TEXT SEARCHABLE DOCUMENT

MRID No. 443206-38

DATA EVALUATION RECORD AQUATIC PLANT EC, TEST GUIDELINE 123-2 (TIER II)

417300

1. PC Code No.: 103601 **CHEMICAL:** Glyphosate acid

2. **TEST MATERIAL:** Glyphosate acid Purity: 95.6%

3. CITATION:

D.V. Smyth, S.J. Kent, D.S. Morris, S.K. Authors:

Cornish, and N. Shillabeer

Title: Glyphosate Acid: Acute Toxicity to

Duckweed (Lemna gibba)

Study Completion Date: January 31, 1996

Brixham Environmental Laboratory, <u>Laboratory:</u>

Brixham, Devon, UK

Sponsor: ZENECA Ag Products, Wilmington, DE

Laboratory Project ID: BL5662/B

MRID No.: 443206-38 DP Barcode: None reported

REVIEWED BY: Curtis E. Laird, Fishery Biologist

Ecological Hazard Branch

Environmental Fate and Effects Division (7507C)

Signature:

Date:

5. APPROVED BY: Tom A. Bailey, Branch Chief

Ecological Hazard Branch

Environmental Fate and Effects Division (7507C)

Signature:

Date:

6. STUDY PARAMETERS:

Definitive Test Duration: 14 days

Type of Concentrations: Mean measured

7. **CONCLUSIONS:** This study is scientifically sound but does not fulfills the guideline requirements for an aquatic plant toxicity test. If the phytotoxicity data is submitted and found acceptable, this study can be upgraded to core.

Results Synopsis:

95% C.I.: 9.8 - 15.6 ppm ai Probit Slope: N/A EC_{50} : 12.4 ppm ai

NOEC: 1.4 ppm ai



8. ADEQUACY OF THE STUDY:

A. Classification: Core.

B. Rationale: N/A.

C. Repairability: N/A.

9. **GUIDELINE DEVIATIONS:** The maximum label rate was not reported.

10. SUBMISSION PURPOSE:

11. MATERIALS AND METHODS:

A. Test Organisms

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information		
<u>Species</u> Lemna gibba	Lemna gibba		
Number of Plants/Fronds 5 plants, 3 fronds each	3 plants, 4 fronds/plant (12 fronds total) per replicate		
<u>Nutrients</u> Standard formula, e.g. 20XAAP	M-Hoagland's medium without sucrose or EDTA		

B. Test System

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information		
Solvent	None		
Temperature 25°C	24.6-25.7°C		
<u>Light Intensity</u> 5.0 KLux (<u>+</u> 15%)	5.2-5.3 KLux		
<u>Photoperiod</u> Continuous	Continuous		
<u>Test System</u> Static or Renewal	Solutions renewed on days 5 and 9 of the test		
pH Approx. 5.0	"New" solutions: 3.5-4.9 "Old" solutions: 3.6-5.8		

C. Test Design

C. lest besign			
Guideline Criteria	Reported Information		
<u>Dose range</u> 2X or 3X progression	2X		
<u>Doses</u> at least 5	0.75, 1.5, 3.0, 6.0, 12, 24, 48, and 96 ppm active ingredient (ai)		
<u>Controls</u> negative and/or solvent	Negative control group		
Replicates per dose 3 or more	3		
<u>Duration of test</u> 14 days	14 days		
Daily observations were made?	Counts and observations made on days 2, 5, 7, 9, 12, and 14		
Method of Observations	Number of fronds/plants		
Maximum Labeled Rate	Not reported		

12. REPORTED RESULTS:

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information	
Initial and 14 day frond numbers were measured?	Yes	
Control frond at 14 days >2X initial count?	Yes	
Initial chemical concentrations measured? (Optional)	Samples were collected from the "new" day 0, 5, and 9 solutions and from the "old" day 5 and 14 solutions and analyzed by HPLC	
Raw data included?	Yes	

Dose Response

Mean Measured concentration (ppm ai)	14-day Avg. Frond Number	% Inhibition	14-day pH
Control	327	N/A	5.7
0.70	343	0	5.8
1.4	323	1	5.7-5.8
2.9	300	9	5.6-5.8
5.6	269	18	5.7
12	173	49	5.5-5.6
23	91	75	5.0
48	30	94	4.2
96	17	98	3.7

Other Significant Results: Plants in all but the two lowest-concentration treatment groups demonstrated signs of test material toxicity by test termination. Signs of toxicity included chlorosis, with stunted new fronds and reduced roots. Some plants also were reported as not floating at the proper attitude.

Statistical Results

Statistical Method: Moving average angle method (to calculate the EC_{50}) and analysis of variance coupled with Dunnett's test (to determine the NOEC), results based on nominal concentrations

EC₅₀: 12 ppm ai Probit Slope: N/A 95% C.I.: 11 - 14 ppm ai

NOEC: 1.5 ppm ai

13. VERIFICATION OF STATISTICAL RESULTS:

Statistical Method: Williams' test was used for mean separation and non-linear regression was used for EC₅₀

estimation. Analyses were based on mean measured concentrations.

 EC_{50} : 12.4 ppm ai 95% C.I.: 9.8 - 15.6 ppm ai

Probit Slope: N/A NOEC: 1.4 ppm ai

14. REVIEWER'S COMMENTS: It was reported that all test solutions had small white particles present. The reviewer believes that these particles are the result of autoclaving the test medium, as reported by the authors. Consequently, this study is scientifically sound and fulfills the guideline requirements. The study is categorized as supplemental, pending receipt and review of phytotoxicity data. If the phytotoxicity data meet the guideline requirement, then this study can be upgraded to core.