when v > 20.

Estimates of EC%

Parameter	Estimate	95% Bou	nds	Std.Err.	Lower Bound
		Lower	Upper		/Estimate
EC5	1.6	1.5	1.8	0.019	0.91
EC10	1.8	1.7	1.9	0.016	0.93
EC25	2.1	1.9	2.2	0.012	0.95
EC50	2.4	2.3	2.5	0.0087	0.96

Slope = 9.98 Std.Err. = 0.993

Goodness of fit: p = 0.88 based on DF= 3.0 18.

8935C : cell density (96 h)

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 465789-35

Observed vs.	Predicte	ed Treatme	nt Group M	eans		
Dose	#Reps.	Obs. Mean	Pred. Mean	Obs. -Pred.	Pred. %Control	%Change
0.00 0.280 0.570 1.10 2.30 4.60	4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00	1.03e+03 991. 1.02e+03 1.04e+03 588. 2.50	1.02e+03 1.02e+03 1.02e+03 1.02e+03 588. 2.49	6.18 -30.1 1.18 22.8 -0.0888 0.0100	100. 100. 100. 100. 57.5	0.00 2.23e-14 2.22e-08 0.0352 42.5 99.8

biomass

File: 8635b Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

ANOVA TABLE

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F
Between	4	4441995748.797	1110498937.199	323.629
Within (Error)	15	51470856.000	3431390.400	
Total	19	4493466604.797		

Critical F value = 3.06 (0.05,4,15)Since F > Critical F REJECT Ho: All groups equal

biomass

File: 8635b

Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	DUNNETTS TEST - T	ABLE 1 OF 2	Ho:Control <tr< th=""><th>eatment</th><th></th></tr<>	eatment	
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	TRANSFORMED MEAN	MEAN CALCULATED IN ORIGINAL UNITS	T STAT	SIG
1 2 3 4 5	neg control 0.28 0.57 1.1 2.3	42630.000 48777.000 44880.000 38505.000 7380.000	42630.000 48777.000 44880.000 38505.000 7380.000	-4.693 -1.718 3.149 26.912	*

Dunnett table value = 2.36 (1 Tailed Value, P=0.05, df=15,4)

biomass

File: 8635b Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 465789-35

	DUNNETTS TEST - 1	TABLE 2 OF	2 Но:	Control <t< th=""><th>reatment</th></t<>	reatment
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	NUM OF REPS	Minimum Sig Diff (IN ORIG. UNITS)	% of CONTROL	DIFFERENCE FROM CONTROL
1 2 3 4 5	neg control 0.28 0.57 1.1 2.3	4 4 4 4	3091.235 3091.235 3091.235 3091.235	7.3 7.3 7.3 7.3	-6147.000 -2250.000 4125.000 35250.000

biomass

File: 8635b Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	WILLIAMS TEST (Isoto:	nic	regression mode	el) TABLE 1 O	F 2
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	N	ORIGINAL MEAN	TRANSFORMED MEAN	ISOTONIZED MEAN
1 2 3 4 5	neg control 0.28 0.57 1.1 2.3	4 4 4 4 4	42630.000 48777.000 44880.000 38505.000 7380.000	42630.000 48777.000 44880.000 38505.000 7380.000	45703.500 45703.500 44880.000 38505.000 7380.000
	· - - ·				

biomass

File: 8635b

Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST	(Isotonic	regression	model)	TABLE 2 O	F 2
IDENTIFICATION	ISOTONIZED MEAN	CALC. WILLIAMS	SIG P=.05	TABLE WILLIAMS	DEGREES OF FREEDOM
0.57	45703.500 45703.500 44880.000 38505.000 7380.000	2.346 1.718 3.149 26.912	*	1.75 1.84 1.87 1.88	k= 1, v=15 k= 2, v=15 k= 3, v=15 k= 4, v=15

Note: df used for table values are approximate when v > 20.

Estimates of EC%

						_
Parameter	Estimate	95% Bou	nds	Std.Err.	Lower Bound	
		Lower	Upper		/Estimate	
EC5	0.87	0.75	1.0	0.032	0.86	
EC10	1.0	0.87	1.1	0.028	0.87	

PMRA Submission	on Number {	EPA MR	EPA MRID Number 465789-35			
EC25 EC50	1.2 1.6	1.1 1.5		0.021 0.013		
Slo	pe =	6.26 Std	.Err. =	0.493		
!!!Poor fit:	p = 0	.0096 ba	sed on DF:	= 2.0	15.	
8635B : bion	nass					
Observed vs.	Predicte	d Treatme		Means		
Dose	#Reps.	Obs.	Pred.	Obs. -Pred.		%Change
0.280 0.570	4.00	4.88e+04 4.49e+04	4.55e+04 4.54e+04	-2.84e+03 3.30e+03 -481. 25.1	100. 99.8	0.000107 0.250
2.30	4.00	7.38e+03	7.38e+03	-2.18	16.2	83.8

growth rate

File: 8935g Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

ANOVA TABLE

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F
Between	4	1.226	0.306	18.000
Within (Error)	15	0.251	0.017	
Total	19	1.477		

Critical F value = 3.06 (0.05, 4, 15)

Since F > Critical F REJECT Ho: All groups equal

growth rate

File: 8935g Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	DUNNETTS TEST - TA	Ho:Control <treatment< th=""></treatment<>				
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	TRANSFORMED MEAN	MEAN CALCULATED IN ORIGINAL UNITS	T STAT	SIG	
1 2 3 4	neg control 0.28 0.57 1.1 2.3	4.823 4.787 4.820 4.840 4.200	4.823 4.787 4.820 4.840 4.200	0.380 0.027 -0.190 6.752	*	

Page 18 of 20

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 465789-35

Dunnett table value = 2.36 (1 Tailed Value, P=0.05, df=15,4)

growth rate

File: 8935g Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	DUNNETTS TEST - T	TABLE 2 OF	2 Ho:	Control <t:< th=""><th>reatment</th></t:<>	reatment
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	NUM OF REPS	Minimum Sig Diff (IN ORIG. UNITS)	% of CONTROL	DIFFERENCE FROM CONTROL
1	neg control	4			
2	0.28	4	0.218	4.5	0.035
3	0.57	4	0.218	4.5	0.003
4	1.1	4	0.218	4.5	-0.018
5	2.3	4	0.218	4.5	0.622

growth rate

File: 8935g Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS ?	rest	(Isotonic	regression	model)	TABLE	1	OF	2	
------------	------	-----------	------------	--------	-------	---	----	---	--

GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	N	ORIGINAL MEAN	TRANSFORMED MEAN	ISOTONIZED MEAN
1	neg control	4	4.823	4.823	4.823
2	0.28	4	4.787	4.787	4.816
3	0.57	4	4.820	4.820	4.816
4	1.1	4	4.840	4.840	4.816
5	2.3	4	4.200	4.200	4.200

growth rate

File: 8935g

Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS	TEST	(Isotonic	regression	model:	TABLE	2	OF	2	
----------	------	-----------	------------	--------	-------	---	----	---	--

IDENTIFICATION	ISOTONIZED	CALC.	SIG	TABLE	DEGREES OF
	MEAN	WILLIAMS	P=.05	WILLIAMS	FREEDOM
neg control 0.28 0.57 1.1 2.3	4.823 4.816 4.816 4.816 4.200	0.073 0.073 0.073 6.806	*	1.75 1.84 1.87 1.88	k= 1, v=15 k= 2, v=15 k= 3, v=15 k= 4, v=15

s = 0.129

Note: df used for table values are approximate when v > 20.

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 465789-35