TEXT SEARCHABLE DOCUMENT - 2009

Data Evaluation Report on the Toxicity of Methyl Parathion Technical to Sheepshead Minnow (Cvprinodon variegatus). Early Life Cycle

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 467442-01

Data Requirement:

PMRA Data Code EPA DP Barcode OECD Data Point EPA MRID **EPA** Guideline

{.....} D326572 and 326467 {.....} 467442-01 850.1400

Purity: 97.4% (w:w)

Test material: Common name

Methyl parathion Chemical name: IUPAC: O.O-dimethyl O-4-nitrophenyl phosphorothioate CAS name: Phosphorathioic acid, O.O-dimethyl O-(4-nitrophenyl) ester CAS No.: 298-00-0 Synonyms: None Reported

Primary Reviewer: Christie E. Padova **Staff Scientist, Dynamac Corporation**

Secondary Reviewer: John Marton Staff Scientist, Cambridge Environmental Inc.

Primary Reviewer: Edward Odenkirchen, Biologist **EPA/OPP/EFED/ERB - I**

Methyl Parathion Technical

Secondary Reviewer(s): Yan Donovan, Chemist EPA/OPP/EFED/ERB - I

Reference/Submission No.: {......}

Company Code	{}	[For PMRA]
Active Code	· {}	[For PMRA]
Use Site Category	{}	[For PMRA]
EPA PC Code	053501	

Date Evaluation Completed: {dd-mm-yyyy}

Signature: Christie C. Padore Date: 12/8/06

Signature: Date: 12/27/06

Date: 2/14/09 GM OC Date: 2/18/09 Jan Donovan

CITATION: Hicks, S.L. 2006. Methyl Parathion Technical: Early Life-Stage Toxicity Test with the Sheepshead Minnow, Cyprinodon Variegatus, Under Flow-Through Conditions. Unpublished study performed by ABC Laboratories, Inc., Columbia, MO. Laboratory Project No. 49732. Study submitted by Cheminova, Inc., Washington, DC. Study initiated September 26, 2005 and submitted January 23, 2006.

DISCLAIMER: This document provides guidance for EPA and PMRA reviewers on how to complete a data evaluation record after reviewing a scientific study concerning the toxicity of a pesticide to fish, early life cycle. It is not intended to prescribe conditions to any external party for conducting this study nor to establish absolute criteria regarding the assessment of whether the study is scientifically sound and whether the study satisfies any applicable data requirements. Reviewers are expected to review and to determine for each study, on a case-by-case basis, whether it is scientifically sound and provides sufficient information to satisfy applicable data requirements. Studies that fail to meet any of the conditions may be accepted, if appropriate; similarly, studies that meet all of the conditions may be rejected, if appropriate. In sum, the reviewer is to take into account the totality of factors related to the test methodology and results in determining the acceptability of the study.



PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 467442-01

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The 38-day chronic toxicity of methyl parathion to the early life stage of sheepshead minnow (*Cyprinodon variegatus*) was studied under flow-through conditions. Newly-fertilized eggs/embryos (100/level, exact age not reported) of sheepshead minnow were exposed to methyl parathion at nominal concentrations of 0 (negative and solvent controls), 5.0, 10, 20, 40, 80, and 160 µg ai/L. TWA concentrations were <1.07 (<LOQ, controls), 4.57, 8.86, 17.7, 36.0, 74.5, and 148 µg ai/L, respectively. The test system was maintained at 24.5-25.5 °C, pH of 7.33-7.72, and salinity of 18.7-19.9‰. As survival did not fall below 50% for any treatment level, the 38-day LC₅₀ for post-hatch survival was >148 µg ai/L. NOAEC and LOAEC values were 8.86 and 17.7 µg ai/L, respectively, based on treatment-related reductions in terminal growth (length and wet weight).

No treatment-related effects on time to 95% hatch or percent hatch were observed. In addition, no treatment-related signs of toxicity in post-hatch fry were observed. Fry survival, however, was statistically-reduced at the 148 μ g ai/L level compared to the negative control (76 versus 90%, respectively). Terminal standard lengths and blotted wet weights were the most sensitive endpoints, with statistically-significant reductions compared to the negative control at the $\geq 17.7 \ \mu$ g ai/L levels. The mean standard length was 16 mm in the negative control, solvent control, 4.57, and 8.86 μ g ai/L groups, and 15, 14, 13, and 9 mm in the 17.7, 36.0, 74.5, and 148 μ g ai/L groups, respectively. Mean blotted wet weight was 0.134 and 0.136 mg in the negative and solvent control levels, respectively, and 0.134, 0.127, 0.112, 0.106, 0.093, and 0.041 mg in the 4.57, 8.86, 17.7, 36.0, 74.5, and 148 μ g ai/L levels, respectively.

This study is scientifically sound and satisfies the guideline requirement for an early life toxicity study with sheepshead minnow.

Results Synopsis

Test Organism Size/Age (mean Weight or Length): Newly-fertilized embryos, exact age not reported Test Type (Flow-through, Static, Static Renewal): Flow-through

Egg Hatchability:

 EC_{50} : >148 µg ai/L 95% C.I.: N/A Probit Slope: N/A NOAEC: 148 µg ai/L LOAEC: >148 µg ai/L

Fry Survival:

 EC_{50} : >148 µg ai/L 95% C.I.: N/A Probit Slope: N/A NOAEC: 74.5 µg ai/L LOAEC: 148 µg ai/L

Mean Standard Length:

EC₅₀: >148 μg ai/L 95% C.I.: N/A Probit Slope: 1.51±0.168 NOAEC: 8.86 μg ai/L LOAEC: 17.7 μg ai/L

Mean Blotted Wet Weight:

EC₅₀: 100 μg ai/L 95% C.I.: 88-120 μg ai/L Probit Slope: 2.71 ± 0.492 NOAEC: 8.86 μg ai/L LOAEC: 17.7 μg ai/L Endpoint(s) Affected: Fry Survival and Growth (Length and Wet Weight)

Page 2 of 31

Data Evaluation Report on the Toxicity of Methyl Parathion Technical to Sheepshead Minnow (Cyprinodon variegatus), Early Life Cycle PMRA Submission Number {.....}

1.1.1

EPA MRID Number 467442-01

Most Sensitive Endpoint(s): Length and Wet Weight

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

I. MATERIALS AND METHODS

GUIDELINE FOLLOWED: The study protocol was based on procedures outlined in the U.S. EPA Series 850 – Ecological Effects Test Guidelines (draft), OPPTS No. 850.1400 Fish Early Life-Stage Test. Deviations from OPPTS No. 850.1400 included:

- 1. The exact age of the fertilized embryos used for testing was not reported.
- The analytical variation among test sample results exceeded 20% at the nominal 5.0 μg ai/L level (lowest dose tested only). Variation at this level was 27%. At the remaining levels, analytical variation ranged from 6 to 15%.
- 3. Periodic analysis of the prepared dilution water was conducted in December 2004, approximately 10 months prior to the definitive study.
- 4. Raw data obtained during daily observations (including survival, time to hatch, and clinical signs of toxicity) were not provided.

These deviations do not affect the scientific soundness or acceptability of this study.

COMPLIANCE:

Signed and dated GLP and Quality Assurance statements were provided. A No Data Confidentiality statement was included in the final report; however, it was not signed or dated.

A. MATERIALS:

1. Test Material	Methyl Parathion Technical
Description:	Amber solid
Lot No./Batch No. :	621-BSe-20A
Purity:	97.4% w:w

Stability of compound under test conditions:

Verified. Test samples collected on days 0, 7, 14, 21, 28, and 38 were analyzed for methyl parathion using gas chromatography in conjunction with a nitrogen/phosphorous detector (GC/NPD). At all except the lowest treatment level, recoveries were within 20% among replicate measurements (range of 6-15%, reviewer-calculated). At the lowest treatment level (5.0 μ g ai/L), the analytical variation was 27% among replicate measurements. These results indicate that methyl parathion was stable under the conditions of the test.

Storage conditions of test chemicals:

Under refrigeration (approx. 4°C)

Page 4 of 31

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 467442-01

Physicochemical properties of	Methyl Parathion.	·
Parameter	Values	Comments
Water solubility at 20EC	Not reported	
Vapor pressure	Not reported	
UV absorption	Not reported	
рКа	Not reported	
Kow	Not reported	

(OECD recommends water solubility, stability in water and light, pKa, Pow, and vapor pressure of test compound)

2. Test organism:

Species:

Age /embryonic stage at test initiation:

Method of collection of the fertilized eggs:

Sheepshead minnow (Cyprinodon variegates) [EPA recommends any of several freshwater fish species, including rainbow trout, brook trout, bluegill, fathead minnow, and channel catfish. See Standard Evaluation Procedure for listing of recommended species. OECD recommends rainbow trout, fathead minnows, zebra fish, and ricefish but does not exclude the use of other species.]

Newly-fertilized embryos, exact age not reported [EPA recommends fish embryos 2 to 24 hours old.]

Testes from approximately ten male minnows were obtained from sacrificed fish and maintained in a glass dish containing fresh saltwater. Unfertilized eggs from approximately 46 female sheepshead minnows (previously injected twice with Human Chorionic Gonadoptropin solution; refer to Reviewer's Comments section) were collected by gently stroking the abdomen of anesthetized fish and subsequently collecting eggs into a glass dish containing fresh saltwater. The testes were macerated and rinsed into the glass dish containing the collected eggs. The milt and eggs were gently swirled and allowed to remain undisturbed for several minutes. The fertilized eggs were then rinsed with fresh saltwater and maintained in a glass dish at approximately 23°C prior to selection for testing.

In-house cultures

Source:

B. STUDY DESIGN:

1. Experimental Conditions

a. Range-finding study: A 28-day flow-through range-finding study was conducted at nominal concentrations of 0 (negative and solvent controls), 6.5, 13, 25, 50, 100, and 200 µg ai/L. Two replicates, each containing 20 embryos, were exposed for a total of 40 embryos per concentration level. Egg hatchability was 78 and 90% in the negative and solvent controls, respectively, compared to 85,

Page 5 of 31

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

85, 70, 83, 78, and 80% in the 6.5, 13, 25, 50, 100, and 200 μ g ai/L levels, respectively. Fry mortality at the end of the 28-day exposure ranged from 6% in the 200 μ g ai/L level to 16% in the 100 μ g ai/L level, compared to 10 and 8% in the negative and solvent control groups, respectively. Standard length and blotted wet weight were measured in surviving fry from the negative control, solvent control, 6.5, 50, and 200 μ g ai/L levels at study termination. The mean length was 13, 14, 13, 11, and 10 mm, respectively, and the mean wet weights were 0.076, 0.079, 0.079, 0.061, and 0.044 g, respectively.

The concentrations of methyl parathion were determined at the 6.5, 25, and 200 μ g ai/L levels on days 15 and 28. Recoveries ranged from 80 to 90% of the nominal concentrations.

b. Definitive study

Table	1:	Ex	perim	ental	Р	arame	ter	S

Parameter	Details	Remarks Criteria
<u>Parental acclimation, if any</u> Period: Conditions (same as test or not):	Continuous Same as test	The mature sheepshead minnow were maintained in laboratory saltwater ($20 \pm 3\%$) at a temperature of 23 ± 2 °C.
Feeding (type, source, amount given, frequency): Health: (any mortality observed)	Not reported No prophylactic or therapeutic disease treatments were administered in the 2 weeks prior to test initiation.	
Number of fertilized eggs/embryos in each treatment at test initiation	100 embryos/treatment level, divided into 25 embryos/cup, 1 cup/aquarium, and 4 replicate aquaria/treatment.	Fish were not thinned following hatching. Each treatment should include a minimum of 20 embryos per replicate cup and a minimum of 30 fish per treatment for post-hatch exposure (OECD recommends at least 60 eggs, divided between at least 2 replicates)

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

Parameter	Details	Remarks
I <i>ui uiiictoi</i>		Criteria
Concentration of test material		Concentrations were corrected for
nominal:	0 (negative and solvent controls),	test substance purity.
	5.0, 10, 20, 40, 80, and 160 µg	
	ai/L	Solutions were analyzed for ai at 0,
		7, 14, 21, 28, and 38 days.
mean measured:	<1.07 (<loq, 4.67,<="" controls),="" td=""><td>Analytical variation was excessive a</td></loq,>	Analytical variation was excessive a
	8.94, 18.0, 36.2, 74.2, and 149	the 5.0 μg ai/L level only (27%,
	$\mu g ai/L$	reviewer-calculated). This was due
		to the day-0 result, which was 1219
TWA (reviewer-calculated):	<1.07 (<loq, 4.57,<="" controls),="" td=""><td>of the mean-measured concentration</td></loq,>	of the mean-measured concentration
i wA (ieviewei-calculated).	8.86, 17.7, 36.0, 74.5, and 148	At the remaining treatment levels,
		variation ranged from 6 to 15%,
	μg ai/L	which is within the 20%
		recommended limit.
		recommended minit.
		A minimum of 5 concentrations and a
		control, all replicated, plus solvent
		control if appropriate should be used.
		- Toxicant concentration should be
		measured in one tank at each toxicant
		level every week.
		- One concentration should adversely
		affect a life stage and one concentration
		should not affect any life stage.
		OECD recommends that 5
		concentrations be spaced by a constan
		factor not exceeding 3.2; concentration of test substance in solution should be
• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		within $\forall 20\%$ of the mean measured
		values.
		········
olvent (type, percentage, if used)	Dimethyl formamide, 20 µl/L	
		The solvent should not exceed 0.1 ml/L
		in a flow-through system.
		Recommended solvents include
		dimethylformamide, triethylene glycol,
		methanol, acetone, ethanol.
		OECD recommends that the solvent n have an effect on survival nor produce
		any other adverse effects; concentration
		should not be greater than 0.1 ml/L.
Number of replicates		
control:	4	
solvent control:	4	Number of replicates should be 4 per
reated ones:	4/level	concentration.
avaiva ones.	1/10/01	A solvent control should be used in
		conjunction with a solubilizing agent.

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

Parameter	Details	Remarks		
r ar ameter	Details	Criteria		
Test condition		The diluter was calibrated before the test and checked for normal		
static renewal/flow-through:	Flow-through	operation twice daily during the test.		
type of dilution system for flow through method:	Intermittent-flow proportional diluter	Intermittent flow proportional diluters or continuous flow serial diluters should be used. EPA recommends that flow rate		
flow rate:	Approx. 7 volume additions per day	to larval cups should provide 90% replacement in 8 to 12 hours (OECD recommends 5 test chamber volumes/24 hours). For static-renewal, OECD		
renewal rate for static renewal:	N/A	recommends 2 renewal procedures; either transfer eggs and larvae to new,		
		clean vessels or reatain organisms in vessels and change at least 2/3 test water. A minimum of 5 toxicant		
		concentrations with a dilution factor not greater than 0.5 and controls should be used.		
		Toxicant Mixing: 1) Mixing chamber is preferred; 2) Aeration should not be used for		
		 mixing; 3) The test solution should be completely mixed before introduction into the test system; 4) Flow splitting accuracy should be within 10%. 		
Aeration, if any	None used.	<i>wunun</i> 1076.		
		Dilution water should be aerated to ensure DO concentration at or near 100% saturation. Test tanks and embryo cups should not be aerated.		
Duration of the test	38 days (28-days post-hatch)	Fulfills OPPTS requirement for this species.		
		Recommended test duration is 32 days for EPA. OECD recommendations for test duration are species specific and range from 28-60 days.		
Embryo cups, if used		The embryo cages were slowly oscillated vertically.		
type/material (glass/stainless steel):	Glass jars with Nitex® screen replacing the bottom	Recommended embryo cups are 120 ml glass jars with bottoms replaced with 40 mesh stainless steel or nylon screen.		
size:	9-cm diameter	mesh siumess sieci or nyion screen.		
fill volume:	Not reported			

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

Parameter	Details	Remarks
		Criteria
<u>Test vessel</u> type/material: (glass/stainless steel)	Glass	All chamber drains were covered with stainless steel screen to prevent fish escape.
size:	15 x 31 x 22 cm	Recommended test vessel is all glass or glass with stainless steel frame.
fill volume:	10 L	1
Source of dilution water	Laboratory saltwater $(20 \pm 3\%)$ prepared using a commercial sea salt mix and laboratory freshwater consisting of well water that was de-mineralized by reverse-osmosis. The prepared dilution water was passed through a particulate filter and an UV sterilizer.	Results of periodic analysis of the dilution water for chlorinated hydrocarbons, metals, and organophosphates were provided (from water analyzed in December 2004). The following elements were detected: boron at 5.7 mg/L, calcium at 160 mg/L, magnesium at 580 mg/L, potassium at 200 mg/L, sodium at 5700 mg/L, nitrate at 0.39 mg/L, and total phosphorus at 0.12 mg/L.
		Source of dilution water should be natural or reconstituted water; natural water should be sterilized with UV and tested for pesticides, heavy metals, and other possible contaminants. OECD accepts any water in which the test species show control survival at least as good as presented in SEP.

Data Evaluation Report on the Toxicity of Methyl Parathion Technical to Sheepshead Minnow (Cyprinodon variegatus), Early Life Cycle PMRA Submission Number {.....} EPA MRID Number 4674

Parameter	Details	Remarks
		Criteria
<u>Water parameters</u> hardness:	Not reported	Light intensity at the level of test solution, ranged from 450 to 547 on days 7, 14, and 35.
pH: dissolved oxygen: temperature (s) (record all the temperatures used for different life stages): photoperiod: salinity (for marine or estuarine species): other measurements: interval of water quality measurements:	 7.33-7.72 5.13-6.64 mg/L (71-92% saturation) 24.5-25.5°C (all stages; maintained constant during the study) 16 hours light/8 hours dark, with 30-minute transition periods 18.7-19.9‰ N/A Temperature, pH, salinity, and DO were measured in all replicates of each level at test initiation and termination, and weekly throughout the study. Temperature was also continuously monitored in a centrally-located test chamber (20 μg ai/L replicate D). 	Recommended hardness: 40-48 mg/L a CaCO ₃ ; Recommended pH: 7.2 to 7.6 Dissolved Oxygen (DO) should be measured at each concentration at least once a week; Freshwater parameters in a control and one concentration should be analyzed once a week. Temperature depends upon test species and should not deviate by more than 2EC from appropriate temperature. OECD recommends that DO concentration be between 60 - 90% saturation. As a minimum DO, salinity (if relevant) and temperature should be measured weekly, and pH and hardness at the beginning and end of the test. Temperature should be measured continuously.
<u>Post-hatch details</u> when the post-hatch period began: number of hatched eggs (alevins)/ treatment released to the test chamber: on what day, the alevins were released from the incubation cups to the test chamber:	Day 10, when hatching was at least 95% complete in the solvent control chambers. All hatched larvae were released. Day 10	Percent hatch ranged from 72-88% in each negative control replicate (mean of 80%), and from 72-92% in each vehicle control replicate (mean of 84%). This fulfills the OPPTS minimum requirement of 75% for this species. Percentage of embryos that produce liv fry should be \geq 50% in each control; percentage of hatch in any control embryo cup should not be more than 1.

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

Parameter	Details	Remarks Criteria
Post-hatch Feeding start date:	Day 6, the day following the start of hatch	Food size and/or quantity were increased during testing on the basi of average fish size.
type/source of feed:	Live brine shrimp nauplii (<i>Artemia sp.</i>). As the test progressed, a standard commercial fish food was added to the diet.	
amount given: frequency of feeding:	Ad libitum At least three times daily during the week and at least twice daily on weekends. Fish were not fed during the final 24 hours of the test.	
Stability of chemical in the test system	Stable, as indicated by relatively constant measured concentrations (within 20% among replicate measurements) at all but the lowest treatment level.	
Recovery of chemical: Frequency of measurement: LOD: LOQ:	84-105% of nominal Days 0, 7, 14, 21, 28, and 38 Not reported 1.07 μg ai/L	Based on the results of QC samples in which 20 ml volumes of saltwate were spiked with methyl parathion 4.62 and 182 μ g ai/L and analyzed concurrently with the test samples.
Positive control {if used, indicate the chemical and concentrations}	N/A	
Fertilization success study, if any number of eggs used: on what day the eggs were removed to check the embryonic development:	N/A	

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 467442-01

2. Observations:

Table 2: Observations

Parameters	Details	Remarks	
1 al aniciti 5		Criteria	
Parameters measured including the sublethal effects/toxicity symptoms	 Embryo survival Time to reach ≥95% hatch 	Although dry weights are preferred, wet weight data are acceptable.	
	 Larval survival Measurement of growth (total length, blotted wet weight) Clinical signs of toxicity or abnormal behavior 	Recommended parameters measured include: - Number of embryos hatched; - Time to hatch; - Mortality of embryos, larvae, and Juveniles: - Time to swim-up (if appropriate); - Measurement of growth; - Incidence of pathological or Histological effects; - Observations of other effects or clinical signs.	
Observation intervals/dates for:			
egg mortality: no. of eggs hatched: mortality of fry (e.g.,alevins): swim-up behavior: growth measurements: embryonic development: other sublethal effects	Daily Daily Daily N/A Day 38 (28 days post-hatch) Not determined Daily		
Water quality was acceptable (Yes/No)	Yes		
Were raw data included?	No. Raw data obtained during daily observations (including survival, time to hatch, and clinical signs of toxicity) were not provided.	Summarized survival data for hatch and post-hatch periods were reported.	
Other observations, if any	N/A		

II. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. MORTALITY:

On Day 10, percent egg hatchability averaged 78-88% in all test and control groups, with no treatment-related effect observed. The NOAEC for hatchability was 149 μ g ai/L.

On Day 38 (28 days post-hatch), fish survival averaged 87-92% in the controls through 74.2 μ g ai/L treatment levels. At the 149 μ g ai/L level, post-hatch survival averaged 76%, which was statistically-reduced compared to the pooled controls (p=0.05). The NOAEC for post-hatch survival was 74.2 μ g ai/L.

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 467442-01

Treatment, Measured	Egg hatched/embryo viability Study Day		1	Juvenile-survival on day 38		
(and Nominal) Concentrations,	No. of eggs	hatch/eml	oryo viability	Treatment Hatch ≥95%		%
μg ai/L	at study initiation	No.	%		No. dead	mortality
Control (dilution water only)	100	80	80	9	8	10
Solvent control	100	84	84	10	9	11
4.67 (5.0)	100	81	81	9	9	11
8.94 (10)	100	79	79	9	9	11
18.0 (20)	100	88	88	9	10	11
36.2 (40)	100	82	82	9	11	13
74.2 (80)	100	82	82	9	7	8
149 (160)	100	78	78	10	19	24*
NOAEC	149 μg ai/L			149 μg ai/L	74.2 μg ai/l	
EC ₅₀	NR			NR	NR	
Positive control, if used	N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A	
mortality: EC ₅₀ : NOAEC			х.			

Table 3: Effect of Methyl Parathion on Egg Hatching and Survival at Different Life Stages of Fish.

NR - Not reported

* Statistically-significant difference from pooled control using Dunnett's Test (p=0.05).

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 467442-01

Treatment,	Swim-up ^(a)					
Measured (and Nominal) Concentrations, μg ai/L	day x1	day x2	day xn	Growth -length (mm)	Growth-wet weight (mg)	
Control (dilution water only)	N/A	N/A	N/A	16	0.134	
Solvent control	N/A	N/A	N/A	16	0.136	
4.67 (5.0)	N/A	N/A	N/A	16	0.134	
8.94 (10)	N/A	N/A	N/A	16	0.127	
18.0 (20)	N/A	N/A	N/A	15*	0.112*	
36.2 (40)	N/A	N/A	N/A	14*	0.106*	
74.2 (80)	N/A	N/A	N/A	13*	0.093*	
149 (160) ^(b)	N/A	N/A	N/A	9	0.041	
NOAEC	N/A	N/A	N/A	8.94 μg ai/L	8.94 μg ai/L	
LOAEC	N/A	N/A	N/A	18.0 μg ai/L	18.0 μg ai/L	
EC ₅₀	N/A	N/A	N/A	NR	NR	
Positive control, if used mortality: EC ₅₀ :	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
NOAEC				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

Effect of Methyl Parathion on Growth of Juvenile Fish

^(a) Swim-up is generally not applicable for this species.

^(b) Treatment was excluded from the statistical analyses due to survival effects.

*Statistically-significant from pooled control using Dunnett's Test (p=0.05).

B. SUB-LETHAL TOXICITY AND OTHER CHRONIC EFFECTS:

No treatment-related effect on the time to hatch was reported. Hatching began in the negative and solvent control groups on days 5 and 7, respectively, and in all test substance groups on days 6-7. The negative control and \leq 74.2 µg ai/L groups reached 95% hatch on day 9, and the vehicle control and the 149 µg ai/L group reached 95% hatch on day 10. Hatch was completed (i.e., 100%) in all levels between days 7 and 13. Raw data were not provided. A NOAEC was not reported.

No treatment-related signs of toxicity were observed during the study. Dark discoloration was observed in a single fry each from the negative control, vehicle control, and 4.67 through 36.2 µg ai/L treatment groups. Edema was also observed in one negative control fry. These observations did not follow a dose-dependent response and were not considered to be related to treatment. No behavioral abnormalities were observed during exposure. Raw data were not provided. A NOAEC was not reported.

Statistically-significant reductions (p=0.05) in total length and blotted wet weight were observed at the $\geq 18.0 \ \mu g$

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

ai/L levels compared to the pooled control. The mean standard length was 16 mm in the negative control, solvent control, 4.67, and 8.94 μ g ai/L groups, and 15, 14, 13, and 9 mm in the 18.0, 36.2, 74.2, and 149 μ g ai/L groups, respectively. Mean blotted wet weight was 0.134 and 0.136 mg in the negative and solvent control levels, respectively, and 0.134, 0.127, 0.112, 0.106, 0.093, and 0.041 mg in the 4.67, 8.94, 18.0, 36.2, 74.2, and 149 μ g ai/L levels, respectively. The NOAEC for both growth indicators was 8.94 μ g ai/L.

C. REPORTED STATISTICS:

Data that were statistically analyzed included 1) percent egg hatchability, 2) percent fry survival, 3) the mean total length of surviving fish at study termination, and 4) the mean blotted wet weight of surviving fish at study termination. The time to 95% hatch was visually evaluated.

For egg hatchability and fry survival, control data were compared using a two-tailed Fisher's exact test and a twotailed planned comparison t-test. No significant differences were observed, and the controls were pooled for all subsequent analyses. Data were tested for normality using Shapiro-Wilk's test and for homogeneity of variance using Levene's test. These assumptions were met, and the non-transformed data were subsequently analyzed using ANOVA and a one-tailed Dunnett's test. Data were also analyzed using a Fisher's exact test with a Hochberg adjustment. Test substance treatments with statistically-significant effects on hatchability or fry survival were not included in subsequent growth analyses.

For the growth endpoints, measurements were made on each organism; however, the organisms were not treated as the experimental unit and rather treated as sub-samples measured within the experimental unit. The control data were compared using a two-tailed planned comparison t-test. No significant differences were observed, and the controls were pooled for all subsequent analyses. Growth data were checked for normality using Shapiro-Wilk's test, and for homogeneity of variance using Levene's test. These assumptions were fulfilled, and the nontransformed data were subsequently analyzed using a nested ANOVA procedure, where the treatment means are weighted for number of fish in each chamber, and a one-tailed Dunnett's test, with the alternate hypotheses being the mean for the parameter was reduced in comparison to the control mean.

The NOAEC and LOAEC were based on significance data. The maximum acceptable toxicant concentration (MATC) was calculated as the geometric mean of the overall NOAEC and LOAEC for the most sensitive endpoint. All analyses were performed using SAS software and mean-measured concentrations. LC/EC_{50} values were not determined.

D. VERIFICATION OF STATISTICAL RESULTS:

Statistical Method(s): The endpoints analyzed included percent egg hatchability, percent fry survival (28 days post-hatch), mean standard length (28 days post-hatch) and mean blotted wet weight (28 days post-hatch). Negative and vehicle control data for each endpoint were compared using a Student's t-Test; no statistically significant differences were detected for any endpoint and all subsequent analyses were conducted using the negative control only. Replicate data for each endpoint were tested for normality using Chi-square and Shapiro-Wilk's tests and for homogeneity of variance using Hartley and Bartlett's tests. If the data met these assumptions of ANOVA, the NOAEC and LOAEC values were determined using the non-parametric Steels Many-One Rank and Kruskal-Wallis tests. All NOAEC and LOAEC determined using the non-parametric steels Many-One Rank and Kruskal-Wallis tests. All NOAEC and LOAEC determined using the probit method via Nuthatch statistical software. All toxicity values were determined using the time-weighted average concentrations.

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 467442-01

Egg Hatchability:

 EC_{50} : >148 µg ai/L 95% C.I.: N/A Probit Slope: N/A NOAEC: 148 µg ai/L LOAEC: >148 µg ai/L

Fry Survival:

EC₅₀: >148 μg ai/L 95% C.I.: N/A Probit Slope: N/A NOAEC: 74.5 μg ai/L LOAEC: 148 μg ai/L

Mean Standard Length:

EC₅₀: >148 μg ai/L 95% C.I.: N/A Probit Slope: 1.51±0.168 NOAEC: 17.7 μg ai/L LOAEC: 36.0 μg ai/L

Mean Blotted Wet Weight:

EC₅₀: 100 μg ai/L 95% C.I.: 88-120 μg ai/L Probit Slope: 2.71±0.492 NOAEC: 8.86 μg ai/L LOAEC: 17.7 μg ai/L

E. STUDY DEFICIENCIES:

There were no major deviations from OPPTS 850.1400 that affected the scientific soundness or acceptability of this study.

F. REVIEWER=S COMMENTS:

The reviewer relied on a non-parametric analysis to determine the NOAEC for length because of identical replicate values for the two lowest treatment levels; as a result, the reviewer's analysis did not detect a significant reduction in length at the 17.7 μ g ai/L treatment level, while the study author's analysis did. Because the study author's NOAEC level for length is more conservative, it is reported in the Executive Summary and Conclusions sections. Results in both of these sections are expressed based on the time-weighted average concentrations; the study author based toxicity estimates on the mean measured concentrations.

Five days prior to egg collection, approximately 46 female minnow were injected with Human Chorionic Gonadoptropin (HCG) solution (containing 1000 IUs HCG/ml) at a rate of approximately 0.1 ml per fish. These same female fish were injected a second time 2 days prior to egg collection with HCG solution (containing 400 IU HCG/ml) at a rate of approximately 0.1 ml per fish. The injected female sheepshead minnows were used as the source for the unfertilized eggs.

All test solutions were clear and colorless with no visible particulate material, surface film, undissolved test substance, or precipitate for the duration of the definitive test.

In-life dates were September 26 – November 3, 2005.

Page 16 of 31

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 467442-01

G. CONCLUSIONS:

This study is scientifically sound and is thus acceptable. Based on treatment-related effects upon mean standard length and mean blotted wet weight at the $\geq 17.7 \ \mu g \ ai/L$ levels (the most sensitive endpoints), the NOAEC and LOAEC are 8.86 and 17.7 $\mu g \ ai/L$, respectively.

Egg Hatchability:

EC₅₀: >148 μg ai/L 95% C.I.: N/A Probit Slope: N/A NOAEC: 148 μg ai/L LOAEC: >148 μg ai/L

Fry Survival:

EC₅₀: >148 μg ai/L 95% C.I.: N/A Probit Slope: N/A NOAEC: 74.5 μg ai/L LOAEC: 148 μg ai/L

Mean Standard Length:

EC₅₀: >148 μg ai/L 95% C.I.: N/A Probit Slope: 1.51±0.168 NOAEC: 8.86 μg ai/L LOAEC: 17.7 μg ai/L

Mean Blotted Wet Weight:

EC₅₀: 100 μg ai/L 95% C.I.: 88-120 μg ai/L Probit Slope: 2.71±0.492 NOAEC: 8.86 μg ai/L LOAEC: 17.7 μg ai/L

Endpoint(s) Affected: Fry Survival and Growth (Length and Wet Weight) Most Sensitive Endpoint(s): Length and Wet Weight

III. REFERENCES:

- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1996. Ecological Effects Test Guidelines, OPPTS 850.1400, Fish Early-Life Stage Toxicity Test, 13 pp.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1982. Pesticide Assessment Guidelines, Subdivision E, Hazard Evaluation: Wildlife and Aquatic Organisms, EPA 540/9-82-024, Series 72-4, Fish Early Life-Stage Test.
- Mount, D.I., and W.A. Brungs. 1967. A Simplified Dosing Apparatus for Fish Toxicological Studies. Water Res. 1: 21-29.

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 467442-01

APPENDIX 1: OUTPUT OF REVIEWER'S STATISTICAL VERIFICATION:
% Egg Hatchability, TWA ug ai/L File: 4201eh Transform: NO TRANSFORM
`t-test of Solvent and Blank Controls Ho:GRP1 MEAN = GRP2 MEAN
GRP1 (SOLVENT CRTL) MEAN =80.0000CALCULATED t VALUE =-0.7385GRP2 (BLANK CRTL) MEAN =84.0000DEGREES OF FREEDOM =6DIFFERENCE IN MEANS =-4.0000
TABLE t VALUE (0.05 (2), 6) = 2.447NO significant difference at alpha=0.0TABLE t VALUE (0.01 (2), 6) = 3.707NO significant difference at alpha=0.0
<pre>% Egg Hatchability, TWA ug ai/L File: 4201eh Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION</pre>
Chi-square test for normality: actual and expected frequencies
INTERVAL <-1.5 -1.5 to <-0.5 to 0.5 >0.5 to 1.5 >1.5
EXPECTED1.8766.77610.6966.7761.876OBSERVED081460
Calculated Chi-Square goodness of fit test statistic = 5.0826 Table Chi-Square value (alpha = 0.01) = 13.277
Data PASS normality test. Continue analysis.
% Egg Hatchability, TWA ug ai/L File: 4201eh Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION
Shapiro Wilks test for normality
D = 712.000
W = 0.970
Critical W (P = 0.05) (n = 28) = 0.924 Critical W (P = 0.01) (n = 28) = 0.896
Data PASS normality test at P=0.01 level. Continue analysis.
\mathbf{v}_{i} and \mathbf{v}_{i} is the second sec
% Egg Hatchability, TWA ug ai/L File: 4201eh Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION
Hartley test for homogeneity of variance
Calculated H statistic (max Var/min Var) = 14.67 Closest, conservative, Table H statistic = 216.0 (alpha = 0.01)
Used for Table H ==> R (# groups) = 7, df (# reps-1) = 3 Actual values ==> R (# groups) = 7, df (# avg reps-1) = 3.00

Page 18 of 31

PMRA Submission Number {.....} EPA MRID Number 467442-01 ______ _____ Data PASS homogeneity test. Continue analysis. NOTE: This test requires equal replicate sizes. If they are unequal but do not differ greatly, the Hartley test may still be used . as an approximate test (average df are used). % Egg Hatchability, TWA ug ai/L File: 4201eh Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION Bartletts test for homogeneity of variance __________________ _____ Calculated B statistic = 5.56 Table Chi-square value = 16.81 (alpha = 0.01) Table Chi-square value = 12.59 (alpha = 0.05) Average df used in calculation => df (avg n - 1) = 3.00 Used for Chi-square table value ==> df (#groups-1) = 6 _____ Data PASS homogeneity test at 0.01 level. Continue analysis. NOTE: If groups have unequal replicate sizes the average replicate size is used to calculate the B statistic (see above). % Egg Hatchability, TWA ug ai/L File: 4201eh Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION ANOVA TABLE DF MS F SOURCE SS . _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ Between 6 254.857 42.476 1.253 21 712.000 33.905 Within (Error) 27 966.857 Total Critical F value = 2.57 (0.05,6,21) Since F < Critical F FAIL TO REJECT Ho:All groups equal % Egg Hatchability, TWA ug ai/L File: 4201eh Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION DUNNETTS TEST - TABLE 1 OF 2 Ho:Control<Treatment TRANSFORMED MEAN CALCULATED IN IDENTIFICATION MEAN ORIGINAL UNITS GROUP IDENTIFICATION T STAT SIG
 Infication
 Maan
 Outginal ontity
 Final

 neg control
 80.000
 80.000

 4.57
 81.000
 81.000
 -0.243

 8.86
 79.000
 79.000
 0.243

 17.7
 88.000
 88.000
 -1.943

 36.0
 82.000
 82.000
 -0.486

 74.5
 82.000
 -0.486
 -----_____ _____ 1 2 . 3 4, 5 6

Page 19 of 31

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 467442-01

7	148	78.000	78.000	0.486	
Dunnett table value =	2.46	(1 Tailed Value,	P=0.05,	df=20,6)	

% Egg Hatchability, TWA ug ai/L

File: 4201eh Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	DUNNETTS	TEST -	TABLE 2 OF	2 Но:	Control <t< th=""><th>reatment</th></t<>	reatment
GROUP	IDENT:	IFICATION	NUM OF REPS	Minimum Sig Diff (IN ORIG. UNITS)	% of CONTROL	DIFFERENCE FROM CONTROL
1		neg control	4			
2		4.57	4	10.129	12.7	-1.000
3		8.86	4	10.129	12.7	1.000
4		17.7	4	10.129	12.7	-8.000
5		36.0	4	10.129	12.7	-2.000
6		74.5	4	10.129	12.7	-2.000
7		148	4	10.129	12.7	2.000

% Egg Hatchability, TWA ug ai/L

File: 4201eh Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

	WILLIAMS TEST	(Isoto	nic :	regression mode	el) TABLE 1 O	F 2
GROUP	IDENTIFICAT	ION	N	ORIGINAL MEAN	TRANSFORMED MEAN	ISOTONIZED MEAN
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	neg	control 4.57 8.86 17.7 36.0 74.5 148	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	80.000 81.000 79.000 88.000 82.000 82.000 78.000	80.000 81.000 79.000 88.000 82.000 82.000 78.000	82.000 82.000 82.000 82.000 82.000 82.000 82.000 78.000

% Egg Hatchability, TWA ug ai/L File: 4201eh Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST (Isotonic regression model) TABLE 2 OF 2

s = 5.823

Note: df used for table values are approximate when v > 20.

Page 20 of 31

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 467442-01

% Fry Survival, Day 38, TWA ug ai/L File: 4201fs Transform: NO TRANSFORM t-test of Solvent and Blank Controls Ho:GRP1 MEAN = GRP2 MEAN _____ GRP1 (SOLVENT CRTL) MEAN =89.7500CALCULATED t VALUE =0.1573GRP2 (BLANK CRTL) MEAN =89.0000DEGREES OF FREEDOM =6DIFFERENCE IN MEANS =0.7500 TABLE t VALUE (0.05 (2), 6) = 2.447NO significant difference at alpha=0.05TABLE t VALUE (0.01 (2), 6) = 3.707NO significant difference at alpha=0.01 % Fry Survival, Day 38, TWA ug ai/L File: 4201fs Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION Chi-square test for normality: actual and expected frequencies INTERVAL <-1.5 ' -1.5 to <-0.5 -0.5 to 0.5 >0.5 to 1.5 >1.5 1.876 6.776 10.696 0 9 9 EXPECTED 1. OBSERVED 0 6.776 1.876 10 0 Calculated Chi-Square goodness of fit test statistic = 6.2848 Table Chi-Square value (alpha = 0.01) = 13.277 Data PASS normality test. Continue analysis. % Fry Survival, Day 38, TWA ug ai/L File: 4201fs Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION Shapiro Wilks test for normality _____ D = 1288.500W = 0.979Critical W (P = 0.05) (n = 28) = 0.924 Critical W (P = 0.01) (n = 28) = 0.896 Data PASS normality test at P=0.01 level. Continue analysis. % Fry Survival, Day 38, TWA ug ai/L File: 4201fs Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION Hartley test for homogeneity of variance Calculated H statistic (max Var/min Var) = 6.50 Closest, conservative, Table H statistic = 216.0 (alpha = 0.01) Used for Table H ==> R (# groups) = 7, df (# reps-1) = 3 Actual values ==> R (# groups) = 7, df (# avg reps-1) = 3.00 ______

Page 21 of 31

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 467442-01

Data PASS homogeneity test. Continue analysis. NOTE: This test requires equal replicate sizes. If they are unequal but do not differ greatly, the Hartley test may still be used as an approximate test (average df are used). % Fry Survival, Day 38, TWA ug ai/L File: 4201fs Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION Bartletts test for homogeneity of variance Calculated B statistic = 3.41 Table Chi-square value = 16.81 (alpha = 0.01) Table Chi-square value = 12.59 (alpha = 0.05) Average df used in calculation ==> df (avg n - 1) = 3.00Used for Chi-square table value ==> df (#groups-1) = 6 Data PASS homogeneity test at 0.01 level. Continue analysis. NOTE: If groups have unequal replicate sizes the average replicate size is used to calculate the B statistic (see above). % Fry Survival, Day 38, TWA ug ai/L File: 4201fs Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION ANOVA TABLE DF SS SOURCE MS F Between 6 614.929 102.488 1.670 Within (Error) 21 1288.500 61.357 -----Total 27 1903.429 Critical F value = 2.57 (0.05, 6, 21)Since F < Critical F FAIL TO REJECT Ho:All groups equal % Fry Survival, Day 38, TWA ug ai/L File: 4201fs Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION DUNNETTS TEST - TABLE 1 OF 2 Ho:Control<Treatment -------_____ MUNT ONT OF

GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	TRANSFORMED MEAN	MEAN CALCULATED IN ORIGINAL UNITS	T STAT SIG
1	neg control	89.750	89.750	
2	4.57	89.250	89.250	0.090
3	8.86	88.750	88.750	0.181
4	17.7	88.750	88.750	0.181
5	36.0	86.750	86.750	0.542
6	74.5	90.750	90.750	-0.181
7	148	76.000	76.000	2.482 *

Page 22 of 31

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 467442-01

Dunnett table value = 2.46 (1 Tailed Value, P=0.05, df=20,6)

% Fry Survival, Day 38, TWA ug ai/L
File: 4201fs Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	NUM OF REPS	Minimum Sig Diff (IN ORIG. UNITS)	% of CONTROL	DIFFERENCE FROM CONTROL
1	neg control	4			
2	4.57	4	13.625	15.2	0.500
3	8.86	4	13.625	15.2	1.000
4	17.7	4	13.625	15.2	1.000
5	36.0	4	13.625	15.2	3.000
6	74.5	· 4	13.625	15.2	-1.000
7	148	4	13.625	15.2	13.750

% Fry Survival, Day 38, TWA ug ai/L
File: 4201fs Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST (Isotonic regression model) TABLE 1 OF 2

GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	N	ORIGINAL MEAN	TRANSFORMED MEAN	ISOTONIZED MEAN
1	neg control	4	89.750	89.750	89.750
2	4.57	4	89.250	89.250	89.250
3	8.86	4	88.750	88.750	88.750
4	17.7	4	88.750	88.750	88.750
5	36.0	4	86.750	86.750	88.750
6	74.5	4	90.750	90.750	88.750
7	148	4	76.000	76.000	76.000

% Fry Survival, Day 38, TWA ug ai/L
File: 4201fs Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILLIAMS TEST (Isotonic regression model) TABLE 2 OF 2

IDENTIFICATION	ISOTONIZED MEAN	CALC. WILLIAMS	SIG P=.05	TABLE WILLIAMS	DEGREES OF FREEDOM
neg control	89.750				
4.57	89.250	0.090		1.72	k= 1, v=21
8.86	88.750	0.181		1.80	k=2, v=21
17.7	88.750	0.181		1.83	k= 3, v=21
36.0	88.750	0.181		1.84	k=4, v=21
74.5	88.750	0.181		1.85	k = 5, v = 21
148	76.000	2.482	*	1.85	k = 6, v = 21

s = 7.833

Note: df used for table values are approximate when v > 20.

Mean length (mm), day 38, TWA ug ai/L

PMRA Submission Number {.....} EPA MRID Number 467442-01 File: 4201sl Transform: NO TRANSFORM Ho:GRP1 MEAN = GRP2 MEAN t-test of Solvent and Blank Controls _____ GRP1 (SOLVENT CRTL) MEAN =16.0000CALCULATED t VALUE =-0.5222GRP2 (BLANK CRTL) MEAN =16.2500DEGREES OF FREEDOM =6DIFFERENCE IN MEANS =-0.2500-0.2500TABLE t VALUE (0.05 (2), 6) = 2.447NO significant difference at alpha=0.05TABLE t VALUE (0.01 (2), 6) = 3.707NO significant difference at alpha=0.01 Mean length (mm), day 38, TWA ug ai/L Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION File: 4201sl Chi-square test for normality: actual and expected frequencies _____ ______ INTERVAL <-1.5 -1.5 to <-0.5 -0.5 to 0.5 >0.5 to 1.5 >1.5 10.696 6.776 1.876 5 0 1.876 6.776 EXPECTED 0 OBSERVED 6 17 _____ _____ Calculated Chi-Square goodness of fit test statistic = 8.0218 Table Chi-Square value (alpha = 0.01) = 13.277 Data PASS normality test. Continue analysis. Mean length (mm), day 38, TWA ug ai/L File: 4201sl Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION Shapiro Wilks test for normality _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ D = 7.250 W = 0.936 Critical W (P = 0.05) (n = 28) = 0.924 Critical W (P = 0.01) (n = 28) = 0.896 Data PASS normality test at P=0.01 level. Continue analysis. Mean length (mm), day 38, TWA ug ai/L Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION File: 4201sl Hartley test for homogeneity of variance Bartletts test for homogeneity of variance These two tests can not be performed because at least one group has zero variance. Data FAIL to meet homogeneity of variance assumption. Additional transformations are useless.

Page 24 of 31

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 467442-01

Mean length (mm), day 38, TWA ug ai/L File: 4201s1 Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

ROUP IDE	NTIFICATION	TRANSFORMED MEAN	RANK SUM	CRIT. VALUE	df	SIG
1	neg control	16.000			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
2	4.57	16.000	18.00	10.00	4.00	
3	8.86	16.000	18.00	10.00	4.00	
4	17.7	14.750	11.50	10.00	4.00	
5	36.0	13.750	10.00	10.00	4.00	*
6	74.5	12.500	10.00	10.00	4.00	*
7	148	9.250	10.00	10.00	4.00	*

Critical values use k = 6, are 1 tailed, and alpha = 0.05

Mean length (mm), day 38, TWA ug ai/L File: 4201sl Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

WILCOXON RANK SUM TEST W/ BO	ONFERRONI ADJUST	PMENT -	Ho:Control <treatment< th=""></treatment<>			
GROUP IDENTIFICATION	TRANSFORMED MEAN	RANK SUM	CRIT. VALUE	REPS	SIG	
1 neg control	16.000				·	
2 4.57	16.000	18.00	None	4		
3 8.86	16.000	18.00	None	4		
4 17.7	14.750	11.50	None	4		
5 36.0	13.750	10.00	None	4		
6 74.5	12.500	10.00	None	4		
7 148	9.250	10.00	None	4		

Critical values use k = 6, are 1 tailed, and alpha = 0.05

Mean length (mm), day 38, TWA ug ai/L File: 4201sl Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	TRANSFORMED MEAN	MEAN CALCULATED IN ORIGINAL UNITS	RANK SUM
1	neg control	16.000	16.000	88.500
2	4.57	16.000	16.000	90.000
3	8.86	16.000	16.000	90.000
4	17.7	14.750	14.750	58.000
5	36.0	13.750	13.750	42.500
6	74.5	12.500	12.500	27.000
7.	148	9.250	9.250	10.000

Calculated H Value = 25.275 Critical H Value Table = 12.590 Since Calc H > Crit H REJECT Ho:All groups are equal.

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

Mean length (mm), day 38, TWA ug ai/L

	MULTIP:	LE COMPAR	ISON - KI	RUSKAL-WAI	LIS - J	ABLE 2 OF	2	
						GROUP		
		ŗ	FRANSFORM	ED ORIGIN	IAL 0 0	0 0 0 0 0		
ROUP	IDENTI	FICATION	MEAN	MEAN	T 7 <u>6</u>	54231		
7 6		$\begin{array}{c}148\\74.5\end{array}$	9.25	30 9.	250 \ 500 \			
5			13.7		750	$\sqrt{1}$		
4		17.7	14.75	50 14.	750			
2		4.57	16.00	14.	000 * .	\		
3 1	nea	control	16.00	10 16.	000 * .	· · · \ · · · · \		· · ·
			()	05)				-
_= si	gnitica:	nt differe (0.05,7)	ence (p=0	.05)	= SE =	no signif 5,663	icant dif:	terence
abre	ų varue	(0.05,7)	- 5.050	. .	- 20	5.005	•	
stima	tes of	EC%						
		 atimata	0E9 D					
arame	ler E	scimate	אסע אסט Lower	unus Upper	sta.Eri	. Lower B /Estima	te	
C5		17.	10.	28.	0.10	/Estima 0.61 8 0.69		
C10		29.			0.078	0.69		
C25		74. 2.1E+02	62.	90.		0.83		
C50		2,18+02	1.8E+02	2.5E+02	0.036	0.84		
	glon	e = 1	51 C+A 1		0 160		•	
	PTOD	~ · · · ·	. JI JUU.I	<u>orr</u> . —	0.100			
,	PTOD	~ -	.or sturi	511	0.100			
toodno			· · ·			4 0	21	
loodne			· · ·	based on	DF=	4.0	21.	
201SL	ss of f 	it: p =	0.21 mm). day (based on	DF= 			
201SL	ss of f : Mean	it: p = length (r	0.21 mm), day 1	based on 38, TWA ug	DF= f ai/L	4.0		
201SL	ss of f : Mean	it: p = length (r	0.21 mm), day 1	based on	DF= f ai/L			
201SL bserv	ss of f : Mean	it: p = length (r	0.21 mm), day 1	based on 38, TWA ug	DF= g ai/L eans Obs.	Pred.		
201SL bserv	ss of f : Mean ed vs.	it: p = length (r Predicted	0.21 mm), day	based on 38, TWA ug t Group Me	DF= g ai/L cans	Pred.	*Change	
201SL bserv D	ss of f : Mean ed vs. ose	it: p = length (r Predicted #Reps.	0.21 mm), day Treatment Obs. Mean	based on 38, TWA ug Group Me Pred. Mean	DF= g ai/L eans Obs. -Pred.	Pred. %Control	*Change	
201SL bserv D	ss of f : Mean ed vs. ose	<pre>it: p = length (r Predicted #Reps. 4 00</pre>	0.21 mm), day Treatment Obs. Mean 16.0	based on 38, TWA ug t Group Me Pred. Mean 16.0	DF= 	Pred. %Control 100.	*Change	
2015L bserv D 0 4	ss of f 	<pre>it: p = length (r Predicted #Reps. 4.00 4.00</pre>	0.21 mm), day Treatment Obs. Mean 16.0 16.0	based on 38, TWA ug t Group Me Pred. Mean 16.0 15.9	DF= 	Pred. %Control 100. 99.4	*Change 0.00 0.614 1.92	
2015L bserv D 0 4 8	ss of f 	<pre>it: p = length (r Predicted #Reps. 4.00 4.00</pre>	0.21 mm), day Treatment Obs. Mean 16.0	based on 38, TWA ug t Group Me Pred. Mean 16.0 15.9 15.7 15.2	DF= ai/L eans Obs. -Pred. -0.0150 0.0832 0.293 -0.416	Pred. %Control 100. 99.4 98.1 94.7	*Change 0.00 0.614 1.92	
2015L bserv D 0 4 8 1 3	ss of f 	<pre>it: p = length (r Predicted #Reps. 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00</pre>	0.21 mm), day Treatment Obs. Mean 16.0 16.0 16.0 14.8 13.8	based on 38, TWA ug Fred. Mean 16.0 15.9 15.7 15.2 14.0	DF= ai/L eans Obs. -Pred. -0.0150 0.0832 0.293 -0.416 -0.264	Pred. %Control 100. 99.4 98.1 94.7 87.5	*Change %Change 0.00 0.614 1.92 5.30 12.5	
2015L bserv D 0 4 8 1 3 7	ss of f 	<pre>it: p = length (r Predicted #Reps. 4.00 4.</pre>	0.21 mm), day Treatment Obs. Mean 16.0 16.0 16.0 14.8 13.8 12.5	based on 38, TWA ug t Group Me Pred. Mean 16.0 15.9 15.7 15.2 14.0 12.0	DF= ai/L eans Obs. -Pred. -0.0150 0.0832 0.293 -0.416 -0.264 0.493	Pred. %Control 100. 99.4 98.1 94.7 87.5 75.0	*Change 0.00 0.614 1.92 5.30 12.5 25.0	
2015L bserv D 0 4 8 1 3 7	ss of f 	<pre>it: p = length (r Predicted #Reps. 4.00 4.</pre>	0.21 mm), day Treatment Obs. Mean 16.0 16.0 16.0 14.8 13.8	based on 38, TWA ug t Group Me Pred. Mean 16.0 15.9 15.7 15.2 14.0 12.0	DF= ai/L eans Obs. -Pred. -0.0150 0.0832 0.293 -0.416 -0.264 0.493	Pred. %Control 100. 99.4 98.1 94.7 87.5 75.0	*Change 0.00 0.614 1.92 5.30 12.5 25.0	
201SL bserv D 0 4 8 1 3 7 7 1	ss of f . Mean ed vs. ose .00 .57 .86 7.7 6.0 4.5 48.	<pre>it: p = length (r Predicted #Reps. 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 </pre>	0.21 mm), day Treatment Obs. Mean 16.0 16.0 16.0 14.8 13.8 12.5 9.25	based on 38, TWA ug t Group Me Pred. Mean 16.0 15.9 15.7 15.2 14.0 12.0	DF= ai/L eans Obs. -Pred. -0.0150 0.0832 0.293 -0.416 -0.264 0.493 -0.174	Pred. %Control 100. 99.4 98.1 94.7 87.5 75.0 58.8	*Change 0.00 0.614 1.92 5.30 12.5 25.0	
201SL bserv D 0 4 8 1 3 7 1 !!War	ss of f 	<pre>it: p = length (r Predicted #Reps. 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 </pre>	0.21 mm), day Treatment Obs. Mean 16.0 16.0 14.8 13.8 12.5 9.25 racketed 1	based on 38, TWA ug t Group Me Pred. Mean 16.0 15.9 15.7 15.2 14.0 12.0 9.42 by doses e	DF= ai/L eans Obs. -Pred. -0.0150 0.0832 0.293 -0.416 -0.264 0.493 -0.174	Pred. %Control 100. 99.4 98.1 94.7 87.5 75.0 58.8	*Change 0.00 0.614 1.92 5.30 12.5 25.0	
201SL bserv D 0 4 8 1 3 7 1 !!War ean W	ss of f 	<pre>it: p = length (r Predicted #Reps. 4.00 4.</pre>	0.21 mm), day Treatment Obs. Mean 16.0 16.0 14.8 13.8 12.5 9.25 racketed I ay 38, TW2	based on 38, TWA ug Fred. Mean 16.0 15.9 15.7 15.2 14.0 12.0 9.42 by doses e A ug ai/L	DF= 	Pred. %Control 100. 99.4 98.1 94.7 87.5 75.0 58.8	*Change 0.00 0.614 1.92 5.30 12.5 25.0	
2015L bserv D 0 4 8 1 3 7 1 !!War	ss of f 	<pre>it: p = length (r Predicted #Reps. 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 t.00 t.00 ht (g), da</pre>	0.21 mm), day Treatment Obs. Mean 16.0 16.0 14.8 13.8 12.5 9.25 racketed I ay 38, TW2	based on 38, TWA ug t Group Me Pred. Mean 16.0 15.9 15.7 15.2 14.0 12.0 9.42 by doses e	DF= 	Pred. %Control 100. 99.4 98.1 94.7 87.5 75.0 58.8	*Change 0.00 0.614 1.92 5.30 12.5 25.0	
2015L bserv D 0 4 8 1 3 7 1 !!War	ss of f 	<pre>it: p = length (r redicted #Reps. 4.00 4.0</pre>	0.21 mm), day Treatment Obs. Mean 16.0 16.0 16.0 14.8 13.8 12.5 9.25 racketed 1 ay 38, TW2 ansform: 1	based on 38, TWA ug Fred. Mean 16.0 15.9 15.7 15.2 14.0 12.0 9.42 by doses e A ug ai/L NO TRANSFO	DF= 	Pred. %Control 100. 99.4 98.1 94.7 87.5 75.0 58.8	*Change 0.00 0.614 1.92 5.30 12.5 25.0 41.2	
201SL Deserv D 0 4 8 3 7 1 !!War Iean W 'ile:	ss of f : Mean ed vs. ose .00 .57 .86 7.7 6.0 4.5 48. ning: E et Weig 4201ww t-test	<pre>it: p = length (r Predicted #Reps. 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 t.00 t.00 t.00 c50 not br ht (g), da Tra of Solver </pre>	0.21 mm), day Treatment Obs. Mean 16.0 16.0 16.0 14.8 13.8 12.5 9.25 racketed 1 ay 38, TWA ansform: 1 nt and Bla	based on 38, TWA ug t Group Me Pred. Mean 16.0 15.9 15.7 15.2 14.0 12.0 9.42 by doses e A ug ai/L NO TRANSFO ank Contro	DF= ai/L eans Obs. -Pred. -0.0150 0.0832 0.293 -0.416 -0.264 0.493 -0.174 evaluated. DRM ols	Pred. %Control 100. 99.4 98.1 94.7 87.5 75.0 58.8 Ho:GRP1	*Change 0.00 0.614 1.92 5.30 12.5 25.0 41.2 MEAN = G	RP2 MEAI
2015L bserv D 0 4 8 1 3 7 1 !!War !!War !ean W ile:	ss of f : Mean ed vs. ose .00 .57 .86 7.7 6.0 4.5 48. ning: E et Weig 4201ww t-test	<pre>it: p = length (r Predicted #Reps. 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 t.00 t.00 t.00 c50 not br ht (g), da Tra of Solver </pre>	0.21 mm), day Treatment Obs. Mean 16.0 16.0 16.0 14.8 13.8 12.5 9.25 racketed 1 ay 38, TWA ansform: 1 nt and Bla	based on 38, TWA ug t Group Me Pred. Mean 16.0 15.9 15.7 15.2 14.0 12.0 9.42 by doses e A ug ai/L NO TRANSFO ank Contro	DF= ai/L eans Obs. -Pred. -0.0150 0.0832 0.293 -0.416 -0.264 0.493 -0.174 evaluated. DRM ols	Pred. %Control 100. 99.4 98.1 94.7 87.5 75.0 58.8 Ho:GRP1	*Change 0.00 0.614 1.92 5.30 12.5 25.0 41.2 MEAN = G	RP2 MEAI
201SL bserv D 0 4 8 1 3 7 1 !!War Iean W 'ile: GRP1 GRP2	ss of f 	<pre>it: p = length (n Predicted #Reps. 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 6.00 C50 not bn ht (g), da Tra of Solven T CRTL) MEAI </pre>	0.21 mm), day 2 Treatment Obs. Mean 16.0 16.0 16.0 14.8 13.8 12.5 9.25 racketed 1 ay 38, TW2 ansform: 1 ant and Bla EAN = N =	based on 38, TWA ug t Group Me Pred. Mean 16.0 15.9 15.7 15.2 14.0 12.0 9.42 by doses e A ug ai/L NO TRANSFO ank Contro	DF= organi/L eans Obs. -Pred. -0.0150 0.0832 0.293 -0.416 -0.264 0.493 -0.174 evaluated. DRM DIS CALCULA DEGREES	Pred. %Control 100. 99.4 98.1 94.7 87.5 75.0 58.8 Ho:GRP1 MTED t VALU S OF FREEDC	*Change 0.00 0.614 1.92 5.30 12.5 25.0 41.2 MEAN = G E = -0 M = 6	RP2 MEAN .0921
201SL bserv D 0 4 8 1 3 7 1 !!War Var Var Var Var GRP1 GRP1 GRP2 DIFFE	ss of f 	<pre>it: p = length (r Predicted #Reps. 4.00 4</pre>	0.21 mm), day 2 Treatment Obs. Mean 16.0 16.0 16.0 14.8 13.8 12.5 9.25 racketed 1 ay 38, TWA ansform: 1 ant and Bla EAN = N =	based on 38, TWA ug t Group Me Pred. Mean 16.0 15.9 15.7 15.2 14.0 12.0 9.42 by doses e A ug ai/L NO TRANSFO ank Contro 0.1363 0.1375 -0.0012	DF= 	Pred. %Control 100. 99.4 98.1 94.7 87.5 75.0 58.8 Ho:GRP1 MTED t VALU S OF FREEDC	*Change 0.00 0.614 1.92 5.30 12.5 25.0 41.2 MEAN = G MEAN = G M = 6	RP2 MEAI .0921
201SL bserv D 04 4 1 3 7 1 !!War ean W ile: GRP1 GRP2 DFFE GRP2 DFFE	ss of f . Mean ed vs. ose .00 .57 .86 7.7 6.0 4.5 48. ning: E et Weig 4201ww t-test (SOLVEN (BLANK RENCE I 	<pre>it: p = length (r Predicted #Reps. 4.00 4</pre>	0.21 mm), day 2 Treatment Obs. Mean 16.0 16.0 16.0 14.8 13.8 12.5 9.25 racketed I ay 38, TWA ansform: I ant and Bla EAN = N = (), 6) = 2	based on 38, TWA ug t Group Me Pred. Mean 16.0 15.9 15.7 15.2 14.0 12.0 9.42 by doses e A ug ai/L NO TRANSFC ank Contro 0.1363 0.1375 -0.0012 2.447	DF= 	Pred. %Control 100. 99.4 98.1 94.7 87.5 75.0 58.8 Ho:GRP1 MTED t VALU S OF FREEDC	%Change 0.00 0.614 1.92 5.30 12.5 25.0 41.2 MEAN = G M = 6	RP2 MEAI .0921 alpha=0

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 467442-01

Mean Wet Weight (g), day 38, TWA ug ai/L File: 4201ww Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION Chi-square test for normality: actual and expected frequencies INTERVAL <-1.5 -1.5 to <-0.5 to 0.5 >0.5 to 1.5 >1.5 EXPECTED1.8766.77610.6966.7761.876OBSERVED0101080 _____ Calculated Chi-Square goodness of fit test statistic = 5.5524 Table Chi-Square value (alpha = 0.01) = 13.277 Data PASS normality test. Continue analysis. Mean Wet Weight (g), day 38, TWA ug ai/L File: 4201ww Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION Shapiro Wilks test for normality D =0.003 W = 0.963 Critical W (P = 0.05) (n = 28) = 0.924 Critical W (P = 0.01) (n = 28) = 0.896 Data PASS normality test at P=0.01 level. Continue analysis. Mean Wet Weight (g), day 38, TWA ug ai/L File: 4201ww Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION Hartley test for homogeneity of variance ------Calculated H statistic (max Var/min Var) = 11.45 Closest, conservative, Table H statistic = 216.0 (alpha = 0.01) Used for Table H ==> R (# groups) = 7, df (# reps-1) = 3 Actual values ==> R (# groups) = 7, df (# avg reps-1) = 3.00 _____ Data PASS homogeneity test. Continue analysis. NOTE: This test requires equal replicate sizes. If they are unequal but do not differ greatly, the Hartley test may still be used as an approximate test (average df are used).

Mean Wet Weight (g), day 38, TWA ug ai/L File: 4201ww Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

Page 27 of 31

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 467442-01

Bartletts test for homogeneity of variance _____ Calculated B statistic = 7.25 Table Chi-square value = 16.81 (alpha = 0.01) Table Chi-square value = 12.59 (alpha = 0.05) Average df used in calculation => df (avg n - 1) = 3.00 Used for Chi-square table value => df (#groups-1) = 6 Data PASS homogeneity test at 0.01 level. Continue analysis. NOTE: If groups have unequal replicate sizes the average replicate size is used to calculate the B statistic (see above). Mean Wet Weight (g), day 38, TWA ug ai/L File: 4201ww Transform: NO TRANSFORM ANOVA TABLE SOURCE DF SS MS F 6 0.0265 0.0044 22.000 Between 21 0.0033 Within (Error) 0.0002 _____ 27 0.0298 Total Critical F value = 2.57 (0.05,6,21) Since F > Critical F REJECT Ho:All groups equal Mean Wet Weight (g), day 38, TWA ug ai/L File: 4201ww Transform: NO TRANSFORM DUNNETTS TEST - TABLE 1 OF 2 Ho:Control<Treatment TRANSFORMED MEAN CALCULATED IN MEAN ORIGINAL UNITS GROUP IDENTIFICATION ORIGINAL UNITS T STAT SIG ------1 2 3 4 5 6 7 _____ Dunnett table value = 2.46 (1 Tailed Value, P=0.05, df=20,6)

Mean Wet Weight (g), day 38, TWA ug ai/L File: 4201ww Transform: NO TRANSFORM

DUNNETTS TEST - TABLE 2 OF 2 Ho:Control<Treatment
NUM OF Minimum Sig Diff % of DIFFERENCE
Page 28 of 31

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 467442-01

GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	REPS	(IN ORIG. UNITS)	CONTROL	FROM CONTROL
1	neg control	4			
2 ·	4.57	4	0.025	18.1	0.002
3	8.86	4	0.025	18.1	0.008
4	17.7	4	0.025	18.1	0.025
5	36.0	4	0.025	18.1	0.030
6	74.5	4	0.025	18.1	0.043
. 7	148	4	0.025	18.1	0.095

Mean Wet Weight (g), day 38, TWA ug ai/L File: 4201ww Transform: NO TRANSFORM

WILLIAMS TEST (Isotonic regression model) TABLE 1 OF 2

GROUP	IDENTIFICA	TION	N	ORIGINAL MEAN	TRANSFORMED MEAN	ISOTONIZED MEAN
1	neg	control	4	0.136	0.136	0.136
2	and the second	4.57	4	0.134	0.134	0.134
· 3		8.86	4	0.128	0.128	0.128
4		17.7	4	0.112	0.112	0.112
5		36.0	4	0.107	0.107	0.107
6		74.5	4	0.093	0.093	0.093
7.		148	4	0.041	0.041	0.041

Mean Wet Weight (g), day 38, TWA ug ai/L File: 4201ww Transform: NO TRANSFORM

IDENTIFICATION	ISOTONIZED MEAN	CALC. WILLIAMS	SIG P=.05	TABLE WILLIAMS	DEGREES OI FREEDOM
neg control	0.136				
4.57	0.134	0.224		1.72	k= 1, v=2
8.86	0.128	0.894		1.80	k=2, v=1
17.7	0.112	2.767	*	1.83	k = 3, v = 1
36.0	0.107	3.298	*	1.84	k = 4, v =
74.5	0.093	4.808	*	1.85	k = 5, v =
148	0.041	10.649	*	1.85	k= 6, v=

Estimates of EC% Parameter Estimate 95% Bounds Std.Err. Lower Bound Lower Upper /Estimate EC5 26. 14. 47. 0.13 0.55 EC10 35. 22. 58. 0.10 0.61 EC25 59. 43. 81. 0.066 0.73 EC50 1.0E+02 88. 1.2E+02 0.037 0.84 Slope = 2.71 Std.Err. = 0.492

Page 29 of 31

Data Evaluation Report on the Toxicity of Methyl Parathion Technical to Sheepshead Minnow (Cyprinodon variegatus), Early Life Cycle PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID	Number 467442-01
	110001 T0/TT2-01

Goodi	ness of	fit: p =	0.094	based on	DF=	4.0	21.	
4201	WW : Mea	n Wet Weig	1ht (g), d	ay 38, TW	A ug ai/L			•
Obse:	rved vs.	Predicted	l Treatmen	t Group M	eans			•
·	Dose	#Reps.	Obs. Mean		Obs. -Pred.		%Change	
	0.00 4.57 8.86 17.7 36.0 74.5 148.	$\begin{array}{r} 4.00 \\ 4.00 \\ 4.00 \\ 4.00 \\ 4.00 \\ 4.00 \\ 4.00 \\ 4.00 \end{array}$	0.136 0.134 0.128 0.111 0.107 0.0932 0.0410	0.126 0.115	0.00839 0.00641 0.000621 -0.0141 -0.00786 0.00928 -0.00279	100. 100. 99.8 98.2 89.6 65.7 34.2	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00\\ 0.0110\\ 0.178\\ 1.79\\ 10.4\\ 34.3\\ 65.8 \end{array}$	

Data Evaluation Report on the Toxicity of Methyl Parathion Technical to Sheepshead Minnow (Cyprinodon variegatus), Early Life Cycle PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 467442-01

Iominal Concentration (ug ai/L) 5.0	Time (Day) 0	ai/L)	Ţ	WA (ug ai/L	
5.0	0			TWA (ug ai/l	
		5.65			
	7	4.13	•		
• * *	14	4.70			
	21	4.29			
	28	4.44			
	38	4.83		4.566	
10	0	9.98	• •		
	7	8.50		•	
	14	8.90			
	21	8.81			
	28	8.86			
	38	8.61		8.862	
20	0	19.6			
	7	17.3			
	14	17.7		2	
	21	16.8			
	28	17.3			
	38	19.2		17.743	
40	0	36.3			
	7	37.4		-	
	14	35.0			
	21	35.7			
	28	34.4			
	38	38.2		35.978	
80	0	69.0			
	7	75.0			
	14	74.2			
	21	77.2			
	28	73.5		_	
	38	76.1		74.514	
160	0 7	149			
		151			
	14	145			
	21	151			
	28 38	143 155		148.447	

Page 31 of 31