DP Barcode : D199051 PC Code No : 088004 : 05/19/94 EEB Out

To:

Linda Propst

Product Manager 73

Special Review and Reregistration Division (7508W)

From: Anthony F. Maciorowski, Chief

Ecological Effects Branch/EFED (7507C)

Attached, please find the EEB review of...

Reg./File #

: 088004

Chemical Name : Sodium Omadine

Type Product

: Microbiocide

Product Name

: Sodium Omadine Products

Company Name

: Olin Corporation

Purpose

: Submission of RED package for List A, Case

No. 0209.

Action Code

:<u>62</u>3

Date Due

05/04/94

Scientist :

C. Laird

Date In

02/09/94

GDLN NO	MRID NO	CAT GDLN NO	MRID NO	CAT	GDLN NO	MRID NO	CAT
71-1(A)		72-2(A)			72-7 (A)		
71-1(B)		72-2 (B)			72-7 (B)		
71-2 (A)		72-3 (A)			122-1 (A)		
71-2(B)		72-3 (B)			122-1 (B)		
71-3		72-3 (C)			122-2		
71-4 (A)		72-3 (D)			123-1 (A)		
71-4 (B)		72-3 (里)			123-1(B)		
71-5 (A)		72-3 (F)			123-2		
71-5 (B)		72-4 (A)			124-1		
72-1 (A)		72-4 (B)			124-2		
72-1(B)		72-5			141-1		
72-1 (C)		72-6			141-2		
72-1(D)					141-5		

Y=Acceptable (Study satisfied Guideline)/Concur P=Partial (Study partially fulfilled Guideline but additional information is needed

S=Supplemental (Study provided useful information but Guideline was

not satisfied)

M=Unacceptable (Study was rejected) /Nonconcur

Original Review 05.19.94

DP BARCODE: D199051

REREG CASE # 0209

CASE: 819311 SUBMISSION: S457767

DATA PACKAGE RECORD

BEAN SHEET

DATE: 02/04/94

Page 1 of 1

* * * CASE/SUBMISSION INFORMATION * * *

CASE TYPE: REREGISTRATION ACTION: 623 INITIATE RED CHAPTER

CHEMICALS: 088004 1-Hydroxy-2-(1H)-pyridinethione, sodium salt

100.00 %

ID#: 088004

COMPANY:

PRODUCT MANAGER: 73 LINDA PROPST PM TEAM REVIEWER: MARIO FIOL

703-308-8165 ROOM: CS1 2L5

703-308-8049 ROOM: CS1

2A6

RECEIVED DATE: 02/04/94

DUE OUT DATE: 07/04/94

* * * DATA PACKAGE INFORMATION * * *

DP BARCODE: 199051 EXPEDITE: N DATE SENT: 02/04/94 DATE RET.:

CHEMICAL: 088004 1-Hydroxy-2-(1H)-pyridinethione, sodium salt

CSF: N

DP TYPE: 001 Submission Related Data Package LABEL: Y

ASSIGNED TO DATE IN DIV : EFED 02/00/94 BRAN: EEB SECT:

REVR: CONTR: DATE OUT ADMIN DUE DATE: 07/04/94 / NEGOT DATE: PROJ DATE:

* * * DATA REVIEW INSTRUCTIONS * * *

Kindly direct these packages to Rob Kyle.

Rob, please fnd attached 3 copies of the Sodium Omadine RED Deliverable.

Please call me if there are any problems/issues.

Thanks,

Mario F. Fiol

308-8049

* * * DATA PACKAGE EVALUATION * * *

No evaluation is written for this data package

* * ADDITIONAL DATA PACKAGES FOR THIS SUBMISSION * * *

DP BC BRANCH/SECTION DATE OUT DUE BACK INS CSF LABEL

MAY 1 9 1994

MEMORANDUM

EEB RED Document for Sodium Omadine (Case 0209, Chemical 088004, DP Barcode D199051) SUBJECT:

Anthony F. Maciorowski, Chief FROM:

Ecological Effects Branch

Environmental Fate and Effects Division (7507C)

TO: David B. Farrar

Science Analysis and Coordination Staff

Environmental Fate and Effects Division (7507C)

Attached is the EEB RED review for sodium omadine. The document contains a risk assessment for industrial microbiocide uses based on receipt of EEC's from EFGWB.

There are no outstanding data requirements for EEB.

Any questions or comments on this memo should be referred to Curtis E. laird at 305-5636.

Attachments

SODIUM OMADINE

REREGISTRATION ELIGIBILITY DOCUMENT

A. Ecological Hazard for Sodium Omadine

1. Formulations and Uses

The following formulation and use data was obtained from the LUIS report for sodium omadine, dated September 22, 1993.

Sodium Omadine is a broad spectrum antimicrobial compound used as a preservative in certain manufactured materials and as an additive in cutting fluids. They are as a bacteriostat, fungicide, microbiocide/microbiostat (slime-forming bacteria), microbiocide/microbiostat (slime-forming fungi), to control fungi and/or bacteria growth. All uses fall under the indoor use category. The technical grade material is 90% ai. All end use products are soluble concentrates/liquids ranging from 4% to 42.0% ai. The fish and wildlife studies used in the sodium omadine RED were conducted with end use products ranging from 40% to 41.9% ai.

2. Data Requirements

EEB has no outstanding data requirement.

The three avian studies (bobwhite quail, MRID No. 403634-01; bobwhite quail, MRID No. 0073656; and mallard duck, MRID No. 00073657) were determined to be acceptable to support reregistration of sodium omadine. Two of the three aquatic studies (bluegill sunfish, MRID No. 403585-01; and Daphnia magna, MRID No. 00103228) meet guideline requirements in support of reregistration. The rainbow trout study (MRID No. 404945-01) was considered supplemental.

3. Disciplinary Review

ECOLOGICAL EFFECTS PROFILE

Fish and Wildlife Data

EEB has reviewed and categorized the following studies submitted by the registrant:

Guideline Ref. No.	Test Species	Percent a.i.	Test Type	Test Results	Study Status	MRID No.
71-1	bobwhite quail	41.9	Acute Oral	LD50=441 mg prod/kg	Core	403634-01
71-2	Mallard	40	Avian Dietary	LC50 9119 ppm prod	Core	00073657

71-2	Bobwhite quail	40	Avian Dietary	3247 ppm prod	Core	0073656
72-1	Rainbow trout	41.9	Fish Acute	LC50 <7.3 ug ai/L	Suppl.	404945-01
72-1	Bluegill	41.9	Fish Acute	LC50=8.1 mg ai/L	Core	403585-01
72-2	Daphnia	40	Invert. Acute	LC50=23 ug prod/L	Core	001032 2 8

Terrestrial Studies

Based on the three avian studies, sodium omadine is considered moderately toxic to birds.

Freshwater Aquatic Studies

Based on the three aquatic studies, this chemical is considered very highly toxic to both freshwater fish and freshwater aquatic invertebrates.

Estuarine/Marine Studies

No data were available on the toxicity of sodium omadine to estuarine and marine organisms. For the presently registered uses of sodium omadine these data are not required.

Nontarget Insects

Nontarget insect testing is not required based on the use patterns for sodium omadine.

Nontarget Plants

Nontarget plant testing is not required based on the use patterns for sodium omadine.

B. Ecological Risk Assessment

1. Label Statements

This pesticide is toxic to fish and aquatic invertebrates. Do not discharge effluent containing this pesticide into lakes, ponds, streams, estuaries, oceans or public waters unless this product is specifically identified and addressed in an NPDES permit. Do not discharge effluent containing this product into sewer systems without previously notifying the sewage treatment plant authority. For guidance contact your State or Regional Office of the EPA.

At the present time the Agency is working with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and other federal and state agencies to develop a program to avoid jeopardizing the continued existence of listed

species by the use of pesticide? When Endangered Species Protection Program is implemented and subsequent guidance is given, endangered species labeling amendments may be required on affected end-use products. Labeling statements will likely refer users to county specific bulletins specifying detailed limitations on use to protect endangered species.

2. Data Requirements

There are no outstanding data requirements for sodium omadine.

3. Ecological Risk Assessment

Sodium omadine is considered moderately nontoxic to birds and is not expected to be found in the environment at levels of concern. Therefore, risk to birds is expected to be minimal.

Sodium omadine is considered very highly toxic to freshwater fish, and aquatic invertebrates. The EFGWB determined Tier 1c EEC's (estimated environmental concentrations) for aquatic residues occurring immediately downstream from industrial discharge sites. A stream flow screening model was used by EFGWB to determine "high exposure case" and "typical exposure case" EEC,s for metal working fluids. Sodium omadine is an industrial biocide used for controlling fungal and bacterial growth in metal working fluids, paints, inks, adhesives, plastics, laundry rinse additives, detergents, polymers, and floor finishes. Metal working fluids, laundry additives, and detergents can have discharges into the aquatic environment. However, EFGWB indicated that the Agency does not have an adequate method for calculating EEC's for laundry rinse additives and detergents. Therefore, the metal working fluids use was chosen.

For the acute risk characterization, the risk quotient (RQ) = $\rm EEC/LC_{50}$, the High Risk Level of Concern (HR LOC) = 0.5, and when RQ > 0.5 (HR LOC), high risk is presumed. The available acute aquatic toxicity data for sodium omadine are: rainbow trout $\rm LC_{50}$ = < 7.3 ppb active ingredient (ai), bluegill sunfish $\rm LC_{50}$ = 8.1 ppm ai, and Daphnia magna $\rm LC_{50}$ = 23 ppb (40% product; 9.2 ppb ai when

adjusted to TGAI). (Although the rainbow trout study is considered not fully acceptable to support reregistration, it does supply some useful information by indicating the LC_{50} is in the order of 7.3 ppb ai or less). The EECs from EFGWB (copy of review attached) range from 10,900 ppb to 34,100 ppb for the "high exposure case" and from 8.7 ppb to 106 ppb for the "typical exposure case."

Using the above data, RQ values for rainbow trout, bluegill sunfish, and $\underline{\text{Daphnia magna}}$ using the lower "high exposure case" EEC are: 10,900/7.3 = 1493.2, 10,900/8100 = 1.3, and 10,900/9.2 = 1.3

1184.8, respectively. RQ values for rainbow trout, bluegill sunfish, and <u>Daphnia magna</u> using the higher "high exposure case" EEC are: 34,100/7.3 = 4671.2, 34,100/8100 = 4.2, and 34,100/9.2 = 3706.5, respectively.

Using the above data, RQ values for rainbow trout, bluegill sunfish, and Daphnia magna using the lower "typical exposure case" EEC are: 8.7/7.3 = 1.2, 8.7/8100 = 0.001, and 8.7/9.2 = 0.9, respectively. RQ value; for rainbow trout, bluegill sunfish, and Daphnia magna using the higher "typical exposure case" EEC are: 106/7.3 = 14.5, 106/8100 = 0.01, and 106/9.2 = 11.5, respectively.

The above RQ values exceed the HR LOC of 0.5 for all metal working use scenarios except for bluegill sunfish at the lower and higher "typical exposure cases." Thus, EEB concludes high acute risk is likely to aquatic organisms under most metal working/sodium omadine effluent situations.

4. Endangered Species

The LOC for aquatic endangered species is 0.05. Based on the RQs above, this LOC is exceeded for all metal working use scenarios except for bluegill sunfish at the lower and higher "typical exposure cases."

Sodium omadine is expected to be discharged into the aquatic environment based on the proposed use pattern. Endangered species can be exposed to sodium omadine through effluents. Based on the above discussions, effluents containing sodium omadine should not be discharged into aquatic habitats where endangered species are known to live.

C. Value of Information

The purpose of this section is to discuss the uncertainty regarding the use of data from the available freshwater fish and one aquatic invertebrate study to assess hazard to freshwater organisms.

Our uncertainty in this assessment results from a number of variables. These include the uncertainties associated with: the variations in sodium omadine use sites and formulations; the variability in EECs from different formulations and use rates; the lack of EECs for certain uses (e.g., laundry rinse additives, detergents); the reliability of the 96-hour LC_{50} value for rainbow trout (e.g., whether it is 7.3 ppb ai or lower); and the variability in response among the freshwater organisms acutely tested in ecotoxicity studies (e.g., are <u>Daphnia magna</u> the most sensitive or rainbow trout and will other nontarget freshwater organisms react differntly). Given these variables, a "generic"

(e.g., for reregistration) characterization of acute risk to nontarget aquatic organisms is difficult. A characterization addressing specific use sites, formulations, EECs, and exposed nontarget organisms could help to decrease uncertainity.

Date: 05/09/94			PHASE IV		
Case No: 819311 DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR Chemical No: 088004	œ	ECOLOGICA	ECOLOGICAL EFFECTS BRANCH		
Data Requirements	Composition ¹	Use Pattern²	Does EPA Have Data To Satisfy This Requirement? (Yes, No)	Bibliographic Citation	Must Additional Data Be Submitted under FIFRA3(c)(2)(B)?
6 Basic Studies in Bold					
71-1(a) Acute Avian Oral, Quail/Duck	(TGAI)		No		No
71-1(b) Acute Avian Oral, Quail/Duck	(TEP)	Σ	Yes	403634-01	No
71-2(a) Acute Avian Diet, Quail	(TEP)	Σ	Yes	00073656	No
71-2(b) Acute Avien Diet, Duck	(TEP)	Σ	Yes	00073657	No V
71-3 Wild Mammal Toxicity	(TGAI)	₹ [*]			
71-4(a) Avian Reproduction Quail	(TGAI)		i.		
71-4(b) Avian Reproduction Duck	(TGAI)				
71-5(a) Simulated Terrestrial Field Study	(ТЕР)				
71-5(b) Actual Terrestrial Field Study	(TEP)	*			
72-1(a) Acute Fish Toxicity Bluegill	(TGAI)				
72-1(b) Acute Fish Toxicity Bluegill	(TEP)	Σ	Yes	403585-01	8
72-1(c) Acute Fish Toxicity Rainbow Trout	(TGAI)				
72-1(d) Acute Fish Toxicity Rainbow Trout	(TEP)	Σ	Yes	404945-01(partial)	ON.
72-2(a) Acute Aquatic Invertebrate Toxicity	(TGAI)				
72-2(b) Acute Aquetic Invertebrate Toxicity	(TEP)	Σ	Yes	00103228	No
72-3(a) Acute Estu/Mari Tox Fish	(TGAI)				
72-3(b) Acute Estu/Mari Tox Mollusk	(TGAI)				
72-3(c) Acute Estu.Mari Tox Shrimp	(TGAI)				

Date: 05/09/94	`,	ā.	PHASE IV		
Case No: 819311 DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR Chemical No: 088004	JR.	ECOLOGICAL	ECOLOGICAL EFFECTS BRANCH		
Data Requirements	Composition	Use Pattern²	Does EPA Have Data To Satisfy This Requirement? (Yes, No)	Bibliographic Citation	Must Additional Data Be Submitted under FIFRA3(c)(2)(B)?
72-3(d) Acute Estu/Mari Tox Fish	(TEP)				
72-3(e) Acute Estu/Mari Tox Mollusk	(TEP)	¥			
72-3(f) Acute Estu/Mari Tox Shrimp	(TEP)				
72-4(a) Early Life-Stage Fish	(TGAI)				
72-4(b) Life-Cycle Aquatic Invertebrate	(TGAI)				
72-5 Life-Cycle Fish	(TGAI)	,			
72-6 Aquatic Org. Accumulation	(TGA!)				
72-7(a) Simulated Aquatic Field Study	(TEP))		
72-7(b) Actual Aquatic Field Study	(TEP)				
122-1(a) Seed Germ./Seedling Emerg.	(TGAI)				
122-1(b) Vegetative Vigor	(TGAI)				
122-2 Aquatic Plant Growth	(TGA!)				
123-1(a) Seed Germ./Seedling Emerg.	(TGAI)				
123-1(b) Vegetative Vigor	(TGAI)				
123-2 Aquatic Plant Growth	(TGA!)				
124-1 Terrestrial Field Study	(TEP)				
124-2 Aquatic Field Study	(TEP)				
141-1 Honey Bee Acute Contact	(TGAI)				
141-2 Honay Bee Residue on Foliage	(TEP)				
141-5 Field Test for Pollinators	(TEP)	N			

- TGAI=Technical grade of the active ingredient; PAIRA=Pure active ingredient, radiolabeled; TEP=Typical end-use product 1. Composition:
- A = Terrestrial Food Crop; B = Terrestrial Feed Crop; C = Terrestrial Non-Food Crop; D = Aquatic Food Crop; E = Aquatic Non-Food Outdoor; F = Aquatic Non-Food Residential; H = Greenhouse Food Crop; J = Forestry; K = Outdoor Residential; L = Indoor Food; M = Indoor Non-Food; N = Ind 2. Use Patterns:

DP BARCODE: D201509

DATA PACKAGE RECORD BEAN SHEET

DATE: 04/29/94 Page 1 of 1

* * * FREE STANDING DATA PACKAGE * * *

THERE IS NO CASE OR SUBMISSION DATA

* * * DATA PACKAGE INFORMATION * * *

DP BARCODE: 201509 EXPEDITE: Y DATE SENT: 04/07/94 DATE RET.: / / CHEMICAL: 088004 1-Hydroxy-2-(1H)-pyridinethione, sodium salt

DP TYPE: 001 Submission Related Data Package
CSF: N LAREL N

		CSF: N	TWRET: N		
1	ASSIGNED TO	DATE IN	DATE OU	JT ADMIN DUE	DATE: 04/19/94
	DIV : EFED	04/08/94	/ /	NEGOT	DATE: / /
r	BRAN: EFGB	04/08/94	/ /	PROJ	DATE: / /
	SECT: SWS	04/08/94			
	REVR : DJO	NES 04/21/94	04/28/9	94	
	CONTR:	/ / /	/ /	•	

* * * DATA REVIEW INSTRUCTIONS * * *

Please provide EECs for this microbiocide which is a RED candidate. EEB's due date is 05/04/94. Please forward EECs to Norm Cook or Curtis Laird. Thanks.

* * * DATA PACKAGE EVALUATION * * *

No evaluation is written for this data package

THERE ARE NO ADDITIONAL DATA PACKAGES

rinse additives and detergents may have significant discharges to aquatic environments, there is no obvious and easy way to calculate an EEC for these uses. A Tier 1c EEC was calculated for metal working fluids for each of different use rates specified on the different product labels. These are listed in Table 1 for both a typical and high exposure site.

A Tier Ic EEC determines the maximum concentration that occurs immediately downstream from an industrial (point source) discharge site. The EEC's calculated are those for a high exposure site with a return frequency of 1 in 10 years. The high exposure site represents a site that would be expected to produce larger EEC's than 90% of all sites with the specified use pattern. A one in 10 year EEC has a 10% probability being equaled or exceeded in any single year at a given site, or, would equalled or exceeded once every ten years at that site on a long term average. This is similar to the site and frequency assumptions that are generally being used for agricultural pesticides. EEC's for a 50% (typical site) at mean stream flow were also calculated.

Table 1. Tier 1c EEC's for sodium omadine from use in metal working fluids.					
Use Site	High Exposure Case	Typical Exposure Case			
Group A	10,900 μg· L ⁻¹	8.7 μg ·L ⁻¹			
Group B	19,200 μg ·L ⁻¹	15 μg ·L ⁻¹			
Group C	34,100 μg · L ⁻¹	27 μg ·L ⁻¹			
Group D	13, 300 μg ·L ⁻¹	106 μg ·L ⁻¹			

Group A: Cimcool Additive SO, Reg No. 4808-3

Group B: Triadine 20% Industrial Microbiostat, Reg. No. 1258-1205

Group C: Triadine 10 Bactericide-Fungicide, Reg. No. 1258-990

Group D: Sodium Omadine 40% Aqueous Solution, Reg. No. 1258-843; Sodium

Omadine 10% Aqueous Solution, Reg No. 1258-1213.

Sodium omadine, is sold for pesticidal use in 5 registered products manufactured by 2 producers. LUIS indicates that all products registered by Olin Corporation have an NPDES disposal permit statement on the label. However, of the product labels supplied with the reregistration package, only Sodium Omadine 10% Aqueous Solution had an explicit NPDES permit statement. Cimcool Additive SO has two relevant statements, not to contaminate water by storage of the pesticide, and that waste resulting from the use of the pesticide may be disposed of on site or at an approved waste disposal facility. Triadine 10 Industrial Microbiostat also has a statement that water should not be contaminated by storage or disposal, and includes

indicate that the pesticide can potentially adversely impact the environment. Because Tier 1c EEC's make many very conservative assumptions and do not address the environmental fate of the pesticide, they may significantly overestimate the true exposure to the chemical. A higher tier EEC calculation which more accurately reflects the fate and transport properties of sodium omadine would likely show that the risk is less than that reported here. For sodium omadine, it may be possible to obatin some mitigation by assuring that the pesticide is disposed of in accordance with NPDES permits as it not currently clear that all products currently require this. Waste water treatment prior to discharge, restriction on discharge during low flow periods and other methods which may be available through the NPDES permitting process may be used to reduced the risk below the level of concern at each site using the pesticide.

References

Office of Prevention and Toxic Substances. 1992. Summary of Stream Dilution Factor Program (SDFP) Outputs for 40 Industrial Categories (Updated January, 1991, 1Q10 & 3Q5 Added October, 1992.

cc: Harry Craven
Norm Cook
Curtis Laird
David Ferrar
reading file