Text Searchable Document

DER on Partial Chronic Toxicity of Atrazine TAI to Freshwater Invertebrates - Daphnia pulicaria EPA MRID Number 452995-04 PMRA Submission Number

Data Requirement:

PMRA DATA CODE

EPA DP Barcode

D

OECD Data Point

EPA MRID

452995-04

EPA Guideline

Special Study 70-1

Test material:

Atrazine FL-931480 (Batch # SG 302011 BA)

Purity: 98.2 % a.i.

Common name: Atrazine Chemical names:

IUPAC:

6-Chloro-N-ethyl-N-isopropyl-1,3,5-triazine-2,4-diamine

CAS name:

CAS No.:

1912 - 24 - 9

Synonyms: Aatrex, Atranex, Atratef, Crisazina, Gesaprim, Herbitrin, Posmil, Sanazin, Trac

Primary Reviewer: William S. Rabert

William S. Rabert William S. Rabert Date: May 10, 2002 US EPA, OPPTS, OPP, EFED, ERBIII

Thomas Steeger Thomas M Strey Date: 5/13/02 US EPA, OPPTS, OPP, EFED, ERBI

Secondary Reviewer(s):

Reference/Submission No.:

Company Code: Active Code:

EPA PC Code:

080803

Date Evaluation Completed: 15 - 4 - 02

<u>CITATION</u>: Timothy J. Madsen. 2000. Effects of atrazine on the sex ratio of *Daphnia pulicaria*. Prepared by ABC Laboratories, Inc., Columbia, Missouri, ABC Study Number 45810; Submitted by Novartis Crop Protection, Inc., Greensboro, NC; October 2, 2000.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The 12-day partial chronic atrazine toxicity study with 3 to 4-day old *Daphnia pulicaria* was conducted under flowthrough conditions. Daphnids were exposed to a control, solvent control, and mean measured atrazine concentrations of 0.93, 4.1, 8.7, 44, and 87 μg a.i/L. The concentration of the solvent dimethylformamide was not reported. The solvent control significantly differed ($p \le 0.05$) from the control (i.e., more young per replicate and reduced adult body length). The 12-day LC₅₀/EC₅₀ based on mortality/sublethal effect was > 87 μg a.i/L. The 12-day NOAEC based on reduced adult survival was 8.7 µg/L compared to the DMF Control. The sublethal effects included were reduced body length at 87 μg a.i/L compared to the solvent control. Production of offsprings in the treated groups indicated that atrazine had an indirect effect on the reproduction due to adult mortalities at concentrations greater than 8.7mg a.i/L. The most sensitive endpoint was adult survival (NOAEC 8.7 µg ai./L; 44 µg ai./L).

Although, it is implied that these data contradict the Dodson et al. (1999) study; the conditions for the test differ. Therefore, the test results are not comparable. The Dodson study employed stressful conditions intended to yield male daphnids. Therefore, test animals were intentionally crowded (8/30 ml), light cycles were short (6 hours light and 18 hours dark) and the artificial media was double strength. Further, young daphnids were not evaluated until after at least 3 days exposure. Also, the indices evaluated in the Dodson study were calculated differently from this study. The only comparable index measured was the sex ratio.

This study is classified as scientifically unsound and it does not satisfy guideline requirements for a chronic toxicity study with freshwater invertebrates. The test produced no male offspring. Since atrazine endocrine effects are typically demasculinization and the daphnids in this study are females, it is reasonable that this study did not have endocrine effects on Daphnia pulicaria females.



Results Synopsis

Test Organism Age (eg. 1st instar): 3- to 4-days old, Instar stage is unknown.

Test Type (Flow-through): Flow-through.

NOAEL:

8.7 µg a.i./L

LOAEC:

44 µg a.i./L Endpoint Effected: Adult survival.

I. MATERIALS AND METHODS

GUIDELINE FOLLOWED: The study did not specify any particular guideline (Special Study 70-1)

- 1. The test species was Daphnia pulicaria rather than the required species, Daphnia magna.
- 2. The test began with daphnids 3- to 4-days old, rather than < 24 hours old.
- 3. Duration of study was a subacute test of 12 days rather than the typical 21-day Daphnia magna life cycle study.
- 4. The dilution water was moderately hard (130-160 mg/L as CaCO₃) rather than the benchmark soft water (40-48 mg/L CaCO₃).
- 5. The dilution water was biologically aged and passed through a polypropylene cartridge filter and ultraviolet sterilizer before going to the proportional diluter. Total organic carbon and particulate matter levels in the dilution water were not reported. Fluoride levels were high (i.e., 0.55 mg/L).
- 6. The pH level ranged from 8.51 to 8.66 in the controls and 7.96 to 8.5 in the treatments which is higher than the recommended range of 7.2 to 7.6.
- 6. Control mortality was 14 percent, which exceeds the limit of 10 percent mortality.

COMPLIANCE:

The Good Laboratory Procedures, Quality Assurance, and Data Confidentiality

statements were signed and dated.

A. MATERIALS:

1. Test Material

Atrazine received from Novartis Crop Protectin, Inc., on Dec. 7, 1999.

Description:

Atrazine (FL-931480)

Chemical state of the test material was not reported.

Lot No./Batch No.: SG 302011 BA

Purity:

98.2 %

Stability of Compound: Stability was not stated; the expiration date was cited as August 31, 2001. **Under Test Conditions:**

(OECD requires water solubility (33 mg/L), stable in water, light half-life (335 days), pKa, Pow (87.78), vapor pressure of test compound (3 x 10⁻⁷)

Storage conditions of

test chemicals:

Stored at room temperature.

2. Test organism:

Species:

Daphnia pulicaria (EPA/OECD require Daphnia magna)

Age of the parental stock:

Gravid females (16 to 23 days old).

Source:

Supplied by University of Guelph, Canada

3. Study Objective: The primary objective was to determine the effect of atrazine on the sex ratio of young Daphnia pulicaria produced during a part ial life cycle exposure conducted under flowthrough conditions. Additionally, the total number of offspring produced and the survival and growth of adult females were measured.

B. STUDY DESIGN:

1. Experimental Conditions

a) Range-finding Study:

No range-finding study.

b. Definitive Study:

Table 1. Experimental Parameters

Table 1. Experimental Parameters		Remarks	
Parameter	Details	Criteria	
Parental acclimation: period: conditions: (same as test or not) Feeding: Health: (any mortality observed)	Not reported. Similar temperature and water hardness; other parameters not reported. Fed approx. 2 x 10 ⁶ cells/mL (Selenastrum capricornutum and/or Ankistrodesmus falcatus).	Acclimation period was not specified, but was at least 3 days.	
Test condition: static renewal/flow through: Type of dilution system - for flow through method. Renewal rate for static renewal	Flow-through test. Two Hamilton syringe injectors into mixing chamber. 7.4 volumes per 24 hours. N/A		
Aeration, if any	Test solutions were not aerated during the test		
Chemical properties	None reported.	None reported. (OECD requires water solubility, stability in water and light, pKa, Pow, vapor pressure of test compound)	
Duration of the test	12 days	21 days: Shortened life cycle test.	
Test vessel Material: (glass/stainless steel Size (for growth and reproduction/survival test): Fill volume:	Borosilicate beakers. 1 liter test chamber (10 cm diameter x 15 cm high with solution depth of 12 cm. 1,000 mL (1 daphnid/100 ml).	Okay. 1. Material: Glass, No. 316 stainless steel, or perfluorocarbon plastics 2. Size: 250 ml with 200 ml fill volume is preferred; 100 ml with 80 ml fill volume is acceptable. OECD requires parent animals be maintained individually, one per vessel, with 50-100 ml of medium in each vessel.	

PMRA Submission Number	EPA MRID Number 452995-04			
Parameter	Details	Remarks		
1 at a meter	Details	Criteria		
Source of dilution water	Deep well water mixed with a portion from reverse osmosis, biologically aged and then filtered through polypropylene	Biologically aged water is inappropriate source of dilution water, especially when water quality measurements are incomplete and inadequate.		
	cartridge and ultraviolet sterilizer.	Unpolluted well or spring that has been tested for contaminants, or appropriate reconstituted water (see ASTM for details).		
Water parameters: Hardness pH Dissolved oxygen	146 - 154 mg/L 7.96 - 8.66 3.89 - 8.47 mg O ₂ /L (DO dropped	Analysis is incomplete and many levels of detection are inadequate. Fluoride level is high.		
Temperature Total Organic Carbon Particulate matter Metals Pesticides Chlorine	below 60% saturation in some atrazine treated beaker by Day 6). 20.5 to 21.7°C Not reported. Not reported. Levels of detection are inadequate for all metals. all less than 1.0 µg/L. Not reported. Fluoride conc.: 0.59 mg/L is too high. (Stephan, 1975; <100 µg/L)	EPA requires: hardness 160 to 180 mg/L as CaCO₃; OECD requires > 140 mg/L as CaCO₃ pH 7.6 to 8.0 is recommended. Must not deviate by more than one unit for more than 48 hours. OECD requires pH range 6 - 9 and should not vary more than 1.5 units in any one test. Dissolved Oxygen Renewal: must not drop below 50% for more than 48 hours. Flow-through: ≥ 60% throughout test. Temperature: 20°C ± 2°C. Must not deviate from 20°C by more than 5°C for more than 48 hours. OECD requires range 18 - 22°C; temperature should not vary more than ± 2°C OECD requires total organic carbon < 2 mg/L		
Experimental Design: Number of Treatments: Controls Number of replicates	5 treatments. Negative and DMF controls. 8 Replicates.	Okay. EPA requires Control(s) and at least 5 test concentrations; dilution factor not greater than 50%. OECD requires at least 5 test concentrations in a geometric series with a separation factor not exceeding 3.2.		
Number of organisms: For growth and reproduction: For survival test:	8 replicates/treatment. 10 Daphnids per replicate. 80 Daphnids per treatment.	Okay. 22 daphnids/level; 7 test chambers should contain 1 daphnid each, and 3 test chambers should contain 5 daphnids each. OECD requires minimum of 10 daphnids held individually for static tests. For flow-through tests, 40 animals divided into 4 groups of 10 animals at each test concentration.		

	2.1	Remarks
Parameter	Details	Criteria
Application rates nominal: measured:	Up to 4 lbs ai./A. 0, 1, 5, 10, 50 and 100 μg/L. < 0.05, 0.93, 4.1, 8.7, 44 and 87 μg/L.	Okay.
Solvent (type, percentage, if used)	Dimethylformamide – 100 μL/L.	Okay.
		EPA requires: solvent not to exceed 0.5 ml/L for static tests or 0.1 ml/L for flow-through tests. Acceptable solvents are dimethylforma- mide, triethylene glycol, methanol, acetone and ethanol. OECD requires ≤ 0.1 ml/L
Lighting	16 hours light: 8 hours dark. 408 to 556 lux	Okay. EPA/OECD requires: 16 hours light, 8 hours dark.
Stability of chemical in the test system	Range of concentrations within 5% of mean concentration, except for the highest level – up to 14%.	Okay.
Other parameters, if any	About 7.4 volumes per 24 hours.	Okay.
		Consistent flow rate of 5-10 vol/24 hours, meter systems calibrated before study and checked twice daily during test period.

2. Observations:

Table X: Observations

Criteria	Details	Remarks
		<u>Criteria</u>
Data end points measured (list)	Adult Survival Male to Female ratio Number of young Number of young per female reproductive day Day of first brood release Adult body length	EPA requires: - Survival of first-generation daphnids, - Number of young produced per female, - Dry weight (required) and length (optional) of each first generation daphnid alive at the end of the test, - Observations of other effects or clinical signs.

Observation intervals	Observations of abnormal physical appearance, neonate production and mortality/ immobility were recorded daily, but only reported as of Day 12. Days 0, 6 and 12 for T, pH & DO.	Okay.
Were raw data included?	Yes.	Okay.
Other observations, if any	Sex determinations were made.	Okay.

II. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. MORTALITY:

Control mortalities (14 percent) were less than 30 percent limit. Mortalities occurred in all treatments, but they were erratic and showed no dose-related effect. Mortality at 44 and 87 μ g ai/L was statistically significantly (p < 0.05) higher than the solvent control. The 12-day LC₅₀ was greater than the highest test concentration, 87 μ g/L. Few replicates produced 40 daphnids per adult, but the test was conducted for only 12 days, not the required 21 days.

Table 1: Effect of atrazine on growth and survival of Daphnia pulicaria.

Treatment (µg a.i./L) [record measured and		Mortality (Dead or Immobile)		Mean Days to	Total Number of	Male: Female Ratio	Mean Daphnid
nominal	conc. used]	No. Dead	%	First Brood	Young (Y/Rep)		Length (mm)
DMF Cont (0.1 ml/L)		3	3.8	5	2834 (354)	0.0	2.1
Negative c used	ontrol, if	111	141	5	2132 ² (355)	0.0	2.4
1.0	0.93	7	8.8	4	2854 (357)	0.0	2.1
5.0	4.1	4	5.0	5	3472 (434)	0.0	2.2
10	8.7	6	7.5	5	3708 (464)	0.0	2.2
50	44	213	26	5	2475 (309)	0.0	2.1
100	87	12³	15	5	2390 (299)	0.0	2.0
NOAEC		8.7 μ	g/L	87 μg/L	87 μg/L	87 μg/L	44 μg/L
LOAEC		44 με	g/L	> 87 μg/L	> 87 μg/L	> 87 μg/L	87 μg/L

Negative controls with statistically more mortalities than DMF controls, therefore analyses of treatments were made with the solvent control.

B. EFFECT ON REPRODUCTION:

² The count for two control replicates were uncertain and therefore they were not reported.

³ Treatment mortality statistically lower than solvent controls.

No male daphnid offspring were produced in any of the treatments; therefore the male:female ratio is zero. The lower number of offspring at the two highest test concentrations appear to be due to higher mortality but the differences were not significantly different from the solvent control due to high variability in the replicates The NOAEC

is 87 µg ai./L and the LOAEC is > 87 µg ai./L.

The mean adult body length at 87 μ g ai./L was statistically different (p < 0.05) from the solvent control (NOAEC, 44 μ g ai./L and the LOAEC, 87 μ g ai./L). This is a special study 70-1. Since the adult dry body weight was not measured and the test was limited to 12 days, this study would no fulfill the OPP test guideline 72-4(b).

Based on the solvent control, the most sensitive endpoint was adult mortality (NOAEC, 8.7 μ g ai./L and the LOAEC, 44 μ g ai./L).

C. <u>REPORTED STATISTICS</u>: The following endpoints were measured and analyzed for statistical significance: adult mortality, adult body length, and the number of young. The NOAEC and LOAEC values are listed at the bottom of the columns in the above table.

D. <u>VERIFICATION OF STATISTICAL RESULTS</u>:

Statistical Method: ANOVA and Williams Test

12-day LC₅₀ > 87 μ g ai./L

95% C.I.: N/A

NOAEC: 8.7 μg ai. /L

44 μg ai./L (Adult mortality)

Probit Slope: N/A

95% C.I.: N/A

LOAEC:

- E. <u>STUDY DEFICIENCIES</u>: The use of "biologically aged water" as the dilution water is unacceptable, because the water quality of the dilution water is unknown (i.e., TOC, particulate matter and other contaminant levels were not reported for the biologically-aged, dilution water. The test was conducted for 12 days rather than the required 21 days. Adult dry body weight was not measured as an endpoint as required. The above items are major deficiencies which render the test results incomplete and uncertain. This study is invalid.
- **F.** <u>REVIEWER'S COMMENTS</u>: Compared to the solvent control and most treatments, the blank control had unexplained high mortality (14 percent). The collection of young from two replicates of the blank controls were mixed and had to be omitted from the statistical analysis of the number of young. Dose-response curves were erratic for adult mortality and the total number of young.
- **G. CONCLUSIONS:** This study was not performed using good scientific practices. The "biologically aged water" is inappropriate as the dilution water, unless all water quality criteria are measured for each batch of aged water. The study showed no evidence of endocrine effects, but if atrazine has a mode of action of demasculinization, the effects would not be found in this daphnid study with all females. If the intent of this study was to 'refute' the Dodson *et al.* (1999) study, the test conditions were not similar (i.e., the daphnids were not tested with the environmental stresses employed in the Dodson *et al.* study).

This special study was not intended to and does not meet the test requirement for an aquatic invertebrate life cycle test for the following reasons. The test period was of insufficient duration (12 days rather than 21 days) and the required endpoint for adult dry body weight was not measured.

The atrazine concentrations were measured using the InsiteTM atrazine plate kit (Beacon Analytical Systems, Portland, ME. The limit of quantification for atrazine in the control and solvent control was 0.05 µg/L.

III. <u>REFERENCES</u>: [Provide references that were cited in the study report: studies in the open literature, references to other study reports in the submission or other studies conducted by the proponent. Do not include references to standard guidelines or methodologies.]

Dodson, S. I., C. M. Merritt, L. Torrentera, J. Shannahan and C. M. Shults. 1999. Low exposure concentrations of

atrazine increase male production in Daphnia pulicaria. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 18(7):1568-1573.

Dodson, S. I., C. M. Merritt, L. Torrentera, K. M. Winter, C. K. Tonehl and K. Girvin. 1999. Toxicology and Industrial Health 15:192-199.

The SAS System for Windows. Release 6.12 Copyright 1989-1996 by SAS Institute Inc., Cary, N.C. 27513, USA.

Zar, J. H. 1984. Biostatistical Analysis. Second Ed., Prentice-Hall, Inc. Englewood Cliffs, NJ.

Conover, W. J. 1980. Pratical [sic] nonparametric statistics. 2nd Edition.

Millikian, G. A. and D. E. Johnson. 1984. Analysis of messy data. Vol. 1. p. 22 [Vol. 1. Designed Experiments. Chapman and Hall, London]

The Reviewer's analyses of the test results are presented below.

TITLE:

Atrazine - Daphnia pulicaria Partial Life Cycle - Adult Survival

Transform: LOG BASE 10(Y)

t-test of Solvent and Blank Controls

Ho:GRP1 MEAN = GRP2 MEAN

GROUP1 (SOLVENT CONTROL) MEAN = 0.9822 CALCULATED t VALUE = 1.7484 GROUP2 (BLANK CONTROL) MEAN = 0.9302 DEGREES OF FREEDOM = 14 DIFFERENCE IN MEANS = 0.0520

TABLE t VALUE (0.05 (2),14) = 2.145 NO significant difference at alpha=0.05 TABLE t VALUE (0.01 (2),14) = 2.977 NO significant difference at alpha=0.01

TRANSFORM: LOG BASE 1	0(Y)	NUMI	BER OF GROUPS: 7
GROUP IDENTIFICATION		VALUE	TRANS VALUE
1 DMF Control	1	10.0000	1.0000
1 DMF Control	2	10.0000	1.0000
1 DMF Control	3	10.0000	1.0000
1 DMF Control	4	10.0000	1.0000
1 DMF Control	5	9.0000	0.9542
1 DMF Control	6	8.0000	0.9031
1 DMF Control	7	10.0000	1.0000
1 DMF Control	8	10.0000	1.0000
2 Control	1	6.0000	0.7782
2 Control	2	10.0000	1.0000
2 Control	3	8.0000	0.9031
2 Control 2 Control 2 Control 2 Control 2 Control 2 Control 3 0.93	4	10.0000	1.0000
2 Control	5	8.0000	0.9031
2 Control	6	8.0000	0.9031
2 Control	7	10.0000	1.0000
2 Control	8	9.0000	0.9542
3 0.93	1	10.0000	1.0000
3 0.93	2	10.0000	1.0000
3 0.93	3	10.0000	1.0000
3 0.93	4	9.0000	0.9542
3 0.93	5	10.0000	1.0000
3 0.93	6	10.0000	1.0000
3 0.93	7	6.0000	0.7782
3 0.93	8	8.0000	0.9031
4 4.1	1	10.0000	1.0000
4 4.1	2	9.0000	0.9542
4 4.1	3	9.0000	0.9542
4 4.1	4	9.0000	0.9542
4 4.1	5	10.0000	1.0000
4 4.1	6	10.0000	1.0000
4 4.1	7	9.0000	0.9542
4 4.1	8	10.0000	1.0000
5 8.7	1	10.0000	1.0000
5 8.7	2	10.0000	1.0000
5 8.7	3	9.0000	0.9542

PMR.	A Submission Number			<u> </u>	EPA
5	8.7	4	8.0000	0.9031	
- 5	8.7	5	10.0000	1.0000	
5	8.7	6	9.0000	0.9542	
5	8.7	7	9.0000	0.9542	
5	8.7	8	9.0000	0.9542	
6	44	1	7.0000	0.8451	
6	44	2	5.0000	0.6990	
6	44	3	8.0000	0.9031	
6	44	4	9.0000	0.9542	
6	44	5	7.0000	0.8451	
6	44	6	9.0000	0.9542	
6	44	7	4.0000	0.6021	
6	44	8	10.0000	1.0000	
7	87	1	9.0000	0.9542	
7	87	2	10.0000	1.0000	
7	87	3	10.0000	1.0000	
7	87	4	9.0000	0.9542	
7	87	5	9.0000	0.9542	
7	87	6	3.0000	0.4771	
7	87	7	9.0000	0.9542	
7	87	8	9.0000_	0.9542	

SUMMARY STATISTICS ON TRANSFORMED DATA TABLE 1 of 2

GRO	UP IDENTIFICATION	N	MIN	MAX	MEAN	
1	DMF Control	8	0.903	1.000	0.982	
2	Control	8	0.778	1.000	0.930	
3	0.93	8	0.778	1.000	0.954	
4	4.1	8	0.954	1.000	0.977	
5	8.7	8	0.903	1.000	0.965	
6	44	8	0.602	1.000	0.850	
7	87	8	0.477	1.000	0.906	

SUMMARY STATISTICS ON TRANSFORMED DATA TABLE 2 of 2

GRO	OUP IDENTIFICATION	VARIANCE	SD	SEM	
1	DMF Control	0.001	0.036	0.013	
2	Control	0.006	0.076	0.027	
3	0.93	0.006	0.079	0.028	
4	4.1	0.001	0.024	0.009	
5	8.7	0.001	0.034	0.012	
6	44	0.019	0.137	0.048	
7	87	0.030	0.175	0.062	

ANOVA TABLE

	2 1				
SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F	
Between	6	0.106	0.018	2.000	
Within (Error)	49	0.450	0.009		
Total	55	0.556			

Critical F value = 2.34 (0.05,6,40); Since F < Critical F FAIL TO REJECT Ho: All groups equal

DUNNETTS TEST - TABLE 1 OF 2 Ho: Control < Treatment

	,	TRANSFORMED	MEAN	CALCULATED	T STAT
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	MEAN	ORIGINAL	L IN UNITS	SIG
1	DMF Control	0.982	9.625		
2	Control	0.930	8.625	1.095	
3	0.93	0.954	9.125	0.585	
4	4.1	0.977	9.500	0.106	
5	8.7	0.965	9.250	0.362	
6	44	0.850	7.375	2.779	*
7	87	0.906	8.500	1.605	

Dunnett table value = 2.37 (1 Tailed Value, P=0.05, df=40,6)

DI	UNNETTS TEST	- TABLE	2 OF 2	Ho: C	Control < Tre	atment
		NUM OF	Minimum S	Sig Diff	% of	DIFFERENCE
GROU	JP IDENTIFICATI	ON REPS	(IN ORIG.	UNITS)	CONTROL	FROM CONTROL
1	DMF Control	8				
2	Control	8	0.112		1.2	1.000
3	0.93	8	0.112		1.2	0.500
4	4.1	8	0.112		1.2	0.125
5	8.7	8	0.112		1.2	0.375
6	44	8	0.112		1.2	2.250
7	87	8	0.112		1.2	1.125

WILLIAMS TEST (Isotonic regression model) TABLE 1 OF 2 ORIGINAL TRANSFORMED ISOTONIZED **GROUP IDENTIFICATION MEAN MEAN** MEAN DMF Control 8 9.625 0.982 0.982 1 2 8 Control 8.625 0.930 0.957 0.954 3 0.93 8 9.125 0.957 4 4.1 8 9.500 0.977 0.957 5 8.7 8 9.250 0.965 0.957 6 44 8 7.375 0.8500.8787 87 8.500 0.906 0.878

WILLIAMS TEST (Isotonic regression model) TABLE 2 OF 2									
	ISOTONIZED	CALC.	SIG	TABLE	DEGREES OF				
IDENTIFICATION	MEAN_V	WILLIAMS	P = .05	WILLIAMS	FREEDOM				
DMF Control	0.982								
Control	0.957	0.531		1.68	k=1, v=49				
0.93	0.957	0.531		1.76	k=2, v=49				
4.1	0.957	0.531		1.79	k=3, v=49				
8.7	0.957	0.531		1.80	k=4, v=49				
44	0.878	2.169	*	1.80	k=5, v=49				
87	0.878	2.169	*	1.81	k= 6, v=49				

s = 0.096; Note: df used for table values are approximate when v > 20.

TITLE:

Atrazine -- Daphnia pulicaria Partial Life Cycle - Number of Young

Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

Chi-square test for normality: actual and expected frequencies

INTERVAL <-1.5 -1.5 to <-0.5 -0.5 to 0.5 >0.5 to 1.5 >1.5 EXPECTED 3.618 13.068 20.628 13.068 3.618 OBSERVED 4 12 26 8 4

Calculated Chi-Square goodness of fit test statistic = 3.5324

Table Chi-Square value (alpha = 0.01) = 13.277

Data PASS normality test. Continue analysis.

Shapiro-Wilks test for normality

****** Shapiro-Wilks Test is aborted ******

This test can not be performed because total number of replicates is greater than 50. Total number of replicates = 54

Hartley test for homogeneity of variance

Calculated H statistic (max Var/min Var) = 19.31

Closest, conservative, Table H statistic = 20.0 (alpha = 0.01)

Used for Table H = R (# groups) = 7, df (# reps-1) = 7

Actual values ==> R (# groups) = 7, df (# avg reps-1) = 6.71 (average df used)

Data PASS homogeneity test. Continue analysis.

NOTE: This test requires equal replicate sizes. If they are unequal but do not differ greatly, the Hartley test may still be used as an approximate test (average df are used).

Bartletts test for homogeneity of variance

Calculated B statistic = 13.57

Table Chi-square value = 16.81 (alpha = 0.01)

Table Chi-square value = 12.59 (alpha = 0.05)

Average df used in calculation \Longrightarrow df (avg n - 1) = 6.71

<u>Used for Chi-square table value => df (#groups-1) = 6</u>

Data PASS homogeneity test at 0.01 level. Continue analysis.

NOTE: If groups have unequal replicate sizes the average replicate size is used to calculate the B statistic (see above).

GROUP1 (SOLVENT CONTROL) MEAN = 354.2500 CALCULATED t VALUE = 2.5236

GROUP2 (BLANK CONTROL) MEAN = 252.8333 DEGREES OF FREEDOM = 12

DIFFERENCE IN MEANS = 101.4167

TABLE t VALUE (0.05 (2),12) = 2.179** SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE at alpha = 0.05

TABLE t VALUE (0.01 (2),12) = 3.055 NO significant difference at alpha = 0.01

TITLE: Atrazine -- Daphnia pulicaria Partial Life Cycle - Number of Young (cont.)

TRA	NSFORM: NO TRAN	NSFORM	IATION	NUMBER OF GROUPS: 7
	IDENTIFICATION		VALUE	TRANS VALUE
1	DMF Control	1	319.0000	319.0000
1	DMF Control	2	301.0000	301.0000
1	DMF Control	3	493.0000	493.0000
1	DMF Control	4	354.0000	354.0000
1	DMF Control	5	185.0000	185.0000
1	DMF Control	6	341.0000	341.0000
1	DMF Control	7	453.0000	453.0000
1	DMF Control	8	388.0000	388.0000
2	Control	1	241.0000	241.0000
2	Control	2	245.0000	245.0000
2	Control	3	220.0000	220.0000
2	Control	4	262.0000	262.0000
2	Control	5	298.0000	298.0000
2	Control	6	251.0000	251.0000
3	0.93	1	370.0000	370.0000
3	0.93	2	341.0000	341.0000
3	0.93	3	512.0000	512.0000
3	0.93	4	373.0000	373.0000
3	0.93	5	304.0000	304.0000
3	0.93	6	374.0000	374.0000
3	0.93	7	241.0000	241.0000
3	0.93	8	339.0000	339.0000
4	4.1	1	375.0000	375.0000
4	4.1	2	463.0000	463.0000
4	4.1	3	403.0000	403.0000
4	4.1	4	406.0000	406.0000
4	4.1	5	561.0000	561.0000
4	4.1	6	413.0000	413.0000
4	4.1	7	393.0000	393.0000
4	4.1	8	458.0000	458.0000
5	8.7	1	492.0000	492.0000
5	8.7	2	558.0000	558.0000
5	8.7	3	503.0000	503.0000
5	8.7	4	442.0000	442.0000
5	8.7	5	570.0000	570.0000
5	8.7	6	405.0000	405.0000
5	8.7	7	381.0000	381.0000
5	8.7	8	357.0000	357.0000
6	44	1	240.0000	240.0000
6	44	2	116.0000	116.0000
6	44	3	373.0000	373.0000
6	44	4	414.0000	414.0000
6	44	5	334.0000	334.0000
6	44	6	396.0000	396.0000
6	44	7	189.0000	189.0000
6	44	8	413.0000	413.0000
7	87	o 1	164.0000	164.0000
7	87	2	283.0000	283.0000
7	87 87	3	483.0000	483.0000
7	87	4	343.0000	343.0000
7	87	5	343.0000	343.0000
7	87	6	118.0000	118.0000
	٥,	•	110.000	_ 1010000

	C. r. O GOIII CONTON 1 (GII)				
7	87	7	301.0000	301.0000	
7	87	8	355.0000	355.0000	

SU	SUMMARY STATISTICS ON TRANSFORMED DATA TABLE 1 of 2								
GRO	OUP IDENTIFICATION	N	MIN	MAX	MEAN				
1	DMF Control	8	185.000	493.000	354.250				
2	Control	6	220.000	298.000	252.833				
3	0.93	8	241.000	512.000	356.750				
4	4.1	8	375.000	561.000	434.000				
5	8.7	8	357.000	570.000	463.500				
6	44	8	116.000	414.000	309.375				
7	87	8	118.000	483.000	298.750				

	SUMMARY STATISTICS ON TRANSFORMED DATA TABLE 2 of 2								
GF	ROUP IDENTIFICATI	ON VARIANCE SD SEM							
1	DMF Control	9005.929 94.900 33.552							
2	Control	681.367 26.103 10.657							
3	0.93	5940.500 77.075 27.250							
4	4.1	3556.286 59.635 21.084							
5	8.7	6382.571 79.891 28.246							
6	44	12934.268 113.729 40.209							
7	87	13158.500 114.711 40.556							

ANOVA TABLE									
SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F					
Between	Between 6		41435.046	5.406					
Within (Error	47	360253.208	7664.962						
Total	53	608863.481		-					

Critical F value = 2.34 (0.05,6,40); Since F > Critical F REJECT Ho: All groups equal

BONF	ERRONI T-TEST	- TABLE 1 C	OF 2 Ho:	Control < Treatment	nt
		TRANSFORI	MED MEAN	CALCULATED	T STAT
GROUP	<u>IDENTIFICATIO</u>	N MEAN	N ORIGINA	AL IN UNITS	SIG
1	DMF Control	354.250	354.250		
2	Control	252.83	3 252.833	2.145	
3	0.93	356.750	356.750	-0.057	
4	4.1	434.000	434.000	-1.822	
5	8.7	463.500	463.500	-2.496	
6	44	309.375	309.375	1.025	
7	87	298.750	298.750	1.268	
Ronforro	ni T table valve = 2	50 (1 Toile	d Value D-0.05	4 - 40.6)	

Bonferroni T table value = 2.50 (1 Tailed Value, P=0.05, df=40,6)

BON	FERRONI T-TEST	Г - ТАВІ	LE 2 OF 2 Ho	: Control < T	reatment
		NUM OF	Minimum Sig Diff	% of	DIFFERENCE
GROUP	IDENTIFICATIO	N REPS	(IN ORIG. UNITS)	CONTROL	FROM CONTROL
1	DMF Control	8			
2	Control	6	118.158	33.4	101.417
3	0.93	8	109.393	30.9	-2.500
4	4.1	8	109.393	30.9	-79.750
5	8.7	8	109.393	30.9	-109.250
6	44	8	109.393	30.9	44.875
7	87	8	109.393	30.9	55.500

WILLIAMS TEST (Isotonic regression model) TABLE 1 OF 2

DER on Partial Chronic Toxicity of Atrazine TAI to Freshwater Invertebrates - Daphnia pulicaria
PMRA Submission Number EPA MRID Number 452995-04

		(ORIGINAL	TRANSFOR	RMED	ISOTONIZED
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	N	MEAN	MEAN	[MEAN
1	DMF Control	8	354.250	354.250	378.5	553
2	Control	6	252.833	252.833	378.5	553
3	0.93	8	356.750	356.750	378.5	53
4	4.1	8	434.000	434.000	378.5	53
5	8.7	8	463.500	463.500	378.5	53
6	44	8	309.375	309.375	309.3	75
7	87	8	298.750	298.750	298.7	50

WILLIAMS TE	WILLIAMS TEST (Isotonic regression model) TABLE 2 OF 2							
	ISOTONIZEI	CALC.	SIG	TABLE	DEGREES OF			
IDENTIFICATION	MEAN	WILLIAMS	P=.05	WILLIAMS	FREEDOM			
378.553								
Control	378.553	0.514		1.68	k=1, v=47			
0.93	378.553	0.555		1.76	k=2, v=47			
4.1	378.553	0.555		1.79	k=3, v=47			
8.7	378.553	0.555		1.80	k=4, v=47			
44	309.375	1.025		1.80	k=5, v=47			
87	298.750	1.268		1.81	k=6, v=47			

s = 87.550; Note: df used for table values are approximate when v > 20.

TITLE: Atrazine -- Daphnia pulicaria Partial Life Cycle - Adult Body Length

Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

Chi-square test for normality: actual and expected frequencies

INTERVAL <-1.5 -1.5 to <-0.5 -0.5 to 0.5 >0.5 to 1.5 >1.5 EXPECTED 3.752 13.552 21.392 13.552 3.752 OBSERVED 5 15 16 16 4

Calculated Chi-Square goodness of fit test statistic = 2.3875

Table Chi-Square value (alpha = 0.01) = 13.277

Data PASS normality test. Continue analysis.

Shapiro-Wilks test for normality

****** Shapiro-Wilks Test is aborted ******

This test can not be performed because total number of replicates is greater than 50. Total number of replicates = 56

Hartley test for homogeneity of variance

Calculated H statistic (max Var/min Var) = 14.71

Closest, conservative, Table H statistic = 20.0 (alpha = 0.01)

Used for Table $H \Longrightarrow R (\# \text{groups}) = 7, df (\# \text{reps-1}) = 7$

Actual values \implies R (# groups) = 7, df (# avg reps-1) = 7.00

Data PASS homogeneity test. Continue analysis.

NOTE: This test requires equal replicate sizes. If they are unequal but do not differ greatly, the Hartley test may still be used as an approximate test (average df are used).

Bartletts test for homogeneity of variance

Calculated B statistic = 15.52

Table Chi-square value = 16.81 (alpha = 0.01)

Table Chi-square value = 12.59 (alpha = 0.05)

Average df used in calculation \Longrightarrow df (avg n - 1) = 7.00

Used for Chi-square table value \Longrightarrow df (#groups-1) = 6

Data PASS homogeneity test at 0.01 level. Continue analysis.

NOTE: If groups have unequal replicate sizes the average replicate size is used to calculate the B statistic (see above).

t-test of Solvent and Blank Controls Ho:GRP1 MEAN = GRP2 MEAN

GROUP1 (SOLVENT CRTL) MEAN = 2.1125 CALCULATED t VALUE = -8.0643

GROUP2 (BLANK CRTL) MEAN = 2.4125 DEGREES OF FREEDOM = 14

DIFFERENCE IN MEANS = -0.3000

TABLE t VALUE (0.05 (2),14) = 2.145** SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE at alpha=0.05

TABLE t VALUE (0.01 (2),14) = 2.977** SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE at alpha=0.01

TITLE: Atrazine -- Daphnia pulicaria Partial Life Cycle - Adult Body Length (cont.)

TRA	ANSFORM: NO TRANSF	ORMA	TION	NUMBER OF GROUPS: 7
	OUP IDENTIFICATION		VALUE	TRANS VALUE
1	DMF Control	1	2.1000	2.1000
1	DMF Control	2	2.1000	2.1000
1	DMF Control	3	2.1000	2.1000
1	DMF Control	4	2.2000	2.2000
1	DMF Control	5	1.9000	1.9000
1	DMF Control	6	2.2000	2.2000
1	DMF Control	7	2.2000	2.2000
1	DMF Control	8	2.1000	2.1000
2	Control	1	2.4000	2.4000
2	Control	2	2.4000	2.4000
2	Control	3	2.4000	2.4000
2	Control	4	2.4000	2.4000
2	Control	5	2.4000	2.4000
2	Control	6	2.5000	2.5000
2	Control	7	2.4000	2.4000
2	Control	8	2.4000	2.4000
	0.93	1	2.1000	2.1000
3 3 3 3				
2	0.93	2 3	2.2000	2.2000
2	0.93		2.2000 2.2000	2.2000
3	0.93	4		2.2000
3	0.93	5	2.1000	2.1000
3	0.93	6	2.2000	2.2000
3	0.93	7	2.0000	2.0000
3	0.93	8	2.1000	2.1000
4	4.1	1	2.1000	2.1000
4	4.1	2	2.3000	2.3000
4	4.1	3	2.0000	2.0000
4	4.1	4	2.2000	2.2000
4	4.1	5	2.2000	2.2000
4	4.1	6	2.1000	2.1000
4	4.1	7	2.2000	2.2000
4	4.1	8	2.1000	2.1000
5	8.7	1	2.2000	2.2000
5	8.7	2	2.3000	2.3000
5	8.7	3	2.3000	2.3000
5	8.7	4	2.2000	2.2000
5	8.7	5	2.2000	2.2000
5	8.7	6	2.2000	2.2000
5	8.7	7	2.2000	2.2000
5	8.7	8	2.2000	2.2000
6	44	1	1.9000	1.9000
6	44	2	2.0000	2.0000
6	44	3	2.2000	2.2000
6	44	4	2.2000	2.2000
6	44	5	2.1000	2.1000
6	44	6	2.2000	2.2000
6	44	7	2.0000	2.0000
6	44	8	2.2000	2.2000
7	87	1	1.8000	1.8000
7	87	2	2.0000	2.0000
7	87	3	2.2000	2.2000
7	87	4	2.0000	2.0000

PMK	A Submission Number				EPA MIK
7	87	5	2.0000	2.0000	
7	87	6	1.9000	1.9000	
7	87	7	2.0000	2.0000	
7	87	8	2.2000	2.2000	

SUMMARY STA	TISTICS ON	TRANSFORMED DA	<u>TA TABLE</u>	1 of 2

GRO	OUP IDENTIFICATION	N	_MIN	MAX	MEAN
1	DMF Control	8	1.900	2.200	2.112
2	Control	8	2.400	2.500	2.413
3	0.93	8	2.000	2.200	2.138
4	4.1	8	2.000	2.300	2.150
5	8.7	8	2.200	2.300	2.225
6	44	8	1.900	2.200	2.100
7	87	8	1.800	2.200	2.013

SUMMARY STATISTICS ON TRANSFORMED DATA TABLE 2 of 2

GR	OUP IDENTIFICATION	VARIANCE	SD	SEM	
1	DMF Control	0.010	0.099	0.035	
2	Control	0.001	0.035	0.012	
3	0.93	0.006	0.074	0.026	
4	4.1	0.009	0.093	0.033	
5	8.7	0.002	0.046	0.016	
6	44	0.014	0.120	0.042	
7	87	0.018	0.136	0.048	

	ANO	VA TABLE			
SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F	
Between	6	0.769	0.128	14.222	
Within (Error)	49	0.420	0.009		
Total	55	1 180			

Critical F value = 2.34 (0.05,6,40); Since F > Critical F REJECT Ho: All groups equal

DUN	<u>NETTS TEST </u>	TABLE 1 OF 2	Ho: Conta	rol < Treatment
		TRANSFORMED	MEAN	CALCULATED T STAT
GROUP	IDENTIFICATIO	N MEAN	ORIGINAL	<u>IN UNITS</u> SIG
1	DMF Control	2.112	2.112	
2	Control	2.413	2.413	-6.325
3	0.93	2.138	2.138	-0.527
4	4.1	2.150	2.150	-0.791
5	8.7	2.225	2.225	-2.372
6	44	2.100	2.100	0.264
_7	87	2.013	2.013	2.108

Dunnett table value = 2.37 (1 Tailed Value, P=0.05, df=40,6)

_					
<u>D</u>	<u>UNNETTS TEST - </u>	TABLE 2 OF	2 Ho: Con	<u>trol < Treatn</u>	nent
		NUM OF M	inimum Sig Diff	% of	DIFFERENCE
GRO	<u>UP IDENTIFICATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP</u>	ON REPS (IN	ORIG, UNITS) CO	ONTROL F	ROM CONTROL
1	DMF Control	8			
2	Control	8	0.112	5.3	-0.300
3	0.93	8	0.112	5.3	-0.025
4	4.1	8	0.112	5.3	-0.038
5	8.7	8	0.112	5.3	-0.112
6	44	8	0.112	5.3	0.012
7	87	Q	0.112	5.3	0.100

BONFERRONI T-TEST	- TABLE 1 OF 2	Ho: Control < Treatment	nt
	TRANSFORMED	MEAN CALCULATED	T STAT

DER on Partial Chronic Toxicity of Atrazine TAI to Freshwater Invertebrates - Daphnia pulicaria
PMRA Submission Number EPA MRID Number 452995-04

GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	MEAN	ORIGINAL	IN UNITS	SIG
1	DMF Control	2.112	2.112		
2	Control	2.413	2.413	-6.325	
3	0.93	2.138	2.138	-0.527	
4	4.1	2.150	2.150	-0.791	
5	8.7	2.225	2.225	-2.372	
6	44	2.100	2.100	0.264	
_7	87	2.013	2.013	2.108	

Bonferroni T table value = 2.50 (1 Tailed Value, P=0.05, df=40,6)

BON	FERRONI T-TE	ST - TAI	BLE 2 OF 2	Ho: Control < Treatment		
		NUM OF	Minimum Sig Diff	% of	DIFFERENCE	
<u>GROUP</u>	IDENTIFICATI	ON REPS	(IN ORIG. UNITS)	CONTROL	FROM CONTROL	
1	DMF Control	8				
2	Control	8	0.119	5.6	-0.300	
3	0.93	8	0.119	5.6	-0.025	
4	4.1	8	0.119	5.6	-0.038	
5	8.7	8	0.119	5.6	-0.112	
6	44	8	0.119	5.6	0.012	
_7	87	8	0.119	5.6	0.100	

WIL	WILLIAMS TEST (Isotonic regression model) TABLE 1 OF 2								
			ORIGINAL	TRANSFORMED	ISOTONIZED				
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	N	MEAN	MEAN	MEAN				
1	DMF Control	8	2.112	2.112	2.263				
2	Control	8	2.413	2.413	2.263				
3	0.93	8	2.138	2.138	2.171				
4	4.1	8	2.150	2.150	2.171				
5	8.7	8	2.225	2.225	2.171				
6	44	8	2.100	2.100	2.100				
7	87	8	2.013	2.013	2.013				

WILLIAMS TEST (Isotonic regression model) TABLE 2 OF 2								
	ISOTONIZED	CALC.	SIG	TABLE	DEGREES OF			
<u>IDENTIFICATION</u>	MEAN 1	WILLIAMS	P = .05	WILLIAMS	FREEDOM			
DMF Control	2.263							
Control	2.263	3.241	*	1.68	k=1, v=49			
0.93	2.171	1.260		1.76	k=2, v=49			
4.1	2.171	1.260		1.79	k=3, v=49			
8.7	2.171	1.260		1.80	k= 4, v=49			
44	2.100	0.270		1.80	k=5, v=49			
87	2.013	2.160	*	1.81	k= 6, v=49			

s = 0.093; Note: df used for table values are approximate when v > 20.

DER on Partial Chronic Toxicity of Atrazine TAI to Freshwater Invertebrates - Daphnia pulicaria EPA MRID Number 452995-04 PMRA Submission Number

Data Requirement:

PMRA DATA CODE

EPA DP Barcode

D

OECD Data Point

EPA MRID **EPA** Guideline 452995-04 72-46 70-1

Test material:

Atrazine FL-931480 (Batch # SG 302011 BA)

Purity: 98.2 % a.i.

Common name: Atrazine

Chemical names:

6-Chloro-N-ethyl-N-isopropyl-1,3,5-triazine-2,4-diamine

IUPAC: CAS name:

US EPA

CAS No.:

1912 - 24 - 9

Synonyms: Aatrex, Atranex, Atratef, Crisazina, Gesaprim, Herbitrin, Posmil, Sanazin, Trac

Primary Reviewer: William S. Rabert

William S. Kabert Date: May 7, 2002

Secondary Reviewer(s):

Harry Craven US EPA

Date:

Reference/Submission No.:

Company Code:

Active Code: **EPA PC Code:**

080803

Date Evaluation Completed: 15 - 4 - 02

colundad district

CITATION: Timothy J. Madsen. 2000. Effects of atrazine on the sex ratio of Daphnia pulicaria. Prepared by ABC Laboratories, Inc., Columbia, Missouri, ABC Study Number 45810; Submitted by Novartis Crop Protection, Inc., Greensboro, NC; October 2, 2000.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The 12-day partial chronic atrazine toxicity study with 3 to 4-day old *Daphnia pulicaria* was conducted under flowthrough conditions. Daphnids were exposed to a control, solvent control, and mean measured atrazine concentrations of 0.93, 4.1, 8.7, 44, and 87 μg a.i/L. The concentration of the solvent dimethylformamide was not reported. The solvent control significantly differed ($p \le 0.05$) from the control (i.e., more young per replicate and reduced adult body length). The 12-day LC_{50}/EC_{50} based on mortality/sublethal effect was $> 87 \mu g$ a.i/L. The 12-day NOAEC based on reduced adult survival was 8.7 µg/L compared to the DMF Control. The sublethal effects included were reduced body length at 87 μg a.i/L compared to the solvent control. Production of offsprings in the treated groups indicated that atrazine had an indirect effect on the reproduction due to adult mortalities at concentrations greater than 8.7mg a.i/L. The most sensitive endpoint was adult survival (NOAEC 8.7 µg ai./L; 44 µg ai./L).

This study is classified as scientifically unsound and it does not satisfy guideline requirements for a chronic toxicity study with freshwater invertebrates. The test produced no male offspring. Since atrazine effects are typically demasculinization and the daphnids in this study are females, it is reasonable that this study did not have endocrine effects on Daphnia pulicaria females.

Results Synopsis

Test Organism Age (eg. 1st instar): 3- to 4-days old, Instar stage is unknown.

Test Type (Flow-through): Flow-through.

NOAEL:

 $8.7 \mu g a.i./L$

LOAEC:

44 µg a.i./L Endpoint Effected: Adult survival.

I. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Special study 70-1 **GUIDELINE FOLLOWED:** The study did not specify any particular guideline.

- 1. The test species was Daphnia pulicaria rather than the required species, Daphnia magna.
- 2. The test began with daphnids 3- to 4-days old, rather than \leq 24 hours old.
- 3. Duration of the study was limited to 12 days rather than the typical 21-day study with Daphnia magna.
- 4. The dilution water was moderately hard (130-160 mg/L as CaCO₃) rather than the benchmark soft water (40-48 mg/L CaCO₃).
- 5. The dilution water was biologically aged and passed through a polypropylene cartridge filter and ultraviolet sterilizer before going to the proportional diluter. Total organic carbon and particulate matter levels in the dilution water were not reported. Fluoride levels were high (i.e., 0.55 mg/L). pH ranged from 20.8-12,2 Sortros
- 6. Control mortality was 14 percent, which exceeds the limit of 10 percent mortality.

The Good Laboratory Procedures, Quality Assurance, and Data Confidentiality range of 7.2-7.6 **COMPLIANCE:** statements were signed and dated.

A. MATERIALS:

1. Test Material Atrazine received from Novartis Crop Protectin, Inc., on Dec. 7, 1999.

Description: Chemical state of the test material was not reported. Atrazine (FL-931480)

Lot No./Batch No.: SG 302011 BA

Purity: 98.2 %

Stability of Compound: Stability was not stated; the expiration date was cited as August 31, 2001.

Under Test Conditions:

(OECD requires water solubility (33 mg/L), stable in water, light half-life (335 days), pKa, Pow (87.78), vapor pressure of test compound (3 x 10⁻⁷)

Storage conditions of

test chemicals: Stored at room temperature.

2. Test organism:

Species: Daphnia pulicaria (EPA/OECD require Daphnia magna)

Age of the parental stock: Gravid females (16 to 23 days old).

Source: Supplied by University of Guelph, Canada

3. Study Objective: To determine if atrazine has endocrine effects on the sex ratio of Daphnia pulicaria.

tive was to determine the effect of Atransic on the Daphnic pulkwise produced during a profind life of under those through conditions, Additionally the anderson produced and the survival and **B. STUDY DESIGN:** exposure

a) Range-finding Study: No range-finding study.

1. Experimental Conditions Total

b. Definitive Study:

Table 1. Experimental Pa	rameters
--------------------------	----------

	D.4.7	Remarks		
Parameter 	Details	Criteria		
Parental acclimation: period: conditions: (same as test or not) Feeding: Health: (any mortality observed)	Not reported. Similar temperature and water hardness; other parameters not reported. Fed approx. 2 x 10 ⁶ cells/mL (Selenastrum capricornutum and/or Ankistrodesmus falcatus).	Acclimation period not reported.		
Test condition: static renewal/flow through: Type of dilution system - for flow through method. Renewal rate for static renewal	Flow-through test. Two Hamilton syringe injectors into mixing chamber. N/A	Flow rate in replicates not reported. 100 ml/cycle 7.4 volume replacements /24 hrs		
Aeration, if any	Not reported." Fest solutions not nended during test	Not reported.		
Chemical properties	None reported.	None reported.		
		(OECD requires water solubility, stability in water and light, pKa, Pow, vapor pressure of test compound)		
Duration of the test	12 days	21 days: Shortened life cycle test.		
Test vessel Material: (glass/stainless steel Size (for growth and reproduction/survival test): Fill volume:	Borosilicate beakers. 1 liter test chamber. 1,000 mL 10 cm diameter x 15 cm high Z solution dept h 12 cm : idaphild / 100ml	Okay. 1. Material: Glass, No. 316 stainless steel, or perfluorocarbon plastics 2. Size: 250 ml with 200 ml fill volume is preferred; 100 ml with 80 ml fill volume is acceptable. OECD requires parent animals be maintained individually, one per vessel, with 50-100 ml of medium in each vessel.		
Source of dilution water	Deep well water mixed with a portion from reverse osmosis, biologically aged and then filtered through polypropylene cartridge and ultraviolet sterilizer.	Biologically aged water is inappropriate source of dilution water, especially when water quality measurements are incomplete and inadequate. Unpolluted well or spring that has been tested for contaminants, or appropriate reconstituted water (see ASTM for details).		

	Remarks	
Parameter	Details	Criteria
Water parameters: Hardness pH Dissolved oxygen Temperature Total Organic Carbon Particulate matter Metals Pesticides Chlorine	146 - 154 mg/L 7.96 - 8.66 3.89 - 8.47 mg O ₂ /L 20.5 to 21.7°C Not reported. Not reported. Levels of detection are inadequate for all metals. all less than 1.0 μg/L. Not reported. Fluoride conc.: 0.59 mg/L is too high. (Stephan, 1975; <100 μg/L) DD. dropped below bolded the atragine treated cultures by Day 6	Analysis is incomplete and many levels of detection are inadequate. Fluoride level is high. EPA requires: hardness 160 to 180 mg/L as CaCO₃; OECD requires > 140 mg/L as CaCO₃ pH 7.6 to 8.0 is recommended. Must not deviate by more than one unit for more than 48 hours. OECD requires pH range 6 - 9 and should not vary more than 1.5 units in any one test. Dissolved Oxygen Renewal: must not drop below 50% for more than 48 hours. Flow-through: ≥ 60% throughout test. Temperature: 20°C ± 2°C. Must not deviate from 20°C by more than 5°C for more than 48 hours. OECD requires range 18 - 22°C; temperature should not vary more than ± 2°C OECD requires total organic carbon < 2 mg/L
Experimental Design: Number of Treatments: Controls Number of replicates	5 treatments. Negative and DMF controls. 8 Replicates.	Okay. EPA requires Control(s) and at least 5 test concentrations; dilution factor not greater than 50%. OECD requires at least 5 test concentrations in a geometric series with a separation factor not exceeding 3.2.
Number of organisms: For growth and reproduction: For survival test:	8 replicates/treat mont 10 Daphnids per replicate. 80 Daphnids per treatment.	Okay. 22 daphnids/level; 7 test chambers should contain 1 daphnid each, and 3 test chambers should contain 5 daphnids each. OECD requires minimum of 10 daphnids held individually for static tests. For flow-through tests, 40 animals divided into 4 groups of 10 animals at each test concentration.
Application rates nominal: measured:	Up to 4 lbs ai./A. 0, 1, 5, 10, 50 and 100 μg/L. < 0.05, 0.93, 4.1, 8.7, 44 and 87 μg/L.	Okay.
Solvent (type, percentage, if used)	Dimethylformamide – 100 μL/L.	Okay

PMRA Submission Number

EPA MRID Number 452995-04

per adult, but the test was conducted for only 12 days, not the required 21 days.

Table 1: Effect of atrazine on growth and survival of Daphnia pulicaria.

Treatment (mg a.i./b) [record measured and nominal conc. used]		Mortality (Dead or Immobile)		Mean Days to	Total Number of	Male: Female Ratio	Mean Daphnid
		No. Dead	%	First Brood	Young (Y/Rep)		Length (mm)
DMF Control (0.1 ml/L)		3	3.8	5	2834 (354) ²⁵⁴	0.0	2.1
Positive co	ntrol, if used	11+	14 +	5	2132* (355)	0.0	2.4
1.0	0.93	7	8.8	4	2854 (357)	0.0	2.1
5.0	4.1	4	5.0	5	3472 (434)	0.0	2.2
10	8.7	6	7.5	5	3708 (464)	0.0	2.2
50	44	21*	26	5	2475 (309)	0.0	2.1
100	87	12*	15	5	2390 (299)	0.0	2.0
NOAEC	_	8.7 μ	g/L	87 μg/L	87 μg/L	87 μg/L	44 μg/L
LOAEC		44 μι	-	> 87 μg/L	> 87 μg/L	> 87 μg/L	87 μg/L

The count for two control replicates were uncertain and therefore they were not reported.

No male daphilic were produced in any of the cultures; Haveton the make female ratio is pers

B. EFFECT ON REPRODUCTION:

The lower number of offspring at the two highest test concentrations appear to be due to higher mortality but the differences were not significantly different from the solvent control due to high variability in the replicates The NOAEC is 87 μ g ai./L and the LOAEC is > 87 μ g ai./L.

The mean adult body length at 87 µg ai./L was statistically different (p < 0.05) from the solvent control (NOAEC, 44 μg ai./L and the LOAEC, 87 μg ai./L). Adult dry body weight was not measured as required by OPP test guideline 72-4(b) tost is not intended to comply = \$2-46. This is a special study 70-1

Based on the solvent control, the most sensitive endpoint was adult mortality (NOAEC, 8.7 µg ai./L and the LOAEC, 44 μg ai./L).

C. REPORTED STATISTICS: The following endpoints were measured and analyzed for statistical significance: adult mortality, adult body length, and the number of young. The NOAEC and LOAEC values are listed at the bottom of the columns in the above table.

D. <u>VERIFICATION OF STATISTICAL RESULTS</u>:

Statistical Method: ANOVA and Williams Test

12-day LC₅₀ $> 87 \mu g ai./L$

Bill - on plo William's test shows

HH and 67 My/L treatments to have symplesty

lower survival compared to solvent control

95% C.I.: N/A Have you elected to discard this result?

Page 6 of 18

DER on Partial Chronic Toxicity of Atrazine TAI to Freshwater Invertebrates - Daphnia pulicaria

EPA MRID Number 452995-04 PMRA Submission Number

NOAEC: 8.7 µg ai. /L LOAEC:

44 ug ai./L (Adult mortality)

muer elouth

Probit Slope:

N/A

The use of "biologically aged water" as the dilution water is unacceptable. The E. STUDY DEFICIENCIES: dilution water is of unknown quality. TOC and particulate levels were not reported for the dilution water postbiologically aged. The test was conducted for 12 days rather than the required 21 days. Adult dry body weight was not measured as an endpoint as required. The above items are major deficiencies which render the test results incomplete and uncertain. This study is invalid.

F. REVIEWER'S COMMENTS: Compared to the solvent control and most treatments, the blank control had unexplained high mortality (14 percent). The collection of young from two replicates of the blank controls were mixed and had to be omitted from the statistical analysis of the number of young. Dose-response curves were erratic for adult, T'm not clear as to what to the problem biologically aged water it they show chaming mortality and the total number of young.

G. CONCLUSIONS: This study was not performed using good scientific practices. The "biologically aged water" was inappropriate as the dilution water. The test period was of insufficient duration (12 days rather than 21 days) and the required endpoint for adult dry body weight was not measured. This study contained unconventional methods as well as incomplete and irregular test results, which render this study of dubious value as an aquatic invertebrate life cycle test. The study showed no evidence of endocrine effects, but if atrazine has a mode of action of demasculinization, the effects would not be found in this daphnid study with all females. It appears as though the less was interviewd to be found in this daphnid study with all females. It appears as though the less was interviewd in SETEC. I Provide references that were cited in the study report: studies in the open literature, references

to other study reports in the submission or other studies conducted by the proponent. Do not include references to standard guidelines or methodologies.]

Dodson, S. I., C. M. Merritt, L. Torrentera, J. Shannahan and C. M. Shults. 1999. Low exposure concentrations of atrazine increase male production in Daphnia pulicaria. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 18(7):1568-1573.

Dodson, S. I., C. M. Merritt, L. Torrentera, K. M. Winter, C. K. Tonehl and K. Girvin. 1999. Toxicology and Industrial Health 15:192-199.

The SAS System for Windows. Release 6.12 Copyright 1989-1996 by SAS Institute Inc., Cary, N.C. 27513, USA.

Zar, J. H. 1984. Biostatistical Analysis. Second Ed., Prentice-Hall, Inc. Englewood Cliffs, NJ.

Conover, W. J. 1980. Pratical [sic] nonparametric statistics. 2nd Edition.

Millikian, G. A. and D. E. Johnson. 1984. Analysis of messy data. Vol. 1. p. 22 [Vol. 1. Designed Experiments. Chapman and Hall, London]

Chapma	n and Hall, London]		•	·	Insthe
TITLE:	Atrazine - Daj	ohnia pu	licaria Partial	Life Cycle -	Adult Survival Attragine measured by Aplate UPS: 7 Ket immiumo assay (Beacon Analytecol VALUE Systems) with limit of
	FORM: LOG BASE 1	0(Y)		BER OF GRO	UPS: 7 Key immuno posso (Beacon Analytecol
<u>GROUP</u>	IDENTIFICATION	REP	VALUE	TRANS \	VALUE Systems) with limit of
1	DMF Control	1	10.0000	1.0000	
1	DMF Control	2	10.0000	1.0000	quantification 0.05 mg/2
1	DMF Control	3	10.0000	1.0000	
1	DMF Control	4	10.0000	1.0000	he makes to the man of all a " I
1	DMF Control	5	9.0000	0.9542	According to the report, the decision to
1	DMF Control	6	8.0000	0.9031	boot the couldn't durant mas unage of early
1	DMF Control	7	10.0000	1.0000	pool the control groups was made of each variable by considering both whether the
1	DMF Control	8	10.0000	1.0000	amount 20 difference between the control
2	Control	1	6.0000	0.7782	groups was bristogically important and whother
2	Control	2	10.0000	1.0000	the amount of difference was statistically
					are attract

DER on Partial Chronic Toxicity of Atrazine	TAI to Freshwater Invertebrates - Daphnia pulicaria
DMD A Culturation Manufacture	EDA MOID November 452005 04

<u>PM</u>	RA Submission Number				EPA
2	Control	3	8.0000	0.9031	
2 2 2 2	Control	4	10.0000	1.0000	
2	Control	5	8.0000	0.9031	
2	Control	6	8.0000	0.9031	
2 2 3	Control	7	10.0000	1.0000	
2	Control	8	9.0000	0.9542	
3	0.93	1	10.0000	1.0000	
3	0.93	2	10.0000	1.0000	
3	0.93	3	10.0000	1.0000	
3 3 3 3 3	0.93	4	9.0000	0.9542	
3	0.93	5	10.0000	1.0000	
3	0.93	6	10.0000	1.0000	
	0.93	7	6.0000	0.7782	
3	0.93	8	8.0000	0.9031	
4	4.1	1	10.0000	1.0000	
4	4.1	2 3	9.0000	0.9542	
4	4.1		9.0000	0.9542	
4	4.1	4	9.0000	0.9542	
4	4.1	5	10.0000	1.0000	
4	4.1	6	10.0000	1.0000	
4	4.1	7	9.0000	0.9542	
4	4.1	8	10.0000	1.0000	
5	8.7	1	10.0000	1.0000	
5	8.7	2	10.0000	1.0000	
5	8.7	3	9.0000	0.9542	
5	8.7	4	8.0000	0.9031	
5	8.7	5	10.0000	1.0000	
5	8.7	6	9.0000	0.9542	
5	8.7	7	9.0000	0.9542	
5	8.7	8	9.0000	0.9542	
6	44	1	7.0000	0.8451	
6	44	2	5.0000	0.6990	
6	44	3	8.0000	0.9031	
6	44	4	9.0000	0.9542	
6	44	5	7.0000	0.8451	
6	44	6	9.0000	0.9542	
6	44	7	4.0000	0.6021	
6	44	8	10.0000	1.0000	
7	87	1	9.0000	0.9542	
7	87	2 3	10.0000	1.0000	
7	87		10.0000	1.0000	
7	87	4	9.0000	0.9542	
7	87	5	9.0000	0.9542	
7	87	6	3.0000	0.4771	
7	87	7	9.0000	0.9542	
7_	87	8	9.0000	0.9542	

SUMMARY S	`ATISTICS	ON TRANSFORMED DATA	TABLE 1 of 2

GRO	OUP IDENTIFICATION	N	MIN	MAX	MEAN	
1	DMF Control	8	0.903	1.000	0.982	
2	Control	8	0.778	1.000	0.930	
3	0.93	8	0.778	1.000	0.954	
4	4.1	8	0.954	1.000	0.977	
5	8.7	8	0.903	1.000	0.965	
6	44	8	0.602	1.000	0.850	
7	87	8	0.477	1.000	0.906	

	<u>SUMMARY STATISTICS O</u>	N TRANSFORM	MED DA	<u>TA_TABLE2o</u>	f 2
GF	ROUP IDENTIFICATION	VARIANCE	SD	SEM	
1	DMF Control	0.001	0.036	0.013	
2	Control	0.006	0.076	0.027	
3	0.93	0.006	0.079	0.028	
4	4.1	0.001	0.024	0.009	
5	8.7	0.001	0.034	0.012	
6	44	0.019	0.137	0.048	
7	87	0.030	0.175	0.062	

	ANC	<u>OVA TABL</u> E			
SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F	
Between	6	0.106	0.018	2.000	
Within (Error)	49	0.450	0.009		
Total	55	0.556			

Critical F value = 2.34 (0.05,6,40); Since F < Critical F FAIL TO REJECT Ho: All groups equal

DUN	NETTS TEST - TA	ABLE 1 OF 2	Ho: Conti	rol < Treatment	
		TRANSFORMED	MEAN	CALCULATED	T STAT
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	MEAN	ORIGINA	L IN UNITS	SIG
1	DMF Control	0.982	9.625		
2	Control	0.930	8.625	1.095	
3	0.93	0.954	9.125	0.585	
4	4.1	0.977	9.500	0.106	
5	8.7	0.965	9.250	0.362	
6	44	0.850	7.375	2.779	*
7	87	0.906	8.500	1.605	

Dunnett table value = 2.37 (1 Tailed Value, P=0.05, df=40,6)

DU	NNETTS TEST	- TABL	E 2 OF 2	Но: (Control < Tre	atment
		NUM C	OF Minimum	Sig Diff	% of	DIFFERENCE
GROU!	P IDENTIFICATI	ON REP	S (IN ORIG	UNITS)	CONTROL	FROM CONTROL
1	DMF Control	8				
2	Control	8	0.112		1.2	1.000
3	0.93	8	0.112		1.2	0.500
4	4.1	8	0.112		1.2	0.125
5	8.7	8	0.112		1.2	0.375
6	44	8	0.112		1.2	2.250
7	87	8	0.112		1.2	1.125

WI	LLIAMS TEST (Isoto	nic re	gression mod	el) TABLE 1 OF 2	
			ORIGINAL	TRANSFORMED	ISOTONIZED
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	N	MEAN	MEAN	MEAN
1	DMF Control	8	9.625	0.982	0.982
2	Control	8	8.625	0.930	0.957
3	0.93	8	9.125	0.954	0.957
4	4.1	8	9.500	0.977	0.957
5	8.7	8	9.250	0.965	0.957
6	44	8	7.375	0.850	0.878
_7	87	8	8.500	0.906	0.878

WILLIAMS TEST (Isotonic regression model) TABLE 2 OF 2							
	ISOTONIZE	ED CALC.	SIG	TABLE	DEGREES OF		
IDENTIFICATION	MEAN	WILLIAMS	P = .05	WILLIAMS	FREEDOM		
DMF Control	0.982						
Control	0.957	0.531		1.68	k=1, v=49		
0.93	0.957	0.531		1.76	k=2, v=49		

DER on Partial Chronic Toxicity of Atrazine TAI to Freshwater Invertebrates - Daphnia pulicaria

PMRA Submission N	<u>umber</u>			EPA	MRID Number 452995-04	
4.1	0.957	0.531		1.79	k= 3, v=49	
8.7	0.957	0.531		1.80	k= 4, v=49	
44	0.878	2.169	*	1.80	k= 5, v=49	
87	0.878	2.169	*	1.81	k=6, v=49	

s = 0.096; Note: df used for table values are approximate when v > 20.

DER on Partial Chronic Toxicity of Atrazine TAI to Freshwater Invertebrates - Daphnia pulicaria

Atrazine -- Daphnia pulicaria Partial Life Cycle - Number of Young

PMRA Submission Number

TITLE:

EPA MRID Number 452995-04

Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

Chi-square test for normality: actual and expected frequencies

INTERVAL <-1.5 -1.5 to <-0.5 -0.5 to 0.5 >0.5 to 1.5 >1.5

EXPECTED 3.618 13.068 20.628 13.068 3.618 OBSERVED 4 12 26 8 4

Calculated Chi-Square goodness of fit test statistic = 3.5324

Table Chi-Square value (alpha = 0.01) = 13.277

Data PASS normality test. Continue analysis.

Shapiro-Wilks test for normality

****** Shapiro-Wilks Test is aborted ******

This test can not be performed because total number of replicates is greater than 50.

Total number of replicates = 54

Hartley test for homogeneity of variance

Calculated H statistic (max Var/min Var) = 19.31

Closest, conservative, Table H statistic = 20.0 (alpha = 0.01)

Used for Table H ==> R (# groups) = 7, df (# reps-1) =

Actual values \implies R (# groups) = 7, df (# avg reps-1) = 6.71 (average df used)

Data PASS homogeneity test. Continue analysis.

NOTE: This test requires equal replicate sizes. If they are unequal but do not differ greatly, the Hartley test may still be used as an approximate test (average df are used).

Bartletts test for homogeneity of variance

Calculated B statistic = 13.57

Table Chi-square value = 16.81 (alpha = 0.01)

Table Chi-square value = 12.59 (alpha = 0.05)

Average df used in calculation ==> df (avg n - 1) = 6.71

<u>Used for Chi-square table value ==> df (#groups-1) = 6</u>

Data PASS homogeneity test at 0.01 level. Continue analysis.

NOTE: If groups have unequal replicate sizes the average replicate size is used to calculate the B statistic (see above).

GROUP1 (SOLVENT CONTROL) MEAN = 354.2500 CALCULATED t VALUE = 2.5236

GROUP2 (BLANK CONTROL) MEAN = 252.8333 DEGREES OF FREEDOM = 12

<u>DIFFERENCE IN MEANS</u> = 101.4167

TABLE t VALUE (0.05(2),12) = 2.179** SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE at alpha = 0.05

TABLE t VALUE (0.01 (2),12) = 3.055 NO significant difference at alpha = 0.01

TITLE: Atrazine -- Daphnia pulicaria Partial Life Cycle - Number of Young (cont.)

TRA	ANSFORM: NO TRA	NSFORI	MATION	NUMBER OF GROUPS: 7
	DENTIFICATION		VALUE	TRANS VALUE
1	DMF Control	1	319.0000	319.0000
1	DMF Control	2	301.0000	301.0000
1	DMF Control	3	493.0000	493.0000
1	DMF Control	4	354.0000	354.0000
1	DMF Control	5	185.0000	185.0000
1	DMF Control	6	341.0000	341.0000
1	DMF Control	7	453.0000	453.0000
1	DMF Control	8	388.0000	388.0000
2	Control	1	241.0000	241.0000
2	Control	2	245.0000	245.0000
2	Control	3	220.0000	220.0000
2	Control	4	262.0000	262.0000
2	Control	5	298.0000	298.0000
2	Control	6	251.0000	251.0000
3	0.93	1	370.0000	370.0000
3	0.93	2	341.0000	341.0000
3	0.93	3	512.0000	512.0000
3	0.93	4	373.0000	373.0000
3	0.93	5	304.0000	304.0000
3	0.93	6	374.0000	374.0000
3	0.93	7	241.0000	241.0000
3	0.93	8	339.0000	339.0000
4	4.1	1	375.0000	375.0000
4	4.1	2	463.0000	463.0000
4	4.1	3	403.0000	403.0000
4	4.1	4	406.0000	406.0000
4	4.1	5	561.0000	561.0000
4	4.1	6	413.0000	413.0000
4	4.1	7	393.0000	393.0000
4	4.1	8	458.0000	458.0000
5	8.7	1	492.0000	492.0000
5	8.7	2	558.0000	558.0000
5	8.7	3	503.0000	503.0000
5	8.7	4	442.0000	442.0000
5	8.7	5	570.0000	570.0000
5	8.7	6	405.0000	405.0000
5	8.7	7	381.0000	381.0000
5	8.7	8	357.0000	357.0000
6	44	1	240.0000	240.0000
6	44	2	116.0000	116.0000
6	44	3	373.0000	373.0000
6	44	4	414.0000	414.0000
6	44	5	334.0000	334.0000
6	44	6	396.0000	396.0000
6	44	7	189.0000	189.0000
6	44	8	413.0000	413.0000
7	87	1	164.0000	164.0000
7	87	2	283.0000	283.0000
	· .	~	2001000	_55.000

PMR	A Submission Number			EPA MRID Number 452
7	87	3	483.0000	483.0000
7	87	4	343.0000	343.0000
7	87	5	343.0000	343.0000
7	87	6	118.0000	118.0000
7	87	7	301.0000	301.0000
7	87	8	355.0000	355.0000

SUMMARY STATISTICS ON TRANSFORMED DATA TABLE 1 of 2

GR	OUP IDENTIFICATION	N	MIN	MAX	MEAN	
1	DMF Control	8	185.000	493.000	354.250	
2	Control	6	220.000	298.000	252.833	
3	0.93	8	241.000	512.000	356.750	
4	4.1	8	375.000	561.000	434.000	
5	8.7	8	357.000	570.000	463.500	
6	44	8	116.000	414.000	309.375	
7	87	8	118.000	483.000	298.750	

SUMMARY STATISTICS ON TRANSFORMED DATA TABLE 2 of 2

GRO	OUP IDENTIFICATION	VARIANCE	SD SD	SEM	
1	DMF Control	9005.929	94.900	33.552	
2	Control	681.367	26.103	10.657	
3	0.93	5940.500	77.075	27.250	
4	4.1	3556.286	59.635	21.084	
5	8.7	6382.571	79.891	28.246	
6	44	12934.268	113.729	40.209	
7_	87	13158.500	114.711	40.556	

ANOVA TABLE

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F
Between	6	248610.273	41435.046	5.406
Within (Error)	47	360253.208	7664.962	

Total 53 608863.481

Critical F value = 2.34 (0.05,6,40); Since F > Critical F REJECT Ho: All groups equal

BONF	ERRONI T-TEST	- TABLE 1 OF 2	Ho: Co	ontrol < Treatmer	ıt
	_	TRANSFORMED	MEAN (CALCULATED	T STAT
GROUP	IDENTIFICATIO	<u>MEAN</u>	ORIGINAL	IN UNITS	SIG
1	DMF Control	354.250	354.250		
2	Control	252.833	252.833	2.145	
3	0.93	356.750	356.750	-0.057	
4	4.1	434.000	434.000	-1.822	
5	8.7	463.500	463.500	-2.496	
6	44	309.375	309.375	1.025	
7	87	298.750	298.750	1.268	

Bonferroni T table value = 2.50 (1 Tailed Value, P=0.05, df=40,6)

BON	FERRONI T-TEST	- TABI	LE 2 OF 2	Ho	: Control < T	<u>reatment</u>
		NUM OF	Minimum	Sig Diff	% of	DIFFERENCE
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	N REPS	(IN ORIG.	UNITS)	CONTROL	FROM CONTROL
1	DMF Control	8				
2	Control	6		118.158	33.4	101.417

DER on Partial Chronic Toxicity of Atrazine TAI to Freshwater Invertebrates - Daphnia pulicaria

PMRA S	Submission Number			<u>EPA MRID Num</u>	ber 452995-04
3	0.93	8	109.393	30.9	-2.500
4	4.1	8	109.393	30.9	-79.750
5	8.7	8	109.393	30.9	-109.250
6	44	8	109.393	30.9	44.875
7	87	8	109.393	30.9	55.500

WILLIAMS TEST (Isotonic regression model) TABLE 1 OF 2

			ORIGINAL	TRANSFO	RMED ISOTONIZED
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	N	MEAN	MEAN	N MEAN
1	DMF Control	8	354.250	354.250	378.553
2	Control	6	252.833	252.833	378.553
3	0.93	8	356.750	356.750	378.553
4	4.1	8	434.000	434.000	378.553
5	8.7	8	463.500	463.500	378.553
6	44	8	309.375	309.375	309.375
7	87	8	298.750	298.750	298.750

WILLIAMS TEST (Isotonic regression model) TABLE 2 OF 2

WILLIAMS TEST (ISOlonic regression model) TABLE 2 Of 2						
	ISOTONIZEI	D CALC.	SIG	TABLE	DEGREES OF	
<u>IDENTIFICAT</u>	ION MEAN	WILLIAMS	P=.05	WILLIAMS	FREEDOM	
DMF Control	378.553					
Control	378.553	0.514		1.68	k=1, v=47	
0.93	378.553	0.555		1.76	k=2, v=47	
4.1	378.553	0.555		1.79	k=3, v=47	
8.7	378.553	0.555		1.80	k=4, v=47	
44	309.375	1.025		1.80	k=5, v=47	
87	298.750	1.268		1.81	k=6, v=47	

s = 87.550; Note: df used for table values are approximate when v > 20.

DER on Partial Chronic Toxicity of Atrazine TAI to Freshwater Invertebrates - Daphnia pulicaria

PMRA Submission Number

EPA MRID Number 452995-04

TITLE: Atrazine -- Daphnia pulicaria Partial Life Cycle - Adult Body Length

Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

Chi-square test for normality: actual and expected frequencies

INTERVAL <-1.5 -1.5 to <-0.5 -0.5 to 0.5 >0.5 to 1.5 >1.5 EXPECTED 3.752 13.552 21.392 13.552 3.752 OBSERVED 5 15 16 16 4

Calculated Chi-Square goodness of fit test statistic = 2.3875

Table Chi-Square value (alpha = 0.01) = 13.277

Data PASS normality test. Continue analysis.

Shapiro-Wilks test for normality

****** Shapiro-Wilks Test is aborted ******

This test can not be performed because total number of replicates is greater than 50. Total number of replicates = 56

Hartley test for homogeneity of variance

Calculated H statistic (max Var/min Var) = 14.71

Closest, conservative, Table H statistic = 20.0 (alpha = 0.01)

Used for Table $H \Longrightarrow R (\# \text{groups}) = 7, df (\# \text{reps-1}) = 7$

Actual values \implies R (# groups) = 7, df (# avg reps-1) = 7.00

Data PASS homogeneity test. Continue analysis.

NOTE: This test requires equal replicate sizes. If they are unequal but do not differ greatly, the Hartley test may still be used as an approximate test (average df are used).

Bartletts test for homogeneity of variance

Calculated B statistic = 15.52

Table Chi-square value = 16.81 (alpha = 0.01)

Table Chi-square value = 12.59 (alpha = 0.05)

Average df used in calculation ==> df (avg n - 1) = 7.00

Used for Chi-square table value ==> df (#groups-1) = 6

Data PASS homogeneity test at 0.01 level. Continue analysis.

NOTE: If groups have unequal replicate sizes the average replicate size is used to calculate the B statistic (see above).

t-test of Solvent and Blank Controls Ho:GRP1 MEAN = GRP2 MEAN

GROUP1 (SOLVENT CRTL) MEAN = 2.1125 CALCULATED t VALUE = -8.0643

GROUP2 (BLANK CRTL) MEAN = 2.4125 DEGREES OF FREEDOM = 14

DIFFERENCE IN MEANS = -0.3000

TABLE t VALUE (0.05 (2),14) = 2.145** SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE at alpha=0.05

TABLE t VALUE (0.01 (2),14) = 2.977** SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE at alpha=0.01

TITLE: Atrazine -- Daphnia pulicaria Partial Life Cycle - Adult Body Length (cont.)

TRANSFORM: NO TRANSFORMATION					NUMBER OF GROUPS: 7	
(GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	REP	VALUE	TRANS VALUE	_
	1 DN	/IF Control	1	2.1000	2.1000	
	1 DN	/IF Control	2	2.1000	2.1000	
	1 DN	/IF Control	3	2.1000	2.1000	

MK	A Submission Number			EPA MRID N	Number 452995-04	
	DMF Control	4	2.2000	2.2000		
	DMF Control	5	1.9000	1.9000		
	DMF Control	6	2.2000	2.2000		
	DMF Control	7	2.2000	2.2000		
	DMF Control	8	2.1000	2.1000		
	Control	1	2.4000	2.4000		
	Control	2	2.4000	2.4000		
	Control	3	2.4000	2.4000		
	Control	4	2.4000	2.4000		
	Control	5	2.4000	2.4000		
	Control	6	2.5000	2.5000		
	Control	7	2.4000	2.4000		
	Control	8	2.4000	2.4000		
	0.93	1	2.1000	2.1000		
	0.93	2	2.2000	2.2000		
	0.93	. 3	2.2000	2.2000		
	0.93	4	2.2000	2.2000		
	0.93	5	2.1000	2.1000		
	0.93	6	2.2000	2.2000		
	0.93	7	2.0000	2.0000		
	0.93	8	2.1000	2.1000		
	4.1	1	2.1000	2.1000		
	4.1	2	2.3000	2.3000		
	4.1	3	2.0000	2.0000		
	4.1	4	2.2000	2.2000		
	4.1	5	2.2000	2.2000		
	4.1	6	2.2000	2.1000		
	4.1	7	2.1000	2.2000		
	4.1	8				
			2.1000	2.1000		
	8.7	1	2.2000	2.2000		
;	8.7	2	2.3000	2.3000		
	8.7	3	2.3000	2.3000		
	8.7	4	2.2000	2.2000		
	8.7	5	2.2000	2.2000		
	8.7	6	2.2000	2.2000		
	8.7	7	2.2000	2.2000		
	8.7	8	2.2000	2.2000		
•	44	1	1.9000	1.9000		
	44	2	2.0000	2.0000		
5	44	3	2.2000	2.2000		
5	44	4	2.2000	2.2000		
<u> </u>	44	5	2.1000	2.1000		
	44	6	2.2000	2.2000		
	44	7	2.0000	2.0000		
,	44	8	2.2000	2.2000		
,	87	1	1.8000	1.8000		
,	87	2	2.0000	2.0000		
,	87	3	2.2000	2.2000		
	87	4	2.0000	2.0000		
,	87	5	2.0000	2.0000		
•	87	6	1.9000	1.9000		

7	87	7	2.0000	2.0000	
7	87	8	2.2000	2.2000	

STIMMARY STAT	TETICS ON TR	ANSFORMED DATA	TABLE 1 of 2
SUMMAN I STAT	ISTICS ON IT		

GRO	OUP IDENTIFICATION	N	MIN	MAX	MEAN
1	DMF Control	8	1.900	2.200	2.112
2	Control	8	2.400	2.500	2.413
3	0.93	8	2.000	2.200	2.138
4	4.1	8	2.000	2.300	2.150
5	8.7	8	2.200	2.300	2.225
6	44	8	1.900	2.200	2.100
7	87	8	1.800	2.200	2.013

SUMMARY STATISTICS ON TRANSFORMED DATA TABLE 2 of 2

GR	OUP IDENTIFICATION	VARIANCE	SD	SEM	
1	DMF Control	0.010	0.099	0.035	
2	Control	0.001	0.035	0.012	
3	0.93	0.006	0.074	0.026	
4	4.1	0.009	0.093	0.033	
5	8.7	0.002	0.046	0.016	
6	44	0.014	0.120	0.042	
7	87	0.018	0.136	<u>0.0</u> 48	

ANOVA TABLE

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F	
Between	6	0.769	0.128	14.222	
Within (Error)	49	0.420	0.009		
Total	55	1.189			

Critical F value = 2.34 (0.05,6,40); Since F > Critical F REJECT Ho: All groups equal

DUN	<u> NETTS TEST - </u>	TABLE 1 OF 2	Ho: Conti	rol < Treatment	
,		TRANSFORMED	MEAN	CALCULATED	T STAT
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	ON MEAN	ORIGINAL	IN UNITS	SIG
1	DMF Control	2.112	2.112		
2	Control	2.413	2.413	-6.325	
3	0.93	2.138	2.138	-0.527	
4	4 .1	2.150	2.150	-0.791	
5	8.7	2.225	2.225	-2.372	
6	44	2.100	2.100	0.264	
_7	87	2.013	2.013	2.108	

Dunnett table value = 2.37 (1 Tailed Value, P=0.05, df=40,6)

DUNNETTS TEST - TABLE 2 OF 2 Ho: Control < Treatment						
		NUM OF M	inimum Sig Diff	% of D	IFFERENCE	
GRO	<u>UP IDENTIFICATIO</u>	N REPS (IN	ORIG. UNITS) CO	ONTROL FRO	OM CONTROL	
1	DMF Control	8				
2	Control	8	0.112	5.3	-0.300	
3	0.93	8	0.112	5.3	-0.025	
4	4.1	8	0.112	5.3	-0.038	
5	8.7	8	0.112	5.3	-0.112	
6	44	8	0.112	5.3	0.012	

7	87	8	0.112	5.3	0.100

BONFERRONI T-TEST		TABLE 1 OF 2 Ho: Control < Treatme		nt	
-		TRANSFORMED	MEAN	CALCULATED	T STAT
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	N MEAN	ORIGINA	L IN UNITS	SIG
1	DMF Control	2.112	2.112		
2	Control	2.413	2.413	-6.325	
3	0.93	2.138	2.138	-0.527	
4	4.1	2.150	2.150	-0.791	
5	8.7	2.225	2.225	-2.372	
6	44	2.100	2.100	0.264	
7	87	2.013	2.013	2.108	***

Bonferroni T table value = 2.50 (1 Tailed Value, P=0.05, df=40,6)

BONFERRONI T-TEST - TABLE 2 OF 2 Ho: Control < Treatment					
		NUM OF	Minimum Sig Diff	% of	DIFFERENCE
GROUP	IDENTIFICAT	ION REPS	(IN ORIG. UNITS)	CONTROL	FROM CONTROL
1	DMF Control	8			
2	Control	8	0.119	5.6	-0.300
3	0.93	8	0.119	5.6	-0.025
4	4.1	8	0.119	5.6	-0.038
5	8.7	8	0.119	5.6	-0.112
6	44	8	0.119	5.6	0.012
7	87	8	0.119	5.6	0.100

WILLIAMS TEST (Isotonic regression model) TABLE 1 OF 2

			ORIGINAL	TRANSFORMED	ISOTONIZED
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	N	MEAN	MEAN	MEAN
1	DMF Control	8	2.112	2.112	2.263
2	Control	8	2.413	2.413	2.263
3	0.93	8	2.138	2.138	2.171
4	4.1	8	2.150	2.150	2.171
5	8.7	8	2.225	2.225	2.171
6	44	8	2.100	2.100	2.100
_ 7	87	8	2.013	2.013	2.013

WILLIAMS TEST (Isotonic regression model) TABLE 2 OF 2							
	ISOTONIZED	CALC.	SIG	TABLE	DEGREES OF		
<u>IDENTIFICATION</u>	MEAN	WILLIAMS	P = .05	WILLIAMS	FREEDOM		
DMF Control	2.263						
Control	2.263	3.241	*	1.68	k=1, v=49		
0.93	2.171	1.260		1.76	k=2, v=49		
4.1	2.171	1.260		1.79	k=3, v=49		
8.7	2.171	1.260		1.80	k=4, v=49		
44	2.100	0.270		1.80	k=5, v=49		
87	2.013	2.160	*	1.81	k=6, v=49		

s = 0.093; Note: df used for table values are approximate when v > 20.