

DP Barcode: 299970

MRID No: 460626-38

**DATA EVALUATION RECORD  
ALGAL TOXICITY TEST  
GUIDELINE OPPTS 850.5400 (TIERS I AND II)**

- 1. **CHEMICAL:** PXTS **PC Code No.:**006929
- 2. **TEST MATERIAL:** PXTS TECHNICAL **Purity:** 100%  
Batch No.: 1685-23, Bottle #2  
Exp. Date March 28, 2005  
EPA File Symbol: 75799-R
- 3. **CITATION**

**Author:** Debbie Desjardins (Study Director), Raymond L. Van Hoven and Henry O. Krueger  
**Title:** PXTS: A 96-Hour Toxicity Test With the Freshwater Diatom *Navicula pelliculosa*  
**Study Completion Date:** December 18, 2003  
**Laboratory:** Wildlife, International, Ltd.  
8598 Commerce Drive  
Easton, Maryland 21601  
**Sponsor:** Akzo Nobel Functional Chemicals LLC  
5 Livingstone Avenue  
Dobbs Ferry, New York 10522  
**Laboratory Report ID:** Wildlife International, Ltd. Project No. 497A-117  
**MRID No.:** 460626-38

- 4. **REVIEWED BY:** Srinivas Gowda, Biologist  
US EPA/OPP/AD/RASSB/Team 1

**Signature:** Srinivas Gowda

**Date:** 5/13/04

- 5. **APPROVED BY:** Norm Cook, Chief  
US EPA/OPP/AD/RASSB

**Signature:** 

**Date:** 6/3/04

6. **STUDY PARAMETERS**

**Definitive Test Duration:** 96-hr  
**Type of Concentrations:** Nominal and Mean Measured (two highest concentrations)

**7. CONCLUSIONS**

This study is scientifically sound and meets the requirements for a Tier 2 aquatic plant growth and reproduction study. Based on nominal concentrations,

<u>Cell Density</u>	<u>Reported</u>	<u>Verified</u>
<b>96-hr</b>		
EC <sub>50</sub> :	48 µg/L	47 µg/L
(95 %CI)	45 - 51 µg/L	41-51 µg/L
NOEC:	31 µg/L	31 µg/L

Study results were based on the nominal concentrations and the initial mean measured concentration of the highest test solution. After 96 hours, treatment related effects for cell density and biomass were apparent in the highest concentration. There were no signs of adherence of cells to the test chambers or aggregation/flocculation of algae in any treatment group. There were no noticeable changes in cell morphology in any of the tested concentrations when compared to the control.

**8. ADEQUACY OF THE STUDY**

- A. **Classification:** Core
- B. **Rationale:** Study not discounted for minor guideline deviations discussed in Section 9.
- C. **Repairability:** Not applicable.

**9. GUIDELINE DEVIATIONS**

The study was conducted using the Wildlife International, Ltd. protocol which is based on the harmonized OPPTS Test Guideline 850.5400. This guideline was used in preparing this Data Evaluation Record.

- Photosynthetically-active radiation was not reported.
- The pH at test initiation was pH = 7.7 - 7.9 and increased to pH = 7.9 - 8.3 by 96 hours. The guideline recommended pH for *Navicula* is 8.0 ± 0.1. The pH tended to increase relative to increases in algal densities, which the study author reported is typical for tests conducted with *Navicula pelliculosa*.
- The physical-chemical properties of the test chemical were not reported.

- The study was conducted at concentrations above the known limit of solubility (below 12.5 µg/L) using a solvent to raise the solubility of the test substance above the saturation level, at the request of the EPA.
- A positive control was not included as a part of the study.
- Algistatic and algicidal effects were not differentiated.

10. **SUBMISSION PURPOSE:** Registration

11. **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

A. Test Organisms

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information
<p><b>Species</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Selenastrum capricornatum</i> (<i>Raphidocelis subcapitata</i>)</li> <li>• <i>Skeletonema costatum</i></li> <li>• <i>Anabaena flos-aquae</i></li> <li>• <i>Navicula pelliculosa</i></li> </ul>	<p>(p. 12)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Navicula pelliculosa</i></li> </ul>
<p><b>Initial Number of Cells</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 10,000 cells/mL (<i>Selenastrum</i>, <i>Anabaena</i>, <i>Navicula</i>)</li> <li>• 77,000 cells/mL (<i>Skeletonema</i>)</li> </ul>	<p>(p. 11)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Approximately 10,000 cells/mL at test initiation.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Stock Culture</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 3 to 7 days old</li> </ul>	<p>(p.12)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Inocula for the test was prepared from a 3 day old culture.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Nutrients</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Standard formula (ASTM E1218-20)</li> <li>• pH 7.5 ± 0.1 (<i>Selenastrum</i>, <i>Navicula</i>, <i>Anabaena</i>), 8.1 ± 0.1 (<i>Skeletonema</i>)</li> <li>• Freshly prepared</li> </ul>	<p>(p. 13)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Algal cells cultured and tested in: freshwater algal medium (ASTM 1218-90E)</li> <li>• Stock nutrient solutions prepared by adding reagent-grade chemicals to purified well water. The test medium was prepared by adding appropriate volumes of stock nutrient solutions to purified well water.</li> <li>• The pH was adjusted to 7.5 ± 0.1 using 10% HCL and sterilized by filtration.</li> </ul>

## B. Test System

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information
<p><b>Solvent</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Upper limit - 0.5 mL/L</li> </ul>	<p>(p. 14)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>0.1 mL/L of acetone was used to raise the solubility of the test substance above the saturation level.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Temperature</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>24° ± 2°C (<i>Selenastrum</i>, <i>Navicula</i>, <i>Anabaena</i>)</li> <li>20° ± 2°C (<i>Skeletonema</i>)</li> <li>Recorded hourly</li> </ul>	<p>(p. 13 and 24)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Test chambers were held in an environmental chamber at 24 ± 2°C (22.9 to 23.5°C).</li> <li>The temperature was monitored continuously in the chamber and twice daily in a container of water adjacent to test chambers.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Light Intensity</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>4.3 K lx (± 10%) (<i>Selenastrum</i>, <i>Skeletonema</i>, <i>Navicula</i>)</li> <li>2.2 K lx (± 10%) (<i>Anabaena</i>)</li> <li>Photosynthetically active radiation approx. 66.5 ± 10% μEin/m<sup>2</sup>/sec</li> </ul>	<p>(p. 13 and 19)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>4030 to 4670 lux (measurements taken at five locations surrounding the test flasks).</li> <li>Photosynthetically active radiation not reported.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Photoperiod</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>14-hr light/10-hr dark (<i>Skeletonema</i>)</li> <li>Continuous (<i>Selenastrum</i>, <i>Navicula</i>, <i>Anabaena</i>)</li> </ul>	<p>(p. 13)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Continuous light - 24-hr light/0-hr dark.</li> </ul>
<p><b>pH</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>7.5 ± 0.1 (<i>Selenastrum</i>, <i>Navicula</i>, <i>Anabaena</i>)</li> <li>8.1 ± 0.1 (<i>Skeletonema</i>)</li> <li>Measured at beginning and end of test</li> </ul>	<p>(p. 13 and 25)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>pH = 7.7 - 7.9 (0-hr)</li> <li>pH = 7.9 - 8.3 (96-hr)</li> <li>At test initiation, pH was measured in the individual batches of test solution prepared for each treatment. At test termination, the pH was measured in pooled samples of test solution collected from each of the replicates of each treatment and control.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Oscillation Rates</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>100 cycles/min (<i>Selenastrum</i>)</li> <li>60 cycles/min (<i>Skeletonema</i>)</li> </ul>	<p>(p. 13)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Test flasks were shaken continuously at approximately 100 rpm.</li> </ul>

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information
<p><b>Test Containers</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 125-500 mL Erlenmeyer flasks</li> <li>• Cleaned/sterilized (solvent and acid) and conditioned</li> <li>• Test solution volume ≤ 50% of flask volume</li> </ul>	<p>(p.13)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sterile 250-mL Erlenmeyer flasks, plugged with foam stoppers, and containing the test solution of each respective treatment.</li> <li>• 100 mL test solution (&lt;50% of flask volume).</li> </ul>
<p><b>Dilution Water</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sufficient quality (e.g., ASTM Type I)</li> <li>• Saltwater - commercial or modified synthetic formulation added to distilled/deionized water (30 ppt or 24-35 g/kg)</li> </ul>	<p>(p. 13)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Purified well water (NANOpure® water)</li> </ul>

**C. Test Design**

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information
<p><b>Range-Finding Test</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Water solubility and physical-chemical properties of test chemical determined?</li> <li>• Validated analytical method developed?</li> <li>• Lowest dose at detection limit, upper dose at saturation concentration or 1000 mg/L</li> <li>• If &lt; 50% reduction in growth at highest dose, no definitive test required</li> </ul>	<p>(p. 11)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Physical-chemical properties of the test chemical were not reported.</li> <li>• A validated analytical method was developed.</li> <li>• Range-finding test was not mentioned.</li> <li>• The final test was conducted at concentrations above the known limit of solubility (below 12.5 µg/L) using a solvent to raise the solubility of the test substance above the saturation level, at the request of the EPA.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Dose Range</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1.5X -2X progression</li> </ul>	<p>(p. 14)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Approximately 2X progression</li> </ul>

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information
<p><b>Doses</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 5 or more concentrations of test substance in a geometric series</li> <li>• &gt;90% growth inhibited or stimulated at highest concentration or concentrations bracket expected EC<sub>50</sub></li> </ul>	<p>(p. 9 and 27)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Five concentrations: Nominal = 7.8, 16, 31, 63, 125 µg/L. Mean measured = 144 µg/L. Only the highest concentration (125 µg/L) could be analyzed due to limits of the analytical method, the maximum amount of water that can be removed from the test chambers, and the complexity of the algal medium.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Controls</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Negative and/or solvent each test</li> <li>• Positive - zinc chloride (periodically)</li> </ul>	<p>(p.9)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Negative and solvent control</li> <li>• No positive control.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Replicates Per Dose</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 3 or more (4 or more for <i>Navicula</i>)</li> </ul>	<p>(p. 11)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 3 replicates per dose, plus a negative and solvent control.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Duration of Test</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 96-hr</li> </ul>	<p>(p. 11)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 96-hr</li> </ul>
<p><b>Growth</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Logarithmic growth (controls) by 96-hr or repeat test</li> <li>• <math>1.5 \times 10^6</math> cells/mL (<i>Skeletonema</i>)</li> <li>• <math>3.5 \times 10^6</math> cells/mL (<i>Selenastrum</i>)</li> </ul>	<p>(p. 19, 27 and 31)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Logarithmic growth in control by 96-hr</li> <li>• Mean of <math>1.1 \times 10^6</math> cells/mL at 96-hr. in the control.</li> <li>• Increase by factor of 105.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Daily Observations?</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Yes (p. 16 and 27)</li> </ul>

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information
<p><b>Method of Observations</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Direct - microscopic cell count of at least 400 cells/flask</li> <li>• Indirect - spectrophotometry, electronic cell counter, dry weight, etc; calibrated by microscopic count</li> <li>• Qualitative and descriptive</li> </ul>	<p>(p. 16 and 20)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cell counts were performed using a hemacytometer and microscope. The samples were diluted using an electron solution (Isoton ®), as needed, to maintain counting accuracy. A small amount of each sample was loaded onto a hemacytometer and 10 grids were counted. Using this technique, the minimum quantifiable cell density was 1,000 cells/mL.</li> <li>• Growth of cells were assessed for aggregations or flocculation of cells and adherence of cells to the test chamber, as well as changes in morphology.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Cell Separation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Syringe ultrasonic bath, or blender; limited sonification (<i>Anabaena</i>)</li> <li>• Manual or rotary shaking only (<i>Selenastrum, Skeletonema, Navicula</i>)</li> </ul>	<p>(p. 13)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mechanical shaking in an environmental chamber.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Algistatic and algicidal effects differentiated?</b></p>	<p>(P. 19 and 20)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Algistatic and algicidal effects not differentiated. After 96 hours, treatment related effects for cell density and biomass were apparent in the highest concentration.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Maximum Labeled Rate</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Not reported.</li> </ul>

12. **REPORTED RESULTS**

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information
<p><b>Quality assurance and GLP compliance statements included in report?</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Yes (p. 3 and 4)</li> </ul>

Guideline Criteria	Reported Information
<b>Detailed information on test organisms included (scientific name, method of verification, strain, and source)?</b>	(p. 12) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Yes</li> <li>• Original algal cultures obtained from UTEX - The Culture Collection of Algae at the University of Texas at Austin and maintained at Wildlife International, Ltd., Easton, Maryland.</li> </ul>
<b>Growth in controls reported?</b>	• Yes (p. 27)
<b>Description of test system and test design included?</b>	• Yes (p. 13)
<b>Initial and final chemical concentrations and pH measured?</b>	• Yes (p. 11, 23, 25)
<b>Initial, 24-, 48-, 72- and 96-hr cell densities measured? % of inhibition or growth and other adverse effects reported?</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Yes</li> <li>• Yes</li> </ul> (p. 27)
<b>96-hr EC<sub>50</sub> and when sufficient data generated 24-, 48-, and 72-hr EC<sub>50</sub>, and 95% C.I. reported?</b>	• Yes (p. 10)
<b>Raw data included?</b>	• Yes (p. 48-50)
<b>Methods and data records reported?</b>	• Yes (p. 12)
<b>Statistical Analysis</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mean and standard deviation calculated and plotted?</li> <li>• Goodness-of-fit determined?</li> </ul>	(p. 27-32) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Only mean calculated and plotted.</li> <li>• Yes</li> </ul>



**Dose Response**

**Mean Cell Density and Percent Inhibition**

Nominal Conc. at Test Initiation (µg/L)	24 Hours		48 Hours		72 Hours		96 Hours	
	Mean Cell Density (cell/mL)	Percent Inhibition	Mean Cell Density (cell/mL)	Percent Inhibition	Mean Cell Density (cell/mL)	Percent Inhibition	Mean Cell Density (cell/mL)	Percent Inhibition
Negative Control	26,000	--	197,333	--	1,033,333	--	1,048,333	--
Solvent Control	42,667	--	260,000	--	835,000	--	1,068,000	--
Pooled Control	34,334	--	228,667	--	934,167	--	1,058,333	--
7.8	27,667	35	85,667	63	385,333 <sup>1</sup>	59	863,333	18
16	32,667	23	193,000	16	846,667	9.4	1,035,000	2.2
31	41,000	3.9	173,667	24	805,000	14	890,000	16
63	3,333	92	6,333	97	23,000 <sup>1</sup>	98	151,333 <sup>1</sup>	86
125	6,667	84	2,667	99	5,333 <sup>1</sup>	99	55,667 <sup>1</sup>	95

<sup>1</sup> Percent Inhibition was calculated relative to the pooled control replicates.

<sup>2</sup> Percent inhibition was calculated relative to the solvent control replicates.

\* Statistically significant difference (p<0.05) at 72 and 96 hours from the pooled control replicates using Dunnett's test.

p. 27

**Mean Area Under the Growth Curve (Biomass) and Percent Inhibition**

Nominal Test Conc. at Test Initiation (µg/L)	0-24 Hours		0-48 Hours		0-72 Hours		0-96 Hours	
	Mean Area	Percent Inhibition	Mean Area	Percent Inhibition	Mean Area	Percent Inhibition	Mean Area	Percent Inhibition
Negative Control	192,000	--	2,632,000	--	17,160,000	--	41,900,000	--
Solvent Control	392,000	--	3,784,000	--	16,684,000	--	39,284,000	--
Pooled Control	292,000	--	3,208,000	--	16,922,000	--	40,592,000	--
7.8	212,000	46	1,332,000	58	6,744,000 <sup>1</sup>	60	21,488,000 <sup>1</sup>	47
16	272,000	31	2,740,000	15	14,976,000	11	37,316,000	8.1
31	372,000	5.1	2,708,000	16	14,212,000 <sup>1</sup>	16	34,312,000	15
63	0	100	0	100	116,000 <sup>1</sup>	99	1,968,000 <sup>1</sup>	95
125	0	100	0	100	0 <sup>1</sup>	100	516,000 <sup>1</sup>	99

<sup>1</sup> Percent Inhibition was calculated relative to the pooled control replicates.

<sup>2</sup> Percent inhibition was calculated relative to the solvent control replicates.

\* Statistically significant difference (p<0.05) at 72 and 96 hours from the pooled control replicates using Dunnett's test.

p. 28

9

**Mean Growth Rate and Percent Inhibition**

Nominal Test Conc. at Test Initiation (µg/L)	0-24 Hours		0-48 Hours		0-72 Hours		0-96 Hours	
	Mean Growth Rate	Percent Inhibition	Mean Growth Rate	Percent Inhibition	Mean Growth Rate	Percent Inhibition	Mean Growth Rate	Percent Inhibition
Negative Control	0.0389	--	0.0615	--	0.0643	--	0.0485	--
Solvent Control	0.0600	--	0.0678	--	0.0612	--	0.0483	--
Pooled Control	0.0495	--	0.0646	--	0.0628	--	0.0484	--
7.8	0.0412	17	0.0445	31	0.0502*	20	0.0464	4.1
16	0.0489	1.1	0.0614	5.0	0.0616	2.0	0.0483	0.26
31	0.0583	-18	0.0595	8.0	0.0608	3.2	0.0467	3.5
63	0.0	100	0.0	100	0.0104*	83	0.0274*	43
125	0.0	100	0.0	100	0.0*	100	0.0151*	69

<sup>1</sup> Percent Inhibition was calculated relative to the pooled control replicates.

\* Statistically significant difference (p<0.05) at 72 and 96 hours from the pooled control replicates using Dunnett's test.

p. 29

**Statistical Results**

**Statistical Method:** Cell density, growth rate, and area under the growth curve were analyzed statistically by non-linear regression (SAS, Version 8.02) to determine EC<sub>50</sub> values and corresponding 95% confidence limits for each 24-hour exposure interval. To determine the NOEC at 72 and 96 hours, cell density and the area under the growth curve data were first evaluated for normality and homogeneity of variance using Shapiro-Wilk's and Levene's tests, respectively, and were compared to the pooled control using Dunnett's test (p=0.05).

10

**EC<sub>50</sub>, E<sub>b</sub>C<sub>50</sub> and E<sub>t</sub>C<sub>50</sub> Values (µg/L) Values Over the 96-hr Exposure Period**

Time	Cell Density			Area Under the Growth Curve			Growth Rate		
	EC <sub>50</sub> (µg/L)	95% CI (µg/L)	NOEC (µg/L)	EC <sub>50</sub> (µg/L)	95% CI (µg/L)	NOEC (µg/L)	EC <sub>50</sub> (µg/L)	95% CI (µg/L)	NOEC (µg/L)
24-hr	49	43 - 51	-	47	36 - 47	-	47	42 - 47	-
48-hr	39	32 - 45	-	42	31 - 46	-	44	42 - 46	-
72-hr	41	36 - 46	31	40	38 - 43	16	49	46 - 53	31
96-hr	48	45 - 51	31	43	40 - 46	31	79	53 - 114	31

p. 20 and 30

**13. VERIFICATION OF STATISTICAL RESULTS**

**Statistical Method:**

**NOEC Determination**

The 72 hour and 96 hour data were first checked for normality and homogeneity using the Shapiro-Wilks' Test and Bartlett's Test, respectively. Data were normally distributed; therefore, the NOECs were determined using the Bonferroni T-Test.

**EC<sub>50</sub> Determination**

The EC<sub>50</sub>, E<sub>b</sub>C<sub>50</sub> and E<sub>t</sub>C<sub>50</sub> values and 95% confidence limits were calculated for cell densities, biomass and growth rate. The EC values were determined using EPA's Linear Interpolation Method for Sublethal Toxicity: The Inhibition Concentration (ICp) Approach.

**EC<sub>50</sub>, E<sub>b</sub>C<sub>50</sub> and E<sub>t</sub>C<sub>50</sub> Values (µg/L) Values Over the 96-hr Exposure Period**

Time	Cell Density			Area Under the Growth Curve			Growth Rate		
	EC <sub>50</sub> (µg/L)	95% CI (µg/L)	NOEC (µg/L)	EC <sub>50</sub> (µg/L)	95% CI (µg/L)	NOEC (µg/L)	EC <sub>50</sub> (µg/L)	95% CI (µg/L)	NOEC (µg/L)
24-hr	49	42 - 51	--	47	38 - 47	--	47	38 - 47	--
48-hr	39	33 - 46	--	40	33 - 47	--	44	43 - 46	--
72-hr	41	36 - 46	31	40	38 - 43	16	49	46 - 52	- <sup>1</sup>
96-hr	47	41 - 51	31	43	39 - 46	31	79	53 - 117	- <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>The NOEC could not be verified because the mean square values are zero, and an F value could not be calculated.



14. REVIEWER'S COMMENTS:

- The growth rate NOECs could not be verified because the mean square values are zero and an F value could not be calculated.
- Verified EC<sub>50</sub> values are the same or are very similar to the those reported in the Study.