

EFFICACY EVALUATION AND TECHNICAL MANAGEMENT SECTION

EFFICACY REVIEW - I

ANTIMICROBIAL PROGRAM BRANCH

IN 09/21/93 OUT 02/10/94

Reviewed by Srinivas Gowda Date 02/10/94

EPA Reg. No. or File Symbol 46781-3

EPA Petition or EUP No. None

Date Division Received 08-27-93

Type Product Hospital Disinfectant/Sterilant

MRID No (s) 429028-01

Product Manager PM 31 (Lee)

Product Name MetriCide Plus 14

Company Name Metrex Research Corporation

Submission Purpose Amendment to add 99.8% TB kill claim in 10 minutes/100% kill claim in 45 min. at 25°C with efficacy data and revised label

Type Formulation Liquid

Active Ingredient (s): %

Glutaraldehyde.....3.2

200.0

Introduction:

200.1

Uses(s)

See attached proposed label.

200.2

Background Information:

The submission received 08-27-93, is an amendment to add new tuberculocidal claim (99.8% TB kill claim in 10 minutes/100% kill claim in 45 min. at 25°C) with efficacy data and revised label.

201.0

Data Summary

201.2

Brief Description of Test:

"Quantitative Tuberculocidal Test (Survival Curve and D-Value Calculation)" by Aliene Borgus, MicroBio Test, Inc. (MBT), 14280 Sullyfield Circle, #200, Chantilly, Virginia 22021, dated 12-09-92 (MRID No. 429028-01).

201.2

Data Summaries

See 202.0.

EFFICACY EVALUATION AND TECHNICAL MANAGEMENT SECTION

EFFICACY REVIEW - II

ANTIMICROBIAL PROGRAM BRANCH

EPA Reg. No. or File Symbol 46781-3

Date Division Received 08-27-93

MRID No (s) 429028-01

Product Manager PM 31 (Lee)

Product Name MetriCide Plus 14

Company Name Metrex Research Corporation

202.0

Recommendations

202.2

Efficacy Not Supported by the Data:

"Quantitative Tuberculocidal Test (Survival Curve and D-Value Calculation)" by Aliene Borgus, MicroBio Test, Inc. (MBT), 14280 Sullyfield Circle, #200, Chantilly, Virginia 22021, dated 12-09-92 (MRID No. 429028-01).

The submitted Quantitative Tuberculocidal Test data are not acceptable because:

1. Product failed to meet the EPA's performance standard which is 100% kill of a 10^6 colony forming units/ml in suspension.
2. Data were not developed on 14 day reused solution to support 14 day reuse claim.
3. No validation data were submitted as required by EPA's TB Data Call-In Notice for glutaraldehyde products.
4. Data were derived from only three studies instead of required four independent studies.
5. Two unauthorized modifications were employed: Culture was not grown/prepared as specified in the method and culture was grown in 7H9 media instead of Modified Proskauer-Beck Medium.
6. Data were not developed on Metricide Plus 14.

203.0

Labeling:

The proposed amendment is not acceptable because testing performed was not according to the EPA Guidelines requirements and EPA's TB Data Call-In Notice.

Any future label amendments must be submitted to FDA for data approval ^{and} label claim language (for clearance under 510(k)). The 510(k) clearance letter then must be submitted to EPA for ^{final} label approval.

B. Vantuzis, Head
EETMS

2/23/94

4