

9-3-92

DATA EVALUATION RECORD

1. **CHEMICAL:** Mancozeb.  
Shaughnessey No. 14504..
2. **TEST MATERIAL:** Mancozeb (Dithane® M-45 Fungicide); Lot No. E5803; TD No. 89-070; 80.1% active ingredient; a yellow powder.
3. **STUDY TYPE:** Avian Reproduction Study.  
Species Tested: Mallard duck (*Anas platyrhynchos*).
4. **CITATION:** Beavers, J.B., G. Marselas, G.J. Smith, and M.J. Jaber. 1991. Mancozeb: A One-Generation Reproduction Study with the Mallard (*Anas platyrhynchos*). Prepared by Wildlife International Ltd., Easton, MD. Laboratory Project No. 129-144. Submitted by Rohm and Haas Company, Spring House, PA. EPA MRID No. 419484-01.
5. **REVIEWED BY:**  
Dennis J. McLane  
Wildlife Biologist  
Ecological Effects Branch  
Ecological Fate and Effects Division  
Signature: *Dennis J. McLane*  
Date: 8-31-92
6. **APPROVED BY:**  
Les Touart  
Section Chief  
Ecological Effects Branch  
Environmental Fate and Effects Division  
Signature: *L. Touart*  
Date: 9-3-92
7. **CONCLUSIONS:** This study is scientifically sound but does not fulfill the guideline requirements for an avian reproduction study. Nominal dietary concentrations of 10, 50, 125, and 1000 ppm ai had no effects upon mortality, behavior, adult body weight, egg shell thickness, or food consumption in mallards during the 18-week exposure period. However, the following reproductive parameters were significantly reduced at 1000 ppm ai: egg production, early and late embryo viability, hatchability, and offspring weight at hatch and 14-days of age. Based on these reductions, the NOEC was 125 ppm ai.
8. **RECOMMENDATIONS:** N/A.
9. **BACKGROUND:** Required as a result of the Mancozeb Registration Standard

(see 021027 + 019500: CRE 2/9/92)

DATA EVALUATION RECORD

1. CHEMICAL: Mancozeb.  
Shaughnessey No. 14504.
2. TEST MATERIAL: Mancozeb (Dithane® M-45 Fungicide); Lot No. E5803; TD No. 89-070; 80.1% active ingredient; a yellow powder.
3. STUDY TYPE: Avian Reproduction Study.  
Species Tested: Mallard duck (*Anas platyrhynchos*).
4. CITATION: Beavers, J.B., G. Marselas, G.J. Smith, and M.J. Jaber, 1991. Mancozeb: A One-Generation Reproduction Study with the Mallard (*Anas platyrhynchos*). Prepared by Wildlife International Ltd., Easton, MD. Laboratory Project No. 129-144. Submitted by Rohm and Haas Company, Spring House, PA. EPA MRID No. 419484-01.

5. REVIEWED BY:

Kimberly Rhodes, M.S.  
Associate Scientist  
KBN Engineering and  
Applied Sciences, Inc.

Signature: *Kimberly Rhodes*  
Date: 6/4/92

6. APPROVED BY:

Michael L. Whitten, M.S.  
Wildlife Toxicologist  
KBN Engineering and  
Applied Sciences, Inc.

Signature: *Michael L. Whitten*  
Date: 6/4/92

Henry T. Craven, M.S.  
Supervisor, EEB/EFED  
USEPA

Signature: *Henry T. Craven*  
Date: 9/2/92

7. CONCLUSIONS: This study is scientifically sound and fulfills the guideline requirements for an avian reproduction study. Nominal dietary concentrations of 10, 50, 125, and 1000 ppm ai had no effects upon mortality, behavior, adult body weight, egg shell thickness, or food consumption in mallards during the 18-week exposure period. However, the following reproductive parameters were significantly reduced at 1000 ppm ai: egg production, early and late embryo viability, hatchability, and offspring weight at hatch and 14-days of age. Based on these reductions, the NOEC was 125 ppm ai.

*Dr. K. P. ...*  
9-3-92

8. RECOMMENDATIONS: N/A.

10. DISCUSSION OF INDIVIDUAL TESTS: N/A.

11. MATERIALS AND METHODS:

- A. Test Animals: The birds used in the test were pen-reared mallards (*Anas platyrhynchos*) approaching their first breeding season and were purchased from Whistling Wings, Hanover, Illinois. The birds were acclimated to the facilities for 8 weeks prior to test initiation. All birds were from the same hatch and were phenotypically indistinguishable from wild birds. At test initiation, all birds were examined for physical injuries and general health. Birds that did not appear healthy were discarded. The birds were 25 weeks of age at test initiation.
- B. Dose/Diet Preparation/Food Consumption: Test diets were prepared by mixing the test material into a pre-mix which was used for weekly preparation of the final diet. The control diet and four test concentrations (10, 50, 125, and 1000 ppm) were prepared weekly and presented to the birds on Thursday of each week. When necessary, additional feed was prepared. Each of the five groups of adult birds was fed the appropriate diet from test initiation until terminal sacrifice. Dietary concentrations were adjusted for purity of the test substance, and are presented as ppm of active ingredient.

Basal diet for adult birds and their offspring was formulated by Agway, Inc. The composition of the diet was presented in the report. The test substance was not mixed into the diet of the offspring. Food and water were supplied *ad libitum* during acclimation and during the test for adults and offspring.

Six samples from the control and each treatment concentration were collected on day 0 of week 1 to determine the homogeneity of the test material in the diet. Stability samples were collected from week 1 samples stored at ambient conditions for 7 and 14 days. Verification samples were collected immediately after mixing and placed in the study room where they were exposed to ambient conditions for a period of 7 days. On day 7, these samples were placed in a freezer and stored frozen until shipped frozen on dry ice to the analytical laboratory. Verification samples were collected initially and during weeks 2, 3, 4, 8, 12 and 16. Samples were analyzed by Enviro-Bio-Tech, LTD.

- C. Design: The birds were randomly distributed into five groups as follows:

Dithane® M-45 Technical Nominal Concentration	Number of Pens	Birds Per Pen	
		Males	Females
Control (0 ppm)	16	1	1
10 ppm ai	16	1	1
50 ppm ai	16	1	1
125 ppm ai	16	1	1
1000 ppm ai	16	1	1

Treatment levels were based upon known toxicity data and consultation with the client. Adult birds were identified by individual leg bands. The primary phases of the study and their approximate durations were as follows:

1. Acclimation - 8 weeks
2. Pre-photostimulation - 8 weeks
3. Egg laying - 10 weeks
4. Post-adult sacrifice (final incubation, hatching, 14-day offspring rearing period) - 6 weeks.

- D. Pen Facilities: Adult birds were housed indoors in pens constructed of wire grid and sheeting. Pens measured approximately 75 x 90 x 45 cm high. The average temperature in the adult study room was  $19.8 \pm 2.4^{\circ}\text{C}$  (SD) with an average relative humidity of  $56 \pm 21\%$  (SD).

The photoperiod during acclimation and during the first 8 weeks of the study was eight hours of light per day. The photoperiod was increased to 17 hours of light per day at the beginning of week 9 and was maintained at that level until sacrifice of adult birds. The birds were exposed to approximately 130 lux of illumination throughout the study.

- E. Adult Observations/Gross Pathology: All adult birds were observed at least once daily throughout the study for signs of toxicity or abnormal behavior. All birds that died during the study were necropsied. As soon as practical after the death of the bird, the pen mate was sacrificed and necropsied. At study termination, all surviving birds were sacrificed and necropsied. Adult birds were weighed at test initiation, during weeks 2, 4, 6, 8, and at study termination. Food consumption for a 7-day period was determined for each pen every week throughout the study.

- F. **Eggs/Eggshell Thickness:** Eggs were collected daily from all pens, marked according to pen of origin, and washed to prevent pathogen contamination. The eggs were then stored at  $13.0 \pm 1.6^{\circ}\text{C}$  (SD) and a mean relative humidity of 70% until incubated. At weekly intervals, eggs were removed from the storage room and candled. Cracked or abnormal eggs were discarded. All eggs that were not cracked, abnormal or used for egg shell thickness measurements were placed in an incubator at  $37.4 \pm 0.1^{\circ}\text{C}$  (SD) and 53% relative humidity. Eggs were candled again on day 14 of incubation to determine embryo viability and on day 21 to determine embryo survival. All eggs were turned automatically while in the incubator. The eggs were placed in a hatcher on incubation day 24. The average temperature in the hatcher was  $37.0 \pm 0.4^{\circ}\text{C}$  (SD) with an average relative humidity of 70%.

Weekly throughout the egg laying period, one egg was collected, when available, from each of the odd numbered pens during the odd numbered weeks, and from each of the even numbered pens during the even numbered weeks. These eggs were opened at the equator, the contents removed, the shell washed thoroughly and allowed to dry for at least one week. The average thickness of the dried shell plus membrane was determined by measuring (to the nearest 0.005 mm) five points around the waist of the egg using a micrometer.

- G. **Hatchlings:** All hatchlings and unhatched eggs were removed from the hatcher on day 27 or 28 of incubation. The average body weight of the hatchlings by pen was then determined. Hatchlings were toe and web clipped for identification by pen of origin and then placed in brooding pens until 14 days of age. Each brooding pen measured 72 cm x 90 cm x 24 cm high, and was constructed of vinyl-coated wire mesh. Temperatures in the brooding compartment were approximately  $38^{\circ}\text{C}$  until the birds were 5 to 7 days of age, and  $26^{\circ}\text{C}$  thereafter. The photoperiod was maintained at 16 hours of light per day. Hatchlings were fed untreated diet. At 14 days of age, the average body weight by parental pen of all survivors was determined.
- H. **Statistics:** Upon completion of the study, Dunnett's method was used to determine statistically significant differences between the control group and each of the treatment groups. Sample units were the individual pens within each experimental group. Percentage data were examined using Dunnett's method following arcsine

transformation. The pens in which mortality occurred were not used in statistical comparisons of the data.

Each of the following parameters was analyzed statistically:

Adult Body Weight	Offspring's Body Weight
Adult Feed Consumption	Hatchlings of Maximum Set
Eggs Laid of Maximum Laid	14-Day Old Survivors of
Eggs Cracked of Eggs Laid	Maximum Set
Viable Embryos of Eggs Set	14-Day Old Survivors of
Live 3-Week Embryos of	Eggs Set
Viable Embryos	14-Day Old Survivors of
Hatchlings of 3-Week	of Hatchlings
Embryos	Egg Shell Thickness
Hatchlings of Eggs Set	

**12. REPORTED RESULTS**

- A. Diet Analysis:** The results of the diet analyses are presented in Tables I and II (attached) of Appendix XII. Nominal and mean measured concentrations of freshly prepared diets were as follows:

<u>Dithane® M-45 Technical (ppm ai)</u>		
<u>Nominal Concentration</u>	<u>Mean Measured Concentration</u>	<u>% of Nominal Concentration</u>
0	0.00	--
10	8.60	86
50	46.30	93
125	117.00	94
1000	914.00	91

Average recovery-adjusted concentrations ranged from 96-101% of nominal values for homogeneity samples. Stability samples ranged from 82-89% after 7 days of storage under ambient conditions.

- B. Mortality and Behavioral Reactions:** There were no mortalities in the control, or in the 10, 50, or 125 ppm ai groups. One incidental mortality occurred in the 1000 ppm ai treatment group.

Necropsy results of the mortality at 1000 ppm ai and sacrificed birds were included in the report. All lesions observed in the one dead bird and sacrificed birds were considered to be unrelated to treatment.

No overt signs of toxicity were observed at any concentration. Incidental clinical signs noted in the control and various treatment groups included slight lethargy, a ruffled appearance, loss of coordination, lower limb weakness, walking on toes, and swollen sinuses.

- C. **Adult Body Weight and Food Consumption:** When compared to the control group, there were no statistically significant differences in body weights at any concentration tested (Table 1, attached).

Due to excessive wastage by some birds, feed consumption was variable between pens. There were no apparent treatment related effects upon feed consumption among birds at any test level (Table 2, attached).

- D. **Reproduction:** There were no statistically significant differences in reproductive parameters between the control and the 10, 50, or 125 ppm ai treatment groups.

In the 1000 ppm ai group, statistically significant ( $p < 0.01$ ) reductions in eggs laid, viable embryos, live 3-week embryos, hatchability and the number of hatchlings and 14-day old survivors were observed. The percentage of cracked eggs and survival of hatchlings to 14 days of age were not affected in the 1000 ppm ai treatment group (Tables 3 & 3A, attached).

- E. **Egg Shell Thickness:** There were no significant differences in egg shell thickness between the control and any test concentration (Table 4, attached).

- F. **Offspring Body Weight:** When compared to the control group, there was no significant difference in offspring body weight at any concentration. However, while not statistically significant, reductions in the body weight of hatchlings were observed at the highest treatment level (1000 ppm ai). There was a statistically significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) reduction in the body weight of 14-day old survivors at 1000 ppm ai. (Tables 5 & 5A, attached).

13. **STUDY AUTHOR'S CONCLUSIONS/QUALITY ASSURANCE MEASURES:**

The no-observed-effect concentration for mallards exposed to Dithane® M-45 was 125 ppm ai, based on treatment related effects on reproductive performance observed at 1000 ppm ai.

The report stated that the study was conducted in conformance with Good Laboratory Practice regulations (40 CFR Part 160). Quality assurance audits were conducted during the study and the final report was signed by the Quality Assurance Auditor of Wildlife International Ltd.

14. Reviewer's Discussion and Interpretation of the Study:

- A. Test Procedure: The test procedures were in accordance with Subdivision E - Hazard Evaluation: Wildlife and Aquatic Organisms, ASTM, and SEP guidelines except for the following deviations:

Eggs were stored at a temperature of approximately 13°C and a relative humidity of 70%; 16°C and 65% are recommended.

✓ The birds were on the treated diet for only 8 weeks rather than the required 10 weeks prior to onset of egg laying.

✓ The dose levels were spaced by a factor of five.

✓ The photoperiod during the first 8 weeks of the study was 8 hours per day; guidelines recommend 7 hours or light per day during this period.

Behavioral observations of offspring were not reported.

Observations on food palatability were not reported.

All eggs were transferred to the hatcher on day 24. The guidelines recommend the transfer on day 23.

A recovery period (exposure to basal diet only) was not added to the treatment phase of the study.

- B. Statistical Analysis: Statistical procedures differed from recommended methods. Specifically, there is no basis for transforming the number of eggs laid and the number of hatchlings to percentile values of the maximum number of eggs laid or set in any test group.

Statistical analyses of study parameters were performed using EEB's Birdall computer program (see attached SAS instructions). Comparison of results presented by the authors and reviewer are shown in Table A (attached). Offspring body weight (at hatch) was significantly lower at 1000 ppm than in the control; the authors noted the



reductions but their analyses found no significant differences between groups.

- C. **Discussion/Results:** This study is scientifically sound but does not fulfill the guideline requirements for an avian reproduction study. Nominal dietary concentrations of 10, 50, 125, and 1000 ppm ai had no effects upon mortality, behavior, adult body weight, egg shell thickness, or food consumption in mallards during the 18-week exposure period. However, the following reproductive parameters were significantly reduced at 1000 ppm ai: egg production, early and late embryo viability, hatchability, and offspring weight at hatch and 14-days of age. Based on these reductions, the NOEC was 125 ppm ai.

The birds were not on test diet for the required ten weeks prior to the onset of egg laying. A ten week exposure may result in a lower NOEL.

D. **Adequacy of the Study:**

- (1) **Classification:** Supplemental. *see*
- (2) **Rationale:** ~~The birds were not on test diet for the required ten weeks prior to onset of egg laying. This may have resulted in a higher NOEL in this test.~~  
*see D 195002 CRC 2/19/95*
- (3) **Repairability:** ~~This study can not be repaired.~~

13. **COMPLETION OF ONE-LINER:** Yes; Aug 28, 1992.

TABLE A. Reproductive Parameters of Mallard Duck Exposed to Dithane® M-45. Comparison of Results Presented by Authors and Reviewer.

PARAMETER	REVIEWER: LEVELS (PPM) SIGNIFICANTLY AFFECTED	AUTHORS: LEVELS (PPM) SIGNIFICANTLY AFFECTED
Eggs Laid	1000	1000
Eggs Cracked	NS	(%) NS
Eggs Set	1000	NR
Viable embryos	1000	1000
Live 3-week embryos	1000	1000
Hatchlings	1000	1000
14-day old survivors	1000	1000
Eggs cracked/eggs laid	NS	NS
Viable embryos/eggs set	NS	1000
Live 3-week embryos/viable	NS	1000
Hatchlings/3-week	NS	1000
14-day old survivors/hatch	NS	NS
Hatchlings/eggs set	1000 <sup>1</sup>	1000
14-day old survivor/eggs set	1000 <sup>1</sup>	1000
Male weights	NS	NS
Female weights	NS	NS
Egg shell thickness	NS	NS
Hatchling weight	1000	NS
14-day old survivor weight	1000	1000
Food consumption	NS	NS
NR = NOT REPORTED NS = NOT SIGNIFICANT 1= KBN analysis		

Birdall.SAS

PROGRAM EDITOR

Command ==>

```
NOTE: 87 line(s) included.
00001 OPTIONS LINESIZE=66; PAGESIZE=95; option mtrace;
00002 %MACRO CAL1 ; PROC GLM; CLASSES TRT;
00003 MODEL RESP=TRT;
00004 MEANS TRT/DUNCAN; RUN; %MEND;
00005 %MACRO CAL2 ;
00006 ARS=ARSIN(SQRT(Z));
00007 RESPONSE=ARS*(180/(22/7));
00008 PROC GLM; CLASSES TRT; MODEL RESPONSE=TRT; WEIGHT WT;
00009 MEANS TRT/DUNCAN; RUN; %MEND;
00010 %MACRO CAL3 ;
00011 PROC GLM;
00012 CLASSES TRT;
00013 MODEL POSTM=TRT PREM / SOLUTION;
00014 LSMEAN TRT / E STDERR PDIFF;
00015 MEAN TRT/DUNCAN;
00016 RUN; %MEND;
00017 %MACRO CAL4 ;
00018 PROC GLM;
00019 CLASSES TRT;
00020 MODEL POSTF=TRT PREF / SOLUTION;
00021 LSMEAN TRT / E STDERR PDIFF;
```

ZOOM

PROGRAM EDITOR

Command ==>

```
00022 MEAN TRT/DUNCAN;
00023 RUN; %MEND;
00024 DATA T;
00025 INFILE 'A:birdall.dat';
00026 INPUT TRT$ EL EC ES VE LE NH HS THICK HATWT SURVWT FOOD
00027 PREM POSTM PREF POSTF;
00028 PROC PRINT; RUN;
00029 PROC SORT; BY TRT; RUN;
00030 PROC MEANS; BY TRT; RUN;
00031 DATA TEMPA; SET T; RESP=EL;
00032 TITLE '1. ANALYSIS OF EL DATA';
00033 TITLE2 ' *****'; %CAL1;
00034 DATA TEMPB; SET T; RESP=EC;
00035 TITLE '2. ANALYSIS OF EC DATA';
00036 TITLE2 ' *****'; %CAL1;
00037 DATA TEMPC; SET T; RESP=ES;
00038 TITLE '3. ANALYSIS OF ES DATA';
00039 TITLE2 ' *****'; %CAL1;
00040 DATA TEMPD; SET T; RESP=VE;
00041 TITLE '4. ANALYSIS OF VE DATA';
00042 TITLE2 ' *****'; %CAL1;
```

ZOOM

PROGRAM EDITOR

Command ==>

```
00022 MEAN TRT/DUNCAN;
00023 RUN; %MEND;
00024 DATA T;
00025 INFILE 'A:birdall.dat';
```

```
00026 INPUT TRT$ EL EC ES VE LE NH HS THICK HATWT SURVWT FOOD
00027 PREM POSTM PREF POSTF;
00028 PROC PRINT; RUN;
00029 PROC SORT; BY TRT; RUN;
00030 PROC MEANS; BY TRT; RUN;
00031 DATA TEMPA; SET T; RESP=EL;
00032 TITLE '1. ANALYSIS OF EL DATA';
00033 TITLE2 ' *****'; %CAL1;
00034 DATA TEMPB; SET T; RESP=EC;
00035 TITLE '2. ANALYSIS OF EC DATA';
00036 TITLE2 ' *****'; %CAL1;
00037 DATA TEMPC; SET T; RESP=ES;
00038 TITLE '3. ANALYSIS OF ES DATA';
00039 TITLE2 ' *****'; %CAL1;
00040 DATA TEMPD; SET T; RESP=VE;
00041 TITLE '4. ANALYSIS OF VE DATA';
00042 TITLE2 ' *****'; %CAL1;
```

ZOOM

PROGRAM EDITOR

Command ==>

```
00043 DATA TEMPE; SET T; RESP=LE;
00044 TITLE '5. ANALYSIS OF LE DATA';
00045 TITLE2 ' *****'; %CAL1;
00046 DATA TEMPF; SET T; RESP=NH;
00047 TITLE '6. ANALYSIS OF NH DATA';
00048 TITLE2 ' *****'; %CAL1;
00049 DATA TEMPG; SET T; RESP=HS;
00050 TITLE '7. ANALYSIS OF HS DATA';
00051 TITLE2 ' *****'; %CAL1;
00052 DATA TEMPH; SET T; RESP=THICK;
00053 TITLE '8. ANALYSIS OF EGG SHELL THICKNESS DATA';
00054 TITLE2 ' *****'; %CAL1;
00055 DATA TEMPI; SET T; RESP=HATWT;
00056 TITLE '9. ANALYSIS OF HATCHLING WEIGHT DATA';
00057 TITLE2 ' *****'; %CAL1;
00058 DATA TEMPJ; SET T; RESP=SURVWT;
00059 TITLE '10. ANALYSIS OF 14-DAY SURVIVOR WEIGHT DATA';
00060 TITLE2 ' *****'; %CAL1;
00061 DATA TEMPK; SET T; RESP=FOOD;
00062 TITLE '11. ANALYSIS OF FOOD CONSUMPTION DATA';
00063 TITLE2 ' *****'; %CAL1;
```

ZOOM

PROGRAM EDITOR

Command ==>

```
00064 DATA TEMP1; SET T ; WT=EL; Z=ES/EL; DROP EC VE LE NH HS;
00065 TITLE '12. ANALYSIS OF ES/EL DATA';
00066 TITLE2 ' *****'; %CAL2;
00067 DATA TEMP2; SET T ; WT=ES; Z=VE/ES; DROP EL EC LE NH HS;
00068 TITLE '13. ANALYSIS OF VE/ES DATA';
00069 TITLE2 ' *****'; %CAL2;
00070 DATA TEMP3; SET T ; WT=VE; Z=LE/VE; DROP EL EC ES NH HS;
00071 TITLE '14. ANALYSIS OF LE/VE DATA';
00072 TITLE2 ' *****'; %CAL2;
00073 DATA TEMP4; SET T ; WT=LE; Z=NH/LE; DROP EL EC ES VE HS;
00074 TITLE '15. ANALYSIS OF NH/LE DATA';
00075 TITLE2 ' *****'; %CAL2;
```

```

00076 DATA TEMP5; SET T ; WT=EL ; Z=NH/EL; DROP EC ES VE LE HS;
00077 TITLE '16. ANALYSIS OF NH/EL DATA';
00078 TITLE2 ' *****'; %CAL2;
00079 DATA TEMP11; SET T; WT=NH; Z=HS/NH; DROP EL EC ES VE LE;
00080 TITLE '17. ANALYSIS OF HS/NH DATA';
00081 TITLE2 ' *****'; %CAL2;
00082 DATA TEMP12; SET T;
00083 TITLE '18. COVARIATE ANALYSIS OF MALE BODY WEIGHT DATA';
00084 TITLE2 ' *****'; %CAL3;

```

ZOOM

PROGRAM EDITOR

Command ==>

```

00085 DATA TEMP13; SET T;
00086 TITLE '19. COVARIATE ANALYSIS OF FEMALE BODY WEIGHT DATA';
00087 TITLE2 ' *****'; %CAL4;
00088
00089
00090
00091
00092
00093
00094
00095
00096
00097
00098
00099
00100
00101
00102
00103
00104
00105

```

ZOOM

PROGRAM EDITOR

Command ==>

NOTE: 159 line(s) included.

00001	0	46	0	40	34	34	30	29	0.333	33	284	172.444	1185	1312
00002	0	56	1	51	48	48	40	39	0.394	37	315	173.722	1276	1378
00003	0	40	0	36	30	25	6	6	0.371	39	277	151.556	1215	1314
00004	0	5	0	4	3	3	2	2	.	38	292	183.778	1203	1171
00005	0	39	0	35	34	34	20	19	0.363	34	280	139.167	1210	1222
00006	0	54	0	50	41	41	25	25	0.395	36	298	160.611	1408	1316
00007	0	27	0	24	23	23	17	17	0.366	37	271	173.167	1173	1165
00008	0	52	0	48	47	47	38	38	0.377	34	277	181.500	1237	1357
00009	0	37	0	34	28	26	16	15	0.360	33	277	159.444	1357	1278
00010	0	46	0	42	40	40	25	23	0.386	34	312	133.944	1177	1185
00011	0	53	1	48	47	47	19	19	0.398	35	273	202.056	1142	1182
00012	0	50	0	46	38	38	28	28	0.382	37	313	209.556	1350	1272
00013	0	44	2	38	36	36	8	8	0.380	38	301	185.833	1250	1304
00014	0	54	0	50	48	48	44	43	0.375	34	285	202.444	1289	1329
00015	0	35	0	32	31	31	20	20	0.390	36	301	226.889	1272	1301
00016	0	43	2	38	36	36	9	9	0.392	39	302	149.889	1057	1129
00017	1	51	0	47	45	44	35	34	0.362	36	274	159.833	1122	1091
00018	1	47	0	43	43	43	34	32	0.365	36	291	157.611	1250	1283
00019	1	49	0	45	42	42	15	14	0.394	38	288	171.500	1083	1131
00020	1	54	0	50	48	48	22	22	0.366	37	312	248.444	1359	1352

*male*

*162/2.1182*

---

Mancozeb

---

Page \_\_\_\_\_ is not included in this copy.

Pages 14 through 21 are not included.

---

The material not included contains the following type of information:

- Identity of product inert ingredients.
  - Identity of product impurities.
  - Description of the product manufacturing process.
  - Description of quality control procedures.
  - Identity of the source of product ingredients.
  - Sales or other commercial/financial information.
  - A draft product label.
  - The product confidential statement of formula.
  - Information about a pending registration action.
  - FIFRA registration data.
  - The document is a duplicate of page(s) \_\_\_\_\_.
  - The document is not responsive to the request.
- 

The information not included is generally considered confidential by product registrants. If you have any questions, please contact the individual who prepared the response to your request.

---