D168413	
DPBARCODE	(RECORD)
010501	
SHAUGHNES	SSY NO

REVIEW NO.

EEB REVIEW

EED KEVIEW		
DATE IN: 09-09-91 OUT:		
CASE # : 052578 REREG CASE #:		
DATE OF SUBMISSION		
DATE RECEIVED BY EFED		
SRRD/RD REQUESTED COMPLETION DATE		
EEB ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE		
SRRD/RD ACTION CODE/TYPE OF REVIEW 400 - Data-Misc 40042055 40042057 MRID #(S) 420035-01, -02, -03 -> 40042060 71-40 72-2A > 73-36		
DP TYPE 001 - Submission Related Data Package		
PRODUCT MANAGER, NO D. Edwards (19)		
PRODUCT NAME(S) Kelthane		
TYPE PRODUCT FRINHD Miticide		
COMPANY NAME Rohm and Haas		
SUBMISSION PURPOSE <u>Review data submitted to upgrade</u>		
INCLUDE USE(S) <u>earlier studies</u>		
COMMON CHEMICAL NAME Dicofol		

ECOLOGICAL EFFECTS BRANCH

Chemical Name: Kelthane

100.0 Purpose of Submission

In response to EEB Data Evaluation Reports (DERs) the Registrant (Rohm and Haas) has submitted supplemental data for up-grading the following studies to "Core" classification:

Guideline No.	<u>Title</u>
71-4	Supplement to the Dicofol (Kelthane Technical Miticide): A one Generation Reproduction Study with the Bobwhite Quail (Rohm and Haas Report No. 86RC-0047 MRID 400420-55)
72-2 A	Supplement to the Dicofol (Kelthane Technical Miticide): Acute toxicity of Kelthane Technical to Daphnia Magna (Rohm and Haas Report No. 85RC-0014 MRID 400420-57)
72-3 C	Supplement to the Dicofol (kelthane Technical Miticide): Acute Toxicity to Mysid Shrimp (Rohm and Haas Report No. 85RC-0046 MRID 400420-60)

101.0 <u>Data Adequacy</u>

Guideline No.

71-4 The supplemental data provided was a description, including analytical data, of Dicofol (<0.1% DDTr Kelthane Technical Miticide) Lot No. RS-4503, (TD No. 85-211)

The information provided satisfies the data requirement and has been appended to the original DER for the study.

72-2 The DER for this study was found to be invalid due to the poor fit of the dose response curve using the probit method (this method could not be used due to the probability of less than 0.05). The EEB calculated the EC50 to be 0.14 mg/l and stipulated that the study would satisfy the data requirement provided the Registrant agreed to this value. The

supplemental data provided recalculated using the binomial method. The EC50 calculated for this data set was 0.14 mg/l with a 95% confidence limit of 0.10 to 0.18 mg/l. The Registrant has agreed to the use of this value as the dicofol. statistical EC50 for The analysis will be appended to the original DER for this study along with an analysis conducted by the EEB.

72-3 The original DER stipulated that the study could be up-graded to "Core" provided certain additional information on the test system was reported. The Registrant has provided supplemental information relative to the test system as well as the test organisms (mysid shrimp) for review. This information will be appended to the original DER.

The EEB has found the supplemental information adequate to satisfy the test requirement.

102.0 Conclusions

The supplemental data submitted by the Registrant to support guideline requirements 71-4, 72-2 and 72-3 are adequate to up-grade the studies to "Core" classification. The supplemental information will be attached to the original DER.

Richard W. Felthousen, Wildlife Biologist EFED/EEB

Al Vaughan, Aging Head-Section 2
EFED ERB

Doug Urban, Acting Chief 9/34/9/ EFED/EEB