**TEXT SEARCHABLE DOCUMENT October 2008** 



# UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON D.C., 20460

ENVIRONMENTAL FATE AND EFFECTS DIVISION OFFICE OF PESTICIDE PROGRAMS

> Chemical: Methidathion PC Codes: 100301 Barcode: D287592

Date: September 24, 2008

Guideline studies on the effect of Methidathion on the early life stage of fathead minnows. Subject: Hoodypan From: James Goodyear **Ecological Effects Biologist** Environmental Review Branch 3 Environmental Fate and Effects Division 7507P To: Tom Meyers, RB2 **Risk Manager Reviewer** Special Review and Reregistration Division 7508P Hotonel Mark Corbin, Branch Chief Through: The **Environmental Review Branch 3** 

Environmental Fate and Effects Division 7507P

The registrants of Supracide® (Methidathion) have submitted a study on its 35-day chronic toxicity of to the early life stage of Fathead Minnows (Pimephales promelas) under flow-through conditions. The study was originally submitted in 1984, when it was classified as "Invalid," because no data or statistical analysis was included. The new submission included these parts. It was reclassified ACCEPTABLE.

Fertilized eggs (140 eggs/level, 4 reps/level, 35 eggs/rep; <48 hrs old) of fathead minnow were exposed time-weighted concentrations were <0.24-<0.42 (<LOO; negative and solvent controls), 0.68, 1.6, 3.2, 6.3 and 12 µg ai/L. The test system was maintained at 24 to 27 °C and a pH of 7.9-8.3. The 35-day EC<sub>50</sub> and NOAEC values, based on survival, total length and wet weight, were >12 and 6.3 µg ai/L, respectively. The sub-lethal effects included reduced juvenile survival and inhibitions of total length and dry weight. The most sensitive end points were percent survival, total length and wet weight.

The hardness and alkalinity were five times the level that EPA allowed when the study was originally submitted, but these levels are now allowed, because they meet the OECD protocol.

This toxicity study is scientifically sound and satisfies the requirements of §72-4a for an early life toxicity study with Pimephales promelas. It is classified as Acceptable.



# **Results Synopsis**

Test Organism Size/Age(mean Weight or Length): Eggs, <48 Hrs Test Type (Flow-through, Static, Static Renewal): Flow-Through

# Percent Survival (Day 35):

## **Total Length (Day 35):**

### Wet Weight (Day 35):

Endpoint(s) Affected: Percent Survival, Total Length and Wet Weight

# Toxicity of Methidathion to Fish Early Life Stage

 PMRA Data Code
 {...}
 OECD Data Point {....}

 EPA Barcode
 D287592

 EPA MRID
 001573-53 & 458227-01

 EPA Guideline
 72-4a

For control of certain insects of artichokes; certain citrus, fruits and nuts; olives; safflowers; sunflowers; cotton; nursery stock;

**Data Requirement:** 

Test Material:	Supracide® 2E	Purity (%):	97.2%	
Common name:	Methidathion Reg. No. 10	163-236		
Chemical name:	IUPAC: Methidathion: 0, O-	-dimethyl phosphorodithioate, Z	-ester with 4-	4-
	(mercaptotnethyl)-2-methoxy-	z2-1,3,4-thiadiazo1in-5-one	CAS name	CAS No.
	950-37-8 Synonyms-			
	"Supracide 2E contains 2	lbs. ai per gallon;" "1 pt. Supra	cide $2E = 1/4$ lb ai.'	<b>?</b>
Primary Reviewer:	John Marton	Signature:	11-	ma
Staff Scientist, Cam	bridge Environmental Inc.	<b>Date:</b> 11/26/06	Chah	arton
Secondary Reviewe	r: Teri S. Myers	Signature:		
Senior Scientist, Ca	mbridge Environmental Inc.	<b>Date:</b> 12/0	1/06 joen Sh	nyon
Primary Reviewer:	James Goodyear, Ph.D.	Signature: 1900	dyean	-
Biologist, EPA/OPP/	EFED/ERB3	Date: 2008 Sep 23		
Reference/Submissi	on No.: {} Com	pany Code [For PMRA] Activ	e Code [For PMR	A]
Use Site Category	[For PMRA]	<b>EPA PC Code</b> 100301		
<b>Date Evaluation Co</b>	mpleted: 2008 Sep 23			

CITATION:: McAllister, W.; L. Franklin, V. Knox, 1984. Early Life Stage Toxicity of Supracide® to fathead minnows (*Pimephales promelas*) in a flow-through system. Final Report #31330. Unpublished study prepared by

Analytical Bio-Chemistry Laboratories, Inc. 30 pp. MRID 001573-53

MRID 458227-01: Winkler, V. (2002) Methidathion: Raw data for fathead minnow Early Life Stage study: Lab Project Number: VW 070902: 031330: 7809. Unpublished study prepared by Gowan Company. 337 pp.

**DISCLAIMER:** This document provides guidance for EPA and PMRA reviewers on how to complete a data evaluation record after reviewing a scientific study concerning the toxicity of a pesticide to fish, early life cycle. It is not intended to prescribe conditions to any external party for conducting this study nor to establish absolute criteria regarding the assessment of whether the study is scientifically sound and whether the study satisfies any applicable data requirements. Reviewers are expected to review and to determine for each study, on a case-by-case basis, whether it is scientifically sound and provides sufficient information to satisfy applicable data requirements. Studies that fail to meet any of the conditions may be accepted, if appropriate; similarly, studies that meet all of the conditions may be rejected, if appropriate. In sum, the reviewer is to take into account the totality of factors related to the test methodology and results in determining the acceptability of the study.

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:**

The 35-day chronic toxicity of Supracide® (Methidathion) to the early life stage of Fathead Minnows (*Pimephales promelas*) was studied under flow-through conditions. The study was originally submitted in 1984, when it was classified as "Invalid," because no data or statistical analysis was included. The new submission included these parts.

Fertilized eggs (140 eggs/level, 4 reps/level, 35 eggs/rep; <48 hrs old) of fathead minnow were exposed to 0 (negative and solvent controls), 0.93, 1.9, 3.8, 7.5 and 15  $\mu$ g ai/L nominal concentrations. Time-weighted, mean-measured concentrations were <0.24-<0.42 (<LOQ; negative and solvent controls), 0.68, 1.6, 3.2, 6.3 and 12  $\mu$ g ai/L. The test system was maintained at 24 to 27 °C and a pH of 7.9-8.3. The 35-day EC<sub>50</sub> and NOAEC values, based on survival, total length and wet weight, were >12 and 6.3  $\mu$ g ai/L, respectively. The sub-lethal effects included reduced juvenile survival and inhibitions of total length and dry weight. The most sensitive end-points were percent survival, total length, and wet weight.

The hardness and alkalinity were five times the level that EPA allowed when the study was originally submitted, but these levels are now allowed, because they meet the OECD protocol.

This toxicity study is scientifically sound and satisfies the requirements of §72-4a for an early life toxicity study with *Pimephales promelas*. It is classified as **Acceptable**.

### **Results Synopsis**

Test Organism Size/Age(mean Weight or Length): Eggs, <48 Hrs Test Type (Flow-through, Static, Static Renewal): Flow-Through

### Percent Survival (Day 35):

EC<sub>50</sub>: >12 μg ai/L 95% C.I.: N/A Probit Slope: N/A 95% C.I.: N/A NOAEC: 6.3 μg ai/L LOAEC: 12 μg ai/L

### Total Length (Day 35):

### Wet Weight (Day 35):

Endpoint(s) Affected: Percent Survival, Total Length and Wet Weight

# I. MATERIALS AND METHODS:

**GUIDELINE FOLLOWED:** This study was conducted following guidelines outlined in ASTM Standard Practice for Conducting Toxicity Tests on the Early Life Stages of Fishes, E-47.01; and U.S. EPA Proposed Recommended Bioassay Procedure for Egg and Dry Stages of Freshwater Fish (1972), unpublished manuscript, Environmental Research Laboratory, Duluth, MN. The following deviations from OPPTS 850.1400 were noted:

- 1. The physiochemical properties of the test material were not reported.
- 2. The test chambers were constructed with polyethylene, instead of a recommended glass or stainless steel material; while polyethylene could interact with the test material, measured concentrations throughout the study were consistent.
- 3. The age of the test organisms at test initiation (<48 Hrs) may have been higher than recommended in the guidance (2-24 Hrs).
- 4. The method of collection of fertilized eggs was not specified.
- 5. The amount of solvent used in the preparation of the stock solutions was not reported.
- 6. The reported hardness (225-275 mg/L as CaCO<sub>3</sub>) and pH (7.9-8.3) of the dilution water were higher than recommended by EPA (40-48 mg/L as CaCO<sub>3</sub> and 7.2-7.6, respectively), but satisfy the OECD protocol.

These deviations do not affect the acceptability of the study.

### **COMPLIANCE:**

Signed and data Quality Assurance and GLP statements were provided. This study was conducted in compliance with the criteria promulgated by the Good Laboratory Practice regulations for Non-clinical Laboratory Studies (21 CFR, Part 58).

## A. MATERIALS:

**1. Test Material** Supracide® (Methidathion)

**Description:** White Crystalline Solid

Lot No./Batch No.: FL830958 Methidathion (Lot No.) Purity: 97.2%

**Stability of compound under test conditions:** Analytical verification of the test material in the dilution water was conducted on Days 0, 1, and then every 7 days thereafter. The time-weighted concentrations yielded recoveries of 73-85% of nominal.

Storage conditions of test chemicals: The test material was stored in the dark at 4°C.

Parameter	Values	Comments
Water solubility at 20EC	Not Reported	
Vapor pressure	Not Reported	
UV absorption	Not Reported	
рКа	Not Reported	
Kow	Not Reported	

Physicochemical properties of Methidathion.

(OECD recommends water solubility, stability in water and light, pKa, Pow, and vapor pressure of test compound)

## 2. Test organism:

Species: Fathead Minnow (Pimephales promelas)Age /embryonic stage at test initiation:<48 Hrs</td>

EPA recommends fish embryos 2 to 24 hours old.

Method of collection of the fertilized eggs: Not Reported

**Source:** In-house Cultures

# **B. STUDY DESIGN:**

### **1. Experimental Conditions**

a. Range-finding study: A 13-day flow-through toxicity test was conducted to determine the acute toxicity to fathead minnows. Nominal concentrations were 0.012, 0.025, 0.05, 0.1, and 0.2 mg ai/L. The 13-Day  $LC_{50}$  and NOAEC values were 0.15 and 0.012 mg ai/L, respectively.

b. Definitive study

Table 1:	Experimental	<b>Parameters</b>
----------	--------------	-------------------

Details	Remarks		
Details	Criteria		
Continuous			
Same as test			
Fed at least twice daily with a			
mixed diet of live newly hatched			
brine shrimp nauplii and ground commercial fish food (Rangen's®)			
	Details Continuous Same as test Fed at least twice daily with a mixed diet of live newly hatched brine shrimp nauplii and ground commercial fish food (Rangen's®)		

Devementer	Dataila	Remarks Criteria		
Parameter	Details			
frequency):	<5% during acclimation period			
Health: (any mortality observed)				
Number of fertilized eggs/embryos in each treatment at test initiation	140 eggs/treatment; divided among 4 replicates, each containing 35 fertilized eggs.	Each treatment should include a minimum of 20 embryos per replicate cup and a minimum of 30 fish per treatment for post-hatch exposure (OECD recommends at least 60 eggs, divided between at least 2 replicates)		
Concentration of test		Measured concentrations were weighted for time.		
<u>material</u> nominal: measured:	0 (negative and solvent controls), 0.93, 1.9, 3.8, 7.5 and 15 μg ai/L <0.24-<0.42 ( <loq; and<br="" negative="">solvent controls), 0.68, 1.6, 3.2, 6.3 and 12 μg ai/L</loq;>	<ul> <li>A minimum of 5 concentrations and a control, all replicated, plus solvent control if appropriate should be used.</li> <li>Toxicant concentration should be measured in one tank at each toxicant level every week.</li> <li>One concentration should adversely affect a life stage and one concentration should not affect any life stage. OECD recommends that 5 concentrations be spaced by a constant factor not exceeding 3.2; concentrations of test substance in solution should be within ∀20% of the mean measured values.</li> </ul>		
Solvent (type,	Nanograde Acetone	The amount of solvent used was not reported.		
percentage, if used)		The solvent should not exceed 0.1 ml/L in a flow- through system. Recommended solvents include dimethylformamide, triethylene glycol, methanol, acetone, ethanol. OECD recommends that the solvent not have an effect on survival nor produce any other adverse effects; concentration should not be greater than 0.1 ml/L.		
Number of replicates	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
control: solvent control: treated ones:	4 4 4	Number of replicates should be 4 per concentration. A solvent control should be used in conjunction with a solubilizing agent.		
<u>Test condition</u> static renewal/flow-	Flow-Through	From April 6 to April 13, the diluter water flow was reduced due to a plugged filter, during which time the average flow replaced the test aquaria volume ~3 times every 24 hours.		

an a	and and a second sec	Remarks		
Parameter	Details	Criteria		
through: type of dilution system for flow through method: flow rate: renewal rate for static renewal:	Intermittent proportional diluter system described by Mount and Brungs ~7 volume replacements every 24 hours N/A	Intermittent flow proportional diluters or continuous flow serial diluters should be used. EPA recommends that flow rate to larval cups should provide 90% replacement in 8 to 12 hours (OECD recommends 5 test chamber volumes/24 hours). For static-renewal, OECD recommends 2 renewal procedures; either transfer eggs and larvae to new, clean vessels or retain organisms in vessels and change at least 2/3 test water. A minimum of 5 toxicant concentrations with a dilution factor not greater than 0.5 and controls should be used. Toxicant Mixing: 1) Mixing chamber is preferred; 2) Aeration should not be used for mixing; 3) The test solution should be completely mixed before introduction into the test system; 4) Flow splitting accuracy should be within 10%.		
Aeration, if any	Water was aerated prior to introduction into the aquaria	Dilution water should be aerated to ensure DO concentration at or near 100% saturation. Test tanks and embryo cups should not be aerated.		
Duration of the test	35 Days	Recommended test duration is 32 days for EPA. OECD recommendations for test duration are species specific and range from 28-60 days.		
Embryo cups, if used		Recommended embryo cups are 120 ml glass jars with		
type/material (glass/stainless steel):	Polyethylene boxes with 40 mesh stainless steel screen fused to the sides 10 cm <sup>2</sup>	bottoms replaced with 40 mesh stainless steel or nylon screen.		
size: fill volume:	Completely submersed in test vessels.			
Test vessel		The water depth in the test vessels was 24 cm.		
		Recommended test vessel is all glass or glass with		

Doxemator	Dotails	Remarks		
r al ameter	Details	Criteria		
type/material: (glass/stainless steel) size: fill volume:	Glass 23 x 15 x 30 cm 9.3 L	stainless steel frame.		
Source of dilution water	Distilled water. No other details pertaining to the dilution water were provided.	Source of dilution water should be natural or reconstituted water; natural water should be sterilized with UV and tested for pesticides, heavy metals, and other possible contaminants. OECD accepts any water in which the test species show control survival at least as good as presented in SEP.		
Water parameters hardness:	225-275 mg/L as $CaCO_3$	The reported hardness ( $225-275 \text{ mg/L}$ as CaCO <sub>3</sub> ) and pH (7.9-8.3) of the dilution water were higher than recommended ( $40-48 \text{ mg/L}$ as CaCO <sub>3</sub> and 7.2-7.6, respectively).		
pH: dissolved oxygen:	7.9-8.3 7.3-9.3 mg/L	Recommended hardness: $40-48 \text{ mg/L}$ as $CaCO_3$ ; Recommended pH: 7.2 to 7.6 Dissolved Oxygen (DO) should be measured at each concentration at least once a week;		
temperature (s) (record all the temperatures used for different life stages):	24-27°C	Freshwater parameters in a control and one concentration should be analyzed once a week. Temperature depends upon test species and should not deviate by more than 2 EC from appropriate		
photoperiod: salinity (for marine or	16L:8D N/A	<i>Temperature.</i> OECD recommends that DO concentration be between 60 - 90% saturation. As a minimum DO, salinity (if relevant) and temperature should be measured weekly,		
estuarine species): other measurements:	Ammonia- 0.12-0.35 mg/L	and pH and hardness at the beginning and end of the test. Temperature should be measured continuously.		
interval of water quality measurements:	Days 0, 1, 7, 14, 21, 28 and 35			
Post-hatch details				
when the post-hatch period began:	Day 5 60 (15/rep)	Percentage of embryos that produce live fry should be $\geq 50\%$ in each control; percentage of hatch in any control embryo cup should not be more than 1.6 times that in another control cup		
number of hatched eggs (alevins)/ treatment released to the test chamber:	Day 9			
on what day, the alevins were released from the incubation cups to the				

er i delan energen (* 1995 ele regioner) * "ele del angewinner * 1995 ele angewinner *		Remarks		
Parameter	Details			
		Criteria		
test chamber:				
Post-hatch Feeding				
start date:	Day 5			
type/source of feed: amount given:	A mixed diet of live newly hatched brine shrimp nauplii and ground commercial fish food (Rangen's®) <i>ad libitum</i> .			
frequency of feeding:	3-4 times a day			
Stability of chemical in the test system	Stable, time-weighted recoveries were 73-85% of nominal and individual measured values ranged from 75-117% of the time- weighted concentrations.			
Recovery of chemical:	73-85% of nominal			
Frequency of measurement:	Days 0, 1, 7, 14, 21, 28 and 35			
LOD: LOQ:	Not Reported 0.24-0.42 μg ai/L			
Positive control {if used, indicate the chemical and concentrations}	A 96-hour acute toxicity study was conducted with Antimycin A and was used as a reference.	This study was not conducted concurrently with the ELS study.		
Fertilization success study, if any	N/A			
number of eggs used:				
on what day the eggs were removed to check the embryonic development:				
Other parameters, if any	N/A			

# 2. Observations:

## Table 2: Observations

Paramatars	Details	Remarks
		Criteria
Parameters measured including the sublethal effects/toxicity symptoms	% egg hatch % post hatch survival length Weight	Recommended parameters measured include: - Number of embryos hatched; - Time to hatch; - Mortality of embryos, larvae, and Juveniles: - Time to swim-up (if appropriate); - Measurement of growth; - Incidence of pathological or Histological effects; - Observations of other effects or clinical signs.
Observation intervals/dates for:		
egg mortality: no. of eggs hatched: mortality of fry (e.g., alevins): swim-up behavior: growth measurements: embryonic development: other sublethal effects	Days 0-5 Days 0-5 Daily N/A Day 35 N/A N/A	
Water quality was acceptable (Yes/No)	Yes	
Were raw data included?	Yes	
Other observations, if any	None	

### **II. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:**

### A. MORTALITY:

Percent egg hatch [(no. of fry  $\div$  no. of eggs on day 0) x 100] was 78 and 94% in the negative and solvent controls, respectively, and 88, 91, 75, 89 and 75% in the measured 0.68, 1.6, 3.2, 6.3 and 12 µg ai/L treatment groups, respectively. No significant differences were detected at any treatment levels relative to the controls.

Fry survival [(no. of fry on day  $35 \div$  no. of fry introduced) x 100] was 91 and 98% in the negative and solvent controls, respectively, and 90, 90, 90, 90, 90 and 47% in the measured 0.68, 1.6, 3.2, 6.3 and 12 µg ai/L treatment groups, respectively. The percent survival at the highest treatment level, measured 12 µg ai/L, was significantly reduced relative to the pooled controls. The resulting NOAEC and LOAEC values were 6.3 and 12 µg ai/L.

Treatment (µg ai/L)	Egg hatched/embryo viability			Time to hatch			Juvenile-survival on day 35	
Measured (and Nominal)	No. of eggs at study	hatch/embryo viability		day	day	dav	No.	%
Concentrations	initiation	No.*	%	x1	x2	xn	dead	mortality
Negative Control	140	109	78	N.R.	N.R.	N.R.	5	9
Solvent Control	140	132	94	N.R.	N.R.	N.R.	1	2
0.68 (0.93)	140	123	88	N.R.	N.R.	N.R.	6	10
1.6 (1.9)	140	127	91	N.R.	N.R.	N.R.	6	10
3.2 (3.8)	140	105	75	N.R.	N.R.	N.R.	6	10
6.3 (7.5)	140	125	89	N.R.	N.R.	N.R.	6	10
12 (15)	140	105	75	N.R.	N.R.	N.R.	32	53
NOAEC	12 µ	ıg ai/L		N.R.		6.3 μg ai/L		
EC <sub>50</sub>	Ň	I.D.		N.D.			N.D.	
Positive control, if used	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
mortality: EC <sub>50</sub> : NOAEC								

Table 3: Effect of Supracide® on egg hatching and survival at different life stage of fish.

\*The number of eggs hatched was determined by the reviewer based on the number of eggs at initiation and the reported % hatch at each treatment level.

N.R.- Not Reported

N.D.- Not Determined

N/A- Not Applicable

Treatment	Swim-up					
(μg ai/L) Measured (and Nominal) Concentrations	day x1	day x2	day xn	Growth -length (mm ±S.D.)	Growth-wet weight (mg ±S.D.)	
Negative Control	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	16 (±2.0)	76 (±30)	
Solvent Control	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	16 (±2.0)	74 (±31)	
0.68 (0.93)	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	15 (±22)	73 (±31)	
1.6 (1.9)	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	16 (±2.4)	76 (±32)	
3.2 (3.8)	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	16 (±2.6)	74 (±37)	
6.3 (7.5)	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	15 (±1.8)	70 (±26)	
12 (15)	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	12 (±2.2)*	38 (±21)*	
NOAEC		N.D.	<u></u>	6.3 μg ai/L	6.3 µg ai/L	
LOAEC		N.D.		12 μg ai/L	12 μg ai/L	
EC <sub>50</sub>		N.D.		N.D.	N.D.	
Positive control, if used	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
mortality: EC <sub>50</sub> : NOAEC						

 Table 4: Effect of Supracide® on Growth of Juvenile Fish

N.D.- Not Determined; N/A- Not Applicable; \*- Significantly different ( $\alpha$ =0.05) from the control using one-way ANOVA and Fisher's protected Least Significant Difference.

## **B.** SUB-LETHAL TOXICITY AND OTHER CHRONIC EFFECTS:

Clinical signs of toxicity during the definitive toxicity test were not provided in the raw data. Time to hatch and time to swim-up were not evaluated; however, the growth period began on Day 5 with greater than 95% hatch.

Total length and wet weight were the most sensitive endpoints. There were statistically significant reductions detected for both endpoints at the highest measured treatment level (12  $\mu$ g ai/L) relative to the pooled control. Total length averaged 16 mm in both controls, and 15, 16, 16, 15 and 12 mm in the measured 0.68, 1.6, 3.2, 6.3 and 12  $\mu$ g ai/L treatment groups, respectively. Wet weight averaged 76 and 74 mg in the negative and solvent controls, and 73, 76, 74, 70 and 38 mg in the measured 0.68, 1.6, 3.2, 6.3, and 12  $\mu$ g ai/L treatment groups, respectively. The NOAEC and LOAEC for both endpoints were 6.3 and 12  $\mu$ g ai/L, respectively.

Treatment (μg ai/L) Measured (and Nominal) Concentrations	% Deformed larvae	Behavioral effects (specify)	Behavioral effects (specify)	Toxicity symptoms (specify)	Toxicity symptoms (specify)
Negative Control	N.R.	N.R.	N.R.	N.R.	N.R.
Solvent Control	N.R.	N.R.	N.R.	N.R.	N.R.
0.68 (0.93)	N.R.	N.R.	N.R.	N.R.	N.R.
1.6 (1.9)	N.R.	N.R.	N.R.	N.R.	N.R.
3.2 (3.8)	N.R.	N.R.	N.R.	N.R.	N.R.
6.3 (7.5)	N.R.	N.R.	N.R.	N.R.	N.R.
12 (15)	N.R.	N.R.	N.R.	N.R.	N.R.
NOAEC	N.R.	· ·			
LOAEC	N.R.	· · · ·			
Positive control, if used % sublethal effect: NOAEC:	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Table 5: Sub-lethal Effect of Supracide® on Fathead Minnow.

N.R.- Not Reported N/A- Not Applicable

# C. REPORTED STATISTICS:

The design of the study was a randomized complete block design. Measured parameters of standard length and wet weight in the quadruplicate exposure aquaria were analyzed using a two-way ANOVA with an inter-action model to determine whether any interaction was present between the two factors (concentration and block). The data were then analyzed to determine whether there was any significant effect due to block, i.e. replication (4). If the analysis indicated no significant interaction, data were pooled for further analysis.

Comparison analysis between the water control and treatment levels were carried out using hatchability, survival, standard length, and wet weight. The data were compared using the overall one-way ANOVA to determine if a significant difference ( $\alpha$ =0.05) existed between the control and treatment levels. When treatment effects were indicated following a significant F-test of the mean square ratios, a multiple means comparison test, Least Significant Difference (LSD), was used to determine which exposure levels differed from the control values. All toxicity values were based on the mean-measured concentrations.

# **D. VERIFICATION OF STATISTICAL RESULTS:**

**Statistical Method(s)**: Fry survival on Day 35 (30 days post-hatch), total length (30 days post-hatch) and wet weight (30 days post-hatch) were analyzed for significant differences.

For fry, survival and wet-weight, the negative and solvent controls were analyzed using a Student's t-test and no significant differences were detected. No variability existed between the controls for total length.

Data from all three endpoints were analyzed for normality using Chi-square and Shapiro Wilks tests and for homogeneity of variance using Hartley and Bartlett's tests. Fry survival and wet weight met the assumptions of ANOVA and were therefore analyzed using the Bonferroni t-test and Williams test. Total length did not meet the assumptions of ANOVA and was analyzed using the non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test. The raw data for replicate C of the highest treatment level (measured 12  $\mu$ g ai/L) was excluded from all analyses, because it was not clear to the reviewer how many fish were observed and measured. All toxicity values were determined using the time-weighted averages. Raw data were not provided for mean percent hatch; therefore, the reviewer was unable to analyze this endpoint.

#### Percent Survival (Day 35):

 $EC_{50}$ : >12 µg ai/L (95% C.I.: N/A) Probit Slope: N/A 95% C.I.: N/A NOAEC: 6.3 µg ai/L LOAEC: 12 µg ai/L

### Total Length (Day 35):

EC <sub>50</sub> : >12 μg ai/L 95% C.I.: N/A	Probit Slope: N/A	95% C.I.: N/A
NOAEC: 6.3 µg ai/L	LOAEC: 12 µg ai/L	

### Wet Weight (Day 35):

EC<sub>50</sub>: >12 μg ai/L 95% C.I.: N/A NOAEC: 6.3 μg ai/L Probit Slope: N/A 95% C.I.: N/A LOAEC: 12 µg ai/L

### **E. STUDY DEFICIENCIES:** There were no study deficiencies.

## F. REVIEWER'S COMMENTS:

The reviewer's results were obtained using the time-weighted measured concentrations while those of the study authors were based on the mean-measured concentrations. Therefore, the reviewer's results are reported in the Executive Summary and Conclusions sections of this DER.

The time-weighted measured concentrations were calculated by the reviewer using the following equation:

$$C_{TWA} = \frac{\left(\frac{C_1 + C_0}{2}\right)(t_1 - t_0) + \left(\frac{C_2 + C_1}{2}\right)(t_2 - t_1) + \left(\frac{C_{n-1} + C_2}{2}\right)(t_{n-1} - t_2) + \left(\frac{C_n + C_{n-1}}{2}\right)(t_n - t_{n-1})}{t_n}$$

where:

C<sub>TWA</sub> is the time-weighted average concentration,

C i is the concentration measured at time interval j (j = 0, 1, 2,...n)

 $t_j$  is the number of hours (or days or weeks, units used just need to be consistent in the equation) of the test at time interval j

(e.g., t<sub>0</sub> = 0 hours (test initiation), t<sub>1</sub> = 24 hours, t<sub>2</sub> = 96 hours)

The study authors reported that replicate D of the solvent control was not included in the statistical analyses due to non-toxicant related mortality.

The results from a period screening analysis of the dilution water indicated the presence of the following elements: lead (0.017 ppm), mercury (0.0008 ppm) and zinc (0.001 ppm).

A method validation study for the analysis of the test material in the dilution water was conducted using spikes of 0.10, 1.00, 10.0, 100, and 1000  $\mu$ g ai/L. The overall mean recovery (±S.D.) was 93.7% (±10.3).

The in-life portion of the definitive toxicity test was conducted from March 21 to April 25, 1984.

### G. CONCLUSIONS:

The study is scientifically sound and fulfils the guideline requirements. It is classified as ACCEPTABLE. Fry survival (Day 35), total length (Day 35) and wet-weight (Day 35) were equally sensitive to the test material with NOAEC, LOAEC, and EC<sub>50</sub> values of 6.3, 12 and >12  $\mu$ g ai/L, respectively.

### **III. REFERENCES:**

- U.S. Congress. 1979. Toxic Substances Control Act. Public Law 94-469. Federal Register, March 16, 1979. Part IV. pp. 16291.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1978. Registration of Pesticides in the United States, proposed guidelines. Federal Register, July 10, 1978: 29692-29741.
- Mount, D.I. and C.E. Stephan. 1967. A method for establishing acceptable toxicant limits for fish-malatnion and the butoxy-ethanol ester of 2,4-D. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society. 96:185-193.
- American Society for Testing and Materials. 1981. Standard Practice for Conducting Toxicity Tests on the Early Life Stages of Fishes. Draft No. 1, February 1981, ASTM Committee E-47.01. 51pp.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1972. Proposed Recommended Bioassay Procedure for Egg and Fry Stages of Freshwater Fish. Unpublished manuscript, Environmental Research Laboratory, Duluth, Minnesota, January, 1972. 7pp.
- Eddy, Samuel. 1969. The Freshwater Fishes. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. W.C. Brown Company, Dubuque, Iowa. 28pp.
- Mount, D.I. and W.A. Brungs. 1967. A Simplified Dosing Apparatus for Fish Toxicological Studies. Water Res. 1: 21-29.
- Neter, J. and W. Wasserman. 1974. Applied Linear Statistics. Richard D. Irwin, Inc. 507-508.
- National Academy of Sciences- National Academy of Engineering. 1972. Water Quality Criteria,
- Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development. 1981. OECD Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals, Principles of Good Laboratory Practice Annex 2, C(81) 30 (Final):7-28.A Report of the Committee on Water Quality Criteria. Washington, D.C. 1972. 59pp.
- U.S. Food and Drug Administration. 1978. Nonclinical Laboratory Studies, Good Laboratory Practice Regulations (21 CFR, Part 58). Federal Register, Vol. 43, No. 247: 59986-60025.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1983. Pesticide Programs; Good Laboratory Practice Standards; Final Rule (40 CFR, Part 160). Federal Register, Vol. 48, No. 230: 53946-53969.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1983. Toxic Substances Control; Good Laboratory Practice Standards; Final Rule (40 CFR, Part 792). Federal Register, Vo. 48; No. 230:53922-53944.

## APPENDIX I. OUTPUT OF REVIEWER'S STATISTICAL VERIFICATION: Fry survival (%), 30 days post-hatch; ug ai/L File: 2701fs Transform: NO TRANSFORM t-test of Solvent and Blank Controls Ho: GRP1 MEAN = GRP2 MEAN \_\_\_\_\_ DIFFERENCE IN MEANS \_\_\_\_\_ TABLE t VALUE (0.05 (2), 6) = 2.447NO significant difference at alpha=0.05TABLE t VALUE (0.01 (2), 6) = 3.707NO significant difference at alpha=0.01 Fry survival (%), 30 days post-hatch; ug ai/L Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION File: 2701fs Chi-square test for normality: actual and expected frequencies INTERVAL <-1.5 -1.5 to <-0.5 -0.5 to 0.5 >0.5 to 1.5 >1.5 \_\_\_\_ 5.566 1.5 0 8.786 5.50 10 EXPECTED 1.541 OBSERVED 0 5.566 1.541 7 Calculated Chi-Square goodness of fit test statistic = 7.8671 Table Chi-Square value (alpha = 0.01) = 13.277 Data PASS normality test. Continue analysis. Fry survival (%), 30 days post-hatch; ug ai/L File: 2701fs Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION Shapiro Wilks test for normality \_\_\_\_ D = 1935.417W = 0.924Critical W (P = 0.05) (n = 23) = 0.914 Critical W (P = 0.01) (n = 23) = 0.881 Data PASS normality test at P=0.01 level. Continue analysis. Fry survival (%), 30 days post-hatch; ug ai/L File: 2701fs Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION Hartley test for homogeneity of variance \_\_\_\_\_ Calculated H statistic (max Var/min Var) = 20.92 Closest, conservative, Table H statistic = 184.0 (alpha = 0.01) Used for Table H ==> R (# groups) = 6, df (# reps-1) = 3 Actual values ==> R (# groups) = 6, df (# avg reps-1) = 2.83 (average df used)

Data PASS homogeneity test. Continue analysis.

NOTE: This test requires equal replicate sizes. If they are unequal but do not differ greatly, the Hartley test may still be used as an approximate test (average df are used).

Fry survival (%), 30 days post-hatch; ug ai/L File: 2701fs Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

Bartletts test for homogeneity of variance

Calculated B statistic = 7.59 Table Chi-square value = 15.09 (alpha = 0.01) Table Chi-square value = 11.07 (alpha = 0.05)

Average df used in calculation ==> df (avg n - 1) = 2.83 Used for Chi-square table value ==> df (#groups-1) = 5

Data PASS homogeneity test at 0.01 level. Continue analysis.

NOTE: If groups have unequal replicate sizes the average replicate size is used to calculate the B statistic (see above).

Fry survival (%), 30 days post-hatch; ug ai/L File: 2701fs Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

~~~~~~~~~~~~~		ANOVA TABLE		_ <b></b>
SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F
Between	5	4815.192	963.038	8.459
Within (Error)	17	1935.417	113.848	•
Total	22	6750.609		

Critical F value = 2.81 (0.05,5,17) Since F > Critical F REJECT Ho:All groups equal

Fry survival (%), 30 days post-hatch; ug ai/L File: 2701fs Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

BONFERRONI T-TEST -		TABLE 1 OF 2	Ho:Contr	Ho:Control <treatment< th=""></treatment<>		
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	TRANSFORMED MEAN	MEAN CALCULATED IN ORIGINAL UNITS	T STAT	SIG	
1	neg control	91.500	91.500			
2	0,68	88.250	88.250	0.431		
3	1.6	87.500	87.500	0.530		
4	3.2	90.000	90.000	0.199		
5	6.3	90.000	90.000	0.199		
6	12	46.667	46.667	5.501	*	
Bonfer	roni T table value =	2.57 (1 Tai	led Value, P=0.05,	df=17,5)		

\_ \_ \_ \_

Fry survival (%), 30 days post-hatch; ug ai/L File: 2701fs Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

BONFERRONI T-TEST - TABLE 2 OF 2

\_\_\_\_\_

Ho:Control<Treatment

\_\_\_\_\_

GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	NUM OF REPS	Minimum Sig Diff (IN ORIG. UNITS)	% of CONTROL	DIFFERENCE FROM CONTROL
· 1	nog control	 A			
2	0.68	4	19.368	21 2	3 250
3	1.6	4	19.368	21.2	4.000
4	3.2	4	19.368	21.2	1.500
5	6.3	4	19.368	21.2	1.500
6	12	3	20.919	22.9	44.833

Fry survival (%), 30 days post-hatch; ug ai/L File: 2701fs Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST (Isotonic regression model) TABLE 1 OF 2

GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	N	ORIGINAL MEAN	TRANSFORMED MEAN	ISOTONIZED MEAN
1	neg control	4	91.500	91.500	91,500
2	0.68	4	88.250	88.250	88.938
3	1.6	4	87.500	87.500	88.938
4	3.2	4	90.000	90.000	88,938
5	6.3	4	90.000	90.000	88.938
6	12	3	46.667	46.667	46.667

Fry survival (%), 30 days post-hatch; ug ai/L File: 2701fs Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WI	LLIAMS TEST	(Isotonic	regression	model)	TABLE 2 01	7 2	
IDENTIF	ICATION	ISOTONIZED MEAN	CALC. WILLIAMS	SIG P=.05	TABLE WILLIAMS	DEGREES OF FREEDOM	 F
n	eg control 0.68 1.6 3.2 6.3 12	91.500 88.938 88.938 88.938 88.938 88.938 46.667	0.340 0.340 0.340 0.340 5.501	*	1.74 1.82 1.85 1.87 1.87	k= 1, v= k= 2, v= k= 3, v= k= 4, v= k= 5, v=	 17 17 17 17 17 17
<pre>s = 10.670 Note: df used for table values are approximate when v &gt; 20. Total length (mm), 30 days post hatch; ug ai/L File: 2701tl Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION Chi-square test for normality: actual and expected frequencies</pre>							
INTERVAL	<-1.5	-1.5 to <-	0.5 -0	.5 to 0.5	>0.5 to	1.5 >1.9	5
EXPECTED OBSERVED	1.541 0	5.566 9		8.786 8	5.50 6	56 1.54 0	41
Calculated Chi-Square goodness of fit test statistic = 5.3048 Table Chi-Square value (alpha = 0.01) = 13.277							

Data PASS normality test. Continue analysis.

Total length (mm), 30 days File: 2701tl Transfor	post hatch; ug a m: NO TRANSFORMA	i/L TION	
Shapiro Wilks test for norm	ality		
D = 6.167			
W = 0.941 Critical W (P = 0.05) (n = Critical W (P = 0.01) (n =	23) = 0.914 23) = 0.881		
Data PASS normality test at	P=0.01 level. C	Continue analysis.	
Total length (mm), 30 days File: 2701tl Transfor	post hatch; ug a m: NO TRANSFORMA	li/L TION	
Hartley test for homogeneit Bartletts test for homogene	y of variance ity of variance		
These two tests can not be zero variance.	performed becaus	e at least one group	has
Data FAIL to meet homogenei Additional transformations	ty of variance a are useless.	assumption.	
Total length (mm), 30 days File: 2701tl Transfo KRUSKAL-WALLI	post hatch; ug a rm: NO TRANSFORM S ANOVA BY RANKS	ni/L MATION 5 - TABLE 1 OF 2	
GROUP IDENTIFICATION	TRANSFORMED MEAN	MEAN CALCULATED IN ORIGINAL UNITS	RANK SUM
1 neg control 2 0.68 3 1.6 4 3.2 5 6.3 6 12	16.000 15.500 15.500 15.750 14.750 12.333	16.000 15.500 15.500 15.750 14.750 12.333	72.000 54.000 54.000 59.000 31.000 6.000
Calculated H Value $\approx$ 13. Since Calc H > Crit H F	930 Crit REJECT Ho:All gro	cical H Value Table = pups are equal.	11.070
Total length (mm), 30 days File: 2701tl Transfo	post hatch; ug a prm: NO TRANSFORM	AI/L MATION	
TRANS GROUP IDENTIFICATION ME	FORMED ORIGINAL	GROUP 0 0 0 0 0 0 6 5 2 3 4 1	
$\begin{array}{cccc} 6 & 12 \\ 5 & 6.3 \\ 2 & 0.68 \\ 3 & 1.6 \\ 4 & 3.2 \end{array}$	12.33312.3314.75014.7515.50015.5015.50015.5015.75015.75	33 \ 50 . \ 00 \ 50 \ 50 \	

Page 19 of 23

\* = significant difference (p=0.05) . = no significant difference Table q value (0.05,6) = 2.936 Unequal reps - multiple SE values . = no significant difference Estimates of EC% 
 Parameter
 Estimate
 95% Bounds
 Std.Err.
 Lower Bound

 Lower
 Upper
 /Estimate

 EC5
 5.9
 4.2
 8.4
 0.072
 0.71

 EC10
 8.0
 6.5
 9.9
 0.045
 0.81

 EC25
 13.
 11.
 15.
 0.028
 0.87

 EC50
 23.
 16.
 32.
 0.073
 0.70
 Slope = 2.81 Std.Err. = 0.651 Goodness of fit: p = 0.56 based on DF= 3.0 17. 2701TL : Total length (mm), 30 days post hatch; ug ai/L Observed vs. Predicted Treatment Group Means . Dose #Reps. Obs. Pred. Obs. Pred. %Change Mean Mean -Pred. %Control 0.004.0016.015.70.289100.0.000.6804.0015.515.7-0.211100.0.0008931.604.0015.515.7-0.20299.90.05863.204.0015.815.60.16899.20.8206.304.0014.814.8-0.052494.25.7812.03.0012.312.30.011078.421.6 0.680 !!!Warning: EC25 not bracketed by doses evaluated. !!!Warning: EC50 not bracketed by doses evaluated. Wet Weight (mg), 30 days post-hatch; ug ai/L File: 2701ww Transform: NO TRANSFORM t-test of Solvent and Blank Controls Ho:GRP1 MEAN = GRP2 MEAN GRP1 (SOLVENT CRTL) MEAN =76.5000CALCULATED t VALUE =0.8839GRP2 (BLANK CRTL) MEAN =74.2500DEGREES OF FREEDOM =6DIFFERENCE IN MEANS =2.2500CALCULATED t VALUE =0.8839 \_\_\_\_\_ -----TABLE t VALUE (0.05 (2), 6) = 2.447NO significant difference at alpha=0.05TABLE t VALUE (0.01 (2), 6) = 3.707NO significant difference at alpha=0.01 Wet Weight (mg), 30 days post-hatch; ug ai/L File: 2701ww Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION Chi-square test for normality: actual and expected frequencies INTERVAL <-1.5 -1.5 to <-0.5 -0.5 to 0.5 >0.5 to 1.5 >1.5 1.541 8.786 5.566 EXPECTED 1.5 OBSERVED 0 5.566 1.541 7 8 8 0 \_\_\_\_\_ Calculated Chi-Square goodness of fit test statistic = 4.5861 Table Chi-Square value (alpha = 0.01) = 13.277

Data PASS normality test. Continue analysis.

Wet Weight (mg), 30 days post-hatch; ug ai/L File: 2701ww Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION Shapiro Wilks test for normality D = 1150.500W = 0.927Critical W (P = 0.05) (n = 23) = 0.914 Critical W (P = 0.01) (n = 23) = 0.881 \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ Data PASS normality test at P=0.01 level. Continue analysis. Wet Weight (mg), 30 days post-hatch; ug ai/L File: 2701ww Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION Hartley test for homogeneity of variance Calculated H statistic (max Var/min Var) = 5.02 Closest, conservative, Table H statistic = 184.0 (alpha = 0.01) R (# groups) = 6, df (# reps-1) = R (# groups) = 6, df (# avg reps-1 Used for Table H ==> 3 df (# avg reps-1) = 2.83 Actual values ==> (average df used) Data PASS homogeneity test. Continue analysis. NOTE: This test requires equal replicate sizes. If they are unequal but do not differ greatly, the Hartley test may still be used as an approximate test (average df are used). Wet Weight (mg), 30 days post-hatch; ug ai/L File: 2701ww Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION Bartletts test for homogeneity of variance \_\_\_\_\_ Calculated B statistic = 2.29 Table Chi-square value = 15.09 (alpha = 0.01) Table Chi-square value = 11.07 (alpha = 0.05) Average df used in calculation => df (avg n - 1) = 2.83 Used for Chi-square table value ==> df (#groups-1) = 5 \_\_\_\_\_ Data PASS homogeneity test at 0.01 level. Continue analysis. NOTE: If groups have unequal replicate sizes the average replicate size is used to calculate the B statistic (see above). Wet Weight (mg), 30 days post-hatch; ug ai/L File: 2701ww Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION ANOVA TABLE SOURCE  $\mathbf{DF}$ SS MS F 5 2248.457 449.691 6,645 Between

## D287592 Toxicity of Methidathion to Fish, Early Life Stage MRID 001573-53 & 458227-01

Page 21 of 23

 Within (Error)
 17
 1150.500
 67.676

 Total
 22
 3398.957

Critical F value = 2.81 (0.05,5,17) Since F > Critical F REJECT Ho:All groups equal

Wet Weight (mg), 30 days post-hatch; ug ai/L File: 2701ww Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	BONFERRONI T-TEST -	TABLE 1 OF 2	Ho:Contr	rol <treatment< th=""></treatment<>
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	TRANSFORMED MEAN	MEAN CALCULATED IN ORIGINAL UNITS	T STAT SIG
1 2 3 4 5 6	neg control 0.68 1.6 3.2 6.3 12	76.500 74.000 77.000 75.250 70.750 46.000	76.500 74.000 77.000 75.250 70.750 46.000	0.430 -0.086 0.215 0.988 4.854 *
Bonfer	rroni T table value =	2.57 (1 Tai	led Value, P=0.05,	df=17,5)

Wet Weight (mg), 30 days post-hatch; ug ai/L File: 2701ww Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	BONFERRONI T-TEST -	TABLE	2 OF 2	Ho:Contr	ol <treatment< th=""></treatment<>
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	NUM OF REPS	Minimum Sig Diff (IN ORIG. UNITS)	% of CONTROL	DIFFERENCE FROM CONTROL
1 2 3	neg control 0.68 1.6	4 4 4	14.932 14.932	19.5 19.5	2.500
4 5 6	3.2 6.3 12	4 4 3	14.932 14.932 16.129	19.5 19.5 21.1	1.250 5.750 30.500

Wet Weight (mg), 30 days post-hatch; ug ai/L File: 2701ww Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

. •	WILLIAMS TEST (Isotor	lic	regression model	) TABLE 1 OF	2
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	N	ORIGINAL MEAN	TRANSFORMED MEAN	ISOTONIZED MEAN
1 2 3 4 5 6	neg control 0.68 1.6 3.2 6.3 12	4 4 4 4 4 3	76.500 74.000 77.000 75.250 70.750 46.000	76.500 74.000 77.000 75.250 70.750 46.000	76.500 75.500 75.250 75.250 70.750 46.000

Wet Weight (mg), 30 days post-hatch; ug ai/L File: 2701ww Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION D287592 Toxicity of Methidathion to Fish, Early Life Stage MRID 001573-53 & 458227-01

WILLIAMS TEST	(Isotonic	regressio	n model)	TABLE 2	OF 2	
IDENTIFICATION	ISOTONIZED MEAN	CALC. WILLIAMS	SIG P=.05	TABLE WILLIAMS	DEGREE FREED	s of Om
neg control 0.68 1.6 3.2 6.3 12	76.500 75.500 75.500 75.250 70.750 46.000	0.172 0.172 0.215 0.988 4.854	. *	1.74 1.82 1.85 1.87 1.87	k= 1, k= 2, k= 3, k= 4, k= 5,	v=17 v=17 v=17 v=17 v=17
s = 8.227 Note: df used for table values are approximate when $v > 20$ .						
Estimates of EC%		·				_
Parameter         Estimate           EC5         5.9           EC10         7.1           EC25         9.7           EC50         14.           Slope =           Goodness of fit: p =           2701ww : Wet Weight (state)	95% Bour Lower 3.4 4.7 8.0 11. 4.40 Std.E 0.96	nds Upper 10. 11. 12. 17. rr. = based on I s post-hat	Std.Err. 0.11 0.085 0.041 0.040 1.60 PF= ch; ug ai	Lower Bo /Estimat 0.58 0.67 0.82 0.83 3.0	und e 17.	-
Observed vs. Predicte	d Treatment	Group Mea	ins			. <b>.</b>
Dose #Reps.	Obs. Mean	Pred. Mean	Obs. -Pred.	Pred. %Control	%Change	
$\begin{array}{cccc} 0.00 & 4.00 \\ 0.680 & 4.00 \\ 1.60 & 4.00 \\ 3.20 & 4.00 \\ 6.30 & 4.00 \\ 12.0 & 3.00 \end{array}$	76.5 74.0 77.0 75.3 70.8 46.0	75.7 75.7 75.7 75.5 70.7 46.0	0.756 -1.74 1.26 -0.299 0.0332 0.00483	100. 100. 99.7 93.4 60.7	0.00 4.31e-07 0.00188 0.258 6.64 39.3	

!!!Warning: EC50 not bracketed by doses evaluated.