PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 45593708

16/11/03 (. Can

Data Requirement: PMRA Data Code:

EPA DP Barcode: D280800

OECD Data Point: EPA Guideline: 162-3

Test material:

Common name: Iodomethane.

Chemical name

IUPAC:

Not reported.

CAS name: Iodomethane.

CAS No:

74-88-4.

synonyms. Methyl iodide.

TM-425.

SMILES string: CI

Primary Reviewer: Lynne Binari

Dynamac Corporation

QC Reviewer: Kathleen Ferguson

Dynamac Corporation

Secondary Reviewer: Faruque Khan

EPA

Signature:

Date:

Signature:

Date:

Signature:

Date:

Company Code: [for PMRA] **Active Code:** [for PMRA]

Use Site Category: [for PMRA]

EPA PC Code: 000011

CITATION: Wujcik, C.E. 2001. Anaerobic aquatic metabolism of [14C]iodomethane (TM-425). Unpublished study performed by Metabolism Division, Ricerca, LLC, Concord, OH, and submitted by Arvesta Corporation, San Francisco, CA. Ricera Project ID No.: 013072 and Document No.: 013072-1. Study initiated January 10, 2001, and final report issued October 25, 2001 (pp. 1, 15).

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 45593708

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The biotransformation of [14C]methyl iodide (iodomethane) was studied in a water sandy clay loam sediment system (water pH 7.94, total organic carbon 6.72 mg/L, dissolved organic carbon 6.93 mg/L; sediment pH 8.0, organic matter 2.08%) from California for 14 days under anaerobic conditions in darkness at 20 ± 1 °C. [14C]Iodomethane was applied at the rate of 13 mg a.i./L water. The sediment:water ratio used was 1:3 (50 g dry wt. sediment:150 mL water). This experiment was conducted in accordance with USEPA Subdivision N Guideline §162-3 and in compliance with the 40 CFR Part 160 GLP standards. The test system consisted of sealed glass bottles containing treated water-sediment incubated under nitrogen atmosphere in darkness in a temperature-controlled chamber: each bottle was connected to a flow-through system for the continuous collection of CO2 and volatile organics. Water and sediment were pre-incubated for 22 days, then following treatment, water and sediment were analyzed after 0, 4, 8, 24, 48, 72, 96, 168, 240 and 336 hours of incubation. Water and sediment samples were separately heated and purged with air. Heated purge trapping solutions, purged water and sediment, and volatiles trapping solutions from the incubation were analyzed for total radioactivity using LSC. Heated purge and volatiles trapping solutions (2% tripropylamine in dimethyl sulfoxide) were quantitatively analyzed for [14C]methyltripropylammonium ion, the derivative of iodomethane formed via reaction with tripropylamine, using reverse-phase HPLC and identified by comparison to derivatized reference standard. Identification of derivatized iodomethane was confirmed using LC/MS-ESI. Purged water samples were analyzed using cation-exchange HPLC and identification of [14C]methanol in the samples was based on cochromatography with labeled reference standard.

Test conditions outlined in the study protocol were maintained throughout the study; redox potentials in the water layers and at the water-sediment interface ranged from -178.6 to -275.3 mV during the pre-incubation period and following treatment.

Overall material balance averaged $89.2 \pm 7.6\%$ (range 77.1-103.1%, n = 21) of the applied radioactivity; material balances steadily declined during the 14-day study. Following application of [14 C]iodomethane to the surface of the water layer, [14 C]residues partitioned into the sediment with average distribution ratios (water:sediment) of 9:1 at day 0 (0-8 hours), 5:1 at 1 day, 2:1 at 4 days, 1:1 at 7 days and 1:2 at 14 days.

[14 C]Iodomethane (as [14 C]methyltripropylamine) in the total system decreased from 93.7-99.8% of the applied at time 0 posttreatment to 50.9-51.8% at 1 day, 22.2-25.1% at 3 days, 0.9-6.1% at 7 days and was 0.1-1.1% at 14 days. [14 C]Iodomethane, detected primarily in the water layer, decreased from 84.8-89.6% at time 0 to 45.0-46.0% at 1 day, 19.9-23.6% at 3 days, 0.8-5.1% at 7 days and was 0.1-1.0% at 14 days. [14 C]Iodomethane in the sediment decreased from 7.6-10.3% at 0-4 hours to 3.6-6.0% at 8-48 hours, 1.4-2.3% at 3-4 days and was $\leq 1.0\%$ at 7-14 days.

No major transformation products of [14 C]iodomethane were detected in the water layers or sediment extracts. One minor transformation product, methanol, was detected in the water layers at $\leq 4.8\%$ of the applied. Minor products detected following the heated purge of the water layer and sediment were

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 45593708

 $^{14}\text{CO}_2$ and other unidentified volatile [^{14}C]organics detected at $\leq 0.8\%$ of the applied radioactivity (combined). Extractable (heated purge) [^{14}C]residues in the sediment decreased from 7.6-10.3% of the applied at time 0 to $\leq 0.1\%$ at 14 days, while nonextractable [^{14}C]residues increased from 0.7% at time 0 to 10.2-11.5% at 10 days and were 6.5-9.0% at 14 days.

[14C]Iodomethane (as [14C]methyltripropylamine) volatilized from the water-sediment systems increasing from 12.5-15.9% of the applied at 4 hours to 46.1-50.4% at 4 days and was 55.2-60.4% at 14 days (maximum 62.4% at 7 days). At 14 days (study termination), volatilized ¹⁴CO₂ and unidentified volatile [14C]organics totaled 2.2-2.7% and 5.2-6.7% of the applied, respectively.

Half-lives of dissipation, based on first order linear regression analysis, of iodomethane from the total system, water layer and sediment were 40, 39 and 38 hours, respectively. **DT**₅₀ and **DT**₉₀ values, based on first order linear regression analysis, were 40-42 hours and 5.6-5.8 days, respectively.

Iodomethane dissipated from the anaerobic water-sediment systems via volatilization with minor formation of methanol, CO₂, unidentified volatile organics and sediment-bound residues.

Results Synopsis:

CO₂.

Unidentified volatile organics.

```
Test system: California water-sandy clay loam sediment.
Half-life values:
    entire system: 40.2 hours (r^2 = 0.917; 0- to 48-hour data).
                    38.8 hour (r^2 = 0.924; 0- to 48-hour data).
    water layer:
                    38.1 hours (r^2 = 0.747; 0- to 72-hour data).
    sediment:
DT50:
    entire system: 40.1 \text{ hours } (r^2 = 0.9178; 0 - \text{ to } 48 \text{-hour data}).
    entire system: 41.8 hours (r^2 = 0.8972; 0- to 336-hour data).
DT_{90}:
    entire system: 5.6 days (0- to 48-hour data).
    entire system: 5.8 days (0- to 336-hour data).
Major transformation products:
    None.
Minor transformation products:
    Methanol.
```

Study Acceptability: This study is classified acceptable and satisfies the guideline requirement for an anaerobic aquatic metabolism study.

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 45593708

I. MATERIALS AND METHODS

GUIDELINE FOLLOWED:

This study was conducted in accordance with USEPA Subdivision N Guideline §162-3 (p. 18). The following deviation from USEPA Subdivision N Guideline §162-3 was noted:

Material balances were incomplete with up to 22.9% of the applied radioactivity unaccounted for the last two sampling periods. These did not affect the validity of the study.

COMPLIANCE:

This study was conducted in compliance with USEPA GLP Standards (40 CFR, Part 160; p. 3). Signed and dated Data Confidentiality, GLP (Compliance) and Quality Assurance

statements and a Certificate of Authenticity were provided (pp. 2, 3,

6, 8).

A. MATERIALS:

1. Test Material:

[14C]Iodomethane (p. 19).

Chemical Structure:

H₃C*I.

Description:

Technical, clear colorless liquid (p. 19, p. C 232 of Farm Chemicals

Handbook 2002).

Purity:

Radiochemical purity: >97% (Figure 1, p. 55).

Lot No.: 110K9407 (p. 19). Analytical purity: Not repoted. Specific activity: 6.1 mCi/mmol.

Storage conditions of

test chemicals:

Stored at <10°C (Appendix 1, p. 79).

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 45593708

Table 1: Physico-chemical properties of iodomethane (TM-425).

Parameter	Values	Comments
Molecular weight:	141.94 g/mol	
Molecular formula:	CH₃I	
Water solubility:	14.2 mg/mL at 25°C	
	1.4 g/100 mL at 20°C	International Chemical Safety Cards - Methyl Iodide at http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/ipcsneng/neng0509.html
	14 g/100 g at 20°C	p. C232 of Farm Chemicals Handbook 2002.
Vapor pressure/volatility (kPa):	50 at 20°C	International Chemical Safety Cards - Methyl Iodide
UV absorption:	Not reported.	
pK _a :	Not reported.	
K _{ow} /log K _{ow} :	Not reported.	
Henry's law K _H :	0.22	Estimated
Octanol/water partition coefficient (log P _{ow}):	1.51-1.69	International Chemical Safety Cards - Methyl Iodide
Boiling point:	42.4°C	
Melting point:	-66.5°C	International Chemical Safety Cards - Methyl Iodide
Relative density (water = 1):	2.3	International Chemical Safety Cards - Methyl Iodide
Relative vapor density (air = 1)	4.9	International Chemical Safety Cards - Methyl Iodide
Relative density of vapor/air mixture at 20°C (air = 1):	2.9	International Chemical Safety Cards - Methyl Iodide
Stability of compound at room temperature:	Not reported.	

Data obtained from pp. 19, 23 of the study report and where noted in the Comments column.

Data Evaluation Report on the anaerobic biotransformation of iodomethane (TM-4	25) in water-
sediment system	

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 45593708

2. Water-sediment collection, storage and properties:

Table 2: Description of water-sediment collection and storage.

Description		Details
Geographic location:		Pond located at Plant Sciences, Inc., Litchfield Research Farm, Watsonville, California.
Pesticide use history at the collection s	site:	No pesticides had been applied to the collection site over the previous 5 years.
Collection date:		December 8, 2000.
Collection procedures:	water:	Not reported.
	sediment:	Not reported.
Sampling depth:	water:	Not reported.
	sediment:	Not reported.
Storage conditions:		Upon receipt at Ricera (12/13/00), water and sediment were stored separately under nitrogen atmosphere in an environmental chamber at 20°C.
Storage length:		Water and sediment received at test facility on 12/13/00 and experimental start date was 6/5/01 (day of application).
Preparation:	water:	None.
Onto obtained from a 21 Till 2	sediment:	2-mm sieved wet.

Data obtained from p. 21, Table 2, p. 46, Appendix 1, p. 77 of the study report.

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 45593708

Table 3: Properties of the water.

Property		Details	
Temperature (°C):		Not reported.	
pH in water layer:		Initial (time 0):	Final (13 days):
	water layer:	7.18-7.22	8.14-8.17
	water-sediment interface:	7.18-7.22	7.88-8.01
Redox potential		Initial (time 0):	Final (13 days):
(mV) in water layer:	water layer:	-187.0 to -184.2	-275.3 to -270.9
	water-sediment interface:	-189.9 to -178.6	-224.1 to -213.5
Oxygen		Initial (time 0):	Final (13 days):
concentration (%):	water layer:	0.0	0.1-0.3
	water-sediment interface:	0.0	0.0 to 0.2
Dissolved organic cart	oon (mg/L):	6.93	
Total organic carbon (mg/L):	6.72	
Hardness (mg CaCO ₃ /	L):	360	
Electrical conductivity	:	Not reported.	
Biomass (mg microbia	l C/100 g, CFU or other):	Not reported.	

Data obtained from Table 1, p. 45, Table 3, p. 47 of the study report.

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 45593708

Table 4: Properties of the sediment.

Property	Details
Textural classification:	Sandy clay loam.
% sand:	48.8
% silt:	25.6
% clay:	25.6
pH:	8.0
Organic carbon (%):	Not reported.
Organic matter (%):	2.08
CEC (meq/100 g):	16.87
Redox potential (mV):	Not reported.
Bulk density (g/cm³):	Not reported.
Moisture content (%):	76.1
Biomass (x 10 ⁶ bacterial and fungal CFU/g dry wt. sediment):	4.5 (pre-incubation)

Data obtained from pp. 21, Tables 1-2, pp. 45-46 of the study report.

B. EXPERIMENTAL CONDITIONS:

1. Preliminary experiments: A preliminary experiment to establish methodology and obtain information on the rate of degradation and formation of potential transformation products of iodomethane in an anaerobic water-sediment system was conducted, but not included in the study report (Appendix 1, pp. 80, 82, Appendix 2, p. 93).

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 45593708

2. Experimental conditions:

Table 5: Study design.

Criteria		Water-sandy clay loam sediment
Duration of the test:		336 hours (14 days).
Water: Filtered/unfiltered wat Type and size of filter		Unfiltered. N/A.
Amount of sediment	water:	150 g (ca. 112 mL added, 38 mL associated with sediment).
and water/treatment:	sediment:	50 g dry wt. (ca. 88 g wet wt.).
Water/sediment ratio:		3:1 (g water:g sediment dry wt.).
Application rate:	nominal:	13 mg a.i./L water.
	actual:	13.1-13.2 mg/L.
Control conditions, if a differences from other sterile/non-sterile, exp	treatments, i.e.,	Untreated water-sediment systems to be used for microbial evaluations were incubated under the same conditions as the treated systems.
No. of Replications:	Controls, if used:	Not reported.
	Treatments:	Triplicate systems at time 0 and duplicate systems at all other collection intervals.
Test apparatus (type/m	aterial/volume):	Sediment and water were transferred to narrow-necked 8-oz. glass bottles. Each bottle was sealed with a polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE)-lined silicone septum cap, then the system was purged (flow rate not specified) with nitrogen for ca . 5 minutes via 20-gauge needles inserted in the cap. Each system was placed in a flow-through chamber within an environmental chamber and pre-incubated at $20 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C under nitrogen atmosphere for 22 days prior to treatment.
Details of traps for CO if any:	₂ and organic volatiles,	Excluding the time 0 samples, humidified nitrogen was continuously drawn (5-7 mL/minute) through the headspace of each bottle via inlet/outlet 18-gauge 1 ½ Precision Glide Needles in the septum cap, then sequentially through single tubes of 2% tripropylamine (TPA) in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), 1 N NaOH and coconut charcoal (10 g).
If no traps were used, i closed/open?	s the system	Volatiles traps were used.
Identity and concentrat	ion of co-solvent:	Water.

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 45593708

Criteria		Water-sandy clay loam	sediment
Test material application:	Vol. of test solution used/treatment:	0.56-0.61 mL of 3.22-3 solutions were prepared)	51 mg/mL test solution (three separate test).
	Application method:	Applied to surface of the	water layer.
Any indication of to the walls of the t	he test material adsorbing est apparatus?	Not reported.	
	terial and fungal CFU/g	Initial (time 0):	Final (30 days):
dry wt. sediment)of	control:	5.4	0.31
	terial and fungal CFU/g	Initial (time 0):	Final (30 days):
dry wt. sediment) o	it treated:	3.9	1.1
Experimental	Temperature (°C):	20 ± 1°C in an environm	nental chamber.
conditions:	Continuous darkness:	Yes.	
Other details, if any	y:	None.	

Data obtained from pp. 16, 21, 22, 24-26, Table 2, p. 46, Table 4, p. 48, Figure 2, p. 56, Appendix 2, p. 79 of the study report.

- **3. Anaerobic conditions:** The water-sediment systems were incubated under nitrogen atmosphere for 22 days prior to treatment. In duplicate systems at time 0 posttreatment, redox potentials in the water layer and at the water-sediment interface were -189.9 to -178.6 mV and dissolved oxygen concentrations were 0.0% (Table 3, p. 47). During incubation, humidified nitrogen was continuously drawn (5-7 mL/minute) through the headspace of the bottles containing the treated water-sediment systems.
- **4.** Supplementary experiments: No supplementary experiments were conducted.

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 45593708

5. Sampling:

Table 6: Sampling details.

Criteria	Details
Sampling intervals:	0, 4, 8, 24, 48, 72, 96, 168, 240 and 336 hours.
Sampling method:	Triplicate samples at time 0 and duplicate samples were collected all remaining intervals.
Method of collection of CO ₂ and volatile organic compounds:	Trapping solutions/materials were collected with respective soil samples.
Sampling intervals/times for: Sterility check, if sterile controls are used: Redox potential/other:	Sterile controls were not used. Redox potential, dissolved O_2 , and pH were measured at 0, 7 and 13 days posttreatment.
Sample storage before analysis:	All samples were reportedly analyzed within 17 days after sampling. Time 0 systems were centrifuged, extracted (heated nitrogen purge) and analyzed immediately posttreatment. All remaining treated systems were centrifuged and purged the day of collection. Nitrogen purge trapping solutions and the purged water layer were analyzed for total radioactivity, then subsamples (30-40 mL) were stored at <10°C in amber vials until HPLC analysis. Similarly, aliquots of the liquid trapping solutions from volatiles collection during incubation were analyzed for total radioactivity upon collection, then subsamples (30-40 mL) were stored at <10°C in amber vials until further analysis. Purged sediment and coconut charcoal tubes were homogenized, then stored frozen (<-10°C) until analysis.
Other observations, if any:	None.

Data obtained from pp. 22, 23, 25-28, 35 of the study report.

C. ANALYTICAL METHODS:

Separation of water and sediment: Upon collection, water and sediment were separated by centrifugation (5-10 minutes, rpm not specified), then the water layer was drawn off via vacuum in a closed system into a fritted impinger (p. 26, Figure 3, p. 57).

Extraction/clean up/concentration methods: The outside of the impinger tube containing the water layer was wrapped first with heating tape followed by a foil jacket for insulation (pp. 26, 27). The heating tape was set to 50°C and air was continuously drawn (flow rate not specified, ca. 45 minutes) through the water layer then sequentially through duplicate tubes containing 2% TPA in DMSO and a single tube of 1 N NaOH (Figure 3, p. 57). Following the purge, triplicate aliquots (1-2 mL x 3) of the trapping solutions and the purged water were analyzed for total radioactivity by LSC. Subsamples (30-40 mL) of all solutions were stored in amber vials at <10°C until further analysis; all 2% TPA in

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 45593708

DMSO trapping solutions containing $\geq 3\%$ of the applied radioactivity and all purged water samples were analyzed by HPLC (p. 30). Purged water samples (5 mL) were filtered (0.22 μ m) just prior to HPLC analysis (p. 31).

Upon removal of the water layer, the septum on the bottle containing the sediment was replaced and the entire sample refrigerated ($<10^{\circ}$ C, interval not specified, p. 27). For purging, the sample bottle was attached to an air-flow system as described above and placed in a sonic waterbath set at ca. 60°C (Figure 4, p. 58). Following the 45-minute purge, trapping solutions were analyzed and stored as described above.

Nonextractable residue determination: Purged sediment was air-dried for 2-3 days, homogenized by grinding to a powder with a mortar and pestle, then stored at $<-10^{\circ}$ C in high-density polyethylene (HDPE) bottles until analysis (p. 27). Triplicate subsamples (0.5 g x 3) were analyzed for total radioactivity by LSC following combustion (p. 28).

Extracted 10-day sediment samples were further extracted three times with acetone in a sonic waterbath at 40-45°C for 20 minutes per extraction (pp. 28, 29). Following each extraction, extract and sediment were separated by centrifugation, with the extract decanted and analyzed for total radioactivity by LSC. The acetone-extracted sediment was then extracted with 0.5N NaOH for 24 hours using a wrist-action shaker followed by two 0.5 N NaOH rinses and three water rinses (5 minutes with shaking per rinse). Sodium hydroxide and water extracts were combined and acidified to *ca.* pH 1 with HCl, then the resulting precipitate (humic acids) was removed by centrifugation. The supernatant (fulvic acids) was analyzed by LSC, and the precipitate was re-dissolved in 0.1 N NaOH and analyzed by LSC. [14C]Residues remaining in the extracted sediment (humins) were quantified by LSC following combustion.

Volatile residue determination: Aliquots (1-2 mL x 3) of the trapping solutions were analyzed for total radioactivity using LSC (p. 28). All 2% TPA in DMSO solutions containing \geq 3% of the applied radioactivity were analyzed by HPLC. All NaOH trapping solutions containing \geq 0.25% of the total applied radioactivity were analyzed for $^{14}\text{CO}_2$ by barium chloride precipitation. Upon collection, the coconut charcoal tube was homogenized by grinding to a powder with a mortar and pestle, then stored at <-10°C in an amber bottle until analysis. Triplicate subsamples (0.1 g x 3) of the coconut charcoal were analyzed for total radioactivity by LSC following combustion.

Total ¹⁴C **measurement:** Total ¹⁴C residues were determined by summation of the concentrations of [¹⁴C]residues measured in the nitrogen purge trapping solutions, purged water and sediment, and volatiles trapping materials (solutions and coconut charcoal; p. 29, Table 4, p. 48).

Derivatization method, if used: [14C]Iodomethane de-methylated in the presence of the 2% TPA in DMSO trapping solution to yield [14C]methyltripropylammonium ion (p. 39). An aliquot (2 mL) of the 2% TPA in DMSO solution was vortexed with cold 0.05M 1-heptanesulfonic acid (1 mL) to ion pair the quaternary ammonium salt with 1-heptanesulfonic acid for HPLC analysis (pp. 31, 39).

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 45593708

Identification and quantification of parent compound: Nitrogen purge and volatile trapping 2% TPA in DMSO solutions were analyzed for parent [¹⁴C]iodomethane (as [¹⁴C]methyltripropylammonium ion) using reverse-phase HPLC (system 2) under the following conditions: Supelco Dicovery C18 column (4.6 x 150 mm, 5 μm particle size), injection volume 500 μL, gradient mobile phase combining (A) aqueous 0.005 M 1-heptanesulfonic acid and (B) acetonitrile [percent A:B at 0 min. 95:5 (v:v), 4 min. 95:5, 25 min. 50:50, 30 min. 50:50], flow rate 1.0 mL/minute, Radiomatic FLO-ONE\Beta radioactivity detector (pp. 30, 31). HPLC recoveries of selected samples averaged 94.1 ± 1.3% (n = 5) of the applied radioactivity (p. 33). Identification of parent [¹⁴C]iodomethane in the solutions was based on cochromatography with derivatized labeled reference standard (p. 42, Figure 12, p. 66). Identification of [¹⁴C]methyltripropylammonium ion was confirmed using LC/MS in electrospray ionization (ESI) mode (pp. 34, 35, 42, Figure 13, p. 67).

Identification and quantification of transformation products: Purged water samples were analyzed for transformation products of [14 C]iodomethane using cation-exchange HPLC (system 1) under the following conditions: Aminex HPX 87H column (7.8 x 300 mm), injection volume 1 mL, isocratic mobile phase 0.01 N sulfuric acid, flow rate 1.0 mL/minute, Beta Ram radioactivity detector (pp. 30, 31). HPLC recoveries of selected samples averaged 96.3 \pm 0.7% (n = 5) of the applied radioactivity (p. 33). Identification of [14 C]methanol in the samples was based on cochromatography with labeled reference standard (p. 43, Figure 14, p. 68).

Detection limits (LOD, LOQ) for the parent compound and transformation products: The detection limit for LSC analyses was set at 3x average system background dpm equivalent to *ca*. 0.002% of the applied radioactivity; average system background radioactivity was *ca*. 47.3 dpm (p. 30). The detection limit for reverse-phase HPLC analyses (system 2) was established as 846 dpm (minimum peak height of 3x average background height) or a minimum of 0.05% of the applied radioactivity (p. 32, Appendix 6, p. 97). The detection limit for cation-exchange HPLC analyses (system 1) was established as 965 dpm (minimum peak height of 3x average background height) or a minimum of 0.07% of the applied radioactivity (p. 32, Appendix 5, p. 96).

II. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

A. TEST CONDITIONS: Anaerobic conditions, temperature, and other environmental conditions were maintained throughout the study. During the pre-incubation period (6 days prior to treatment) and following treatment (time 0 and at 7 and 13 days) in the water layer and at the water-sediment interface, redox potentials were -275.3 to -178.6 mV, dissolved oxygen concentrations were $\le 0.3\%$, pH values were 7.16-8.17, and temperatures were 19.3-20.5°C (p. 37, Table 3, p. 47).

B. MATERIAL BALANCE: Overall recovery of radiolabeled material averaged $89.3 \pm 7.6\%$ (range 77.1-103.2%, n = 21) of the applied during the 336-hour study; material balances declined throughout the study (Table 4, p. 48).

other intervals), in water-sandy clay loam sediment under anaerobic conditions. Table 7: Volatilization of $[^{14}C]$ iodomethane, expressed as percentage of applied radioactivity (mean \pm s.d., n = 3 for time 0 and n = 2 for all

·						Sampling ti	Sampling times (hours)				
Compound		0	4	8	24	48	72	96	168	240	336
Purged Iodomethane ²	water ³	87.4 ± 1.6	68.1 ± 1.3	65.3 ± 0.1	45.6 ± 0.5	35.8 ± 0.2	21.8 ± 1.8	16.6 ± 0.4	3.0 ± 2.2	2.6 ± 0.8	0.6 ± 0.5
	sediment ⁴	9.2 ± 1.1	8.6 ± 0.6	4.8 ± 1.2	5.9 ± 0.2	4.7 ± 0.4	1.9 ± 0.4	1.6 ± 0.2	0.6 ± 0.5	0.4 ± 0.1	0.1 ± 0.0
Volatilized Iodomethane	entire system	NA ⁵	14.2 ± 1.7	22.8 ± 0.9	30.9 ± 1.3	35.1 ± 1.5	48.5 ± 0.9	48.3 ± 2.1	58.8 ± 3.6	51.8 ± 1.0	57.8 ± 2.6
Methanol ⁶	water	1.7-2.1	1.5-2.1	1.6-2.5	2.4-2.6	2.3-2.4	2.7-3.0	3.4-3.6	2.8-3.7	4.1-4.8	2.8-4.2
Unidentified radioactivity (Others) ⁷	water	0.4-0.6	0.5	0.3-0.6	1.9-2.1	3.5-3.8	3.5-3.9	3.8-3.9	0.4-3.1	1.3-2.3	0.1-0.3
CO ₂ 8	entire system	<0.1	0.1-0.2	0.2	0.4	0.6-0.7	0.8-0.9	0.8-0.9	1.1-2.0	1.4-2.0	2.2-2.7
Other volatiles ⁹	entire system	0.0	<0.1	0.1-0.2	0.2-0.3	0.4-0.5	0.6-1.8	1.4-2.0	4.2-7.0	3.6-4.3	5.2-6.7
Nonextractable residues	sediment	0.7	1.5-1.7	1.8-2.0	4.3-5.7	7.1-8.2	7.9	8.8-9.4	7.4-9.5	10.2-11.5	6.5-9.0
Total % recovery	water ¹⁰	89.7 ± 1.7	70.4 ± 1.6	67.9 ± 0.4	50.2 ± 0.5	42.1 ± 0.3	28.6 ± 1.9	24.1 ± 0.4	8.28 ± 4.0	9.25 ± 0.2	4.6 ± 1.3
	sediment10	9.9 ± 1.2	10.3 ± 0.7	6.7 ± 1.3	11.0 ± 0.9	12.5 ± 1.0	10.0 ± 0.4	10.8 ± 0.2	9.1 ± 1.5	11.5 ± 0.7	8.0 ± 1.4
	entire system	99.6 ± 2.7	94.9 ± 0.7	97.6 ± 1.8	92.4 ± 0.9	90.4 ± 0.1	88.6 ± 0.1	85.3 ± 1.7	82.8 ± 0.0	77.4 ± 0.3	78.3 ± 1.0
Standard deviations determined by the reviewer (Attachment 1) Data obtained from Tables 6.10 nm 50-54 of the study report	rminad by th	e raniamar (ttochmont 1	Doto obto	inad from T	ahlas 6 10 m	50-51 of th	o chida rono	1		

Standard deviations determined by the reviewer (Attachment 1). Data obtained from Tables 6-10, pp. 50-54 of the study report.

³Total iodomethane in water layer calculated by the reviewer as "Purged Water" + "2% TPA Traps from Purged Water"; data obtained from pp. 50, 51 of the study ²For 336-hour water samples and 72- to 336-hour sediment samples [¹⁴C]iodomethane as total radioactivity (LSC) recovered in 2% TPA in DMSO solutions.

Means determined by the reviewer; data obtained from Table 9, p. 53 of the study report

Not analyzed

Detected only in water layer.

⁸Summation of ¹⁴CO₂ detected in water and sediment purges + volatiles NaOH trapping solutions; verified by barium chloride precipitation in selected samples. ⁹Summation of [14C] residues detected in coconut charcoal trap and radioactivity remaining in solution following barium chloride precipitation of NaOH solutions. Detected only in water layer. Summation of "Purged water" + "2% TPA Traps from Purged Water"; data obtained from Tables 6-7, pp. 50, 51 of the study report.

¹⁰Means determined by the reviewer; data obtained from Table 4, p. 48 of the study report.

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 45593708

C. TRANSFORMATION OF PARENT COMPOUND: [¹⁴C]Iodomethane in the total system decreased from 93.7-99.8% of the applied radioactivity at time 0 posttreatment to 50.9-51.8% at 24 hours, 22.2-25.1% at 72 hours, 0.9-6.1% at 168 hours and 0.1-1.1% at 336 hours (Table 10, p. 54). [¹⁴C]Iodomethane was detected primarily in the water layer decreasing from 84.8-89.8% at time 0 to 45.0-46.0% at 24 hours, 19.9-23.6% at 72 hours, 0.8-5.1% at 168 hours and 0.1-1.0% at 336 hours (Table 7, p. 51). [¹⁴C]Iodomethane in the sediment decreased from 7.6-10.3% at 0-4 hours to 3.6-6.0% at 8-48 hours, 1.4-2.3% at 72-96 hours and was ≤1.0% at 168-336 hours (Table 8, p. 52). Following application of [¹⁴C]iodomethane to the surface of the water layer, [¹⁴C]residues that did not volatilize partitioned into the sediment with average distribution ratios (water:sediment) of 7-11:1 at 0-8 hours, 5:1 at 24 hours, 2:1 at 96 hours, 1:1 at 168-240 hours and 1:2 at 336 hours (Attachment 1).

HALF-LIFE/DT₅₀: Half-lives of 40.2, 38.8 and 38.1 hours for the volatilization of [¹⁴C]iodomethane from the total system, water layer and sediment, respectively, were determined by the reviewer using linear regression analysis based on first-order kinetics as calculated by Quattro Pro 8 software (Attachment 1). DT₅₀ and DT₉₀ values (50% and 90% dissipation times, respectively) were determined by the study author using linear regression analysis based on first-order kinetics as calculated by Microsoft Excel 97-SR2 software (pp. 41, 64).

Table 8: Half-life $(t_{1/2})/DT_{50}$ values for the volatilization of iodomethane in aerobic sandy loam soil.

		First	order Lin	iear ¹	
System	Half-life (hours)	Regression equation	r ²	DT ₅₀ ²	DT ₉₀
water	0- to 48-hour data: 38.8	y = -0.01786x + 4.36	0.924	0- 48-hour data: 40.1 hours	0- to 48-hour data: 5.6 days
sediment	0- to 72-hour data: 38.1	y = -0.01821x + 2.12	0.747	0- to 336-hour data: 41.8 hours	0- 336-hour data: 5.8 days
entire system	0- to 48-hour data: 40.2	y = -0.01726x + 4.46	0.917		

¹Data used for half-life calculations obtained from tables 6-8, pp. 50-52, table 10, p. 54 of the study report. ²Calculated by study author; linear regression equations for 0- to 48-hour and 0- to 336-hour results were y = -0.0173x + 4.4604 ($r^2 = 0.9178$) and y = -0.0166x + 4.4125 ($r^2 = 0.8972$), respectively (Figure 10, p. 64 of the study report). DT₅₀ and DT₉₀ values obtained from p. 41 of the study report.

TRANSFORMATION PRODUCTS: No major transformation products of [14 C]iodomethane were detected in the water layers or sediment extracts. One minor transformation product, methanol, was detected in the water layers at a maximum 4.8% of the applied at 240 hours posttreatment and was 2.8-4.2% at 336 hours (Table 6, p. 50). Minor products detected following the heated purge of the water layer were 14 CO₂ and other unidentified volatile [14 C]organics each detected at \leq 0.61% of the applied radioactivity (Table 5, p. 49). Residues in NaOH solutions following the heated purge of the

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 45593708

sediment were not differentiated into $^{14}\text{CO}_2$ and other volatile [^{14}C]organics because total radioactivity recovered was $\leq 0.2\%$ of the applied (Table 4, p. 48).

NONEXTRACTABLE AND EXTRACTABLE RESIDUES: Extractable (heated purge) [14 C]residues in the sediment decreased from 7.6-10.3% of the applied radioactivity at 0-4 hours to 3.6-6.0% at 8-48 hours, 0.1-2.3% at72-240 hours and were $\le 0.1\%$ at 336 hours, while nonextractable [14 C]residues increased from 0.7% at time 0 to 10.2-11.5% at 240 hours and were 6.5-9.0% at 336 hours (Table 4, p. 48).

VOLATILIZATION: [14C]Iodomethane volatilized from the water-sediment systems increasing from 12.5-15.9% of the applied at 4 hours posttreatment to 29.6-32.2% at 24 hours, 46.1-50.4% at 96 hours and was 55.2-60.4% at 336 hours (maximum 62.4% at 168 hours, Table 10, p. 54). At 336 hours (study termination), volatilized ¹⁴CO₂ totaled 2.2-2.7% of the applied and other unidentified [14C]organic volatiles totaled 5.2-6.7%.

TRANSFORMATION PATHWAY: The study author proposed a transformation pathway (p. 63); however, iodomethane primarily dissipated from the water-sediment systems via volatilization rather than metabolic transformation.

Table 9: Chemical names for transformation products of iodomethane in anaerobic water-sandy clay loam sediment.¹

Applicant's Code	CAS Number	Chemical Name	Chemical formula	Molecular weight (g/mol)	SMILES string
None	67-56-1	Methanol	CH₃OH	32.04	СО

¹Data obtained from Merck Index, Thirteenth Edition, p. 1065, monograph #5984.

D. SUPPLEMENTARY EXPERIMENT-RESULTS: No supplementary experiments were conducted.

III. STUDY DEFICIENCIES: This study fulfilled the anaerobic aquatic biotransformation guideline, USEPA Subdivision N Guideline §162-3, for iodomethane, however, material balances were incomplete with up to 22.9% of the applied radioactivity unaccounted in the last two sampling events. The study author proposed that the missing radioactivity was due to losses of volatilized [14C]iodomethane purged through the 2% TPA in DMSO trapping solution during incubation (p. 38).

IV. REVIEWER'S COMMENTS:

1. Analysis of iodomethane in the 2% TPA in DMSO solutions was non-specific; however, comparative HPLC co-chromatography and MS analyses of derivatized reference standard [14C]iodomethane and suspected derivatized [14C]iodomethane recovered in 2% TPA in DMSO

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 45593708

solutions from the treated samples indicates that only iodomethane was volatilized from the water-sediment systems (p. 42, Figures 12-13, pp. 66-67).

- 2. The minor biotransformation product detected in the water layer was only tentatively identified as methanol by HPLC co-chromatography with reference standard [¹⁴C]methanol (p. 43, Figure 14, p. 68); however, [¹⁴C]methanol in the water layers was only detected at ≤4.8% of the applied radioactivity during the study.
- 3. The study author reported differentiation of radioactivity recovered in NaOH trapping solutions as ¹⁴CO₂ and other volatiles [¹⁴C]organics at all sampling intervals for volatiles trapping solutions recovered during incubation and trapping solutions recovered after heated purges of water layers and sediment; however, only selected samples were analyzed by barium chloride precipitation to determine differentiation (Table 5, p. 49). For the 4- to 12-hour volatiles trapping NaOH solutions, 0- to 8-hour purged water NaOH trapping solutions and all of the purged sediment NaOH trapping solutions, differentiation between ¹⁴CO₂ and other volatile [¹⁴C]organics was determined by mathematical calculation (pp. 38, 39).
- 4. The application rate of 13 mg a.i./L water was reported to approximate the single maximum field use rate of 263 kg a.i./ha assuming a water depth of 200 cm (pp. 16, 18).

V. REFERENCES: No references were cited in the study.

Attachment 1

Quattro Pro Graphs and Spreadsheets

Anaerobic Aquatic Metabolism of [14C]lodomethane in Water-Sandy Clay Loam Sediment. MRID 45593708

Volatilization of [14C]iodomethane from total system

Half-life Determination lodomethane

- III	our data	0- to 48-hour
-2.30259	0.1	336
0.0953	 	336
1.33500	3.8	240
0.74193	2.1	240
-0.1053	0.9	168
1.80828	6.1	168
2.92316	18.6	96
2.86789	17.6	96
3.10009	22.2	72
3.222868	25.1	72
3.716008	41.1	48
3.688879	40.0	48
3.92986	50.9	24
3.94739	51.8	24
4.229749	68.7	8
4.268298	71.4	8
4.31214	74.6	4
4.363099	78.5	4
4.565389	96.1	0
4.603168	99.8	0
4.540098	93.7	0
Ln(%A	%AR	Hour

2.5





Regression Output:

Degrees of Freedom No. of Observations R Squared Std Err of Y Est Constant 0.917 0.1

Std Err of Coef. 0.001726 X Coefficient(s) -0.01726

half-life 40.2 hours





				ŧ	1	1						Ē		
	25	 	ļ		7 . 			;		ļ		ļ		
	-	 	<u> </u>		;			: 	ļ	ļ		ļ	1	2000
	50	 			 			ļ					*	
	75	 -		ļ	[-	T	_			7	-	
	<u> </u>	 		ļ							/			************
	100 125	 						<u> </u>		//	7			***************************************
- :	150	 				-			/	/				
Hours	175				-	\		7	/*					
	8					7	,	Ζ.,						
	225					\ \	X.							
	150 175 200 225 250 275 300 325	 				7	ì	7						
	د	 			\mathcal{I}		1	/						
	эř ļ	 			/		./.							
	300	 		/			/							
į	305		/	/		7								

R Squared Std Err of Y Est Constant No. of Observations Regression Output: 0.924 0.099 4.36

Std Err of Coef. X Coefficient(s) 0.001703 -0.01786 Degrees of Freedom

half-life 38.8 hours

*AR = Applied Radioactivity

Linear regression analysis performed using Corel Quattro Pro 8.

Results for total system from p. 54 of study report and for water layer from pp. 50, 51 of study report and Attachment 1.

Half-life Determination from water layer. Volatilization of [14C]iodomethane

			-			1									-							
336	336	240	240	168	168	96	96	72	72	48	48	24	24	8	8	4	4	0	0	0	Hour	lod
0.1	1.0	3.3	1.8	0.8	5.1	16.9	16.2	19.9	23.6	36.0	35.6	45.0	46.1	65.1	65.4	66.7	69.4	86.5	89.6	86.1	%AR	odomethane
-2.30259	0	1.193922	0.587787	-0.22314	1.629241	2.827314	2.785011	2.99072	3.161247	3.583519	3.572346	3.806662	3.830813	4.175925	4.180522	4.200205	4.239887	4.460144	4.495355	4.455509	Ln(%AR)	ane

0- to 48-hour data


MRID 45593708 Anaerobic Aquatic Metabolism of [14C]lodomethane in Water-Sandy Clay Loam Sediment.

Volatilization of [14C]iodomethane

from sediment.

Half-life Determination

	lta	our data	0- to 72-hour
	ERR	0.0	336
	-2.30259	0.1	336
	-0.69315	0.5	240
	-1.20397	0.3	240
	-2.30259	0.1	168
	0	1.0	168
	0.530628	1.7	96
	0.336472	1.4	96
	0.832909	2.3	72
	0.405465	1.5	72
	1.629241	5.1	48
	1.458615	4.3	48
	1.791759	6.0	24.
-	1.740466	5.7	24
	1.280934	3.6	8
	1.791759	6.0	8
	2.066863	7.9	4
	2.219203	9.2	4
	2.261763	9.6	0
	2.332144	10.3	0
-	2.028148	7.6	0
	Ln(%AR)	%AR	Hour
	ane	ometh	lod



Regression Output:

R Squared Degrees of Freedom No. of Observations Std Err of Y Est Constant 2.12 0.3 0.747

X Coefficient(s) -0.01821 Std Err of Coef. 0.003195

half-life 38.1 hours

*AR = Applied Radioactivity

Results from p. 52 of study report. Linear regression analysis performed using Corel Quattro Pro 8.

Anaerobic Aquatic Metabolism of [¹⁴C]lodomethane in Water-Sandy Clay Loam Sediment/MRID 45593708 Determination of means/standard deviations for applied radioactivity in trapping materials and

unextractable sediment [14C]residues.

						Water layer	yer							-			co	Sediment						
			Water Purge	urge					-	-	-				Sedime	iment Purge								
	2% T	TPA in DMSO	MSO		NaOH		Unex	Unextractable	е	Tot	Total Water	Τ.	2% TF	TPA in DMS	/SO	7	NaOH		Unex	Unextractable	е	Total S	Total Sediment	
Hours	% AR	Mean	s.d.	% AR	Mean	s.d.	% AR	Mean	s.d.	% AR	Mean	s.d.	% AR	Mean	s.d.	% AR	Mean	s.d. º	% AR N	Mean	s.d. %	₽	Mean s	s.d.
0	85.8			0.0			2.5			88.3			7.6			0.0			0.7			8.3		
0	89.6			0.0			2.5			92.1			10.3			0.0			0.7			11.0		
0	84.8	86.7	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	3.0	0.7	88.8	89.7	1.7	9.6	9.2	1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.7	0.0	10.4	9.9	1.2
4	69.3			0.1	-		2.6			72.0			9.2			0.1			1.7			11.0		
4	66.0	67.7	1.6	0.0	0.1	0.1	2.7	2.7	0.0	68.7	70.4	1.6	7.9	8.6	0.6	0.1	0.1	0.0	1.5	1.6	0.1	9.5	10.3	0.7
8	64.9	-		0.1			2.4			67.4			6.0		·	0.0			2.0			8.0	<u></u>	
8	65.1	65.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	3.1	2.8	0.4	68.3	67.9	0.4	3.6	4.8	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.8	1.9	0.1	5.4	6.7	<u>ب</u> ن
24	47.2	;		0.2			3.3			50.7			5.7			0.1			4.3	-	-	10.1		
24	46.4	46.8	0.4	0.1	0,2	0.0	3.1	/ 3.2	0.1	49.6	50.2	0.5	6.0	5.9	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.0	5.7	5.0	0.7	11.8	11.0	0.9
48	38.0			0.3			3 5		:	41.8			4.3			0.1		-	7.1			11.5		
48	39.1	38.6	0.6	0.3	0.3	0.0	3.0	3.3	0.3	42.4	42.1	0.3	5.1	4.7	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.0	8.2	7.7	0.6	13.4	12.5	1.0
72	26.6			0.4		.,	3.5		· · · · ·	30.5			1.5			0.2			7.9			9.6		
72	22.3	24.5	2.1	0.3	0.4	0.1	4.0	3.8	0.3	26.6	28.6	1.9	2.3	1.9	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.0	7.9	7.9	0.0	10.4	10.0	0.4
96	19.4			0.3			4.0		·	23.7			1.4			0.1			9.4			10.9		
96	19.8	19.6	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.0	4.4	4.2	0.2	24.5	24.1	0.4	1.7	1.6	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.0	8.8	9.1	0.3	10.6	10.8	0.2
168	7.6			0.3			4.3			12.2			1.0			0.1			9.5			10.6		
168	0.9	4.3	3.4	0.2	0.3	0.1	3.1	3.7	0.6	4.2	8.2	4.0	0.1	0.6	0.5	0.1	0.1	0.0	7.4	8.5	1.1	7.6	9.1	1.5
240	ა	-	· .	0.6			5.0			9.4			0.3			0.2			10.2			10.7		
240	3.9	3.9	0.1	0.4	0.5	0.1	4.7	4.9	0.2	9.0	9.2	0.2	0.5	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.0	11.5	10.9	0.6	12.2	11.5	0.7
336	1.0			0.4			4.5			5.9			0.1	-		0.2			9.0			9.3		
336	0.1	0.6	0.5	0.2	0.3	0.1	2.9	3.7	0.8	3.2	4.6	1.3	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.0	6.5	7.8	1.3	6.6	8.0	<u>-</u> 4
Table continued on next page	ntinued	on next	page.														1.					•		

unextractable sediment [14C]residues (continued). Determination of means/standard deviations for applied radioactivity in trapping materials and Anaerobic Aquatic Metabolism of [14C]lodomethane in Water-Sandy Clay Loam Sediment/MRID 45593708

	_					_		-		T			-							T	<u> </u>	r
	Hours	0	0	0	4	4	8	8	24	24	48	48	72	72	96	96	168	168	240	240	336	336
2% 1	% AR	AN	N	AN	12.5	15.9	23.8	21.9	32.2	29.6	36.6	33.6	47.5	50.2	51.0	46.9	57.7	65.3	54.5	52.7	56.4	62.2
2% TPA in DMSO	Mean					14.2		22.9		30.9		35.1		48.9		49.0		61.5		53.6		59.3
MSO	s.d.					1.7		0.9		1.3		1.5		1.3		2.0		3.8		0.9		2.9
	% AR	AN	A	AA	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.6	0.7	1.0	3.3	1.4	1.4	2.9	4.3
NaOH	Mean					9.2		0.1		0.3	•.	0.4		0.6		0.7		2.2		1.4		3.6
ľ	s.d.					0.1		0.0	-	0.1		0.0		0.1		0.1		1.2		0.0		0.7
	% AR	AN	Š	N	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.5	0.4	0.8	0.7	0.9	1.4	2.5	1.7	1.8	2.8	3.0
Charcoal	Mean					0.0		0.1		0.2		0.4		0.6		0.8		2.0		1.8		2.9
	s.d.					0.0		0.1		0.1		0.2		0.2		0.1		0.6		0.0		0.1
Mate	-% AR	96.6	103.1	99.2	95.5	94.2	99.4	95.7	93.3	91.6	90.5	90.3	88.4	88.7	86.9	83.6	82.9	82.9	77.7	77.1	77.3	79.3
Material Balances ¹	Mean			99.6		94.9		97.5		92.5		90.4	•	88.6		85.3		82.9		77.4		78.3
ances ¹	s.d.			2.7		0.7		1.8		0.9		0.1		0.1		1.7		0.0		0.3		1.0

¹Material balance = sum of water and sediment purge, unextractable [14C] and volatiles.

Results (% AR) from p. 48 of the study report.

Means calculated using Corel Quattro Pro 8 program equation @avg(A1..A2).

Standard deviations calculated using Corel Quattro Pro 8 program equation @std(A1..A2).

Anaerobic Aquatic Metabolism of [¹⁴C]lodomethane in Water-Sandy Clay Loam Sediment/MRID 45593708

Determination of means/standard deviations for [14C]iodomethane.

			_	-	 -						_		-											_	
Detection limit 0.07% of the applied radioactivity (p. 32 of the study report)	336	336	240	240	168	168	96	96	72	72	48	48	24	24		∞	4	4	0	0	0	Hours			
n limit 0	0.1	1.0	3.3	1.8	0.8	5.1	16.9	16.2	19.9	23.6	36.0	35.5	45.0	46.0	65.1	64.9	66.0	69.3	84.8	89.6	85.8	% AR			
.07% of	0.6		2.6		3.0		16.6		21.8		35.8		45.5		65.0		67.7		86.7			Mean	Purged		
the ap	0.5		0.8		2.2		0.4	·	1.8		0.3		0.5		0.1		1.6		2.1		-	s.d.			
plied ra	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.035	0.1	0.04	0.1	0.035	0.5	0.7	0.1	1.7	0.035	0.3	% AR	R	Water layer	
dioacti	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.1		0.1		0.3		0.4		0.7			Mean	Remaining ¹	layer	
vity (p.	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.2		0.3		0.7			s.d.	ď.		
32 of th	<u></u>	1.0	3.3	1.8	0.8	51	16.9	16.2	19.9	23.6	36.0	35.6	45.0	46.1	65.1	65.4	66.7	69.4	86.5	89.6	86.1	T			
e study	0.6		2.6		3.0		16.6		21.8		35.8		45.6		65.3		68.1	-	87.4			Total water			
report,	0.5		0.8		2.2		0.4		1.8		0.2		0.5		0.1		1.3		1.6			er			lodom
	0.025	0.1	0.5	0.3	0.1	1.0	1.7	1.4	2.3	1.5	5.1	4.3	6.0	5.7	3.6	6.0	7.9	9.2	9.6	10.3	7.6	% AR			lodomethane
	0		0.4		0.6		1.6		1.9		4.7		5.9		4.8		8.6		9.2			Mean	Sediment ²		
	0.0	·	5	-	0.5		0.2		0.4		0.4		0.2		1.2		0.6		<u> </u>			s.d.	₹.		
	0.1	1.1	3.8	2.1	0.9	6.1	18.6	17.6	22.2	25.1	41.1	40.0	50.9	51.8	68.7	71.4	74.6	78.5	96.1	99.8	93.7	_			
	 o		3.0		3.5		18.1		23.7	1	40.6)	51.4	<u>ω</u>	70.1		6 76.6		96.5		7	Total syster			
	ار ت		0.8		2.6		0.5		<u>.</u>		0.6		0.4		1.3		1.9		2.5			tem			
	60.4	55.2	50.7	52.8	62.4	55.1		寸				36.6		32.2					Š	Š	NA	% AR			
	57 A		51.8		58.8		48.3		48.5		35.1		30.9		22.9		14.2		0.0			Mean	Volatilized		
1:0	3		1.0		3.6		2.1		0.9		<u>.</u>		1. ₃		0.9		1.7		0.0			s.d.	ed		

²Detection limit 0.05% of the applied radioactivity (p. 32 of the study report Results (% AR) from pp. 50-54 of the study report.

Means calculated using Corel Quattro Pro 8 program equation @avg(A1..A2).

Standard deviations calculated using Corel Quattro Pro 8 program equation @std(A1..A2).

[14C]Residue water phase:sediment ratios for sandy clay loam sediment-water systems.

																	·					
336	336	240	240	168	168	96	96	72	72	48	48	24	24	8	8	4	4	0	0	0	Day	
3.2	5.9	9.0	9.4	4.2	12.2	24.5	23.7	26.6	30.5	42.4	41.8	49.6	50.7	68.3	67.4	68.7	72.0	88.8	92.1	88.3	(%AR)	Water ¹
6.6	9.3	12.2	10.7	7.6	10.6	10.6	10.9	10.4	9.6	13.4	11.5	11.8	10.1	5.4	8.0	9.5	11.0	10.4	11.0	8.3	(%AR) (%AR)	Water¹ edimen
0		1		_		2	. 2	ω	ယ	ယ	4	4	ڻ ن	13	8	7	7	9	8	11	W:S	Ratio
<u>،</u>	N	_		2		0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	S:W	Ratio
		1		>		2		3		ယ		5		11	1.	7		9			W:S ratio	Mean
0		, ,0	_	0		0		0		0		0		2		0						s.d.
2		1		1		0		0		0		٥		0		0		0			S:W ratio	Mean
0		0 ,		0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0			ratio	s.d.
														9 2		W:S ratio	Mean s.d.	0- to 8-hour results			-	
			,											0		S:W ratio	Mean	iults				
														0		atio	s.d.					

²Sediment = summation of "2% TPA" + "1N NaOH" + "Residues".

Results (% AR) from p. 48 of the study report.

Attachment 2

Transformation Pathway Presented by Registrant
Illustration of Test System
Illustration of the Analytical System

Figure 9: Proposed Environmental Fate Pathway for Iodomethane in the Anaerobic Aquatic Metabolism Study




Figure 2: Volatile Sampling Assembly





Figure 3: Water Extraction Procedure

Transfer of Water to the First Empty Impinger:



Heated "Purge" of Water and Collection of Volatiles:




Figure 4: Sediment Extraction Procedure

