# DATA EVALUATION RECORD ALGAE OR DIATOM EC $_{50}$ TEST $\S 123-2$ (TIER II)

1. <u>CHEMICAL</u> : Chlorothalonil	PC Code No.: 081901
2. TEST MATERIAL: Chloroth	nalonil <u>Purity</u> : 98.1%
3. <u>CITATION</u> :	
Author:	Smyth, D.V., Magor, S.E., and N. Shillabeer
<u>Title</u> :	Chlorothalonil: Toxicity to the Marine Alga Skeletonema costatum
Study Completion Date:	August 1, 1998
<u>Laboratory</u> :	Brixham Environmental Laboratory ZENECA Limited Brixham, Devon, TQ5 8BA, UK
Sponsor:	GB Biosciences Corporation 1800 Concord Pike P.O. Box 15458 Wilmington, DE 19850-5458
<u>Laboratory Report ID</u> :	BL6422/B
MRID No.:	44908103
<u>DP Barcode</u> :	D270160
4. <b>REVIEWED BY:</b> Dana Word	ester, Senior Staff Scientist, Dynamac Corporation
Signature:	<b>Date:</b> 4/17/01
<b>APPROVED BY:</b> Kathleen Fo	erguson, Ph.D., Senior Staff Scientist, Dynamac Corporation
Signature:	<b>Date:</b> 4/17/01
5. APPROVED BY: Tim Bargar	r, Biologist, OPP/EFED/ERB III
Signature:	Date:

## 6. STUDY PARAMETERS

Scientific Name of Test Organism: Skeletonema costatum

**Definitive Test Duration:** 120 hours

Study Method: Static

Type of Concentrations: Nominal

#### 7. **CONCLUSIONS**:

In this algae EC<sub>50</sub> test, *Skeletonema costatum* were exposed to Chlorothalonil at nominal concentrations of 1.5, 3.0, 6.0, 12, 24, 48, and 96  $\mu$ g/L; mean measured concentrations were 1.6, 2.9, 5.8, 11, 22, 47, and 92  $\mu$ g/L. By 120 hours, mean cell counts in the nominal 1.5, 3.0, 6.0, 12, 24, 48, and 96  $\mu$ g/L treatment groups were 104%, 94%, 99%, 86%, 1%, 1%, and 1%, respectively, of the solvent control. Area under the growth curve (biomass) in the 1.5, 3.0, 6.0, 12, 24, 48, and 96  $\mu$ g/L treatment groups was 104%, 97%, 97%, 76%, 0%, 0%, and 0%, respectively, of the solvent control, by 120 hours. The mean growth rate in the 1.5, 3.0, 6.0, 12, 24, 48, and 96  $\mu$ g/L treatment groups was 101%, 99%, 100%, 97%, 5%, 9%, and 8%, respectively, of the solvent control by 120 hours. Statistically significant differences in area under the growth curve (biomass) were observed in the nominal 12, 24, 48, and 96  $\mu$ g/L treatment groups; for growth rate, significant differences were observed in the 24, 48, and 96  $\mu$ g/L treatment groups.

The reviewer was unable to statistically verify the study authors' NOEC, LOEC, and EC<sub>50</sub> estimates pertaining to biomass and growth rate. The study authors only provided replicate data for cell density and used these values to derive biomass (area under the curve) and growth rate, which they then statistically analyzed. Note, although the study authors did provide raw data for cell density, they did not estimate a NOEC, LOEC or EC<sub>50</sub>. The reviewer's NOEC, LOEC, and EC<sub>50</sub> estimates for cell density were identical to those estimates reported by the study authors for biomass and growth rate. The study author calculated 120-hour EC<sub>50</sub> value was 13  $\mu$ g/L and 20  $\mu$ g/L for biomass (area under the curve) and growth rate, respectively. The 120-hour NOEC value was 6  $\mu$ g/L and 12  $\mu$ g/L for biomass (area under the curve) and growth rate, respectively.

This study is classified as CORE. This study supports the requirements for an algae  $EC_{50}$  test (Subdivision J, §123-2 (TIER II)).

#### **Results Synopsis**

Cell density:

EC<sub>50</sub>:  $14 \mu g/L$ 

95% C.I.: 6.5 and 30 μg/L

NOEC: 5.9 µg a.i./L

Probit Slope: 4.90

Biomass (Area Under the Curve): Note, these are study author reported values. Replicate data not provided; values could not be determined by reviewer.

EC<sub>50</sub>:  $13 \mu g/L$ 

95% C.I.: 12-14 μg/L

NOEC:  $5.9 \mu g/L$ 

Probit Slope: Not provided

Growth rate: Note, these are study author reported values. Replicate data not provided; values could not be determined by reviewer.

EC<sub>50</sub>:  $20 \mu g/L$ 

95% C.I.: 18-22 μg/L

Probit Slope: Not provided

NOEC:  $12 \mu g/L$ 

# 8. ADEQUACY OF THE STUDY:

A. Classification: Core

**B. Rationale:** N/A

C. Repairability: N/A

# 9. **GUIDELINE DEVIATIONS**:

The maximum labeled rate was not reported.

10. **SUBMISSION PURPOSE**: Reregistration

# 11. MATERIALS AND METHODS:

A. Test Organisms

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information	
Species: Skeletonema costatum Anabaena flos-aquae Selenastrum capricornutum Navicula pelliculosa	Skeletonema costatum	
Initial number of cells: 3,000 - 10,000 cells/mL	10,000 cells/mL	
Nutrients: Standard formula	Yes	

B. Test System

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information	
Solvent:	0.10 mL/L dimethylformamide	
<b>Temperature:</b> Skeletonema: 20°C Others: 24-25°C	19.8-20.0°C	
Light Intensity: Anabaena: 2.0 Klux (±15%) Others: 4.0-5.0 Klux (±15%)	4.1 Klux	
Photoperiod: Skeletonema: 14 h light, 10 h dark, or 16 h light, 8 h dark Others: Continuous	16 h light, 8 h dark	
pH Skeletonema: approx. 8.0 Others: approx. 7.5	Initial 8.1; Final 8.1-8.8	

C. Test Design

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information	
Dose range: 2x or 3x progression	2x	
Doses: at least 5	Nominal: 1.5, 3.0, 6.0, 12, 24, 48, and 96 μg/L	
Controls: Negative and/or solvent	Negative and solvent	
Replicates per dose: 3 or more	Yes	
<b>Duration of test:</b> 120 hours	120 hours	
Daily observations were made? Yes		
Method of observations:	The number of cells was determined	

Maximum labeled rate:	Not reported	
	by electronic particle counting	
Guideline Criteria	Reported Information	

# 12. <u>REPORTED RESULTS</u>:

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information		
Initial and 120 h cell densities were measured?	Yes		
Control cell count at 120 hr ≥2x initial count?	Yes		
Initial chemical concentrations measured? (Optional)	Yes		
Raw data included?	Yes		

# Dose Response:

Cell density

Initial Measured Concentration (µg/L)	Avg. Cell Density % Reduction (x 10 <sup>4</sup> cells/mL)		120-hour pH		
Control	59.8		8.7		
Solvent control	62.0		8.6		
1.6	6 64.8		8.7		
2.9	59.9	6	8.6		
5.8	61.4		61.4	1	8.7
53.1		14	8.8		
22 0.653		99	8.2		
7 0.771		99	8.1		
92	0.753	99	8.1		

<sup>&</sup>lt;> Represents an increase in cell density relative to the solvent control.

## **Growth Rate**

Initial Measured Concentration (µg/L)	Growth rate	% Reduction	120-hour pH	
Control	0.955		8.7	
Solvent Control	0.962		8.6	
1.6	0.971	<1>	8.7	
2.9	0.955	1	8.6	
5.8	0.960	0	8.7	
11	0.931	3	8.8	
22	0.051		8.2	
47	0.085		8.1	
92	0.080	92*	8.1	

<sup>&</sup>lt;>> Represents an increase in growth rate relative to the solvent control.

# **Area Under the Growth Curve**

Initial Measured Concentration (µg/L)	Area Under the Growth Curve	% Reduction	120-hour pH
Negative Control	132.4		8.7
Solvent Control	131.0		8.6
1.6	135.9	<4>	8.7
2.9	126.8	3	8.6
5.8	127.0	3	8.7
11	99.6	24*	8.8
22	0.5	100*	8.2
47	0.1	100*	8.1
92	0.0	100*	8.1

<sup>\*</sup> Significantly different from solvent control.

Other Significant Results: None.

<sup>\*</sup> Significantly different from solvent control.

#### Statistical Results

Statistical Method: EC<sub>50</sub> values were determined using the probit method. Biomass areas were examined by one-way analysis of variance and using Dunnett's test.

Cell density: Estimates not provided by the study authors.

EC<sub>50</sub>:

95% C.I.:

NOEC:

Probit Slope:

Biomass (Area Under the Curve):

EC<sub>50</sub>: 13 μg/L

95% C.I.: 12-14 μg/L

NOEC: 5.9 µg/L

Probit Slope: Not provided

Growth rate:

EC<sub>50</sub>:  $20 \mu g/L$ 

95% C.I.: 18-22 μg/L

NOEC: 12 µg/L

Probit Slope: Not provided

## 13. <u>VERIFICATION OF STATISTICAL RESULTS:</u>

<u>Statistical Method</u>: Only algal cell density data were analyzed statistically because replicate data were not provided for area under the growth curve or growth rate. After confirming normality and homogeneity of variance, negative and solvent control data were pooled because a two-tailed t-test revealed no significant difference. Treatment effects were assessed via Bonferroni-adjusted t-tests. An EC<sub>50</sub> estimate was performed using the method of Bruce and Versteeg via Nuthatch software.

## **Results Synopsis:**

Cell density:

EC<sub>50</sub>:  $14 \mu g/L$ 

95% C.I.: 6.5 and 30 μg/L

NOEC: 5.9 µg a.i./L

Probit Slope: 4.90

Biomass (Area Under the Curve): Replicate data not provided; values could not be determined.

EC<sub>50</sub>:

95% C.I.:

NOEC:

Probit Slope:

Growth rate: Replicate data not provided; values could not be determined.

EC<sub>50</sub>:

95% C.I.:

NOEC:

Probit Slope:

## 14. REVIEWERS' COMMENTS:

The reviewer's conclusions could not be compared directly to those of the study authors

because replicate data were not provided for the two endpoints analyzed statistically by the study laboratory (biomass and growth rate). The reviewer analyzed cell density (for which replicate data were provided), however, the study author failed to estimate NOEC, LOEC or EC<sub>50</sub> values for this endpoint. The NOEC and LOEC for biomass reported by the study authors were identical to those estimated for cell density by the reviewer. However, the study author-determined NOEC and LOEC for growth rate were one concentration higher than those values estimated for cell density.

The maximum labeled rate was not reported.

This study was conducted in accordance with UK Principles of Good Laboratory Practice (UK GLP Regulations 1997) and included a Quality Assurance Statement.

## 15. RESULTS OF STATISTICAL VERIFICATION:

File: 8103d

**Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION** 

ANOVA TABL	E
------------	---

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F
Between	7	22682.321	3240.332	187.530
Within (Error	) 22	380.128	17.279	
Total	29	23062.449		

Critical F value = 2.46 (0.05,7,22)

Since F > Critical F REJECT Ho:All groups equal

File: 8103d Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

BONFERRONI T-TEST - TABLE 1 OF 2 Ho:Control<Treatment

					_							
TRANSFORMED MEAN CALCULATED IN GROUP IDENTIFICATION MEAN ORIGINAL UNITS T STAT SIG												
1	GRPS 1&2 P	OOLED	61.244	61.244								
2	1.6	64.800	64.800	-1.283								
3	2.9	59.933	59.933	0.473								
4	5.9	61.433	61.433	-0.068								
5	12	53.067	53.067	2.951 *								
6	23	0.653	0.653	21.865 *								
7	47	0.771	0.771	21.822 *								
8	93	0.753	0.753	21.829 *								

Bonferroni T table value = 2.66 (1 Tailed Value, P=0.05, df=22,7)

File: 8103d Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

BONFERRONI T-TEST - TABLE 2 OF 2 Ho:Control<Treatment

			1/LDLL 2	. 01 2	ПO.	CONLIDICIT	eaument	
GROUI		UM OF CATION				DIFFERENC CONTROL	E FROM CONTR	OL
1	GRPS 1&2 P	OOLED	9					
2	1.6	3	7.374	12.0	-3.556			
3	2.9	3	7.374	12.0	1.311			
4	5.9	3	7.374	12.0	-0.189			
5	12	3	7.374	12.0	8.178			
6	23	3	7.374	12.0	60.592			
7	47	3	7.374	12.0	60.474			
8	93	3	7.374	12.0	60.492			